

A NOTE ON RIEMANN LIOUVILLE FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE AND CONIC DOMAINS

FUAD. S. AL-SARARI and S .LATHA

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic functions, Fractional derivative, Conic domains, Janowski functions, k -Starlike functions, k - Uniformly convex functions.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a class $k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$ of analytic function in geometrical starlike of oval and petal type regions $\Delta_k(A, B)$ which unifies a number of classes studied earlier by Janowski, Kanas, Wisnowska, Shams etc. Thus our class includes k -uniformly Janowski convex functions, k -uniformly Janowski starlike functions, k -uniformly convex functions, k -uniformly starlike functions, Janowski starlike and Janowski convex functions etc. We deduce sufficient condition for a function to be in $k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$ and also coefficient bound for functions of $k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$.

1 Introduction

Kanas and Wisniowska [5,15] generalized the parabolic region $\Delta = \{w : \Re\{w\} > |w - 1|\}$ introduced by Goodman [4] introducing Δ_k $k \geq 0$ by

$$\Delta_k = \{u + iv : u > k\sqrt{(u - 1)^2 + v^2}\}.$$

This domain represents the right half plane for $k = 0$, hyperbola for $0 < k < 1$, a parabola for $k = 1$ and ellipse for $k > 1$.

The functions $p_k(z)$ play the role of extremal functions for these conic regions where

$$p_k(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+z}{1-z}, & k = 0 \\ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right)^2, & k = 1. \\ 1 + \frac{2}{1-k^2} \sinh^2 \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k \right) \arctan h\sqrt{z} \right], & 0 < k < 1. \\ 1 + \frac{2}{k^2-1} \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2R(t)} \int_0^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-(tx)^2}} dx \right] + \frac{1}{k^2-1}, & k > 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u(z) = \frac{z-\sqrt{tz}}{1-\sqrt{tx}}$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and z is chosen such that $k = \cosh \left(\frac{\pi R'(t)}{4R(t)} \right)$, $R(t)$ is the Legendre’s complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $R'(t)$ is complementary integral $R(t)$. $p_k(z) = 1 + \delta_k z + \dots$, [14] where

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} \frac{8(\arccos k)^2}{\pi^2(1-k^2)}, & 0 \leq k < 1 \\ \frac{8}{\pi^2}, & k = 1. \\ \frac{\pi^2}{4(k^2-1)\sqrt{t}(1+t)R^2(t)}, & k > 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

It was Janowski [1] who introduced the circular domain by defining the following:

Definition 1.1. Let $P[A, B]$, where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, denote the class of analytic function p defined on \mathcal{U} with the representation $p(z) = \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$. In terms of subordination $p \in P[A, B]$ if and only if $p(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$.

Geometrically, a function $p(z) \in P[A, B]$ maps the opine unit onto the disk defined by the domain,

$$\Delta[A, B] = \left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^2} \right| < \frac{A-B}{1-B^2} \right\}.$$

The class $P[A, B]$ is connected the class P of functions with positive real part by the relation,

$$p(z) \in P \Leftrightarrow \frac{(A + 1)p(z) - (A - 1)}{(B + 1)p(z) - (B - 1)} \in P[A, B].$$

Now using the concepts of Janowski functions and the conic regions, we define the following.

Definition 1.2. A function p is said to be in the class $k - P[A, B]$, if and only if,

$$p(z) \prec \frac{(A + 1)p_k(z) - (A - 1)}{(B + 1)p_k(z) - (B - 1)}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where $p_k(z)$ is defined by (1.1) and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Geometrically, the function $p \in k - P[A, B]$ takes all values from the domain $\Delta_k[A, B]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $k \geq 0$ which is defined as

