

# CORRIGENDUM TO MODULES THAT HAVE A SUPPLEMENT IN EVERY TORSION EXTENSION

Fatih Göçer and Ergül Türkmen

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 16D10; Secondary 16L30.

Keywords and phrases: commutative domain, supplement, torsion extension.

## 1 Corrigendum

Modules that have a supplement in every torsion extension

By Fatih Göçer and Ergül Türkmen

Published by Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 3(1), 2014, 515-518

In this erratum to the paper "Modules that have a supplement in every torsion extension" [1], we present revised Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.9.

Unfortunately, we have found an error of Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.9 in [1]. The following example shows that Theorem 2.8 in [1] is not true, in general.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring as in [1, Example 2.4]. Put  $M =_R R$ . Since  $R$  is incomplete, by [2, Corollary 7.9], the extension group  $Ext_R(K, M) \neq 0$  where  $K$  is the quotient fields of  $R$ . It follows from [3, Corollary 1 of Proposition 3.4] that  $M$  is a  $TE$ -module. Consider the following short exact sequence with rows:

$$\mathbb{E} : 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} M \oplus M \xrightarrow{\pi} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

where  $\iota$  is the canonical injection and  $\pi$  is the canonical projection. Therefore,  $Ext_R(\frac{K}{R}, M \oplus M) \neq 0$  according to [4, Proposition 5 (d)]. Hence, the direct sum  $M \oplus M$  is not a  $TE$ -module.

The following are corrected under a certain condition.

**Theorem 1.2.** (Theorem 2.8 in [1]) Let

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence. Suppose that  $L$  is a torsion module. If  $K$  and  $L$  are  $TE$ -modules, then  $M$  is a  $TE$ -module.

*Proof.* Without restriction of generality we will assume that  $K \leq M$ . Let  $N$  be a torsion extension of  $M$ . For  $K \leq M \leq N$ ,

$$\frac{N}{M} \cong \frac{\frac{N}{K}}{\frac{M}{K}}$$

is torsion, and so  $\frac{M}{K}$  is a torsion extension of  $\frac{N}{K}$ . Since  $L \cong \frac{M}{K}$  is a  $TE$ -module, there exists a submodule  $\frac{V}{K}$  of  $\frac{N}{K}$  such that  $\frac{M}{K} + \frac{V}{K} = \frac{N}{K}$  and  $\frac{(M \cap V)}{K} \ll \frac{V}{K}$ . Note that  $N = M + V$ . Since  $\frac{M}{K}$  and  $\frac{N}{K}$ , we get  $\frac{N}{K}$  is torsion, and so  $\frac{V}{K}$  is torsion. By the assumption,  $K$  has a supplement  $K'$  in  $V$ , i.e.  $V = K + K'$  and  $K \cap K' \ll K'$  because  $K$  is a  $TE$ -module. Now we have  $N = M + V = M + K'$ . Suppose that  $M + X = N$  for some submodule  $X$  of  $K'$ . It follows

that  $\frac{M}{K} + \frac{(X+K)}{K} = \frac{N}{K}$ , hence  $\frac{(X+K)}{K} = \frac{V}{K}$  by the minimality of  $\frac{V}{K}$ . Then we have  $V = X + K$  and so  $X = K'$  by the minimality of  $K'$ . Thus  $K'$  is a supplement of  $M$  in  $N$ . Therefore  $M$  is a  $TE$ -module.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** (Corollary 2.9 in [1]) *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring and  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  is any  $TE$   $R$ -module and  $M_2$  is a torsion  $TE$   $R$ -module. Then,  $M$  is a  $TE$ -module.*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. Göçer, E. Türkmen, Modules that have a supplement in every torsion extension, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, volume 3(1), 515-518, 2014.
- [2] R.J. Nunke, Modules of extensions over dedekind rings, Illinois J. Math., volume 3, 222-241, 1972.
- [3] H. Zöschinger, Moduln, die in jeder Erweiterung ein Komplement haben, Math. Scand., volume 35, 267-287, 1974.
- [4] H. Zöschinger, Basis-Untermöduln und Quasi-kotorsions-Moduln über diskreten Bewertungsringen, Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math-Nat. Kl. Sitzungsber., 9-16, 1977.

## Author information

Fatih Göçer and Ergül Türkmen, Department of Mathematics, Amasya University, Amasya, 05100, Turkey.  
E-mail: [ergulturkmen@hotmail.com](mailto:ergulturkmen@hotmail.com)

Received: April 19, 2016.

Accepted: April 19, 2016.