

# BCH-Semigroup Ideals in BCH-Semigroups

Farhat Sultana and Muhammad Anwar Chaudhary

Communicated by B. Ulrich

MSC 2010 Classifications: 13A15, 16D20 and 16D25.

Keywords and phrases: BCH-Semigroup, BCH-Semigroup ideal, BCH-Semigroup homomorphism.

**Abstract** We consider BCH-semigroup ideals and their properties in BCH-semigroups. Some results about BCH-semigroup homomorphisms related to BCH-semigroup ideals are investigated.

## 1 Introduction

In 1966, two classes of abstract algebras, BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, were introduced by Imai and Iseki [11, 12, 14]. Since then far-reaching investigations on these algebras have been made by many researchers (see [5, 17, 18] and references therein). It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras [11].

In [9, 10], a class of abstract algebras, BCH-algebras was introduced by Ping Hu and Li. Both these researchers studied some properties of these algebras which are more general than BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. Besides this, Chaudhry and Iseki also studied these algebras [4, 13]. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH-algebras. For more information regarding BCH-algebras, we refer the reader to [3, 4].

In this paper, we describe the concept of a BCH-semigroup ideal of a BCH-semigroup. Certain conditions for a semi-subgroup to be a BCH-semigroup ideal have been investigated. Some results about BCH-semigroup homomorphisms related to BCH-semigroup ideals are also given.

## 2 Notations and preliminary results

This section presents some useful definitions and some basic results.

**Definition 2.1. (BCH-Algebra)** [10] A BCH-algebra is an algebra  $(B; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  fulfilling the following conditions:

- (1)  $b * b = 0$  for all  $b \in B$ ,
- (2)  $b * t = t * b = 0$  implies  $b = t$ ,
- (3)  $(b * t) * d = (b * d) * t$  for all  $b, t, d \in B$ .

**Remark 2.2.** [8, 10] In any BCH-algebra a partial order  $\leq$  is defined by  $b \leq t$  if and only if  $b * t = 0$ . It has been noted that the following identities hold for every two elements  $x, y$  of any BCH-algebra  $B$ .

- (1)  $x * (x * y) \leq y$ ,
- (2)  $x * 0 = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ,
- (3)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$ ,
- (4)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (5)  $(x * y) * x = 0 * y$ .

**Example 2.3.** It is a routine exercise to see that  $(B = \mathbb{Z}_4; *, 0)$  is a BCH-algebra with  $*$  defined as:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	1	4
2	2	2	0	0	4
3	3	3	3	0	4
4	4	4	4	4	0

**Definition 2.4. (BCH-Homomorphisms)** [6] A mapping  $\zeta$  from a BCH-algebra  $B$  to a BCH-algebra  $\hat{B}$  is said to be a homomorphism if  $\zeta(t * d) = \zeta(t) * \zeta(d)$ , for all  $t, d \in B$ .

Note that, if  $\zeta : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is a BCH-homomorphism, then  $\zeta(0) = \hat{0}$  and  $t \leq d$  implies  $\zeta(t) \leq \zeta(d)$  for any  $t, d \in B$ .

**Definition 2.5. (Sub-algebra)** [4] A subset  $I \neq \emptyset$  of a BCH-algebra  $(B; *, 0)$  is called a sub-algebra if  $q, r \in I$  implies  $q * r \in I$ .

**Definition 2.6. (Closed ideal)** [4] A non-empty subset  $D$  of a BCH-algebra  $B$  is said to be a closed ideal in  $B$  if

- (i)  $0 * t \in D$  for all  $t \in D$ ,
- (ii)  $m * t \in D$ ,  $t \in D$  implies  $m \in D$ .

Every closed ideal is a sub-algebra but converse is not true in general because in Example 2.3 if we assume  $I = \{0, 4\}$ , then  $I$  is a sub-algebra of  $B$  but it is not a closed ideal.

