

ON THE STABILITY OF A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION[†]

Prem Nath and Dhiraj Kumar Singh

Communicated by F. Allan

MSC 2010 Classifications: 39B22; 39B52; 39B82.

Keywords and phrases: Functional equation, additive mapping, multiplicative mapping, stability.

Abstract. In this paper, we study the stability of the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m T(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) + (m - n)T(0) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) + m(n - 1)T(0)$$

in which $T : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$, $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ being fixed integers.

1 Introduction

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$; let $\Gamma_n = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) : p_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n; \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$ denote the set of all n -component discrete probability distributions with nonnegative elements.

A mapping $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be additive on I or on the unit triangle $\Delta = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq x + y \leq 1\}$ if it satisfies the equation $a(x + y) = a(x) + a(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$; $I = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, \mathbb{R} denoting the set of all real numbers. It is known [1] that if a mapping $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is additive on the unit triangle Δ , then there exists one and only one mapping $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is an extension of $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the sense that $A(x) = a(x)$ for all $x \in I$ and is additive on \mathbb{R} , that is $A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

A mapping $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be multiplicative if $M(pq) = M(p)M(q)$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$.

Suppose a mapping $T : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m T(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) + (m - n)T(0) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) + m(n - 1)T(0) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$; $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ being fixed integers.

The functional equation (1.1) has been considered by Nath and Singh [6]. They determined its general solutions for fixed integers $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$.

The functional equation (1.1) plays an important role in finding the general solutions of several multiplicative and nonmultiplicative type sum form functional equations with atleast two unknown mappings (see [6] to [11]). Also, their solutions are related to the Shannon [13] entropy and the entropies of degree α [2].

Result 1.1 ([6]). Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ be fixed integers. If a mapping $T : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n, (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$, then either

$$T(p) = a(p) + T(0)$$

where $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with

$$a(1) = \begin{cases} -mT(0) & \text{if } T(1) + (m - 1)T(0) \neq 1 \\ 1 - mT(0) & \text{if } T(1) + (m - 1)T(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

or

$$T(p) = M(p) - b(p) + T(0)$$

in which $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with $b(1) = mT(0)$ and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonadditive multiplicative mapping with $M(0) = 0, M(1) = 1$.

[†]Corresponding author: Dhiraj Kumar Singh

This paper deals with the stability of the sum form functional equation (1.1). For the meaning of stability of a functional equation, see Hyers and Rassias [3]. By the stability problem for the equation (1.1), we mean the following: Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ be fixed integers and $0 \leq \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed real number. Find all mappings $T : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the functional inequality

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m T(p_i q_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n T(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - (m - n)T(0) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - m(n - 1)T(0)| \leq \epsilon \tag{1.2}$$

for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n, (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

Now, we mention below some results needed for the development of the main result of this paper.

Result 1.2 ([4]). Let c be a given real constant. Suppose $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mapping which satisfies the functional equation $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(p_i) = c$ for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n, n \geq 3$ a fixed integer. Then there exists an additive mapping $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(p) = a(p) - \frac{1}{n}a(1) + \frac{c}{n}$ for all $p \in I$.

Result 1.3 ([5]). Let $n \geq 3$ be a fixed integer and ϵ be a fixed nonnegative real number. Suppose a mapping $\psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality $|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(p_i)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$. Then there exist an additive mapping $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded mapping $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions $B(0) = 0$ and $|B(p)| \leq 18\epsilon$ such that $\psi(p) - \psi(0) = A(p) + B(p)$ for all $p \in I$.

2 The Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ be fixed integers and ϵ be a given nonnegative real constant. Suppose the mapping $T : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality (1.2) for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. Then either

$$T(p) = a(p) + b(p) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $p \in I$ or

$$T(p) = M(p) - B(p) + T(0) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $p \in I$; where $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are additive mappings; $B(1) = mT(0)$; $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded mapping; and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a multiplicative mapping which is not additive and $M(0) = 0, M(1) = 1$.

