

CESÀRO MEANS OF GENERALIZED MITTAG-LEFFLER'S EXPANSIONS

Adnan G. AlAmoush

Communicated by S. P. Goyal

MSC Subj. Class: 30C45

Keywords and phrases: univalent function; Hypergeometric functions; Mittag Leffler's function; Cesàro means; convolution product.

Abstract The polynomial approximants which retain the zero free property of a given analytic functions in the unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$\gamma, \delta_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k! \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} z^k,$$

$$\alpha, \beta \in C, R(\alpha) > 0, R(\beta) > 0, R(\delta) > 0, z \in C$$

is found. Cesàro means of order μ by the convolution method of geometric function retain the zero free property of the derivatives of bounded convex functions in the unit disk. Also other properties are established.

1 Introduction

The function

$$\psi_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha k)}, \alpha \in C, R(\alpha) > 0, R(\beta) > 0, z \in C \tag{1.1}$$

was introduced by [1] and was investigated systematically by several other authors (for detail, see [2]). The Mittag-Leffler's function is the direct generalisation of the exponential function to which it reduces for $\alpha = 1$.

Wiman [2] studied the generalisation of $\psi_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$, that is given by

$$\psi_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)}, \alpha, \beta \in C, R(\alpha) > 0, R(\beta) > 0, z \in C \tag{1.2}$$

has properties very similar to those of Mittag-Leffler's function $\psi_{\alpha}(z)$.

In 1971, Prabhakar [3] introduced the function in the form $\psi^{\gamma}_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ in the following form

$$\gamma_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k z^k}{k! \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)}, \alpha, \beta \in C, R(\alpha) > 0, R(\beta) > 0, z \in C. \tag{1.3}$$

Saigo and Kilbas [4] and Raina [5] investigated several properties and applications of (1.1)-(1.3). The function defined by (1.2) gives a generalization of (1.1). This generalization was studied by Wiman [2], Agarwal [6], and others.

Let D denote the open unit disk in C . It is well known that outer functions are zero-free on the unit disk. Outer functions, which play an important role in H_p theory to find a suitable finite (polynomial) approximation for the outer infinite series f so that the approximate reduces the zero-free property of f , arise in the characteristic equation which determines the stability of certain nonlinear systems of differential equations. Recall that an outer function is a function $f \in H_p$ of the form

$$f(z) = e^{i\gamma} e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1+e^{it}z}{1-e^{it}z} \log \psi(t) dt}$$

where $\psi(t) \geq 0$, $\log \psi(t)$ is in L^1 and $\psi(t)$ is in L^p . See [6] for the definitions and classical properties of outer functions. Since any function f in H^1 which has $1/f$ in H^1 is an outer function, then typical examples of outer functions can be generated by functions of the form $\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{i\theta_k} z)^{\alpha_k}$ for $-1 < \alpha_k < 1$.

By using convolution methods that the classical Cesàro means, retains the zero-free property of the derivatives of bounded convex functions in the unit disk and play an important role in geometric function theory (see [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]).

Recently, Shukla and Prajapati [11], investigated and studied the following function

$$\gamma, \delta_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k! \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} z^k, \alpha, \beta \in C, R(\alpha) > 0, R(\beta) > 0, R(\delta) > 0, z \in C \quad (1.4)$$

with

$$0 < (\gamma)_{k\delta} \leq \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k).$$

Where $(\gamma)_{\delta k}$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by $(\gamma)_{\delta k} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \delta k)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$. whenever $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is defined, $(\gamma)_0 = 1, \gamma \neq 0$. It is an entire function of order $\rho = R[(\alpha)]^{-1}$ and type $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} R[(\alpha)^{R(\alpha)}]^{-\rho}$. It is a special case of Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions, Wright ([12], [13]) as well as the H-function (see in detail [14]). Some special cases of this function are enumerated below

$$\gamma, 1_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \gamma_{\alpha, \beta}(z), \psi_{\alpha, 1}(z) = \psi_{\alpha}^1(z),$$

$$1, 1_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \psi_{\alpha, \beta}^1(z) = \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(z),$$

$$1, 1_{1, 1}(z) = \psi_{1, 1}(z), \psi_1(z) = e^z, \psi_2(z^2) = \cosh(z).$$

Lemma 1.1. [8] Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. if $\beta \geq 2$ or $\alpha + \beta \geq 3$, then the function of the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{(\beta)_k} z^{k+1}$ is convex.