**Definition 2.7. (BCH-Semigroup)** An algebraic system  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  with two binary operations  $*$  and  $\odot$  is said to be a BCH-semigroup if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $(B; \odot)$  is a semigroup,
- (2)  $(B; *, 0)$  is a BCH-algebra,
- (3) The binary operation  $\odot$  is distributive (left as well as right) over the operation  $*$ . That is,  $d \odot (c_1 * m) = (d \odot c_1) * (d \odot m)$  and  $(c_1 * m) \odot d = (c_1 \odot d) * (m \odot d)$  for all  $d, c_1, m \in B$ .

**Example 2.8.** Consider the set  $B = \{0, 1, 2\}$  with two operations  $\odot$  and  $*$  defined below:

$\odot$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	2	1
2	0	1	2

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0

Routine calculations give that  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  is a BCH-semigroup.

**Proposition 2.9.** If  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  is a BCH-semigroup. Then

- (i)  $0 \odot m = m \odot 0 = 0$ , for all  $m \in B$ .
- (ii)  $m \leq s_1$  implies  $m \odot b \leq s_1 \odot b$  and  $b \odot m \leq b \odot s_1$ , for all  $m, s_1, b \in B$ .

*Proof.* (i) For all  $m \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \odot m &= (0 * 0) \odot m && (\because 0 * 0 = 0) \\ &= (0 \odot m) * (0 \odot m) && (\odot \text{ is distributive over } *) \\ &= 0, && (\because m * m = 0, \text{ for all } m \in B). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m \odot 0 &= m \odot (0 * 0) \\ &= (m \odot 0) * (m \odot 0) && (\text{By distribution law}) \\ &= 0 && (\text{By } m * m = 0, \text{ for all } m \in B) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $m, s_1, b \in B$  with  $m \leq s_1$ . Then

$$m * s_1 = 0.$$

We claim that  $m \odot b \leq s_1 \odot b$ . This is true if  $(m \odot b) * (s_1 \odot b) = 0$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (m \odot b) * (s_1 \odot b) &= (m * s_1) \odot b \\ &= 0 \odot b \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b \odot m) * (b \odot s_1) &= b \odot (m * s_1) \\ &= b \odot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $m \odot b \leq s_1 \odot b$ . □

**Definition 2.10. (BCH-Semigroup Homomorphism)** A BCH-semigroup homomorphism of a BCH-semigroup  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  to a BCH-semigroup  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  is a mapping  $\zeta : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  satisfying:

$$\zeta(p \odot q) = \zeta(p) \hat{\odot} \zeta(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(p * q) = \zeta(p) \hat{*} \zeta(q).$$

A surjective homomorphism is said to be an epimorphism. The set of those elements of  $B$  which are mapped onto the element  $\hat{0}$  of  $\hat{B}$  is said to be the kernel of  $\zeta$ , denoted by  $\text{Ker } \zeta$ , and written as  $\text{Ker } \zeta = \{k \in B : \zeta(k) = \hat{0}\}$ .

**Definition 2.11. (Semi-subgroup)** A subset  $H \neq \emptyset$  of a BCH-semigroup  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  is said to be a semi-subgroup if

$$r, t \in H \text{ implies } r * t \in H \text{ and } r \odot t \in H.$$

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  and  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  be two BCH-semigroups. Let  $\xi : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be an epimorphism. Then

(a) The  $\text{Ker } \xi = K$  is a semi-subgroup of  $B$ .

(b) The homomorphic image  $\xi(B) = \text{im } \xi$  is a semi-subgroup of  $\hat{B}$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $s_1, s_2 \in K$ . Then  $\xi(s_1) = \xi(s_2) = \hat{0}$ , which implies  $\xi(s_1 \odot s_2) = \xi(s_1) \hat{\odot} \xi(s_2) = \hat{0} \hat{\odot} \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ . Also  $\xi(s_1 * s_2) = \xi(s_1) \hat{*} \xi(s_2) = \hat{0} \hat{*} \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ . So  $s_1 \odot s_2$  and  $s_1 * s_2 \in K$ . Thus  $K$  is a semi-subgroup of  $B$ .