$$\Delta_k[A, B] = \left\{ w : \Re \left(\frac{(B - 1)w(z) - (A - 1)}{(B + 1)w(z) - (A + 1)} \right) > k \left| \frac{(B - 1)w(z) - (A - 1)}{(B + 1)w(z) - (A + 1)} - 1 \right| \right\}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k[A, B] &= \{u + iv : [(B^2 - 1)(u^2 + v^2) - 2(AB - 1)u + (A^2 - 1)]^2 \\ &> k^2[(-2(B + 1)(u^2 + v^2) + 2(A + B + 2)u - 2(A + 1))^2 + 4(A - B)^2v^2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The domain $\Delta_k[A, B]$ retains the conic domain Δ_k inside the circular region defined by $\Delta[A, B]$. the impact of $\Delta[A, B]$ on the conic domain Δ_k changes the original shape of the conic regions . The ends of hyperbola and parabola get closer to each other but never meet anywhere and the ellipse gets the oval shape. When $A \rightarrow 1$, $B \rightarrow -1$, the radius of the circular disk defined by $\Delta[A, B]$ tends to infinity, consequently the arms of hyperbola and parabola expand and the oval turns into ellipse . we see that $\Delta_k[1, -1] = \Delta_k$, the conic domain defined by Kanas and Wisniowska[15]. The authors in [9,...,13] studied classes which are related to conic region.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \tag{1.3}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$, and \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all function which are univalent in \mathcal{U} .

The fractional derivative of order α in the sense of Riemann Liouville is defined [2] by

$$D_z^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^\alpha} d\zeta. \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

where f is an analytic function in a simply connected domain of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{-\alpha}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$. Fractional derivative of higher order are defined by

$$D_z^{\alpha+\beta} f(z) = \frac{d^\beta}{dz^\beta} D_z^\alpha f(z), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Using the fractional derivative $D_z^\alpha f$ Owa and Srivastava [3] introduced the operator $\Omega^\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, which is known as an extension of fractional derivative and fractional integral as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\alpha f(z) &= \Gamma(2 - \alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha f(z), \quad \alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Now using the concepts of the fractional derivative and conic regions we define the following:

Definition 1.3. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$, $k \geq 0, \alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{(B - 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A - 1)}{(B + 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A + 1)} \right) > k \left| \frac{(B - 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A - 1)}{(B + 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A + 1)} - 1 \right|, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Omega^\alpha f(z)$ is defined by (1.4).

Or equivalently,

$$\frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} \in k - P[A, B].$$

The following special cases are of interest

- (i) $0 - SP_\alpha[1, -1] = SP_\alpha$, the class introduced by Srivastava and Mishra in [??].
- (ii) $k - SP_0[A, B] = k - ST[A, B]$ introduced by Khalida Inyat Noor and Sarfraz Nawaz Malik [8].
- (iii) $k - SP_1[A, B] = k - UCV[A, B]$ introduced also by Khalida Inyat Noor and Sarfraz Nawaz Malik [8].
- (iv) $k - SP_0[[1, -1] = k - ST$ the well-known class of k -uniformly starlike functions introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [5].
- (v) $k - SP_1[[1, -1] = k - UCV$ the well-known class of k -uniformly convex functions introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [5].
- (vi) $k - SP_0[1 - 2\beta, -1] = SD(k, \beta)$, this class introduced by Shams [6].
- (vii) $k - SP_1[1 - 2\beta, -1] = KD(k, \beta)$, this class introduced by Shams [6].
- (viii) $0 - SP_0[A, B] = S^*[A, B]$, the well-known class of Janowski starlike functions introduced by Janowski [1].
- (ix) $0 - SP_1[A, B] = S^*[A, B]$, the well-known class of Janowski convex functions introduced by Janowski [1].

We need the following lemma to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.4. [8] Let $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n z^n \in P[A, B]$. Then

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2},$$

where δ_k is defined by (1.2).

