

# Prime k-Bi-ideals in $\Gamma$ -Semirings

R.D. Jagatap

Dedicated to Patrick Smith and John Clark on the occasion of their 70th birthdays.

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 16Y60, 16Y99.

Keywords and phrases: k-bi-ideal, prime k-bi-ideal, semiprime k-bi-ideal, strongly prime k-bi-ideal, irreducible k-bi-ideal, strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal, k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

The Author is thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

**Abstract.** In this paper the notions of a k-bi-ideal, prime k-bi-ideal, strongly prime k-bi-ideal, irreducible k-bi-ideal and strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring are introduced. Also the concept of a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring is defined. Several characterizations of a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring are furnished by using prime, semiprime, strongly prime, irreducible and strongly irreducible k-bi-ideals in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

## §1. Introduction:

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa in [11]. The class of  $\Gamma$ -rings contains not only rings but also ternary rings. As a generalization of a ring, semiring was introduced by Vandiver [17]. The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring was introduced by Rao in [12] as a generalization of a ring,  $\Gamma$ -ring and a semiring.

Ideals play an important role in any abstract algebraic structure. Characterizations of prime ideals in semirings were discussed by Iseki in [5, 6]. Henriksen in [4] defined more restricted class of ideals in a semiring known as k-ideals. Also several characterizations of k-ideals of a semiring were discussed by Sen and Adhikari in [13, 14]. k-ideal in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring was defined by Rao in [12] and in [2] Dutta and Sardar gave some of its properties. Author discussed some properties of k-ideals and full k-ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring in [8]. Prime and semiprime ideals in a  $\Gamma$ -semirings were discussed by Dutta and Sardar in [2].

The notion of a bi-ideal was first introduced for semigroups by Good and Hughes [3]. The concept of a bi-ideal for a ring was given by Lajos and Szasz in [9] and they studied bi-ideal for a semigroup in [10]. Shabir, Ali and Batool in [15] gave some properties of bi-ideals in a semiring. Prime bi-ideals in a semigroup was discussed by Shabir and Kanwal in [16].

It is natural to extend the concept of a k-ideal to a k-bi-ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Hence in this paper we define a k-bi-ideal as an extension of a k-ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Also we define a prime k-bi-ideal, semiprime k-bi-ideal, strongly prime k-bi-ideal, irreducible and strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. We study some characterizations of irreducible and strongly irreducible k-bi-ideals. Further we introduce the concept of a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Several characterizations of a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring are furnished by using prime, semiprime, strongly prime, irreducible and strongly irreducible k-bi-ideals in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

## §2. Preliminaries:

First we recall some definitions of the basic concepts of  $\Gamma$ -semirings that we need in sequel. For this we follow Dutta and Sardar [2].

**Definition 2.1:-** Let  $S$  and  $\Gamma$  be two additive commutative semigroups.  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semiring if there exists a mapping  $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$  denoted by  $a\alpha b$ ; for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $a\alpha(b+c) = (a\alpha b) + (a\alpha c)$
- (ii)  $(b+c)\alpha a = (b\alpha a) + (c\alpha a)$
- (iii)  $a(\alpha+\beta)c = (a\alpha c) + (a\beta c)$

(iv)  $a\alpha(b\beta c) = (a\alpha b)\beta c$ ; for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2 :-** An element  $0$  in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  is said to be an absorbing zero if  $0\alpha a = 0 = a\alpha 0, a + 0 = 0 + a = a$ ; for all  $a \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.3:-** A non empty subset  $T$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  is said to be a sub-  $\Gamma$ -semiring of  $S$  if  $(T, +)$  is a subsemigroup of  $(S, +)$  and  $a\alpha b \in T$ ; for all  $a, b \in T$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.4:-** A nonempty subset  $T$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  is called a left (respectively right) ideal of  $S$  if  $T$  is a subsemigroup of  $(S, +)$  and  $x\alpha a \in T$  (respectively  $a\alpha x \in T$ ), for all  $a \in T, x \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.5 :-** If  $T$  is both left and right ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ , then  $T$  is known as an ideal of  $S$ .