(b) Let  $\hat{s}, \hat{p} \in \xi(B)$  such that  $\hat{s} = \xi(s)$  and  $\hat{p} = \xi(p)$ , for  $s, p \in B$ . Consider  $\hat{s} \hat{*} \hat{p} = \xi(s) \hat{*} \xi(p)$ . As  $\xi$  is a homomorphism so  $\hat{s} \hat{*} \hat{p} = \xi(s * p) \in \xi(B)$ . Similarly,  $\hat{s} \hat{\odot} \hat{p} = \xi(s) \hat{\odot} \xi(p) = \xi(s \odot p) \in \xi(B)$ . Hence  $\text{im } \xi = \xi(B)$  is a semi-subgroup of  $\hat{B}$ .  $\square$

### 3 BCH-Semigroup Ideals in BCH-Semigroups

**Definition 3.1. (BCH-Semigroup Ideal)** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  be a BCH-semigroup and  $S$  be any subset of  $B$ . Then

(1)  $S$  is said to be a left BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$  if

(i)  $r \in S, t \in B$  imply  $t \odot r \in S$ ,

(ii)  $0 * r \in S$  for all  $r \in S$ ,

(iii)  $t * r \in S, r \in S$  imply  $t \in S$ .

(2)  $S$  is said to be a right BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$  if

(i)  $r \in S, t \in B$  imply  $r \odot t \in S$ ,

(ii)  $0 * r \in S$  for all  $r \in S$ ,

(iii)  $t * r \in S, r \in S$  imply  $t \in S$ .

(3)  $S$  is said to be a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$  if it is both left and right BCH-semigroup ideal.

**Remark 3.2.** [7] It is known that a left(right) BCH-semigroup ideal  $S$  is closed under  $*$  as well as under  $\odot$ . Moreover  $0 \in S$  and a BCH-semigroup ideal is closed under  $\odot$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  be a BCH-semigroup satisfying the condition  $0 * t = 0$  for all  $t \in B$ . Let  $S$  be a semi-subgroup of  $B$  satisfying the condition  $i * h \in S$  imply  $h * i \in S, i, h \in B$ . Then  $S$  is a left BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ .

*Proof.* It is given that  $S$  is a semi-subgroup of a BCH-semigroup  $B$ . Let  $i \in S$ . Since  $S$  is a semi-subgroup so  $0 \in S$ . Thus  $0 * i \in S$ , for all  $i \in S$ . Let  $i \in S, t \in B$ , then  $t \odot i \in B$ . So by hypothesis  $0 * (t \odot i) = 0 \in S$ , which implies  $(t \odot i) * 0 = t \odot i \in S$ . Next, suppose that  $i, h * i \in S$ . Then  $i, i * h \in S$ . Since  $S$  is a semi-subgroup, so  $(i * h) * i \in S$ , which gives  $0 * h \in S$ . Hence  $h * 0 = h \in S$ . Hence  $S$  is a left BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  be a BCH-semigroup satisfying the condition  $0 * q = 0$  for all  $q \in B$ . Let  $S$  be a semi-subgroup of  $B$  satisfying the condition  $s * h \in S$  imply  $h * s \in S$ , for all  $s, h \in B$ . Then  $S$  is a right BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ .

*Proof.* It is given that  $S$  is a semi-subgroup of a BCH-semigroup  $B$ . Let  $s \in S$ . Since  $S$  is a semi-subgroup so  $0 \in S$ . Thus  $0 * s \in S$ , for all  $s \in S$ . Let  $s \in S, q \in B$  then  $s \odot q \in B$ . So by hypothesis  $0 * (s \odot q) = 0 \in S$ , which implies  $(s \odot q) * 0 = s \odot q \in S$ . Next, suppose that  $s, h * s \in S$ . Then  $s, s * h \in S$ . Since  $S$  is a semi-subgroup, so  $(s * h) * s \in S$ , which gives  $0 * h \in S$ . Hence  $h * 0 = h \in S$ . Hence  $S$  is a right BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  be a BCH-semigroup and let  $I$  be a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $B$ . If  $v \leq u$  and  $u \in I$ , for any  $v \in B$ , then  $v \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in I$  and  $v \leq u$ . Then  $v * u = 0 \in I$ . So by definition of a BCH-semigroup ideal,  $v \in I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  and  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  be BCH-semigroups. Let  $f : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be a BCH-semigroup homomorphism, then  $\text{Ker}f$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in the BCH-semigroup  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{Ker}f$ . So  $f(u) = \hat{0}$ . Further  $f(0 * u) = f(0) \hat{*} f(u) = \hat{0} \hat{*} \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ . Thus  $0 * u \in \text{Ker}f$ . Now, for a left BCH-semigroup ideal, let  $i \in H$  and  $r \in \text{Ker}f$ . We claim that  $i \odot r \in \text{Ker}f$ . Consider  $f(i \odot r) = f(i) \hat{\odot} f(r) = f(i) \hat{\odot} \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ . This implies  $i \odot r \in \text{Ker}f$ . Similarly, we can show that  $r \odot i \in \text{Ker}f$ . Let  $u \in \text{Ker}f$ ,  $v * u \in \text{Ker}f$ . This implies  $f(u) = \hat{0}$  and  $f(v * u) = \hat{0}$ . Thus  $f(v) \hat{*} f(u) = \hat{0}$ , which implies  $f(v) \hat{*} \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ . That is  $f(v) = \hat{0}$ . So  $v \in \text{Ker}f$ . So  $\text{Ker}f$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  and  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  be BCH-semigroups. Let  $\Psi : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be an epimorphism. If  $S$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of a BCH-semigroup  $B$ , then  $\Psi(S)$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $\hat{B}$ .