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and of the form (1.3) is in the class $k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$, if it satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{2(k + 1)(n - 1) + |n(B + 1) - (A + 1)|\} \delta_n(\alpha) |a_n| < |B - A|, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\delta_n(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)}. \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, k \geq 0$$

Proof. Assuming that (2.1) holds, then it suffices to show that

$$k \left| \frac{(B - 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A - 1)}{(B + 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A + 1)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left[\frac{(B - 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A - 1)}{(B + 1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A + 1)} - 1 \right] < 1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k \left| \frac{(B-1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A+1)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left[\frac{(B-1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - (A+1)} - 1 \right] \\
 & \leq (k+1) \left| \frac{(B-1)z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))' - (A-1)\Omega^\alpha f(z)}{(B+1)(\Omega^\alpha f(z))' - (A+1)\Omega^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right| \\
 & = 2(k+1) \left| \frac{\Omega^\alpha f(z) - z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{(B+1)z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))' - (A+1)\Omega^\alpha f(z)} \right| \\
 & = 2(k+1) \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty (1-n)\delta_n(\alpha)a_n z^n}{(B-A)z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty [n(B+1) - (A+1)]\delta_n(\alpha)a_n z^n} \right| \\
 & \leq 2(k+1) \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty |1-n|\delta_n(\alpha)|a_n|}{|B-A| - \sum_{n=2}^\infty |n(B+1) - (A+1)|\delta_n(\alpha)|a_n|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1, then

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{2(k+1)(n-1) + |n(B+1) - (A+1)|\}\delta_n(\alpha)|a_n| < |B-A|,$$

and this completes the proof. □

When $\alpha = 0$, we have the following known result, proved by Khalida Inayat Noor and Sarfraz Nawaz Malik in [8].

Corollary 2.2. *A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and form (1.3) in the class $k - ST[A, B]$, if it satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{2(k+1)(n-1) + |n(B+1) - (A-1)|\}|a_n| < |B-A|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

For $\alpha = 0$, $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, we have following result due to Kanas and Wisniowska [5].

Corollary 2.3. *A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and form (1.3) in the class $k - ST$, if it satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{n + k(n-1)\}|a_n| < 1, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $A = 1 - 2\beta$ and $B = -1$ with $0 \leq \beta < 1$, we arrive at Shams et result in [6].

Corollary 2.4. *A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and form (1.3) in the class $SD(k, \beta)$, if it satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{n(k+1) - (k+\beta)\}|a_n| < 1 - \beta, \tag{2.5}$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Also for $\alpha = 0$, $A = 1 - 2\beta$ and $B = -1$, $k = 0$ with $0 \leq \beta < 1$, then we get the well-known Silverman’s result [7].

Corollary 2.5. *A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and form (1.3) in the class $S^*(\beta)$, if it satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \{(n-\beta)\}|a_n| < 1 - \beta, \tag{2.6}$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in k - SP_\alpha[A, B]$ and is of the form (1.3). Then for $n \geq 2$.

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_n(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|\delta_k(A - B) - 2jB|}{2(j + 1)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where δ_k is defined (1.2) and $\delta_n(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.2).

Proof. By the definition we have

$$\frac{z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))'}{\Omega^\alpha f(z)} = p(z), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$p(z) \in P[A, B].$$

Since $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n z^n$, and from (2.8), we have

$$z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty n\delta_n(\alpha)a_n z^n = \left[z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \delta_n(\alpha)a_n z^n \right] \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n z^n \right].$$

Equating coefficients of z^n on both sides, we have

$$(n - 1)\delta_n(\alpha)a_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{n-j}(\alpha)a_{n-j}c_j, \quad a_1 = \delta_1(\alpha) = 1.$$

This implies that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{(n - 1)\delta_n(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{n-j}(\alpha)a_{n-j}c_j, \quad a_1 = \delta_1(\alpha) = 1.$$

By Lemma 1.4, we get

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(n - 1)\delta_n(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j|, \quad a_1 = \delta_1(\alpha) = 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Now we prove that

$$\frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(n - 1)\delta_n(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_n(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|\delta_k(A - B) - 2jB|}{2(j + 1)}. \tag{2.10}$$

For this, we use the induction method.