**Definition 2.6:-** A right ideal  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  is said to be a right  $k$ -ideal if  $a \in I$  and  $x \in S$  such that  $a + x \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

Similarly we define a left  $k$ -ideal of  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ . If an ideal  $I$  is both right and left  $k$ -ideal, then  $I$  is known as a  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**Example 1:-** Let  $N_0$  denotes the set of all positive integers with zero.  $S = N_0$  is a semiring and with  $\Gamma = S, S$  forms a  $\Gamma$ -semiring. A subset  $I = 3N_0 \setminus \{3\}$  of  $S$  is an ideal of  $S$  but not a  $k$ -ideal. Since  $6, 9 = 3 + 6 \in I$  but  $3 \notin I$ .

**Example 2:-** If  $S = N$  is the set of all positive integers then  $(S, \max., \min.)$  is a semiring and with  $\Gamma = S, S$  forms a  $\Gamma$ -semiring.  $I_n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  is a  $k$ -ideal for any  $n \in I$ .

**Definition 2.7 :-** For a non empty subset  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  define  $\bar{I} = \{a \in S | a + x \in I, \text{ for some } x \in I\}$ .  $\bar{I}$  is called a  $k$ -closure of  $I$ . Some basic properties of  $k$ -closure are given in the following lemma .

**Lemma 2.8:-** For non empty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  we have,

- (1) If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$ .
- (2)  $\bar{A}$  is the smallest ( left  $k$ -ideal, right  $k$ -ideal)  $k$ -ideal containing (left  $k$ -ideal, right  $k$ -ideal)  $k$ -ideal  $A$  of  $S$ .
- (3)  $\bar{A} = A$  if and only if  $A$  is a (left  $k$ -ideal, right  $k$ -ideal )  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ .
- (4)  $\overline{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$ , where  $A$  is a (left  $k$ -ideal, right  $k$ -ideal )  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ .
- (5)  $\overline{A\Gamma B} = \overline{A\Gamma B}$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are (left  $k$ -ideals, right  $k$ -ideals )  $k$ -ideals of  $S$ .

Now we give a definition of a bi-ideal.

**Definition 2.9 [7]:-** A nonempty subset  $B$  of  $S$  is said to be a bi-ideal of  $S$  if  $B$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semiring of  $S$  and  $B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ .

**Example 3:-** Let  $N$  be the set of natural numbers and let  $\Gamma = 2N$ . Then  $N$  and  $\Gamma$  both are additive commutative semigroup. An image of a mapping  $N \times \Gamma \times N \rightarrow N$  is denoted by  $a\alpha b$  and defined as  $a\alpha b = \text{product of } a, \alpha, b$ ; for all  $a, b \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $N$  forms a  $\Gamma$ -semiring.  $B = 4N$  is a bi-ideal of  $N$ .

**Example 4:-** Consider a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S = M_{2 \times 2}(N_0)$ , where  $N_0$  denotes the set of natural numbers with zero and  $\Gamma = S$ . Define  $A\alpha B = \text{usual matrix product of } A, \alpha \text{ and } B$ ; for all  $A, \alpha, B \in S$ . Then

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in N_0 \right\} \text{ is a bi-ideal of a } \Gamma\text{-semiring } S.$$

Lemma 2.8 also holds for a  $k$ -bi-ideal similar to left  $k$ -ideal, right  $k$ -ideal and  $k$ -ideal. Some results from [5] are stated which are useful for further discussion.

**Result 2.10:-** For each nonempty subset  $X$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  following statements hold.

- (i)  $S\Gamma X$  is a left ideal of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X\Gamma S$  is a right ideal of  $S$ .
- (iii)  $S\Gamma X\Gamma S$  is an ideal of  $S$ .

**Result 2.11:-** In a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ , for  $a \in S$  following statements hold.

- (i)  $S\Gamma a$  is a left ideal of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $a\Gamma S$  is a right ideal of  $S$ .
- (iii)  $S\Gamma a\Gamma S$  is an ideal of  $S$ .

Now onwards  $S$  denotes a  $\Gamma$ -semiring with absorbing zero unless otherwise stated.

**§3. k- Bi-ideal:**

We begin with definition of a k-bi-ideal in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ .

