# **On Exact Frames in Topological Algebras**

Saakshi Garg and L. K. Vashisht

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 42C15, 46H05; Secondary 42C30.

Keywords and phrases: Frames, topological algebra, biorthogonal system, polar topology.

The second author is partly supported by R & D Doctoral Research Programme, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India. (Grant No.: RC/2014/6820).

**Abstract**. We present necessary and sufficient conditions for a frame in topological algebras to be exact.

### **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$  (or the real field  $\mathbb{R}$ ).  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a complex (or real) *algebra* if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , the product xy is defined and  $xy \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following conditions

- (i) x(yz) = (xy)z = xyz,
- (ii) x(y+z) = xy + xz,
- (iii)  $(y+z)x = yx + zx \ (z \in \mathcal{A}),$
- (iv)  $(\lambda x)(\mu y) = (\lambda \mu)xy$ , for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with a Hausdorff topology is called a *semi-topological algebra* if the maps:  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$  from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $(\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x$  from  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  are continuous and the map:  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  is separately continuous. A semi-topological algebra is said to be a *topological algebra* if the map:  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  is jointly continuous. For basics on topological algebra we refer [2] and for linear expansion system in topological vector spaces, see [3].

Throughout this paper,  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  denotes a real (or complex) locally convex separable topological algebra, assumed to be commutative. By  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$ , we denote the topological dual and the algebraic dual of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. For a Hausdorff locally convex topology  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $Z \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $[Z]^{\tau}$  shall denote the  $\tau$ -closure of the span of Z in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A pair  $(\{x_n\}, \{f_n\}) \subset \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$  is called a biorthogonal system if  $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\delta_{i,j}$  denotes the Kronecker delta.

**Definition 1.1.** [7] A countable sequence  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a  $\tau$ -frame for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  if there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ , such that for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$x = \tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x) x_i \left( = \tau - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x) x_i \right),$$

where the sequence  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)x_i\}$  converges in the topology  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}'$  is called an *associated sequence of functionals*, which need not be unique. The associated functionals  $f_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  need not be continuous.

**Definition 1.3.** [7] A  $\tau$ -frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is a  $\tau$ -Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$  if all associated functionals  $f_n \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$  are  $\tau$ -continuous.

In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a  $\tau$ -frame in topological algebras to be  $\tau$ -exact. Since this work is continuation of a paper by authors [7], we consider the structure of a topological algebra.

First we recall some basic notations and definitions to make the paper self-contained. Let  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$  be a non-degenerate dual pair of topological algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  over the same complex (or real) field and  $\tau$  be any polar topology on  $\mathcal{A}$  given by a set of weakly bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We consider the following polar topologies of  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$  and denote them as follows. The details can be found in [8].

- (i)  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  for the weak topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the topology of uniform convergence on the finite subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (ii)  $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  for the strong topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the topology of uniform convergence on the  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ -bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (iii)  $\beta^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  for the strong\* topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the topology of uniform convergence on the  $\beta(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ -bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq \beta^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \leq \beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . Some interesting properties on  $\beta$  duality can be found in [1].

**Definition 1.4.** [8] The sequential dual  $A^{\dagger}$  of A is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}' : f(x_n) \to 0 \text{ for all } \tau \text{-null sequences } \{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{A}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{A}'$ .

**Definition 1.5.** [8] A subset K of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is said to be  $\tau$ -limited if for every  $\tau$ -null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{f \in K} f(x_n) = 0.$$

**Remark 1.6.** Let  $\tau^{\dagger}$  be the topology on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined to be generated by the class  $\mathcal{U}$  of all absolutely convex subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that every  $\tau$ -null sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  eventually belongs to U, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . The topology  $\tau^{\dagger}$  is, in fact, the topology of uniform convergence on the  $\tau$ -limited subsets of  $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$ , and  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau^{\dagger})^* = \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$ .

## 2 Main Results

We start with the definition of exact frames in topological algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** A  $\tau$ -frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is said to be  $\tau$ -exact, if for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \neq j}$  is not a  $\tau$ -frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that a  $\tau$ -frame  $\{x_n\}$  for  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\tau$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent if

$$\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_i \text{ are scalars}).$$

A  $\tau$ -exact frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  for  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent. Indeed, let  $\tau$ - $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = 0$  for some scalar coefficients  $\{\alpha_i\}$ . Assume that  $\alpha_j \neq 0$  for some j. Then,  $x_j = \tau$ - $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} x_i$ , a

contradiction.

