

On Generalization Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Functions of Two Variables with Bounded Variation

Hüseyin BUDAK and Mehmet Zeki SARIKAYA

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 26D15, 26B30; Secondary 26D10, 41A55.

Keywords and phrases: Bounded variation, Ostrowski type inequality, Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

Abstract In this paper, we establish a generalization of the Ostrowski type integral inequalities for functions of two independent variables with bounded variation and we give some applications for general quadrature formulae.

1 Introduction

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on (a, b) whose derivative $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a, b) , i.e. $\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a, b)} |f'(t)| < \infty$. Then we have the inequality

$$\left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})^2}{(b-a)^2} \right] (b-a) \|f'\|_\infty, \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$ [18]. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible. This inequality is well known in the literature as the *Ostrowski inequality*.

In [10], Dragomir proved following Ostrowski type inequalities related functions of bounded variation:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (b-a) f(x) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} (b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

holds for all $x \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible.

In a recent years, many authors studied the well-known Ostrowski inequality in one variable for variant types of functions such as, Lipschitzian, absolutely continuous and n -differentiable functions as well as the functions of bounded variation. However, a small attention and a few works have been considered for functions of two variables with bounded variation (see, [3], [6], [7], [16]). Among others, in particular, Dragomir and his group studied a very interesting inequalities for functions of one variable. For more information and recent developments on inequalities for mappings of bounded variation, please refer to([1], [2], [5], [8]-[14], [17], [19]-[24]).

2 Preliminaries and Lemmas

In 1910, Fréchet [15] has given the following characterization for the double Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Assume that $f(x, y)$ and $\alpha(x, y)$ are defined over the rectangle $Q = [a, b] \times [c, d]$; let R be the divided into rectangular subdivisions, or cells, by the net of straight lines $x = x_i, y = y_j$,

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b, \text{ and } c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d;$$

let ξ_i, η_j be any numbers satisfying $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], \eta_j \in [y_{j-1}, y_j], :(i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$; and for all i, j let

$$\Delta_{11}\alpha(x_i, y_j) = \alpha(x_{i-1}, y_{j-1}) - \alpha(x_{i-1}, y_j) - \alpha(x_i, y_{j-1}) + \alpha(x_i, y_j).$$

Then if the sum

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi_i, \eta_j) \Delta_{11}\alpha(x_i, y_j)$$

tends to a finite limit as the norm of the subdivisions approaches zero, the integral of f with respect to α is said to exist. We call this limit the restricted integral, and designate it by the symbol

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) d_y d_x \alpha(x, y). \tag{2.1}$$

If in the above formulation S is replaced by the sum

$$S^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi_{ij}, \eta_{ij}) \Delta_{11} \alpha(x_i, y_j),$$

where ξ_{ij}, η_{ij} are numbers satisfying $\xi_{ij} \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], \eta_{ij} \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$, we call the limit, when it exist, the unrestricted integral, and designate it by the symbol

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) d_y d_x \alpha(x, y). \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, the existence of (2.2) implies both the existence of (2.1) and its equality (2.2). On the other hand, Clarkson ([7]) has shown that the existence of (2.1) does not imply the existence of (2.2).

In [6], Clarkson and Adams gave the following definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables:

2.1 Definitions

The function $f(x, y)$ is assumed to be defined in rectangle $R(a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d)$. By the term *net* we shall, unless otherwise specified mean a set of parallels to the axes:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m), \quad a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b; \\ y &= y_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = d. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the smaller rectangles into which R is devided by a net will be called a *cell*. We employ the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{11} f(x_i, y_j) &= f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}) - f(x_{i+1}, y_j) - f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_i, y_j), \\ \Delta f(x_i, y_j) &= f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}) - f(x_i, y_j). \end{aligned}$$

The total variation function, $\phi(\bar{x}) [\psi(\bar{y})]$, is defined as the total variation of $f(\bar{x}, y) [f(x, \bar{y})]$ considered as a function of $y [x]$ alone in interval $(c, d) [(a, b)]$, or as $+\infty$ if $f(\bar{x}, y) [f(x, \bar{y})]$ is of unbounded variation.