**Definition 3.1:-** A nonempty subset  $B$  of  $S$  is said to be a k-bi-ideal of  $S$  if  $B$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semiring of  $S$ ,  $\overline{B\Gamma S\Gamma B} \subseteq B$  and if  $a \in B$  and  $x \in S$  such that  $a + x \in B$ , then  $x \in B$ .

First we give some concepts in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring that we need in a sequel.

**Definition 3.2:-** A k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is called a prime k-bi-ideal if  $\overline{B_1\Gamma B_2} \subseteq B$  implies  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ , for any k-bi-ideals  $B_1, B_2$  of  $S$ .

**Definition 3.3:-** A k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is called a strongly prime k-bi-ideal if  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B$  implies  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ , for any k-bi-ideals  $B_1, B_2$  of  $S$ .

**Definition 3.4 :-** A k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is called a semiprime k-bi-ideal if for any k-bi-ideal  $B_1$  of  $S$ ,  $\overline{B_1^2} = \overline{B_1\Gamma B_1} \subseteq B$  implies  $B_1 \subseteq B$ .

Obviously every strongly prime k-bi-ideal in  $S$  is a prime k-bi-ideal and every prime k-bi-ideal in  $S$  is a semiprime k-bi-ideal.

**Definition 3.5:-** A k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is called an irreducible k-bi-ideal if  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B$  implies  $B_1 = B$  or  $B_2 = B$ , for any k-bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ .

**Definition 3.6 :-** A k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is called a strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal if for any k-bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ ,  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$  implies  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ .

Obviously every strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal is an irreducible k-bi-ideal.

**Theorem 3.7:-** The intersection of any family of prime k-bi-ideals(or semiprime k-bi-ideals) of  $S$  is a semiprime k-bi-ideal.

*Proof:-* Let  $\{P_i | i \in \Lambda\}$  be the family of prime k-bi-ideals of  $S$ . For any k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$ ,  $\overline{B^2} \subseteq \bigcap_i P_i$  implies  $\overline{B^2} \subseteq P_i$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ . As  $P_i$  are semiprime k-bi-ideals,  $B \subseteq P_i$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $B \subseteq \bigcap_i P_i$ . ■

**Theorem 3.8 :-** Every strongly irreducible, semiprime k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal .

*Proof:-* Let  $B$  be a strongly irreducible and semiprime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . For any k-bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ , let  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B$ .  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Since  $\overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)^2} = \overline{(B_1 \cap B_2) \Gamma (B_1 \cap B_2)} \subseteq \overline{B_1\Gamma B_2}$ . Similarly we get  $\overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)^2} \subseteq \overline{B_2\Gamma B_1}$ . Therefore  $\overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)^2} \subseteq \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B$ . As  $B$  is a semiprime k-bi-ideal,  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . But  $B$  is a strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal. Therefore  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Thus  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

**Theorem 3.9 :-** If  $B$  is a k-bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a \in S$  such that  $a \notin B$ , then there exists an irreducible k-bi-ideal  $I$  of  $S$  such that  $B \subseteq I$  and  $a \notin I$ .

*Proof :-* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of all k-bi-ideals of  $S$  which contain  $B$  but do not contain an element  $a$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nonempty as  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . This family of all k-bi-ideals of  $S$  forms a partially ordered set under the inclusion of sets. Hence by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal k-bi-ideal say  $I$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore  $B \subseteq I$  and  $a \notin I$ . Now to show that  $I$  is an irreducible k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Let  $C$

and  $D$  be any two  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $C \cap D = I$ . Suppose that  $C$  and  $D$  both contain  $I$  properly. But  $I$  is a maximal  $k$ -bi-ideal in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence we get  $a \in C$  and  $a \in D$ . Therefore  $a \in C \cap D = I$  which is absurd. Thus either  $C = I$  or  $D = I$ . Therefore  $I$  is an irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

**Theorem 3.10:-** Any proper  $k$ -bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is the intersection of all irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  containing  $B$ .

