

# A subclass of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions Associated with an Operator

Ping He and Defei Zhang

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 47A06

Keywords and phrases: Meromorphically multivalent functions; Hadamard product; Operator.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(11301160), the Scientific Research Foundation of Yunnan Province (2013FZ116), and Scientific Research Foundation of Yunnan Province Education Committee (2011C120).

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions which essentially improved the presentation of this paper.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of meromorphic functions and investigate inclusion relationships and properties of a certain class of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions, which are defined by means of the familiar operator involving the generalized hypergeometric function in the paper.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of meromorphically multivalent functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} \quad (n \in N = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ .

For functions  $f \in \Sigma_p$  given by (1.1) and  $g \in \Sigma_p$  given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{n-p},$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^{n-p}. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . We say that the function  $g(z)$  is subordinate to  $f(z)$ , if there exists a function  $w(z)$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ , and such that  $g(z) = f(w(z))$ . In such a case, we write  $g(z) \prec f(z)$ . If the function  $f$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $g(z) \prec f(z)$  if and only if  $g(0) = f(0)$  and  $g(\mathbb{U}) \subset f(\mathbb{U})$ .

For complex parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  ( $\beta_j \neq \mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, \dots, s$ ), we now define the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  as follows

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n, \dots, (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n, \dots, (\beta_s)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (1.3)$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where  $(\lambda)_n$  is the Poehhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1, (n = 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)\dots(\lambda + n - 1), (n \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Corresponding to a function  $h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ , given by

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z^{-p} \cdot {}_q F_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

we consider a linear operator

$$H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) : \Sigma_p \mapsto \Sigma_p,$$

which is defined by the following Hadamard product (convolution):

$$H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)f(z) = h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z) \tag{1.5}$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

so that, for a function  $f$  of form (1.1), we have

$$H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n, \dots, (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n, \dots, (\beta_s)_n} a_n \frac{z^{n-p}}{n!}. \tag{1.6}$$

In order to make the notation simple, we write

$$H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z) := H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

then one can easily verify from definition (1. 5) that

$$z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' = \alpha_1 H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z) - (\alpha_1 + p)H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z). \tag{1.7}$$

In recent years, Raina and Srivastava [1], and Aouf [2] obtained many interesting results involving the linear operator  $H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)$ . Especially  $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = a, \beta_1 = c$ , and  $\alpha_2 = 1$ , we obtain the following linear operator:

$$\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f(z) = H_p(\alpha_1, 1; \beta_1)f(z),$$

which was introduced and studied by Liu and Srivastava [3], and was further studied in a subsequent investigation by Srivastava [4]. Some interesting subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, were considered recently by Dziok and Srivastava [5,6].

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}, q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, \varepsilon_k = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{k})$ ,

$$f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{jp} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)(\varepsilon_k^j z) = z^{-p} + \dots (f \in \Sigma_p). \tag{1.8}$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

which are analytic and convex in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfy the following condition :  $\Re(p(z)) > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U})$ .

**Definition 1.** A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; h)$ , if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$-\lambda \frac{z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec h(z). \tag{1.9}$$

For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{M}(0, \alpha, \alpha_1; h) = \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \alpha_1; h)$ .

## 2 Some Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (see [7]). Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose also that  $\phi(z)$  is convex and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$\phi(0) = 1, \Re(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ , then the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

implies that  $p(z) \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U})$ .

**Lemma 2** (see [8]). Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $\phi(z)$  is convex and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$\phi(0) = 1, \Re(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0; (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Also let

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z); (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  and satisfies the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z),$$

then  $p(z) \prec \phi(z)$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z))$ . Then

$$-\lambda \frac{z[(1 + \alpha)(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  with

$$\Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\phi(z)\right)\right) > 0, (\alpha > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$-\frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))'}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

**Proof .** By (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; \varepsilon_k^j z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{np} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)(\varepsilon_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \varepsilon_k^{-jp} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{(n+j)p} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)(\varepsilon_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \varepsilon_k^{-jp} f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$(j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}),$$

and

$$(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))' = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{j(p+1)} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z). \tag{2.3}$$

Replacing  $\alpha_1$  by  $\alpha_1 + 1$  in (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, we can get

$$f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; \varepsilon_k^j z) = \varepsilon_k^{-jp} f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z), (j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}), \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z))' = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{j(p+1)} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) to (2.5), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda \frac{z[(1 + \alpha)(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(z) + \alpha(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)'(z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(z)}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \lambda \frac{\varepsilon_k^{j(p+1)} z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\varepsilon_k^{j(p+1)} z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \lambda \frac{\varepsilon_k^j z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; \varepsilon_k^j z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; \varepsilon_k^j z)]} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\varepsilon_k^j z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; \varepsilon_k^j z)} \right\}. \tag{2.6} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; h)$ , it follows that

$$-\lambda \frac{\varepsilon_k^j z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; \varepsilon_k^j z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; \varepsilon_k^j z)]} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{\varepsilon_k^j z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1) f)'(\varepsilon_k^j z)}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; \varepsilon_k^j z)} \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.7}$$

By noting that  $\phi(z)$  is convex and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we conclude from (2.6) and (2.7) that the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 3 holds true.