Lemma 1.2. [15] Assume that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_k \geq 0$ for $k \geq 0$ such that a_k is a convex decreasing sequence i.e.:

$$a_k - 2a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} \geq 0 \text{ and } a_{k+1} - a_{k+2} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$R\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}\} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U.$$

We denote by S^*, C, QS^* and QC the subclasses of A consisting of functions which are, respectively, starlike in U , convex in U , close-to-convex and quasi-convex in U Thus by definition, we have

$$S^* := \{\psi \in A : R(\frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)}) > 0, z \in U\},$$

$$C := \{\psi \in A : R(1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)}) > 0, z \in U\},$$

$$QS^* := \{\psi \in A : \exists g \in S^* \text{ s.t. } R(\frac{z\psi'(z)}{g(z)}) > 0, z \in U\},$$

and

$$QC := \{\psi \in A : \exists g \in C \text{ s.t. } R(\frac{(z\psi'(z))'}{g'(z)}) > 0, z \in U\}.$$

It is easily observed from the above definitions that

$$\psi(z) \in C \Leftrightarrow z\psi' \in S^* \quad (1.5)$$

$$\psi(z) \in QC \Leftrightarrow z\psi' \in QS^*. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that $\psi \in QS^*$ if and only if there exists a function $g \in S^*$ such that:

$$z\psi'(z) = g(z)p(z) \tag{1.7}$$

where $p(z) \in P$, the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots, \text{ with } p(0) = 1.$$

Let be given two functions $\psi, g \in A$, s.that:

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^k$$

and

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\varrho)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^k.$$

Then their convolution or Hadamard product $\psi(z) * g(z)$ is defined by:

$$\psi(z) * g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}(\varrho)_{k\delta}}{k!k![\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)]^2} z^k.$$

We can verify the following result for $f \in A$ and takes the form (1).

- Lemma 1.3.** [9] (i) If $\psi \in C$ and $g \in S^*$, then $\psi * g \in S^*$
 (ii) If $\psi \in C$ and $g \in S^*$, $p \in P$ with $p(0) = 1$, then $\psi * gp = (\psi * g)p_1$
 where $p_1(U) \subset$ close convex hull of $p(U)$.

2 CESÀRO APPROXIMANTS FOR OUTER FUNCTIONS

The Cesàro sums of order μ where $\mu \in N \cup \{0\}$ of series of the form (1.1) can be defined as

$$\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi) = \sigma_n^\mu * \psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^{k+1}$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$. We have the following result:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\psi \in A$ be convex in U . Then the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)$, $z \in U$ of order $\mu > 1$, of $\psi'(z)$ are zero-free on U for all k .

Proof. In view of Lemma 1.1, the analytic function f of the form (1) is convex in U if $\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k) \geq 2$ or $\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k) + (\gamma)_{k\delta} \geq 3$. where $0 < (\gamma)_{k\delta} \leq \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)$.

Let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k)z^{k+1}$ be defined such that:

$$z\psi'(z) = \varphi(z) * \psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^{k+1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi') &= \sigma_n^\mu(z) * \psi'(z) \\ &= \frac{z\sigma_n^\mu * z\psi'(z)}{z} \\ &= \frac{z\sigma_n^\mu * \psi(z) * \varphi(z)}{z} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(z\sigma_n^\mu)' * \psi(z)}{z}.$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, the relation (1.4) and the fact that $z\sigma_n^\mu$ convex yield that there exists a function $g \in S^*$, and $p \in P$ with $p(0) = 1$ such that

$$= \frac{(z\sigma_n^\mu)' * \psi(z)}{z} = \frac{gp(z) * \psi(z)}{z} = \frac{[g(z) * \psi(z)]p_1(z)}{z} \neq 0.$$