Definition 2.1. (Vitali-Lebesque-Fréchet-de la Vallée Poussin). The function $f(x, y)$ is said tobe of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{11} f(x_i, y_j)|$$

is bounded for all nets.

Definition 2.2. (Fréchet). The function $f(x, y)$ is said tobe of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_i \bar{\epsilon}_j |\Delta_{11} f(x_i, y_j)|$$

is bounded for all nets and all possible choices of $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_j = \pm 1$.

Definition 2.3. (Hardy-Krause). The function $f(x, y)$ is said tobe of bounded variation if it satisfies the condition of Definition 2.1 and if in addition $f(\bar{x}, y)$ is of bounded variation in y (i.e. $\phi(\bar{x})$ is finite) for at least one \bar{x} and $f(x, \bar{y})$ is of bounded variation in x (i.e. $\psi(\bar{y})$ is finite) for at least one \bar{y} .

Definition 2.4. (Arzelà). Let (x_i, y_i) ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) be any set of points satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b; \\ c &= y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(x, y)$ is said to be of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\Delta f(x_i, y_i)|$$

is bounded for all such sets of points.

Therefore, one can define the concept of total variation of a function of two variables, as follows:

Let f be of bounded variation on $Q = [a, b] \times [c, d]$, and let $\sum(P)$ denote the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\Delta_{11} f(x_i, y_j)|$ corresponding to the partition P of Q . The number

$$\bigvee_Q(f) := \bigvee_c^d \bigvee_a^b(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum(P) : P \in P(Q) \right\},$$

is called the total variation of f on Q . Here $P([a, b])$ denotes the family of partitions of $[a, b]$.

In [16], authors proved following Lemmas related double Riemann-Stieltjes integral:

Lemma 2.5. (Integrating by parts) If $f \in RS(\alpha)$ on Q , then $\alpha \in RS(f)$ on Q , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_c^d \int_a^b f(t, s) d_t d_s \alpha(t, s) + \int_c^d \int_a^b \alpha(t, s) d_t d_s f(t, s) \\ &= f(b, d)\alpha(b, d) - f(b, c)\alpha(b, c) - f(a, d)\alpha(a, d) + f(a, c)\alpha(a, c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $g \in RS(\alpha)$ on Q and α is of bounded variation on Q , then

$$\left| \int_c^d \int_a^b g(x, y) d_x d_y \alpha(x, y) \right| \leq \sup_{(x, y) \in Q} |g(x, y)| \bigvee_Q(\alpha). \quad (2.4)$$

In [16], Jawarneh and Noorani obtained following Ostrowski type inequality for functions of two variables with bounded variation:

Theorem 2.7. Let $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping of bounded variation on Q . Then for all $(x, y) \in Q$, we have inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (b-a)(d-c)f(x, y) - \int_c^d \int_a^b f(t, s) dt ds \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \left[\frac{1}{2}(d-c) + \left| y - \frac{c+d}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_Q(f) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\bigvee_Q(f)$ denotes the total (double) variation of f on Q .

The aim of this paper is to establish a generalization of the Ostrowski type integral inequalities for functions of two independent variables with bounded variation and we give some applications for general quadrature formulae.

3 Main Results

We first prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping of bounded variation on Q . Then for all $(x, y) \in Q$, we have inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (b-a)(d-c) \left[(1-\lambda)(1-\eta)f(x,y) + \frac{(1-\lambda)\eta}{2} [f(a,y) + f(b,y)] \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{\lambda(1-\eta)}{2} [f(x,c) + f(x,d)] + \frac{\lambda\eta}{4} [f(a,c) + f(a,d) + f(b,c) + f(b,d)] \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t,s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(x - \frac{(2-\lambda)a + \lambda b}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\lambda)b + \lambda a}{2} - x \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(y - \frac{(2-\eta)c + \eta d}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\eta)d + \eta c}{2} - y \right) \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for any $\lambda, \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \leq x \leq b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$, $c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \leq y \leq d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2}$, where $\bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on Q .