*Proof.* It is given that  $\Psi : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be an epimorphism and  $S$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $B$ . We have to prove that  $\Psi(S)$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $\hat{B}$ . From Theorem 2.12 (b),  $\Psi(S)$  is a semi-subgroup of  $\hat{B}$ . Let  $\hat{r} \in \Psi(S)$ . Since  $\Psi(S)$  is a semi-subgroup so  $\hat{0} \hat{*} \hat{r} \in \Psi(S)$ , for all  $\hat{r} \in \Psi(S)$ . Let  $\hat{c} \in \hat{B}$  and  $\hat{r} \in \Psi(S)$ , since  $\Psi$  is an epimorphism, so there exists  $c \in B$  and  $r \in S$  such that  $\Psi(c) = \hat{c}$  and  $\Psi(r) = \hat{r}$ . Consider,  $\hat{c} \hat{\odot} \hat{r} = \Psi(c) \hat{\odot} \Psi(r) = \Psi(c \odot r)$ . As  $S$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal,  $c \odot r \in S$ ,  $c \in B$ ,  $r \in S$ . This implies  $\Psi(c \odot r) \in \Psi(S)$ . This gives  $\hat{c} \hat{\odot} \hat{r} \in \Psi(S)$ . Also, consider,  $\hat{r} \hat{\odot} \hat{c} = \Psi(r) \hat{\odot} \Psi(c) = \Psi(r \odot c)$ . As  $S$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal,  $r \odot c \in S$ ,  $r \in S$ ,  $c \in B$ . This implies  $\Psi(r \odot c) \in \Psi(S)$ . This gives  $\hat{r} \hat{\odot} \hat{c} \in \Psi(S)$ . Now, we assume  $\hat{x} \in \Psi(S)$ ,  $\hat{v} \in \hat{B}$ , so there exist  $x \in S$  and  $v \in B$  such that  $\Psi(x) = \hat{x}$  and  $\Psi(v) = \hat{v}$ . Let  $\hat{v} \hat{*} \hat{x} \in \Psi(S)$ ,  $\hat{x} \in \Psi(S)$ . We have to show that  $\hat{v} \in \Psi(S)$ . Consider,  $\hat{v} \hat{*} \hat{x} = \Psi(v) \hat{*} \Psi(x) = \Psi(v * x)$ . As  $S$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal so  $v * x \in S$ ,  $x \in S$  implies  $v \in S$ . Thus  $\Psi(v) = \hat{v} \in \Psi(S)$ . Hence  $\Psi(S)$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $\hat{B}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  and  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  be BCH-semigroups. Let  $\Psi : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be an epimorphism. Then a semi-subgroup  $\hat{I}$  of  $\hat{B}$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $\hat{B}$  if and only if its inverse image  $I = \Psi^{-1}(\hat{I})$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\hat{I}$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal of  $\hat{B}$  and  $I = \Psi^{-1}(\hat{I}) = \{a \in B : \Psi(a) = \hat{a} \in \hat{I}\}$ . To show that  $I$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ , let  $a \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a semi-subgroup so  $0 * a \in I$ , for all  $a \in I$ . Let  $a \in I$  and  $x \in B$ . We Consider  $x \odot a$  and  $a \odot x$ . These belongs to  $I$  if and only if  $\Psi(x \odot a)$  and  $\Psi(a \odot x)$  belong to  $\hat{I}$ . But  $\Psi(x \odot a) = \Psi(x) \hat{\odot} \Psi(a)$  is an ingredient of  $\hat{I}$ , because  $\hat{I}$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $\hat{B}$ . Also  $\Psi(a \odot x) = \Psi(a) \hat{\odot} \Psi(x)$  is an ingredient of  $\hat{I}$ , because  $\hat{I}$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $\hat{B}$ . Hence  $x \odot a$ ,  $a \odot x \in I$ . Next, suppose that  $a$ ,  $x * a \in I$ . Now, we have to prove that  $x \in I$ . Further,  $x \in I$  if and only if  $\Psi(x) \in \hat{I}$ . It is obvious  $\Psi(a)$ ,  $\Psi(x * a) \in \hat{I}$ . Consider,  $\Psi(x * a) = \Psi(x) \hat{*} \Psi(a)$ , which implies  $\Psi(x) \in \hat{I}$ , because  $\hat{I}$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $\hat{B}$ . Hence  $x \in I$ . Thus  $I$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal in  $B$ . Converse follows from Theorem 3.9.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(B; \odot, *, 0)$  and  $(\hat{B}; \hat{\odot}, \hat{*}, \hat{0})$  be BCH-semigroups. Let  $\Psi : B \rightarrow \hat{B}$  be an epimorphism. Then there is one-one correspondence between the BCH-semigroup ideals of  $\hat{B}$  and those BCH-semigroup ideals of  $B$  which contain the kernel  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a mapping from the collection  $\Gamma$  of all BCH-semigroup ideals of  $B$  containing  $K$  to the collection  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of all BCH-semigroup ideals of  $\hat{B}$  given by  $\beta(I) = \hat{I} = \Psi(I)$ ,  $I \in \Gamma$ . Now  $\hat{I} = \Psi(I) \in \hat{\Gamma}$  by Theorem 3.9. If  $I_1, I_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $\beta(I_1) = \beta(I_2) = \hat{I}$  (say) then we show that  $I_1 = I_2$ . Let  $I_1 = \Psi^{-1}(\hat{I})$ . Then certainly  $I_1 \subseteq I$ . Next, let  $a \in I$ . Then  $\Psi(a) = \hat{a} = \Psi(a_1)$ , from  $\beta(I) = \hat{I} = \Psi(I_1)$ , ( $\because \hat{a} \in \hat{I}$ ,  $a_1 \in I_1$ ). Thus  $\Psi(a) \hat{*} \Psi(a_1) = \hat{0}$ , which implies  $\Psi(a * a_1) = \hat{0}$ , and hence  $a * a_1 \in K \subseteq I_1$ . It follows that  $a * a_1 \in I_1$ . As  $I_1$  is a BCH-semigroup ideal so  $a \in I_1$ . It yields that  $I \subseteq I_1$ . So, we have  $I = I_1$ . Similarly  $I = I_2$ . Hence  $\beta$  is injective. Also, each  $\hat{I} \in \hat{\Gamma}$  is the image of an  $I = \Psi^{-1}(\hat{I})$ . Hence  $\beta$  is surjective and therefore bijective. Consequently,  $\beta$  is one-one correspondence between the BCH-semi-group ideals of  $\hat{B}$  and those BCH-semigroup ideals of  $B$  which contain  $K$ .  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the BCH-semigroup ideal of a BCH-semigroup. Certain conditions for a semi-subgroup to be a BCH-semigroup ideal have been investigated. Some results about