We know that $R(p_1(z)) > 0$ and that $\psi(z) * g(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$. Hence, $\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi') \neq 0$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 2.2. *If $f(U)$ is bounded convex domain, then the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^\mu(z)$, $z \in U$ for the outer function $\psi'(z)$ are zero-free on U for all k .*

Proof. It comes from the fact that the derivatives of bounded convex functions are outer function [16]. The next result shows the upper and lower bound for $\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\psi \in A$, Assume that $0 < (\gamma)_{k\delta} \leq \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)$ with $\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k) \geq 2$ or $\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k) + (\gamma)_{k\delta} \geq 3$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}|z| < |\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')| \leq n(n+1), n \geq 1, z \in U, z \neq 0.$$

Proof. Under the conditions of the theorem, we have that f is convex Lemma 1.1, then in virtue of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi') \neq 0$, thus $|\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')| > 0$. Now by applying Lemma 1.2, on $\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi') \neq 0$, and using the fact that $R(z) \leq |z|$ and since:

$$\binom{k-n+\mu}{k-n} = \frac{k!(k-n+\mu)!}{(k-n)!(k+\mu)!} \leq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

for $\mu \geq 1$ and $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ yield

$$\frac{1}{2} < R\left(\frac{\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')}{z}\right) \leq \frac{|\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')|}{|z|}, |z| > 0 \text{ and } z \in U.$$

For the other side, we pose that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi')| &= |\psi'(z) * \sigma_n^\mu(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} |z^k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k!\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) \leq k(k+1) \end{aligned}$$

when $k \rightarrow n$: Hence the proof.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\psi \in A$ and $0 < (\gamma)_{k\delta} \leq \Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)$. Then:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^\alpha(z, \psi) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\lambda}, \lambda > 1, z \in U.$$

Proof. By the assumption and the fact (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sigma_n^\alpha(z, \psi) - \frac{z}{(1-z)^\lambda} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{k\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} z^{k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} z^{k+1} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \left| \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n-k+\mu}{n-k}}{\binom{n+\mu}{n}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} - (\lambda)_k \right] z^{k+1} - \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty (\lambda)_k z^{k+1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} \right| \\ &= 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

3 BOUNDED TURNING OF $\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)$

For $0 < v < 1$, let $B(v)$ denote the class of functions f of the form (1.1) so that $R(\psi') > v$ in U . The functions in $B(v)$ are called functions of bounded turning (see [17]). By the Nashiro-Warschowski Theorem, the functions in $B(v)$ are univalent and also close-to-convex in U . In the sequel we need to the following results.

Lemma 3.1. [18] For $f \in U$ we have

$$R \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{z^k}{k+2} \right\} > \frac{-1}{3}, (z \in U).$$

Lemma 3.2. [19] Let $P(z)$ be analytic in U ; such that $P(0) = 1$; and $R(P(z)) > \frac{1}{2}$ in U . For functions Q analytic in U the convolution function $P * Q$ takes values in the convex hull of the image on U under Q .

Theorem 3.3. Let $g(z) \in H$ the class of normalized function takes the form $R \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k z^k \right\}$, ($z \in U$). Denoted by $\omega_k = \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha k)} \geq 1$ such that $\gamma_1 = 1$, if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$ and $g(z) \in B(v)$, then

$$\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)g(z) \in B \left(\frac{3(n+\mu)! - (\mu+1)!n!(1-v)}{3(n+\mu)!} \right).$$

Proof. Let $g(z) \in B(v)$ that is

$$R(g'(z)) > v, (0 < v < 1, z \in U).$$

Implies

$$R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^\infty k a_k z^{k-1} \right\} > v > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now for $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$ we have

$$R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{k}{1-v} a_k z^{k-1} \right\} > R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^\infty k a_k z^{k-1} \right\} > v > \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is clear

$$R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \frac{k}{1-v} a_k z^{k-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Applying the convolution properties of power series to $[\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)g(z)]'$, we may

$$\begin{aligned} & [\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)g(z)]' = \\ & = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n - (k-1) + \mu}{n - (k-1)}}{\binom{n + \mu}{n}} \frac{k! (\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \\ & = [1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \frac{k}{1-v} a_k z^{k-1}] * [\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n - (k-1) + \mu}{n - (k-1)}}{\binom{n + \mu}{n}} (1-v) z^{k-1}] \\ & := P(z) * Q(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In virtue of Lemma 1.2 and for $j = n - 1$; we receive