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_c^y \left(t - \left(a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) d_s d_t f(t,s) \\ & = \left(x - a - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(y - c - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x,y) \\ & \quad + \left(x - a - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x,c) \\ & \quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(y - c - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(a,y) \\ & \quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(a,c) - \int_a^x \int_c^y f(t,s) ds dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_y^d \left(t - \left(a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) d_s d_t f(t,s) \\ & = \left(x - a - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x,d) \\ & \quad + \left(x - a - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(d - y - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x,y) \\ & \quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(a,d) \\ & \quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(d - y - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(a,y) - \int_a^x \int_y^d f(t,s) ds dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_x^b \int_c^y \left(t - \left(b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \quad (3.4) \\
&= \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(y - c - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(b, y) \\
&\quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(a, c) \\
&\quad + \left(b - x - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(y - c - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x, y) \\
&\quad + \left(b - x - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x, c) - \int_x^b \int_c^y f(t, s) d_s d_t,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_x^b \int_y^d \left(t - \left(b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \quad (3.5) \\
&= \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(b, d) \\
&\quad + \left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(d - y - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(b, y) \\
&\quad + \left(b - x - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(\eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x, d) \\
&\quad + \left(b - x - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \left(d - y - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) f(x, y) - \int_x^b \int_y^d f(t, s) d_s d_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (3.2)-(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \int_c^d P(x, t; y, s) d_s d_t f(t, s) \quad (3.6) \\
&= (b-a)(d-c) \left[(1-\lambda)(1-\eta) f(x, y) + \frac{(1-\lambda)\eta}{2} [f(a, y) + f(b, y)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda(1-\eta)}{2} [f(x, c) + f(x, d)] + \frac{\lambda\eta}{4} [f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)] \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) d_s d_t
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(x, t; y, s) = \begin{cases} \left(t - \left(a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) & , (t, s) \in [a, x] \times [c, y] \\ \left(t - \left(a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) & , (t, s) \in [a, x] \times (y, d] \\ \left(t - \left(b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) & , (t, s) \in (x, b] \times [c, y] \\ \left(t - \left(b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \right) \right) \left(s - \left(d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \right) \right) & , (t, s) \in (x, b] \times (y, d] \end{cases}$$

for any $\lambda, \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \leq x \leq b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$, $c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \leq y \leq d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2}$.

Now, taking the modulus in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^b \int_c^d P(x, t; y, s) d_s d_t f(t, s) \right| \\ &= \left| (b-a)(d-c) \left[(1-\lambda)(1-\eta) f(x, y) + \frac{(1-\lambda)\eta}{2} [f(a, y) + f(b, y)] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\lambda(1-\eta)}{2} [f(x, c) + f(x, d)] + \frac{\lambda\eta}{4} [f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)] \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^b \int_c^d P(x, t; y, s) d_s d_t f(t, s) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{(t,s) \in Q} |P(x, y; t, s)| \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{(t,s) \in [a,x] \times [c,y]} |P(x, y; t, s)|, \sup_{(t,s) \in [a,x] \times (y,d]} |P(x, y; t, s)|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sup_{(t,s) \in (x,b] \times [c,y]} |P(x, y; t, s)|, \sup_{(t,s) \in (x,b] \times (y,d]} |P(x, y; t, s)| \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(x - \frac{(2-\lambda)a + \lambda b}{2} \right) \right\} \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(y - \frac{(2-\eta)c + \eta d}{2} \right) \right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(x - \frac{(2-\lambda)a + \lambda b}{2} \right) \right\} \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(\frac{(2-\eta)d + \eta c}{2} - y \right) \right\}, \\ & \quad \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(\frac{(2-\lambda)b + \lambda a}{2} - x \right) \right\} \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(y - \frac{(2-\eta)c + \eta d}{2} \right) \right\}, \\ & \quad \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(\frac{(2-\lambda)b + \lambda a}{2} - x \right) \right\} \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(\frac{(2-\eta)d + \eta c}{2} - y \right) \right\} \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(x - \frac{(2-\lambda)a + \lambda b}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\lambda)b + \lambda a}{2} - x \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(y - \frac{(2-\eta)c + \eta d}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\eta)d + \eta c}{2} - y \right) \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem. □

Remark 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 with $\lambda = 0$ and $\eta = 0$, the inequality (3.1) reduces inequality (2.5).