Proof. Let us write (1.2) in the form

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n \{ \sum_{j=1}^m T(p_i q_j) - T(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - (m - n)T(0)p_i \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - m(n - 1)T(0)p_i \}| \leq \epsilon.$$

By Result 1.3, there exist a mapping $A_1 : \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ additive in the first variable and a bounded mapping $b_1 : \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $b_1(0; q_1, \dots, q_m) = 0$ and $|b_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m)| \leq 18\epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m T(p q_j) - T(p) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - (m - n)T(0)p \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - m(n - 1)T(0)p \\ & - mT(0) + T(0) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) = A_1(p; q_1, \dots, q_m) + b_1(p; q_1, \dots, q_m) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $p \in I$. Let $x \in I$ and $(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \Gamma_m$. Putting successively $p = xr_t, t = 1, \dots, m$ in

(2.3); adding the resulting m equations and using the additivity of A_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m T(xr_tq_j) - \sum_{t=1}^m T(xr_t) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - (m-n)T(0)x \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) \\ & - m(n-1)T(0)x - m^2T(0) + mT(0) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) \\ & = A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) + \sum_{t=1}^m b_1(xr_t; q_1, \dots, q_m). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now put $p = x, q_1 = r_1, \dots, q_m = r_m$ in (2.3). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^m T(xr_t) &= T(x) \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) + (m-n)T(0)x \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) + m(n-1)T(0)x \\ &+ mT(0) - T(0) \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) + A_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m) + b_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m T(xr_tq_j) - [T(x) + (m-n)T(0)x - T(0)] \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - m(n-1)T(0)x \\ & - m^2T(0) = [n(m-1)T(0)x + A_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m) + b_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) \\ & + A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) + \sum_{t=1}^m b_1(xr_t; q_1, \dots, q_m). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The left hand side of (2.6) is symmetric in q_j and $r_t; j = 1, \dots, m; t = 1, \dots, m$. So, the right hand side of (2.6) should also be symmetric in q_j and $r_t; j = 1, \dots, m; t = 1, \dots, m$. This fact gives rise to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [n(m-1)T(0)x + A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m)] \left[\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1 \right] \\ & - [n(m-1)T(0)x + A_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right] \\ & = b_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m) \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) + \sum_{t=1}^m b_1(xr_t; q_1, \dots, q_m) \\ & - b_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - \sum_{j=1}^m b_1(xq_j; r_1, \dots, r_m). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

For fixed $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m, (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \Gamma_m$, the right hand side of (2.7) is a bounded mapping of $x, x \in I$. On the other hand, the left hand side is additive in $x, x \in I$. By using

Theorem 1.8 (see p-14 in [12]) and the Definition 1.2 (see p-4 in [12]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [n(m-1)T(0)x + A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m)] \left[\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1 \right] \\
 & - [n(m-1)T(0)x + A_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right] \\
 & = x \{ [n(m-1)T(0) + A_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m)] \left[\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1 \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - [n(m-1)T(0) + A_1(1; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

which, on simplification, reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) - xA_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) \left[\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1 \right] \\
 & = [A_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m) - xA_1(1; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right]. \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we divide our discussion into two cases:

Case 1. $\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1$ vanishes identically on Γ_m , that is,

$$\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1 = 0$$

for all $(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \Gamma_m$. By Result 1.2, there exists an additive mapping $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $p \in I$,

$$T(p) = a(p) + T(0) \tag{2.9}$$

with $a(1) = 1 - mT(0)$. The solution (2.9) is included in (2.1) on defining a constant bounded mapping $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $b(p) = T(0)$.

Case 2. $\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) - 1$ does not vanish identically on Γ_m .

In this case, there exists a probability distribution $(r_1^*, \dots, r_m^*) \in \Gamma_m$ such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t^*) - 1 \neq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Putting $r_1 = r_1^*, \dots, r_m = r_m^*$ in (2.8) and making use of (2.10), it follows that

$$A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) = A_2(x) \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right] + xA_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) \tag{2.11}$$

where $A_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$A_2(x) = \left[\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t^*) - 1 \right]^{-1} [A_1(x; r_1^*, \dots, r_m^*) - xA_1(1; r_1^*, \dots, r_m^*)] \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The mapping A_2 is additive and $A_2(1) = 0$. Putting $p = 1$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) & = [1 - T(1) - (m-n)T(0) + T(0)] \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) \\
 & - mnT(0) - b_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m). \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), (2.11) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{b_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) - xb_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) + x[1 - T(1) - (m - 1)T(0)]\} \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t) \\ &= \{b_1(x; r_1, \dots, r_m) - xb_1(1; r_1, \dots, r_m) + x[1 - T(1) - (m - 1)T(0)]\} \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) \\ &+ \left[\sum_{t=1}^m b_1(xr_t; q_1, \dots, q_m) - \sum_{j=1}^m b_1(xq_j; r_1, \dots, r_m) \right] \\ &- x [b_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) - b_1(1; r_1, \dots, r_m)] \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in I$, $(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \Gamma_m$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

Case 2.1. The coefficient of $\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t)$, in (2.14), does not vanish identically on $I \times \Gamma_m$.