BCH-semigroup homomorphisms related to BCH-semigroup ideals are also proved.

## References

- [1] S. S. Ahn, Y. H. Kim and J. M. Ko, Filters in Commutative BE-Algebras, *Korean Math. Soc.*, **27**(2), 233-242 (2012).
- [2] S. S. Ahn and Y. H. Kim, On BE-Semigroups , *I. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 676020 (2011).
- [3] M. A. Chaudhry and H. Fukhar-ud-din, Some Categorical Aspects of BCH-Algebras , *I. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**, 1739-1750 (2003).
- [4] M. A. Chaudhry, On BCH Algebras, *Math. Japonica*, **36**(4), 665-676 (1991).
- [5] M. A. Chaudhry: On Weakly Positive Implicative and Weakly Implicative BCI-Algebra, *Math. Japonica*, **35**, 141-151 (1990).
- [6] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, On Endomorphism of BCH-Algebras, *Annals of Uni. of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.*, **33**, 227-234 (2006).
- [7] H. Fukhar-ud-din : On BCH-Algebras, M.phil. Thesis, B. Z. U, Multan (1992).
- [8] W. A. Dudek and J. Thomys, On Decomposition of BCH-Algebras, *Math. Japonica*, **35**, 1131-1138 (1990).
- [9] Q. Ping Hu and X. Li, On Proper BCH- Algebras, *Math. Japonica*, **30**, 659-661 (1985).
- [10] Q. Ping Hu and X. Li, On BCH-Algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, **11**, 313-320 (1983).
- [11] K. Iseki, On BCI- Algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, **8**, 125-130 (1980).
- [12] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to Theory of BCK- Algebras, *Math. Japonica*, **23**, 1-26 (1978).
- [13] K. Iseki, On Congruence Relation on BCK- Algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, **5**, 322-346 (1977).
- [14] K. Iseki and S.Tanaka, Ideal Theory of BCK- Algebras, *Math. Japonica*, **21**, 352-366 (1976).
- [15] Y. H. Kim and K. S. So, BE-Algebras and Related Topics, *Korean Math. Soc.*, **27**(2), 217-222 (2012).
- [16] H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, On BE- Algebras , *Scientia Math. Japonicae*, **66**(1), 113-116 (2007).
- [17] Meng and Y. B. Jun. BCK-Algebras, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, Korea (1994).
- [18] A. A. Siddiqui: Isomorphism Theorems and Ideal Series in BCI-Algebras, M. Phil. Thesis, B. Z. U, Multan (1988).
- [19] Y. H. Yon, S. M. Lee and K. H. Kim, On Congruences and BE-Relations in BE-Algebras, *I. Math Forum*, **46**(5), 2263-2270 (2010).

## Author information

Farhat Sultana and Muhammad Anwar Chaudhary, Centre for advanced studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, 60800, Pakistan.  
E-mail: ferhat.sultana@yahoo.com, chaudhry@bzu.edu.pk

Received: January 11, 2015.

Accepted: April 15, 2015