Remark 3.3. If we take $\lambda = 1$ and $\eta = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$\left| \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{4} - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \quad (3.7)$$

which proved by Jawarneh and Noorani in [16]. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

Proof. For proof of the sharpness of the constant, assume that (3.7) holds with a constant $A > 0$, that is,

$$\left| \frac{f(b, d) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, c)}{4} - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \leq A \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f). \quad (3.8)$$

If we choose $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a, b \text{ and } y = c, d \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in (a, b) \times (c, d) \end{cases}$$

then f is of bounded variation on Q , and

$$\frac{f(b, d) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, c)}{4} = 1, \quad \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt = 0, \quad \text{and } \bigvee_Q(f) = 4,$$

giving in (3.8), $1 \leq 4A$, thus $A \geq \frac{1}{4}$. \square

Corollary 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 with $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{3}$, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (b-a)(d-c) \left[\frac{4}{9} f(x, y) + \frac{f(a, y) + f(b, y) + f(x, c) + f(x, d)}{9} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{36} \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{b-a}{6}, \left(x - \frac{5a+b}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5b+a}{6} - x \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{d-c}{6}, \left(y - \frac{5c+d}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5d+c}{6} - y \right) \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for $\frac{5a+b}{6} \leq x \leq \frac{5b+a}{6}$ and $\frac{5c+d}{6} \leq y \leq \frac{5d+c}{6}$.

Remark 3.5. If we choose $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in Corollary 3.4, then we have the "Simpson's rule inequality"

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (b-a)(d-c) \left[\frac{f(b, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, d) + f(a, c)}{36} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right)}{9} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{4}{9} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{9} (b-a)(d-c) \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f) \end{aligned}$$

which is proved by Jawarneh and Noorani in [16].

Corollary 3.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have the

inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(b-a)(d-c)}{4} \left[f(x, y) + \frac{f(a, y) + f(b, y) + f(x, c) + f(x, d)}{2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{4} \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{b-a}{4}, \left(x - \frac{3a+b}{4} \right), \left(\frac{3b+a}{4} - x \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{d-c}{4}, \left(y - \frac{3c+d}{4} \right), \left(\frac{3d+c}{4} - y \right) \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for $\frac{3a+b}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{3b+a}{4}$ and $\frac{3c+d}{4} \leq y \leq \frac{3d+c}{4}$.

Corollary 3.7. If we take $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in Corollary 3.6, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(b-a)(d-c)}{4} \left[\frac{f(b, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, d) + f(a, c)}{4} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right)}{2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(b-a)(d-c)}{16} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\frac{1}{16}$ is the best possible.

Proof. Assume that (3.10) holds with a constant $C > 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{16} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right)}{8} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq C \bigvee_Q (f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Define the set

$$\begin{aligned} E : &= \left\{ (a, c), (a, d), (b, c), (b, d), \left(a, \frac{c+d}{2} \right), \left(b, \frac{c+d}{2} \right), \right. \\ & \left. \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c \right), \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d \right), \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \setminus E \end{cases}$$

then f is of bounded variation on Q , and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{16} &= \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{f(a, \frac{c+d}{2}) + f(b, \frac{c+d}{2}) + f(\frac{a+b}{2}, c) + f(\frac{a+b}{2}, d)}{8} &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{4}f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{4},\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt = 0,$$

$$\text{and } \bigvee_Q(f) = 16.$$

Therefore, we get in (3.11), $1 \leq 16C$, thus $C \geq \frac{1}{16}$, which implies the constant $\frac{1}{16}$ is the best possible. This completes the proof. \square

