

# Direct limits of finite products of fields

D. Karim

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 16E50

Keywords and phrases: Direct limit, von Neumann regular rings, fields, direct sum, directed union, product.

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring. The main results of this paper assert whether a von Neumann regular ring is expressible as a directed union of finite products of fields.

## 1 Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are commutative with unit and all ring-homomorphisms are unital. If  $R$  is a subring of a ring  $S$ , we assume that  $R$  and  $S$  have the same unity. We let  $\text{Idem}(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  respectively be the set of idempotents of  $R$ , the set  $\{\text{char}(R/M) : M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}$  and the set of Artinian subrings of  $R$ .

Recall that  $R$  is von Neumann regular (vNr for short) if  $R$  is reduced and zero-dimensional. If  $R$  is vNr with  $\text{Idem}(R)$  finite then  $R$  is a finite product of fields, and hence Artinian [13, Lemma 1].

During the last ten years, many papers, that are source of motivation for this work, investigated vNr rings, Artinian rings and directed unions of finite products of fields (see e.g. [4, 5, 10, 13]). Particularly, it was shown that a hereditarily zero-dimensional ring is a directed union of finite products of fields, and that for a ring  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  needs not be directed. On the other hand, [4, Theorem 6.7] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a product  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$  of non zero rings to be directed union of Artinian subrings.

In this paper, we deal with the problem of when a vNr is expressible as a directed union of finite products of fields, raised by Gilmer and Heinzer in 1992 ([2, Problem 42]). Of particular interest is [4, Corollary 5.5], which shows that any zero-dimensional ring  $R$  with a finite spectrum is a directed union of finite products of fields. The result we give in Theorem 3.1 determines necessary and sufficient conditions under which a vNr ring is a directed union of finite products of fields. We also investigate this class of rings in connection with their families of residue fields  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \{R/M : M \text{ a maximal ideal of } R\}$ . On the other hand, let  $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a nonempty family of nonzero rings and  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$  their direct product. We frequently consider  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$  as the set of all functions  $f : A \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$ , such that  $f(\alpha) \in R_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , with addition and multiplication defined pointwise. In this perspective, the direct sum ideal of  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$ , denoted  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$ , is the set of all functions  $f \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$  that are finitely nonzero (i.e.  $\{\alpha \in A : f(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ in } R_\alpha\}$  is finite).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider conditions under which  $S$  is a directed union of finite products of fields. Firstly, we show that if  $R$  is a von Neumann regular ring such that  $R \subset S \subset \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{R}{M_\lambda}$ , where  $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} = \text{Max}(R)$  and  $S$  is a directed union of finite products of fields, then  $R$  and  $S$  have the same set of residue fields. In Section 3, we investigate some conditions under which a von Neumann regular ring is a directed union of finite products of fields.

## 2 General results and counterexample

Let  $(R_j, f_{jk})$  be a directed system of rings, indexed by a directed set  $(I, \leq)$ . Let  $R = \bigcup_{j \in I} R_j$ , together with the canonical maps  $f_j : R_j \rightarrow R$ . The ring  $R$  is said to be a directed union of the  $R_j$ 's if the  $f_{jk}$ 's are inclusion maps. Thus, directed unions can be treated by assuming all  $f_{jk}$  to be monomorphisms. Notice that  $R$  needs not be Artinian even if each  $R_j$  is Artinian.