For $n = 2$: from (2.9), we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2}.$$

From (2.7), we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2}.$$

For $n = 3$: from (2.9), we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{4\delta_3(\alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2} \right].$$

From (2.7), we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_3(\alpha)} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2} \frac{|\delta_k(A - B) - 2B|}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_3| &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_3(\alpha)} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2B}{4} \\
 &\leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2\delta_3(\alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Let the hypothesis be true for $n = m$. From (2.9), we have

$$|a_m| \leq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(m - 1)\delta_m(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j|, \quad a_1 = \delta_1(\alpha) = 1.$$

From (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_m| &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_m(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{|\delta_k(A - B) - 2jB|}{2(j + 1)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_m(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2j}{2(j + 1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(m - 1)\delta_m(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_m(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2j}{2(j + 1)}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{\delta_m(\alpha)}{\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \frac{|\delta_k|(A-B)+2(m-1)}{2m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2j}{2(j + 1)} &\geq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(m - 1)\delta_m(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\delta_m(\alpha)}{\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2(m - 1)}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j|, \\
 &= \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2m\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \left[\frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2(m - 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j| \right], \\
 &\geq \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2m\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \left[\delta_m(\alpha)|a_m| + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j| \right], \\
 &= \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2m\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_j(\alpha)|a_j|.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\frac{|\delta_k|(A - B)}{2m\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_m(\alpha)|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}(\alpha)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\delta_k|(A - B) + 2j}{2(j + 1)}.$$

Which shows that inequality (2.10) is true for $n = m + 1$. Hence the required result. □

When $\alpha = 0$ we get result introduced by Khalida Inayat Noor and Sarfraz Nawaz Malik in [8].

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f \in k - ST[A, B]$ and is of the form (1.3). Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|\delta_k(A - B) - 2jB|}{2(j + 1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

For $\alpha = 0, A = 1, B = -1$ we arrive at Kanas and Wisniowska result in [5].

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f \in k - ST$ and is of the form (1.3). Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|\delta_k + j|}{(j + 1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Also for $\alpha = 0$, $k = 0$ $\delta_k = 2$, we get result due to Janowski in [1].

Corollary 2.9. Let $f \in S^*[A, B]$ and is of the form (1.3). Then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|(A-B) - jB|}{(j+1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

References

- [1] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 28(1973) 297-326.
- [2] Owa, S. (1978). On the distortion theorems, *I, Kyyungpook Math. J* 18(1), 374-408.
- [3] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.* 39(5) (1987) 1057-1077.
- [4] A. W. Goodman. Univalent Functions, Vols. I-II, *Mariner Publishing Company, Florida, USA*, (1983).
- [5] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic domains and starlike functions, *Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 45(2000) 647-657.
- [6] S. Shams, S.R. Kulkarni, J.M. Jahangiri, Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 55(2004)2959-2961.
- [7] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1975)109-116.
- [8] K. L. Noor and S. N. Malik, On coefficient inequalities of functions associated with conic domains, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62(2011) 2209-2219.
- [9] Fuad Al-Sarari and S.Latha, A note on coefficient inequalities for (j, i) -symmetrical functions with conic regions. *Bull. Int. Math. Vit. Ins.* 6, (2016), 77-87.
- [10] Fuad Al-Sarari and S.Latha, A note on coefficient inequalities for symmetrical functions with conic regions, *An. Univ. Oradea, fasc. Mat.* 23(1), (2016), 67-75.
- [11] Fuad Al-Sarari and S.Latha, A note on coefficient inequalities for certain classes of Ruscheweyh type analytic functions in conic regions. *Mathematical Sciences Letters.* 5 May (2016).
- [12] Fuad Al-Sarari and S. Latha, On classes of functions related to conic regions and symmetric points, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics.* 4(2), (2015), 1-6.
- [13] Fuad Al-Sarari and S.Latha, Conic regions and symmetric points. *Int. J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 97(3), (2014), 273-285.
- [14] S. Kanas, Coefficient estimates in subclasses of the Caratheodory class related to conical domains, *Acta. Math. Appl. Acta. Math. Univ. Comenian* 74 (2) (2005)149-161.
- [15] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, *J. comput. Appl. Math.* 105 (1999)327-336.

Author information

FUAD. S. AL-SARARI, Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, India.
E-mail: alsrari@yahoo.com

S. LATHA, Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja's College, University of Mysore, India.
E-mail: drlathe@gmail.com

Received: January 7, 2015.

Accepted: November 29, 2015