4 Some Composite Quadrature Formula

Let us consider the arbitrary division $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$, and $J_m : c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d$, $h_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$, and $l_j := y_{j+1} - y_j$,

$$v(h) := \max \{h_i \mid i = 0, \dots, n-1\},$$

$$v(l) := \max \{l_j \mid j = 0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Then the following Theorem holds.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of bounded variatin on Q and $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$), $\tau_j \in [y_j, y_{j+1}]$ ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$). Then we have the quadrature formula:*

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \\ &= \frac{4}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f(\xi_i, \tau_j) h_i l_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} [f(x_i, \tau_j) + f(x_{i+1}, \tau_j) + f(\xi_i, y_j) + f(\xi_i, y_{j+1})] h_i l_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} [f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})] h_i l_j \\ &+ R(I_n, J_m, \xi, \tau, f)\end{aligned}$$

The remainder $R(I_n, J_m, \xi, \eta, f)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}& |R(I_n, J_m, \xi, \eta, f)| \\ &\leq \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{h_i}{6}, \left(\xi_i - \frac{5x_i + x_{i+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5x_{i+1} + x_i}{6} - \xi_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &\times \max_{j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{l_j}{6}, \left(\tau_j - \frac{5y_j + y_{j+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5y_{j+1} + y_j}{6} - \tau_j \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &\times \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.4 to bidimensional interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$ and $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, \dots, n - 1$), $\tau_j \in [y_j, y_{j+1}]$ ($j = 0, \dots, m - 1$), we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (b - a)(d - c) \left[\frac{4}{9} f(\xi_i, y) + \frac{f(x_i, \tau_j) + f(x_{i+1}, \tau_j) + f(\xi_i, y_j) + f(\xi_i, y_{j+1})}{9} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + + \frac{f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})}{36} \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{h_i}{6}, \left(\xi_i - \frac{5x_i + x_{i+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5x_{i+1} + x_i}{6} - \xi_i \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{l_j}{6}, \left(\tau_j - \frac{5y_j + y_{j+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5y_{j+1} + y_j}{6} - \tau_j \right) \right\} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} (f). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Summing the inequality (4.1) over i from 0 to $n - 1$ and j from 0 to $m - 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |R(I_n, J_m, \xi, \tau, f)| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[\max \left\{ \frac{h_i}{6}, \left(\xi_i - \frac{5x_i + x_{i+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5x_{i+1} + x_i}{6} - \xi_i \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \max \left\{ \frac{l_j}{6}, \left(\tau_j - \frac{5y_j + y_{j+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5y_{j+1} + y_j}{6} - \tau_j \right) \right\} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} (f) \right] \\ & \leq \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{h_i}{6}, \left(\xi_i - \frac{5x_i + x_{i+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5x_{i+1} + x_i}{6} - \xi_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max_{j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{l_j}{6}, \left(\tau_j - \frac{5y_j + y_{j+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5y_{j+1} + y_j}{6} - \tau_j \right) \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} (f) \\ & = \max_{i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{h_i}{6}, \left(\xi_i - \frac{5x_i + x_{i+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5x_{i+1} + x_i}{6} - \xi_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \max_{j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{l_j}{6}, \left(\tau_j - \frac{5y_j + y_{j+1}}{6} \right), \left(\frac{5y_{j+1} + y_j}{6} - \tau_j \right) \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \end{aligned}$$

which is the required result. □

Corollary 4.2. Let I_n, J_m and f be as above. If we choose $\xi_i = \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$ and $\tau_j = \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}$ in

Theorem 4.1, then we have the "Simpson's rule"

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \\
 = & \frac{4}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) h_i l_j \\
 & + \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, y_j\right) + f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, y_{j+1}\right) \right] h_i l_j \\
 & + \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[f\left(x_i, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) + f\left(x_{i+1}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) \right] h_i l_j \\
 & + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} [f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})] h_i l_j \\
 & + R_S(I_n, J_m, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