*Proof :-* Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $\{B_i | i \in \Lambda\}$  be the collection of irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  containing  $B$ , where  $\Lambda$  denotes any indexing set. Then  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} B_i$ . Suppose that  $a \notin B$ . Then by Theorem 3.9, there exists an irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal  $A$  of  $S$  containing  $B$  but not  $a$ . Therefore  $a \notin \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} B_i$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} B_i \subseteq B$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} B_i = B$ . ■

**Theorem 3.11 :-** Following statements are equivalent in  $S$ .

- (1) The set of  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets.
- (2) Each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is strongly irreducible.
- (3) Each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is irreducible.

*Proof :-* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Suppose that the set of  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Let  $B$  be any  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . To show that  $B$  is a strongly irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . But by the hypothesis, we have either  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . Therefore  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1$  or  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2$ . Hence  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Thus  $B$  is a strongly irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is strongly irreducible. Let  $B$  be any  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  such that  $B = B_1 \cap B_2$  for any  $k$ -bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ . But by hypothesis  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . As  $B \subseteq B_1$  and  $B \subseteq B_2$ , we get  $B_1 = B$  or  $B_2 = B$ . Hence  $B$  is an irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is an irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$ . Then  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is also  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1 \cap B_2$  will imply  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1$  or  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2$  by assumption. Therefore either  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . This shows that the set of  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. ■

**Theorem 3.12 :-** A prime  $k$ -bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is a prime one sided  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof :-* Let  $B$  be a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Suppose  $B$  is not a one sided  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ . Therefore  $\overline{B\Gamma S} \not\subseteq B$  and  $\overline{S\Gamma B} \not\subseteq B$ . As  $B$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal,  $(\overline{B\Gamma S})\Gamma(\overline{S\Gamma B}) \not\subseteq B$ .  $(\overline{B\Gamma S})\Gamma(\overline{S\Gamma B}) = \overline{B\Gamma(S\Gamma S)\Gamma B} \subseteq \overline{B\Gamma S\Gamma B} \subseteq B$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\overline{B\Gamma S} \subseteq B$  or  $\overline{S\Gamma B} \subseteq B$ . Thus  $B$  is a prime one sided  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ . ■

**Theorem 3.13 :-** A  $k$ -bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is prime if and only if for a right  $k$ -ideal  $R$  and a left  $k$ -ideal  $L$  of  $S$ ,  $\overline{R\Gamma L} \subseteq B$  implies  $R \subseteq B$  or  $L \subseteq B$ .

*Proof :-* Suppose that a  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Let  $R$  be a right  $k$ -ideal and  $L$  be a left  $k$ -ideal of  $S$  such that  $\overline{R\Gamma L} \subseteq B$ .  $R$  and  $L$  are itself  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$ . Hence  $R \subseteq B$  or  $L \subseteq B$ . Conversely, we have to show that a  $k$ -bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Let  $A$  and  $C$  be any two  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $\overline{A\Gamma C} \subseteq B$ . For any  $a \in A$  and  $c \in C$ ,  $(\overline{a})_r \subseteq A$  and  $(\overline{c})_l \subseteq C$ , where  $(\overline{a})_r$  and  $(\overline{c})_l$  denotes the right  $k$ -ideal and left  $k$ -ideal generated by  $a$  and  $c$  respectively. Therefore  $(\overline{a})_r\Gamma(\overline{c})_l \subseteq \overline{A\Gamma C} \subseteq B$ . Hence by the assumption  $(\overline{a})_r \subseteq B$  or  $(\overline{c})_l \subseteq B$ . Therefore  $a \in B$  or  $c \in B$ . Thus  $A \subseteq B$  or  $C \subseteq B$ . Hence  $B$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

#### §4 Fully $k$ -Bi-Idempotent $\Gamma$ -Semiring :

Now we generalize the concept of a fully idempotent semiring introduced by Ahsan in [1] to a fully  $k$ -bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Then we give some characterizations of it.

**Definition 4.1:-** A  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$  is said to be  $k$ -bi-idempotent if every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is  $k$ -idempotent. That is  $S$  is said to be  $k$ -bi-idempotent if  $B$  is a  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , then  $\overline{B^2} = \overline{B\Gamma B} = B$ .

**Theorem 4.2:-** In  $S$  following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent
- (2)  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2)} \cap \overline{(B_2\Gamma B_1)}$ , for any  $k$ -bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ .
- (3) Each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime.

(4) Each proper k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is the intersection of irreducible semiprime k-bi-ideals of  $S$  which contain it.