There are several well-known results concerning rings which can be written as a directed union of finite products of fields. For instance, every ring with only finitely many idempotent elements is a directed union of Artinian subrings (see [4, Corollary 5.5]). Now, let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \{K_j\}_{j \in J}$  be two indexed families of fields. We say that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  if there exists a bijection  $f : I \rightarrow J$  such that  $F_i$  and  $K_{f(i)}$  are isomorphic fields for each  $i \in I$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  if there exists an injection map  $f : I \rightarrow J$  such that  $F_i \simeq K_{f(i)}$  for each  $i$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Von Neumann regular ring and  $R \subset T \subset \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{R}{M_\lambda}$  such that  $T = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i$  is a directed union of finite products of fields. Then  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(T)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{F}(R)$  then there exists  $M_{\lambda_0} \in \text{Max}(R)$  such that  $K \simeq R/M_{\lambda_0}$ . If  $Q = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$  such that  $A_{\lambda_0} \equiv \bar{0}$  modulo  $M_{\lambda_0}$  and  $A_\lambda = R/M_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ , then  $Q \cap R = M_{\lambda_0}$ . Let  $P = Q \cap T$ , then  $R/M_{\lambda_0} \subseteq T/P$  and, up to isomorphism,  $T/P \subseteq (\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R/M_\lambda)/Q \simeq R/M_{\lambda_0}$ . Therefore  $R/M_{\lambda_0} \simeq T/P$ . Given  $L \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ , there exists  $P \in \text{Max}(T)$  such that  $L \simeq T/P$ . Since  $T = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i$ , we have  $P = \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$ , where  $P_i = P \cap T_i \in \text{Spec}(T_i)$ . For each  $i$ ,  $T_i$  is a subring of  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R/M_\lambda$  and  $T_i$  is isomorphic to a finite product of fields. Since  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  is directed, so is  $\{T_i/P_i\}_{i \in I}$ . It follows that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} T_i/P_i$  is a field. Hence, there exists  $M_{\lambda_0} \in \text{Max}(R)$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} T_i/P_i \subseteq R/M_{\lambda_0}$ . It is shown in [9, Proposition 6.1.2, page 128] that  $T/P = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i/P_i$ . Let  $Q = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda$  such that  $K_\lambda = R/M_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  and  $K_{\lambda_0} \equiv \bar{0}$  modulo  $M_{\lambda_0}$ . Therefore,  $P \subseteq Q \cap T$ , and since  $P$  is maximal in  $T$ , we have  $P = Q \cap T$ . Since  $P \cap R = Q \cap T \cap R = Q \cap R = M_{\lambda_0}$ ,  $R/M_{\lambda_0} \subseteq T/P$ , i.e.,  $T/P = R/M_{\lambda_0}$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(R)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}(T) = \mathcal{F}(R)$ .  $\square$

From Proposition 2.1, we deduce that if  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields then  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(T)$ , where  $R \subset T \subset \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{R}{M_\lambda}$ , but the converse fails as shown in the following example.