Next, making use of the relationships (1.7) and (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))' + (\alpha_1 + p)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) &= \frac{\alpha_1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{jp} (H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f)(\varepsilon_k^j z) \\ &= \alpha_1 f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z). \tag{2.8} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; \phi)$  and suppose that

$$\psi(z) = -\frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))'}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}, (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.9}$$

Then  $\psi(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\psi(0) = 1$ . It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z) = \alpha_1 \frac{f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)}{f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}. \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.9) and (19), we get

$$z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z))' = -\frac{p}{\alpha_1}(z\psi'(z) + [\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]\psi(z))f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z), (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (2.11)$$

It now follows from (2.1) and (2.9)-(2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda \frac{z[(1+\alpha)(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1+\alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1; z)]} - (1-\lambda) \frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \\ &= \lambda \frac{p(1+\alpha)\psi(z)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}p(z\psi'(z) + [\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]\psi(z))f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}{p(1+\alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}p[\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} + (1-\lambda)\psi(z) \\ &= \lambda \frac{(1+\alpha)\psi(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}(z\psi'(z) + [\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]\psi(z))}{(1+\alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}[\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]} + (1-\lambda)\psi(z) \\ &= \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\frac{1}{\lambda}(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z))} \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Since

$$\Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\phi(z)\right)\right) > 0, (\alpha > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

by means of (2.12) and Lemma 1, we find that

$$\psi(z) = -\frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))'}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3 .

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  with

$$\Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\phi(z)\right)\right) > 0; (\alpha > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z)) \subset \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z))$ .

**Proof .** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z))$  and suppose that

$$q(z) = -\frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}; (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.1)$$

Then  $q(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $q(0) = 1$ , It follows from (1.7) and (3.1) that

$$q(z)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) = -\frac{\alpha_1}{p}H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z) + \frac{\alpha_1+p}{p}H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z). \quad (3.2)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.2) with respect to  $z$  and using (3.1), we have

$$zq'(z) + (\alpha_1 + p + \frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))'}{f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)})q(z) = -\frac{\alpha_1}{p} \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f)'(z)}{f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}. \quad (3.3)$$

It now follows from (1.9),(2.9),(2.10),(3.1)and (3.3) that

$$-\lambda \frac{z[(1+\alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1+\alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1; z)]} - (1-\lambda) \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda \frac{p(1 + \alpha)q(z)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}p[zq'(z) + [\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]q(z)]f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)] + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}[\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} + (1 - \lambda)q(z) \\
 &= \lambda \frac{(1 + \alpha)q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}[zq'(z) + [\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]q(z)]}{(1 + \alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1}[\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)]} + (1 - \lambda)q(z) \\
 &= q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\frac{1}{\lambda}(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z))} \prec \phi(z). \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$\Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - p\psi(z)\right)\right) > 0; (\alpha > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

by Lemma 3, we have

$$\psi(z) = -\frac{z(f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z))'}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus, by (3.4) and Lemma 2, we find that

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that is, that  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z))$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z)) \subset \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \alpha_1; \phi(z)).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ ,

$$\Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + 2\alpha_1 + p - ph(z)\right)\right) > 0, (\alpha > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda_2, \alpha, \alpha_1; h) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda_1, \alpha, \alpha_1; h).$$

**Proof .** For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda_2, \alpha, \alpha_1; h)$ , we have

$$-\lambda_2 \frac{z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} - (1 - \lambda_2) \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \prec h(z). \tag{3.5}$$

Put

$$q(z) = -\frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By Theorem 1, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda_2, \alpha, \alpha_1; h(z)) \subset \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \alpha_1; h(z)).$$

Hence,

$$q(z) \prec h(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} < 1$ , and since  $h(z)$  is convex univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we deduce from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$-\lambda_1 \frac{z[(1 + \alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1 + \alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1; z)]} - (1 - \lambda_1) \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{pf_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left( -\lambda_2 \frac{z[(1+\alpha)(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z) + \alpha(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f)'(z)]}{p[(1+\alpha)f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z) + \alpha f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1+1; z)]} - (1-\lambda_2) \frac{z(H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f)'(z)}{p f_{p,k}^{q,s}(\alpha_1; z)} \right) \\
&+ \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) q(z) \prec h(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda_1, \alpha, \alpha_1; h)$  and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

## References

- [1] R.K. Raina, H.M. Srivastava, A new class of meromorphically multivalent functions with applications to generalized hypergeometric functions, *Math. Comput. Modelling.* 43 (2006), 350-356.
- [2] M.K. Aouf, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008), 494-509.
- [3] J.-L. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001), 566-581.
- [4] H.M. Srivastava, D.-G. Yang, N.E. Xu, Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 195 (2008), 11-23.
- [5] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [6] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 14(2003), 7-18.
- [7] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, On some classes of first order differential subordinations, *Michigan Math. J.* 32 (1985), 185-195.
- [8] K.S. Padmanabhan, R. Parvatham, Some applications of differential subordination, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 32 (1985), 321-330.

## Author information

Ping He and Defei Zhang, Department of Mathematics, Honghe University, Mengzi, 661100, Yunnan, China.  
E-mail: hepinky@163.com      hdefei@163.com

Received: March 7, 2013.

Accepted: May 22, 2013.