*Proof* :- (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that  $\overline{B^2} = B$ , for any k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two k-bi-ideals of  $S$ .  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is also a k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence by the assumption  $(B_1 \cap B_2)^2 = B_1 \cap B_2$ . Now we have  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)^2} = \overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)\Gamma(B_1 \cap B_2)} \subseteq \overline{B_1\Gamma B_2}$ . Similarly we get  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq \overline{B_2\Gamma B_1}$ . Therefore  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)}$ . As  $\overline{B_1\Gamma B_2}$  and  $\overline{B_2\Gamma B_1}$  are k-bi-ideals of  $S$ , we have  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)}$  is a k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} = \overline{((B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)) \Gamma(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)}$ .  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2)\Gamma(B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq \overline{B_1\Gamma S\Gamma B_1} \subseteq B_1$ . Similarly we can show that  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B_2$ . Thus  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ . Hence  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $B$  be any k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Suppose that  $B_1^2 = \overline{B_1\Gamma B_1} \subseteq B$ , for any k-bi-ideal  $B_1$  of  $S$ . By the hypothesis we have,  $B_1 = B_1 \cap B_1 = \overline{(B_1\Gamma B_1) \cap (B_1\Gamma B_1)} = \overline{B_1\Gamma B_1} \subseteq B$ . Hence every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $B$  be a proper k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence by Theorem 3.10,  $B$  is the intersection of all proper irreducible k-bi-ideals of  $S$  which contains  $B$ . By assumption every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime. Hence each proper k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is the intersection of irreducible semiprime k-bi-ideals of  $S$  which contain it.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $B$  be a k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . If  $B^2 = S$ , then clearly result holds. Suppose that  $B^2 \neq S$ . Then  $\overline{B^2}$  is a proper k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence by assumption  $\overline{B^2}$  is the intersection of irreducible semiprime k-bi-ideals of  $S$  which contain it.

$B^2 = \cap \{B_i \mid B_i \text{ is irreducible semiprime k-bi-ideal}\}$ . As each  $B_i$  is a semiprime k-bi-ideal,  $B \subseteq B_i$ , for all  $i$ . Therefore  $B \subseteq \cap B_i = \overline{B^2}$ .  $\overline{B^2} \subseteq B$  always. Thus  $\overline{B^2} = B$ .

Thus we proved (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Hence all the statements are equivalent. ■

**Theorem 4.3:-** If  $S$  is k-bi-idempotent, then for any k-bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$ ,  $B$  is strongly irreducible if and only if  $B$  is strongly prime.

*Proof* :- Let  $S$  be a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Suppose that  $B$  is a strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . To show that  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two k-bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} = B_1 \cap B_2$ . Hence  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . But  $B$  is a strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Therefore  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Thus  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of a k-bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two k-bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} = B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . As  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal,  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Thus  $B$  is a strongly irreducible k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

**Theorem 4.4:-** Every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal if and only if  $S$  is k-bi-idempotent and the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under the inclusion of sets.

*Proof* :- Suppose that every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal. Then every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is a semiprime k-bi-ideal. Hence  $S$  is k-bi-idempotent by Theorem 4.2. Now to show the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two k-bi-ideals of  $S$  from the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$ .  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is also a k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . Hence by the assumption,  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} = B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ . Then  $B_1 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ . Therefore  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1$  or  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2$ . Thus either  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . This shows that the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets.

Conversely, suppose that  $S$  is k-bi-idempotent and the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Let  $B$  be any k-bi-ideal of  $S$ .  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two k-bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} \subseteq B$ . By Theorem 4.2, we have  $\overline{(B_1\Gamma B_2) \cap (B_2\Gamma B_1)} = B_1 \cap B_2$ . Therefore  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$ . But by assumption either  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . Hence  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1$  or  $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2$ . Thus  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Therefore  $B$  is a strongly prime k-bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

**Theorem 4.5:-** If the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets, then every k-bi-ideal of  $S$  is strongly prime if and only if it is prime.