**Counterexample 2.2.** Let  $p$  be a positive prime integer and  $\{q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be an infinite family of positive prime integers. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{GF(p)\} \cup \{GF(p^{q_i})\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a family of finite Galois fields. We denote by  $\varphi_i$  the natural imbedding of  $GF(p)$  into  $GF(p^{q_i})$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $\varphi = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \varphi_i$ ,  $T = \prod_{i=1}^\infty GF(p^{q_i})$  and  $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty GF(p^{q_i})$  be the direct sum ideal of  $T$ . We denote  $R_o = GF(p)^* = \varphi(GF(p))$  the diagonal imbedding of  $GF(p)$  in  $T$ . Let  $V = R_o + I$ , since  $V$  is a subring of  $T$  and  $\dim(V) = 0$  [6, Proposition 2.7], then  $V$  is a Von Neumann regular ring. We claim that  $\mathcal{F}(V) = \{GF(p)\} \cup \{GF(p^{q_i})\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . Let  $p_i : T \rightarrow GF(p^{q_i})$  be the canonical projection and  $p_{i|V}$  its restriction on  $V$ , which is a surjective homomorphism. We have  $\text{Ker } p_{i|V} = (1 - e_i)T \cap V = (1 - e_i)V = M_i$ , with  $e_i$  the primitive idempotent with support  $\{i\}$ , and  $V/M_i \simeq GF(p^{q_i})$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Also,  $I$  is a maximal ideal of  $V$  and  $V/I \simeq (GF(p))^* \simeq GF(p)$ . Thus  $\{I\} \cup \{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \text{Max}(V)$ . Let  $P \in \text{Spec}(V)$ , if  $I \subseteq P$ , then  $I = P$ . If  $I \not\subseteq P$  then  $e_i \notin P$ , for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and hence  $1 - e_i \in P$ . Therefore,  $M_i \subseteq P$  and  $P = M_i$ . As consequence,  $\text{Max}(V) = \{I\} \cup \{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}(V) = \{GF(p)\} \cup \{GF(p^{q_i})\}$ . From [11, Theorem 5.5 page 247],  $GF(p^{q_i}) = GF(p)(a_i)$ , where  $a_i$  is a  $p^{q_i}$ -th primitive root of unity, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $R^o$  be the minimal zero-dimensional subring of  $\prod_{i=1}^\infty GF(p^{q_i})$  containing  $V[a]$ , where  $a = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . Since  $V[a] = R_o[a] + I[a]$ , we have  $V[a]/I[a] \simeq R_o[a] \simeq GF(p)[X]$  because  $a$  is a transcendental element over  $R_o$ . Therefore,  $qf(V[a]/I[a]) \simeq GF(p)(X)$ . By [7, Theorem 3.3],  $\mathcal{F}(R^o) = \{qf(R/P) : P \in \text{Spec}(R)\}$  is contracted from  $T = \{GF(p^{q_i})\}_{i=1}^\infty \cup \{GF(p)(X)\}$ . Since  $a \in R^o$  and  $a$  is in no finite product of fields, then  $R^o$  is not a directed union of finite products of fields. By [4, Proposition 5.3 (2)],  $R = R^o \oplus GF(p)$  is a Von Neumann regular ring which is not a directed union of finite products of fields. Let  $\Omega$  be a field containing each  $GF(p^{q_i})$  and  $GF(p)(X)$ . Given  $y = \{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \prod_{i=1}^\infty GF(p^{q_i}) \times GF(p)(X) \times GF(p)$ , let  $\|y\| = \{y_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subseteq \Omega$ . Finally, put  $S = \{y \in \Omega^{\mathbb{Z}^+} : \|y\| \text{ is finite}\}$ . Let  $\varphi : \Omega^{\mathbb{Z}^+} \rightarrow \Omega^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$  a homomorphism defined by  $\varphi(X) = a$  and let  $S_1 = \varphi(S)$ . Therefore,  $S_1$  is a directed union of finite products of fields and  $\mathcal{F}(S_1) = \mathcal{F}(R)$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  an infinite family of nonzero rings such that  $R$  is, up to isomorphism, a subring of each  $R_\alpha$ . We use  $R^*$  to denote the diagonal imbedding of  $R$  in  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$ , that is  $R^* = \varphi(R)$ , where  $\varphi : R \hookrightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$  is the monomorphism defined by  $\varphi(x) = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that  $x_\alpha = x$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring with  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(R) = \{p\}$ , and  $\mathcal{S} = \{\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha : \{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \text{ has only finitely many distinct coordinates}\}$ . Assume that there exists a field  $\Omega$  that contains all but finitely many  $L_\alpha$ 's. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a directed union of finite products of fields.*