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for a  $\tau$ -frame to be  $\tau$ -exact.

**Proposition 2.3.** A  $\tau$ -frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is  $\tau$ -exact, provided for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x_j \notin [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $x_j \notin [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If possible, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be not  $\tau$ -exact. Then, for some j,  $\{x_n\}_{n \neq j}$  forms a frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ . So,  $[x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau} = \mathcal{A}$ . Thus, by  $\tau$ -completeness of frames,  $x_j \in [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$ , which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\tau$ -exact.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  be a  $\tau$ -frame for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $(\{x_n\}, \{g_n\})$  is a biorthogonal system, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\tau$ -exact.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $(x_n, g_n)$  is a biorthogonal system. It is enough to show that  $x_j \notin [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by Proposition 2.3 the result follows. If possible, let  $x_j \in [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$  for some j. Let  $\Phi_{\mathbb{N}}$  be the family of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then, for some  $F \in \Phi_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{j\}$ 

$$\left|g_j(x_j - \sum_{m \in F} \alpha_m x_m)\right| \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

However, this is absurd since  $(x_n, g_n)$  is biorthogonal. So,  $x_j \notin [x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots]^{\tau}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The result is proved.

It would be interesting to know the exactness of a given  $\tau$ -frame for  $\mathcal{A}$  under topologies generated by pairs associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this direction we have the following example of a  $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{\xi_j\} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\xi_i| < \infty\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\{\xi_j\} \subset \mathbb{C} : \lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n = 0\}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the topology induced by the metric d on  $\mathcal{A}$  which is defined as

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j - \eta_j|, \ x = \{\xi_i\}, y = \{\eta_i\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

Then,  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is a locally convex separable topological algebra under pointwise multiplication. Define  $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  by

$$x_1 = e_1$$
 and  $x_n = (-1)^{n+1}e_1 + e_n, \ n \ge 2,$ 

where  $e_n$  denote the canonical unit vector, i.e.,  $e_n = \{0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{nth}, 0, 0, 0, \dots\}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Choose  $f_1 = e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + \dots$  and  $f_n = e_n, n \ge 2$ .

Choose  $f_1 = e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + \dots$  and  $f_n = e_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then,  $x = \tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) x_i$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  is  $\tau$ -frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore, each  $f_n \in (\mathcal{A}, \beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))^* = \{\{\xi_j\} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sup_{1 \le j < \infty} |\xi_j| < \infty\} \subset \mathcal{A}'$  and  $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, by Corollary 2.4, the frame  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

If we have two comparable topologies on a topological algebra, then the exactness of  $\tau$ -Schauder frames is preserved while moving to a finer topology. This is shown in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** Assume that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are Hausdorff locally convex topologies on A such that  $\tau_2$  is finer than  $\tau_1$ . Then, every  $\tau_1$ -exact Schauder frame in A is  $\tau_2$ -exact Schauder frame.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau_1$ -exact frame, then  $\{x_n\}_{n \neq j}$  is not a frame for  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed but arbitrary. Then, there exists some  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $x \neq \tau_1 - \sum_{n \neq j_0} f_n(x)x_n$ , where  $\{f_n\} \subset (\mathcal{A}, \tau_1)^*$ . Since  $\tau_2$  is finer than  $\tau_1$ , therefore  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau_2)^* \subseteq (\mathcal{A}, \tau_1)^*$ . So, there does not exist any  $\{f_n\} \subset (\mathcal{A}, \tau_2)^*$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as  $\tau_2 - \sum_{n \neq j_0} f_n(x)x_n$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\tau_2$ -exact. Thus, every  $\tau_1$ -exact frame is  $\tau_2$ -exact.

**Remark 2.7.** In Proposition 2.6 if  $\tau_1^{\dagger}$  is finer than  $\tau_2$ , then  $\tau_2$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame for the topological algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau_1$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  be  $\tau_2$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\tau_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = 0$  for some scalars  $\{\alpha_n\}$ . Then,  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i\}$  is  $\tau_1$ -null sequence. Since  $\tau_2 \leq \tau_1^{\dagger}$ , every  $\tau_1$ -null sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau_2$ -null. So, the sequence  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i\}$  is  $\tau_2$ -null. Hence  $\tau_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\tau_2$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent. Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\tau_1$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 2.8.** The converse of the Proposition 2.6 is not true. That is, a  $\tau$ -exact Schauder frame for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  need not be  $\mu$ -exact Schauder frame for  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , where  $\mu \leq \tau$ . More precisely, the  $\tau$ -exactness of a  $\tau$ -Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$  is not stable under topologies generated by certain dual pairs. In this direction, we observed that, a  $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact Schauder frame is not a  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact Schauder frame for the underlying space. This is justified in the following example.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $(A, \tau)$  and B be the topological algebras given in Example 2.5. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset A$  by