The remainder $R_S(I_n, J_m, f)$ satisfies

$$|R_S(I_n, J_m, f)| \leq \frac{1}{9} v(h)v(l) \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f).$$

References

- [1] M. W. Alomari, *A Generalization of Weighted Companion of Ostrowski Integral Inequality for Mappings of Bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14(2011), Article 87, 11 pp.
- [2] M. W. Alomari and M.A. Latif, *Weighted Companion for the Ostrowski and the Generalized Trapezoid Inequalities for Mappings of Bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14(2011), Article 92, 10 pp.
- [3] H. Budak and M. Z. Sarikaya, *On Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Functions of Two Variables with Bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 17(2014), Article 153, 18 pp.
- [4] P. Cerone, W.S. Cheung, and S.S. Dragomir, *On Ostrowski type inequalities for Stieltjes integrals with absolutely continuous integrands and integrators of bounded variation*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 54 (2007) 183–191.
- [5] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, and C. E. M. Pearce, *A generalized trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation*, Turk J Math, 24 (2000), 147-163.
- [6] J.A. Clarkson and C. R. Adams, *On definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1933), 824-854.
- [7] J.A. Clarkson, *On double Riemann-Stieltjes integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1933), 929-936.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, *The Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 60(1) (1999), 495-508.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, *On the midpoint quadrature formula for mappings with bounded variation and applications*, Kragujevac J. Math. 22(2000) 13-19.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, *On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 4 (2001), no. 1, 59–66.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, *A companion of Ostrowski's inequality for functions of bounded variation and applications*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 5 (2014) No. 1, 89-97
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, *Refinements of the generalised trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities for functions of bounded variation*. Arch. Math. (Basel) 91 (2008), no. 5, 450–460.
- [13] S.S. Dragomir and E. Momoniat, *A Three Point Quadrature Rule for Functions of Bounded Variation and Applications*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14(2011), Article 33, 16 pp.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, *Some perturbed Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of bounded variation*, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 16 (2013), Art. 93.
- [15] Fréchet, M., *Extension au cas des intégrals multiples d'une définition de l'intégrale due à Stieltjes*, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques 10 (1910), 241-256.

- [16] Y. Jawarneh and M.S.M Noorani, *Inequalities of Ostrowski and Simpson Type for Mappings of Two Variables with Bounded Variation and Applications*, TJMM, 3 (2011), No. 2, 81-94
- [17] W. Liu and Y. Sun, *A Refinement of the Companion of Ostrowski inequality for functions of bounded variation and Applications*, arXiv:1207.3861v1, 2012.
- [18] A. M. Ostrowski, *Über die absolutabweichung einer differentiebaren funktion von ihrem integralmittelwert*, Comment. Math. Helv. 10(1938), 226-227.
- [19] J. Pečarič and S. Varošanec, *A Note on Simpson's inequality for functions of bounded variation*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics 31(3), 2000.
- [20] K-L Tseng, G-S Yang, and S. S. Dragomir, *Generalizations of Weighted Trapezoidal Inequality for Mappings of Bounded Variation and Their Applications*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 40 (2004) 77-84.
- [21] K-L Tseng, *Improvements of some inequalities of Ostrowski type and their applications*, Taiwan. J. Math. 12 (9) (2008) 2427-2441.
- [22] K-L Tseng, S-R Hwang, G-S Yang, and Y-M Chou, *Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation I*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 2348-2355.
- [23] K-L Tseng, S-R Hwang, G-S Yang, and Y-M Chou, *Weighted Ostrowski Integral Inequality for Mappings of Bounded Variation*, Taiwanese J. of Math., Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 573-585, April 2011.
- [24] K-L Tseng, *Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation II*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 218 (2012) 5841-5847.

Author information

Hüseyin BUDAK, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Düzce, TURKEY.

E-mail: hsyn.budak@gmail.com

Mehmet Zeki SARIKAYA, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Düzce, TURKEY.

E-mail: sarikayamz@gmail.com

Received: December 11, 2014.

Accepted: May 12, 2015