**Proof.** To show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a directed union of finite products of fields, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{S}$  is covered by a directed union of finite products of fields. Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\{f(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_t\}$  a finite set. Let  $A_i = \{\alpha \in A : f(\alpha) = f_i\}$  and denote  $f_i^* = (f_i, f_i, \dots, f_i, \dots) \in \prod_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha$ . Then  $\{f(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A} = (f_1^*, \dots, f_t^*)$ . Since  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i$ , and all the fields  $L_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A_i$ , have the same characteristic, then, up to isomorphism,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha = K_i$  is a field with  $f_i^* \in K_i^*$ , the diagonal imbedding of  $K_i$  in  $\prod_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha$ . It follows that  $f \in K_1^* \times \dots \times K_t^* \simeq K_1 \times \dots \times K_t$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is covered by a directed union of finite products of fields.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring with  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \{\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha : \{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \text{ has only finitely many distinct coordinates}\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a directed union of finite products of fields if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  is finite.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a directed union of finite products of fields and let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $f$  has only finitely many distinct components  $f_1, \dots, f_t$ . Now, let  $A_i = \{\alpha \in A : f(\alpha) = f_i\}$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . So  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i$  is a partition of  $A$ . We set  $f_i^* = (f_i, \dots, f_i, \dots) \in \prod_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha$ . Then, up to isomorphism,  $\{f(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A} = (f_1^*, \dots, f_t^*)$  belongs to a finite product of fields. In fact  $(f_1^*, \dots, f_t^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^t K_i^* \simeq \prod_{i=1}^t K_i$ , where  $K_i^*$  is the diagonal imbedding of  $K_i$  into  $\prod_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , with  $K_i^* \simeq K_i = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A_i} L_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subring of  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha$ , this shows that  $\prod_{i=1}^t K_i$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  is finite. Conversely, let  $\mathcal{C}(R) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . We can write  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_i$ , where  $T_i = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} L_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_i = \{\alpha \in A : \text{char}(L_\alpha) = p_i\}$ . Let  $e_j$  be the idempotent element of  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha$  associated with  $\{j\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{e_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{S}_{e_n}$ . From Proposition 2.3, each  $\mathcal{S}_{e_j}$  is a subring of  $T_j$  which is a directed union of finite products of fields. By [4, Proposition 5.3],  $\mathcal{S}$  is a directed union of finite products of fields.  $\square$

### 3 Behavior with respect to residue fields

Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring with maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  and corresponding residue fields  $K_i$ . We assume that there exists a field  $\Omega$  containing each  $K_i$ . (We can always make this assumption if the  $K_i$  have the same characteristic.) Assuming  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  finite, we have the partition  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}_n$  of  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  with respect to the characteristic. We assume that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists  $F_i$  such that  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  and each element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is an algebraic extension of  $F_i$ . We use  $F^*$  to denote the diagonal imbedding of  $F$  into  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The ring  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields if and only if for each  $f \in R$ ,  $f$  is integral over  $F_1^* \times \dots \times F_n^*$ .*

In order to prove this result, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Von Neumann regular ring and  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Assume that each  $F_i$  is an algebraic extension of  $F$ . Then  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields if and only if for each  $f \in R$ ,  $f$  is integral over  $F^*$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields and let  $f \in R$ . Then  $f$  belongs to a finite product of fields, in other words  $f$  is in only finitely many fields  $F_i$ . By [1, Proposition 3, page 9],  $f$  is an integral over  $F^*$ . Conversely, let  $f \in R$  to be integral over  $F^*$ . Then there exists a monic polynomial  $H(X)$  in  $F^*[X]$  that vanishes at  $f$ . The polynomial  $H(X)$  has only finitely many roots of  $H(X)$ . We note also that  $\{f(i)\}_{i \in I}$  is the unique solution of  $H(X)$ . It follows that  $f$  has only finitely many distinct components. We conclude that  $R \subset \mathcal{S}$  and Theorem 2.4 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We can write  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n T_j$ , where  $T_j = \prod_{i \in I_j} F_i$  and  $I_j = \{i \in I : \text{char}(F_i) = p_j\}$ . From Lemma 3.2, to show that  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields it suffices to show that  $R[e_1, \dots, e_n]$  has the same property, where  $e_j$  is the idempotent associated with  $j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover, since  $R[e_1, \dots, e_n] = Re_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Re_n$ , to prove that the condition of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied for  $R[e_1, \dots, e_n]$  it suffices to show that it is satisfied for each  $Re_j$ . Let  $f \in R$  be integral over  $\prod_{i=1}^n F_i^*$ . Let  $H(X)$  be a monic polynomial of  $\prod_{i=1}^n F_i^*[X]$  such that  $H(f) = 0$ . Let  $H_j = He_j$ , for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . The polynomial  $H_j$  is monic in  $F_i^*[X]$  and satisfy  $H_j(\{f(i)\}_{i \in I_j}) = 0$ , for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $\{f(i)\}_{i \in I_j}$  is integral over  $F_j^*$ . From Lemma 3.2,  $Re_j$  is a directed union of finite products of fields, for each  $j = 1 \dots n$ . Conversely, assume that  $R$  is a directed union of Finite products of fields. We know that  $fe_j$  is integral over  $F_j^*$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $H_j(X) \in F_j^*[X]$  be a monic polynomial that vanishes at  $fe_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . If we set  $H = \prod_{i=1}^n H_j$ , then  $H$  is a monic polynomial  $\prod_{j=1}^n F_j^*$  that vanishes at  $f$  (cf. [1, Proposition 3, page 9]).  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $p$  be a positive prime integer and  $\{q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be an infinite family of distinct prime integers. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be an infinite family of fields, where  $\zeta_i$  is a  $p^{q_i}$ -primitive root of unity. We denote by  $\varphi_i$  the imbedding of  $\mathbb{Q}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $\varphi = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \varphi_i$ ,  $T = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)$ ,  $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)$  the direct sum ideal of  $T$ . We denote  $\mathbb{Q}^* = \varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = R_o \simeq \mathbb{Q}$  the diagonal imbedding of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $T$ . Let  $R_1 = R_o + I$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(R_1) =$