$$R \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{z^{k-1}}{k+1} \right\} > \frac{-1}{3}, \quad (3.3)$$

since

$$R \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n z^{k-1} \right\} \geq R \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{z^{k-1}}{k+1} \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

and in view of (3.2),

$$R \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n z^{k-1} \right\} \geq \frac{-1}{3}. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus when $n \rightarrow k$, a computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} R(Q(z)) &= R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n - (k-1) + \mu}{n - (k-1)}}{\binom{n + \mu}{n}} (1-v) z^{k-1} \right\} \\ &> \left(\frac{3(n+\mu) - (\mu+1)!n!(1-v)}{3(n+\mu)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the power series

$$P(z) = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \frac{k}{1-v} a_k z^{k-1} \right\}, (z \in U).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$R \{P(z)\} = R \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k\delta}}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha k)} \frac{k}{1-v} a_k z^{k-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, (z \in U).$$

$$R \{[\sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)g(z)]'\} > \left(\frac{3(n+\mu)! (\mu+1)! n! (1-v)}{3(n+\mu)!} \right), (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 hold. Then for*

$$\left\{ \frac{\binom{n - (k - 1) + \mu}{n - (k - 1)}}{\binom{n + \mu}{n}} \rightarrow 1 \right\}, \sigma_n^\mu(z, \psi)g(z) \in B\left(\frac{2+\nu}{3}\right).$$

References

- [1] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(x)$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **137** 554–558 (1903).
- [2] A. Wiman, Über den fundamental Satz in der Theorie der Funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$, *Acta Math.* **29** 191–201 (1905).
- [3] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler, *Yokohana. Math. J.*, **19** 7–15 (1971).
- [4] M. Saigo, A. A. Kilbas, On Mittag-Leffler type function and applications, *Int. Trans. Spec. Funs.*, **7** 97–112 (1998).
- [5] R. K. Raina, On generalized Wright's hypergeometric functions and fractional calculus operators, *East. Asian. Math. J.*, **21** (2) 191–203 (2005).
- [6] R. P. Agarwal, A product d'ume note de M. pierre Humbert, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **236** 2031–2032 (1953).
- [7] P. L. Duren *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [8] St. Ruscheweyh, Geometric properties of Cesáro means, *Results Math.*, **22** 739–748 (1992).
- [9] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses de l'Universit'e de Montr'eal, Montr'eal. 1982.
- [10] M. Darus, R. W. Ibrahim, On Cesaro Means of Order μ for Outer Functions, *Int. Jour. of Nonlinear Sci.*, **9** (4) 455–460 (2010).
- [11] A. K. Shukla, J. C. Prajapati, On a generalization of Mittag-Leffler function and its properties, *J. Math Anal and Appl.*, **336** 797–811 (2007).
- [12] E. M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **10** 286–293 (1935).
- [13] E. M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **46** 389–408 (1940).
- [14] M. M. Arak, K. S. Ram, and J. H. Hans, The H-function: Theory and Applications, 0.1007/978-1-4419-0916-9, (2007).
- [15] St. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, Subordination by Cesáro means, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, **21** 279–285 (1993).
- [16] R.W. Barnard, J. Cima, and K. Pearce, Cesáro sum approximation of outer functions, *Ann. Univ. Maria Curie-Sklodowska Sect.*, **A52** (1) 1–7 (1998).
- [17] B. Muckenhoupt, D. W. Webb, Two-weight norm inequalities for Cesáro means of Laguerre expansions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (3) 1119–1149 (2001).
- [18] J. M. Jahangiri, K. Farahmand, Partial sums of functions of bounded turning, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **4**(4) Art. **79** 1–3 (2003).
- [19] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vols. I and II, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey. 1983.

Author information

Adnan G. AlAmoush, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia, www.taibahu.edu.sa.

E-mail: adnan-omoush@yahoo.com

Received: August 29, 2018.

Accepted: December 13, 2018.