In this case, there exist an element $x^* \in I$ and a probability distribution $(q_1^*, \dots, q_m^*) \in \Gamma_m$ such that

$$\{b_1(x^*; q_1^*, \dots, q_m^*) - x^*b_1(1; q_1^*, \dots, q_m^*) + x^* [1 - T(1) - (m - 1)T(0)]\} \neq 0. \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14), (2.15) and the boundedness of b_1 , it follows that $|\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t)| \leq \epsilon^*$ for some nonnegative real number ϵ^* . So, by Result 1.3, there exist an additive mapping $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded mapping $b_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(p) - T(0) = a(p) + b_2(p)$ for all $p \in I$. This solution is included in (2.1) on defining a bounded mapping $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $b(p) = b_2(p) + T(0)$.

Case 2.2. The coefficient of $\sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t)$, in (2.14), vanishes identically on $I \times \Gamma_m$, that is,

$$b_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) - xb_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m) + x [1 - T(1) - (m - 1)T(0)] = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x \in I$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

From (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x; q_1, \dots, q_m) &= A_2(x) \left[\sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - 1 \right] + x\{[1 - T(1) - (m - n)T(0)] \\ &+ T(0)] \sum_{j=1}^m T(q_j) - mnT(0) - b_1(1; q_1, \dots, q_m)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Now, from (2.3), (2.16) and (2.17), one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m [T(pq_j) + A_2(pq_j) + \{1 - T(1) + T(0)\} pq_j - T(0)] \\ &- [T(p) + A_2(p) + \{1 - T(1) + T(0)\} p - T(0)] \\ &\times \sum_{j=1}^m [T(q_j) + A_2(q_j) + \{1 - T(1) + T(0)\} q_j - T(0)] \\ &+ [T(p) + A_2(p) + \{1 - T(1) + T(0)\} p - T(0)][1 - T(1) - (m - 1)T(0)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The substitution $p = 1$ in (2.18) gives (using $A_2(1) = 0$):

$$1 - T(1) + T(0) = mT(0). \tag{2.19}$$

Now, equations (2.18) and (2.19) give rise to

$$\sum_{j=1}^m [T(pq_j) + A_2(pq_j) + mT(0)pq_j - T(0)] - [T(p) + A_2(p) + mT(0)p - T(0)] \sum_{j=1}^m [T(q_j) + A_2(q_j) + mT(0)q_j - T(0)] = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Define a mapping $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$M(x) = T(x) + A_2(x) + mT(0)x - T(0) \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x \in I$. Putting $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ respectively in (2.20) and using the fact that $A_2(1) = 0$, we obtain

$$M(0) = 0, M(1) = 1. \tag{2.22}$$

Also (2.20) and (2.21) give

$$\sum_{j=1}^m [M(pq_j) - M(p)M(q_j)] = 0.$$

By Result 1.2, there exists a mapping $E : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, additive in second variable, such that

$$M(pq) - M(p)M(q) = E(p; q) \tag{2.23}$$

for all $p \in I, q \in I$ and $E(p; 1) = 0$. The symmetry of the left hand side of (2.23), in p and q , gives $E(p; q) = E(q; p)$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$. Consequently, E is also additive in the first variable. We may suppose that $E(\cdot; q)$ has been extended additively to the whole of \mathbb{R} .