*Proof* :- Let the set of k-bi-ideals of  $S$  be a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. As every

strongly prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is prime, the proof of only if part is obvious. Conversely, suppose that every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is prime. Then every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime. Hence by Theorem 4.2,  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent. Then by Theorem 4.4, every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is strongly prime. ■

**Theorem 4.6 :-** *If the set of bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets, then  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent if and only if each  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is prime.*

*Proof :-* Let the set of all  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Suppose  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent. Let  $B$  be any  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . For any  $k$ -bi-ideals  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $S$ ,  $\overline{B_1\Gamma B_2} \subseteq B$ . By the assumption we have either  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . Assume  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ . Then  $\overline{B_1\Gamma B_1} \subseteq \overline{B_1\Gamma B_2} \subseteq B$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $B$  is a semiprime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Therefore  $B_1 \subseteq B$ . Hence  $B$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ .

Conversely, suppose that every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is prime. Hence every  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime. Therefore  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent by Theorem 4.2. ■

**Theorem 4.7:-** *If  $S$  is  $k$ -bi-idempotent and  $B$  is a strongly irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , then  $B$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal.*

*Proof :-* Let  $B$  be a strongly irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of a  $k$ -bi-idempotent  $\Gamma$ -semiring  $S$ . Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two  $k$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  such that  $\overline{B_1\Gamma B_2} \subseteq B$ .  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is also a  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.2,  $(B_1 \cap B_2)^2 = (B_1 \cap B_2)$ .  $B_1 \cap B_2 = (B_1 \cap B_2)^2 = \overline{(B_1 \cap B_2)\Gamma (B_1 \cap B_2)} \subseteq \overline{B_1\Gamma B_2} \subseteq B$ . As  $B$  is strongly irreducible  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , then  $B_1 \subseteq B$  or  $B_2 \subseteq B$ . Hence  $B$  is a prime  $k$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . ■

#### References:-

- [1] Ahsan J., Fully Idempotent Semirings, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (series A)(1956),185-188.
- [2] Dutta T.K., Sardar S.K., Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semiring, Novi Sad Jour. Math., 30 (3) (2000), 97-108.
- [3] Good R.A., Hughes D.R., Associated groups for a semigroup, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 58 (1952), 624-625.
- [4] Henriksen M., Ideals in semirings with commutative addition, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices, 6 (1958), 321.
- [5] Iseki K., Ideal Theory of semiring, Proc. Japan Acad., 32 (1956), 554-559.
- [6] Iseki K., Ideals in semirings, Proc. Japan Acad., 34 (1958), 29-31.
- [7] Jagatap R.D., Pawar Y.S., Quasi-ideals in Regular  $\Gamma$ -semirings, Bull. Kerala Math. Asso., 6 (2) (2010), 51-61.
- [8] Jagatap R.D., Pawar Y.S.,  $k$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semirings, Bull. Pure and Applied Math., 6 (1) (2012), 122-131.
- [9] Lajos S., Szasz F., On the bi-ideals in Associative ring, Proc. Japan Acad., 46 (1970), 505-507.
- [10] Lajos S., Szasz F., On the bi-ideals in Semigroups, Proc. Japan Acad., 45(1969), 710-712.
- [11] Nobusawa N., On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka Jour. Math., 1 (1964), 81-89.
- [12] Rao M. M. K.,  $\Gamma$ -semirings 1, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math., 19 (1995), 49-54.
- [13] Sen M.K., Adhikari M.R., On  $k$ -ideals of semirings, Int. Jour. Math. and Math. Sci., 15 (2) (1992), 347-350.
- [14] Sen M.K., Adhikari M.R., On maximal  $k$ -ideals of semirings, Proc. of American Math. Soc., 118 (3) (1993), 699-702.
- [15] Shabir, M., Ali, A., Batool, S., A note on quasi-ideals in semirings, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math., 27 (2004), 923-928.
- [16] Shabir M., Kanwal N., Prime Bi-ideals in Semigroups, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math., 31 (2007), 757-764.
- [17] Vandiver H.S., On some simple types of semirings, Amer. Math. Monthly, 46 (1939), 22-26.

#### Author information

R.D. Jagatap, Y.C. College of Science, Karad, India.  
E-mail: ravindrajagatap@yahoo.co.in

Received: December 13, 2013.

Accepted: March 10, 2014.