$\{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}\}$ . Let  $f \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  such that  $f(i) = i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $R$  is the minimal zero-dimensional subring of  $T$  containing  $R_1[f]$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}(t)\}$ , where  $F_i = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S} = R_o + J$ , where  $J = \mathbb{Q}(t) + I$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}) = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}(t)\} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}\}$ . Now, by [4, Proposition 5.3 (2)], the ring  $R = R_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$  is not a directed union of finite products of fields, even if  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ . Notice that  $F_i \cap F_j = \mathbb{Q}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $F_i \cap \mathbb{Q}(t) = \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ . Even though,  $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{F}(R)$   $R$  is not a directed union of finite products of fields.

Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring and  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  its spectrum. Since  $R$  is a reduced ring, we have  $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i = (0)$  and hence the homomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \frac{R}{M_i}$ , defined by  $\varphi(x) = x + M_i$ , is injective. This allows us to view  $R$  as a subring of  $\prod_{i \in I} \frac{R}{M_i}$ . We identify  $x$  with its image  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \frac{R}{M_i}$ . Finally, we denote  $F_i = \frac{R}{M_i}$  for each  $i \in I$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *With the notation and assumptions above, we assume that there is a field  $\Omega$  containing each  $F_i$  and  $F$  is the prime subfield of  $\Omega$ . If each distinct pair of fields  $F_j$  and  $F_k$  in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  satisfying  $F_j \cap F_k = F \notin \mathcal{F}(R)$ , then  $R$  is not a directed union of finite products of fields.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $F \notin \mathcal{F}(R)$ . Let  $S$  be the subring of  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$  consisting of eventually constant sequences. Thus  $S = F^* + I$ , the  $F$ -subalgebra of  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$  generated by the direct sum ideal  $I = \bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$ , where  $F^*$  is the diagonal imbedding of  $F$  into  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$ . First claim that  $S$  is the maximal subring of  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$  with respect to being a directed union of finite product of fields. Let  $T = \bigcup_{j \in J} T_j$  be a subring of  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$  which is a directed union of finite product of fields. Let  $t = \{t_i\}_{i \in I} \in T$  then there exists  $j_o \in J$  such that  $t \in T_{j_o}$  which is a finite products of fields, then  $t$  has only finitely many distinct coordinates, i.e.,  $t \in S$ . If  $R$  is a directed union of finite products of fields, then  $R \subseteq S$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(S)$  (see Proposition 2.1), a contradiction with  $F \in \mathcal{F}(S) \setminus \mathcal{F}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers,  $\alpha$  an element such that  $\alpha^2 = d$  ( $d$  without square factor in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and  $\mathcal{P}$  be an infinite family of distinct prime integers. Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be a simple algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $R = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) + I$ , the  $\Omega$ -subalgebra of  $T = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\zeta_i)$  generated by the direct sum ideal  $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\zeta_i)$ , where  $\zeta_i$  is a  $p^q$ -primitive root of unity and  $p$  is a prime integer with  $q \in \mathcal{P}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $\phi_i : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega(\zeta_i)$  be the field-homomorphism taking  $\alpha$  to  $\zeta_i$ . Let  $\phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : T \rightarrow T$ , a ring-homomorphism. Let  $R_o = \phi(R)$ . Being isomorphic to  $R$ ,  $R_o$  is a directed union of finite products fields. We remark that the element  $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in R_o$  which is not in  $\mathcal{S}$  (the maximum among all subrings of  $T$  that are directed union of finite products of fields in proof of Corollary 3.4).