For all $p, q, r \in I$, (2.23) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M(pqr) - M(p)M(q)M(r) &= E(pq; r) + M(r)E(p; q) \\ &= E(qr; p) + M(p)E(q; r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Now, we prove that $E(p; q) \equiv 0$ on $I \times I$. To the contrary, suppose that $E(p; q) \not\equiv 0$ on $I \times I$. Then, there exist $p^* \in I$ and $q^* \in I$ such that $E(p^*; q^*) \neq 0$. Substituting $p = p^*, q = q^*$ in (2.24) and using $E(p^*; q^*) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$M(r) = [E(p^*; q^*)]^{-1} [E(q^*r; p^*) + M(p^*)E(q^*; r) - E(p^*q^*; r)] \tag{2.25}$$

for all $r \in I$. The right hand side of (2.25) is additive. Hence M is also additive. Now, making use of (2.10), (2.21), (2.22) and the fact that $A_2(1) = 0$, we have

$$1 \neq \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t^*) = \sum_{t=1}^m M(r_t^*) - A_2(1) - mT(0) + mT(0) = M(1) = 1,$$

a contradiction. Hence our supposition “ $E(p; q) \not\equiv 0$ on $I \times I$ ” is false. So, $E(p; q) = 0$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$. Making use of this fact in (2.23), we conclude that M , defined by (2.21), is multiplicative with $M(0) = 0$ and $M(1) = 1$.

From (2.21), we have $T(x) = M(x) - A_2(x) - mT(0)x + T(0)$. Define a mapping $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $B(x) = A_2(x) + mT(0)x$ for all $x \in I$. Then B is additive with $B(1) = mT(0)$. Thus, we have obtained the solution (2.2).

If the multiplicative mapping $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $M(0) = 0, M(1) = 1$, appearing in the solution (2.2), is also additive, then M is only of the form $M(p) = p$ for all $p \in I$. So, (2.2) reduces to $T(p) = p - B(p) + T(0)$. Making use of (2.10), we have

$$1 \neq \sum_{t=1}^m T(r_t^*) = \sum_{t=1}^m [r_t^* - B(r_t^*) + T(0)] = 1 - B(1) + mT(0) = 1,$$

a contradiction. Hence M is not additive. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

- [1] Z. Daróczy and L. Losonczi, Über die Erweiterung der auf einer Punktmenge additiven Funktionen, *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)*, 14, 239–245 (1967).
- [2] J. Havrda and F. Charvát, Quantification method of classification process. Concept of structural α -entropy, *Kybernetika (Prague)*, 3, 30–35 (1967).
- [3] D.H. Hyers and T.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, 44, 125–153 (1992).
- [4] L. Losonczi and Gy. Maksa, On some functional equations of the information theory, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 39, 73–82 (1982).
- [5] Gy. Maksa, On the stability of a sum form equation, *Results in Mathematics*, 26, 342–347 (1994).
- [6] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, On a multiplicative type sum form functional equation and its role in information theory, *Applications of Mathematics*, 51(5), 495–516 (2006).
- [7] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, On a sum form functional equation and its role in information theory, in *Proc.: 8th National Conf. ISITA on “Information Technology: Setting Trends in Modern Era”*, March 18-20, 88–94 (2006).
- [8] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, Some sum form functional equations containing at most two unknown mappings, in *Proc.: 9th National Conference of ISITA on “Infor. Tech. and Oper. Resear. Appl.”*, December 8-9, 54–71 (2007).
- [9] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, A sum form functional equation related to various entropies in information theory, *Glasnik Matematicki*, 43(1), 159–178 (2008).
- [10] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, A sum form functional equation and its relevance in information theory, *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 5(1), 1–18 (2008).
- [11] P. Nath and D.K. Singh, On a functional equation containing an indexed family of unknown mappings, *Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, edited by Th. Rassias and J. Brzdęk, Springer Optimization and its Applications, 52, 671–687 (2011).
- [12] P.K. Sahoo and P.I. Kannappan, *Introduction to Functional Equations*, CRC Press, Boca-Raton-London-New York, (2011).
- [13] C.E. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell Syst. Tech. Jour.*, 27, 379–423; 623–656 (1948).

Author information

Prem Nath, Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi - 110007, India.

E-mail: pnathmaths@gmail.com

Dhiraj Kumar Singh, Department of Mathematics, Zakir Husain Delhi College (University of Delhi), Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Delhi - 110002, India.

E-mail: dhiraj426@rediffmail.com, dksingh@zh.du.ac.in

Received: November 21, 2015.

Accepted: August 2, 2016.