$$x_1 = e_1, x_n = e_{n+1} - e_n, n \ge 2.$$

Choose  $f_1 = e_1$ ,  $f_n = -e_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then, each  $f_n \in (\mathcal{A}, \beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))^* \subset \mathcal{A}'$ . One can easily see that  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ - Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,  $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence by Corollary 2.4, the  $\tau$ - Schauder frame  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ . To show  $\{x_n\}$  is not a  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact Schauder frame, it enough to show that  $\{x_n\}$  is not  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent.

Choose  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $\alpha_i = -1$   $(i \ge 2)$ . Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i(y)$$
$$= y_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (y_{i+1} - y_i)$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{for all } y = \{y_i\} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is not a  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -exact Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Next we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a  $\tau$ -Schauder frame in a topological algebra to be exact.

**Theorem 2.10.** A finitely linearly independent  $\tau$ -Schauder frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is  $\tau$ -exact if and only if whenever  $\tau$ -lim<sub> $n\to\infty$ </sub>  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ -Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $[x_n]^{\tau} = \mathcal{A}$  and each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as

$$x = \tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x) x_i$$

where  $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ .

For a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by hypothesis, we have

$$\tau - \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+k} f_i(x) x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) x_i \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} [f_{n+k}(x) - f_n(x)] = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) \sim \lim_{n\to\infty} f_{n,k}(x)$  exists. Choose  $g_j(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_{n,j}(x)$ , where  $g_j$  are defined on span $\{x_n\}_n$  by

$$g_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) = \alpha_j, \ \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ are scalars } (j, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Notice that, by hypothesis  $\{x_n\}$  is finitely linearly independent, so  $g_j$  are well-defined. Furthermore, each  $g_j$  is a  $\tau$ -continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $g_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.4,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\tau$ -exact Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For the reverse part, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -exact Schauder frame for  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ . Then, by Remark 2.2,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\tau$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame. Suppose  $\tau$ -lim<sub> $n\to\infty$ </sub>  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i = 0$ . Then, clearly we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ .

To conclude the paper, we show that a  $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame in a coarser topology becomes an exact Schauder in finer topology on the underlying space.

**Proposition 2.11.** Assume that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are Hausdorff locally convex topologies on A such that  $\tau_2$  is finer than  $\tau_1$ . Then, a  $\tau_1$ - $\omega$ -linearly independent Schauder frame  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  in A is  $\tau_2$ -exact if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finitely linearly independent  $\tau_2$ -Schauder frame for A.

*Proof.* If possible, suppose  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{x_n\}$  is not  $\tau_2$ -exact, then by Theorem 2.10, there exists a sequence of scalars  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\tau_2$ -lim $_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n \neq 0$ . Since  $\tau_2$  is finer than  $\tau_1$ , we have  $\tau_1$ -lim $_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i = 0$ . This gives  $\alpha_i = 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\tau_2$ -exact Schauder frame for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## References

- [1] D. J. H. Garling, The  $\beta$ -and  $\gamma$ -duality, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 63 (1967), 963–981.
- [2] T. Husain, *Multiplicative Functionals on Topological Algebra*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, Melbourne (1983).
- [3] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence Spaces and Series, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York (1981).
- [4] C. W. McArthur, A convergence criterion with applications to series in locally convex spaces, *Duke Math. Jour.*, 34 (1967), 193–199.
- [5] S. Radenovic, Some remarks on the weak topology of locally convex spaces, *Pub. Inst. Math.*, 44 (58) (1988), 155–157.
- [6] A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, Topological Vector Spaces, Cambridge University Press (1973).
- [7] L. K. Vashisht and Saakshi Garg, Frames in topological algebras, submitted.
- [8] J. H. Webb, Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 64 (1968), 341-364.

#### **Author information**

Saakshi Garg and L. K. Vashisht, Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India. E-mail: saakshi.garg@yahoo.com ; lalitkvashisht@gmail.com

Received: September 5, 2014.

Accepted: March 21, 2015