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a zero-dimensional ring and  $N(R)$  be the nilradical of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is a directed union of zero-dimensional subrings with finite spectra;
- (ii)  $R/N(R)$  is a directed union of finite products of fields.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that  $R = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$  is a directed union of zero-dimensional subrings with finite spectra, then by [15, Proposition 6.1.2, page 128],  $R/N(R) \simeq \bigcup_{i \in I} R/N(R) \cap R_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i/N(R_i)$  is a directed union of  $R_i/N(R_i)$ , where  $N(R_i)$  is the nilradical of  $R_i$ . The ring  $R_i/N(R_i)$  is Von Neumann regular with finite spectra, then  $R_i/N(R_i)$  is Artinian.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose  $R/N(R) = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$  is a directed union of Finite products of fields and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R/N(R)$  be the canonical epimorphism. We denote  $R_i = \varphi^{-1}(S_i)$  the inverse image of  $S_i$  by  $\varphi$ , for each  $i \in I$ . Since  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  is directed, the family  $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$  is also directed. We have  $R_i/N(R_i) \simeq S_i$ , as  $\text{Spec}(S_i)$  is finite the ring  $R_i$  has only finitely many prime ideals, for each  $i \in I$ . Because  $S_i$  is zero-dimensional,  $R_i$  is also zero-dimensional but need not be Artinian. It follows that  $R = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$  is a directed union of zero-dimensional quasilocal subrings.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 5 à 7*, Masson, 1985.
- [2] Daniel D. Anderson, *Some Problems in Commutative Ring Theory*, Zero-dimensional commutative rings, (Knoxville, TN, 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 171 Dekker, New York, 363-372 (1995).
- [3] R. Gilmer, *Zero-dimensional subrings of commutative rings*, Abelian groups and modules (Padova, 1994), 209-219, Math. Appl., 343, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [4] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *Products of commutative rings and zero-dimensionality*, Trans. Amer. Math. soc. 331, 662-680 (1992).

- 
- [5] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *Artinian subrings of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. soc. 336, 295-310 (1993).
- [6] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *Imbeddability of a commutative ring in a finite-dimensional ring*, Manus. Math. 84, 401-414 (1994).
- [7] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *The family of residue fields of a zero-dimensional commutative ring*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra 82, 131-153 (1992).
- [8] L. Gillman, and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [9] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique*, I, Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1971.
- [10] W. Heinzer, D. Lantz, R. Weigand, *The residue fields of a zero-dimensional ring*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra 129, 67-85 (1998).
- [11] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc, 1993.
- [12] J. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [13] A. R. Magid, *Direct limits of finite products of fields*, Zero-dimensional commutative rings, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 171 (Knoxville, TN, 299-305 (1994)), Dekker, New York.
- [14] P. Maroscia, *Sur les anneaux de dimension zéro*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 56, 451-459 (1974).
- [15] M.-P. Malliavin, *Algèbre commutative, applications en géométrie et théorie des nombres*, Masson (1985).

### Author information

D. Karim, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Nador , B. O. Box 62702, Nador, Morocco.  
E-mail: [ikarim@ucam.ac.ma](mailto:ikarim@ucam.ac.ma)

Received: February 3, 2012

Accepted: May 25, 2012