Vol. 4(2) (2015), 380-385

# **Strongly FI-**δ**-**Lifting Modules

Y. Talebi, M. Hosseinpour and S. Khajvand Sany

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 16D10; Secondary 16D70, 16D80.

Keywords and phrases: FI- $\delta$ -lifting module, Strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting modules.

**Abstract** Let R be a ring and M a right R-module. We call a module M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if every fully invariant submodule A of M contains a direct summand B of M such that  $A/B \ll_{\delta} M/B$ . In this paper several properties of these modules are studied. We show that a ring R is FI- $\delta$ -lifting as an R-module if and only if R/I has a projective  $\delta$ -cover for every two sided ideal I of R.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper R is an associative ring with unity and all modules are unital right Rmodules. A submodule K of a module M is denoted by  $K \leq M$ . Let M be an R-module and  $S \leq M$ . S is called a small submodule of M (denoted by  $S \ll M$ ) if for every submodule T of M with M = S + T, then M = T. Let M be an R-module and  $N \leq M$ . If any submodule K of M is minimal with the property that M = N + K, then the submodule K is called a supplement of N in M. K is a supplement (weak supplement) of N in M if and only if M = N + K and  $N \cap K \ll K$   $(N \cap K \ll M)$ . The module M is said to be a *lifting* module if for any submodule N of M there exists  $A \leq N$  such that  $M = A \oplus B$  and  $N \cap B \ll B$ . As a generalization of small submodules, a submodule N of M is called  $\delta$ -small in M, denoted by  $N \ll_{\delta} M$ , if M = N + K with M/K singular implies M = K. Every small submodule of M is  $\delta$ -small in M and the converse is true whenever M is singular. The sum of all  $\delta$ -small submodules of a module M is denoted by  $\delta(M)$ , which defines a preradical on the category of R-modules,  $\delta(M) = \Sigma \{ L \leq M \mid L \ll_{\delta} M \}$  (See [12]). A module M is called  $\delta$ -lifting if for any  $N \leq M$ , there exists a decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  such that  $A \leq N$  and  $N \cap B$  is  $\delta$ -small in M. An epimorphism  $f: P \longrightarrow N$  is called a  $\delta$ -cover of N if  $Ker(f) \ll_{\delta} P$  and if moreover P is projective, then it is called a projective  $\delta$ -cover. A submodule K of M is called *fully invariant* if  $\lambda(K) \subseteq K$  for all  $\lambda \in End_R(M)$ . In [2] and [4] FI-lifting defined and studied and also several properties of FI-lifting investigated. We say that a module M is  $FI-\delta$ -lifting if every fully invariant submodule A of M contains a direct summand B of M such that  $A/B \ll_{\delta} M/B$ . Also, for the other definition and notation in this paper we refer to [1], [3].

#### **2** FI- $\delta$ -Lifting Modules

In this section we define FI- $\delta$ -lifting modules. We show that this class of modules is closed under finite direct sums. We prove that ring R is FI- $\delta$ -lifting module as an R-module if and only if R/I has a projective cover for every two sided ideal I of R (Corollary 2.7).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let M be a module. Then:

(1) Any sum or intersection of fully invariant submodules of M is again a fully invariant submodule of M (in fact the fully invariant submodules form a complete modular sublattice of the lattice of submodules of M).

(2) If  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq M$  such that Y is a fully invariant submodule of M and X is a fully invariant submodule of Y, then X is a fully invariant submodule of M.

(3) If  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$  and S is a fully invariant submodule of M, then  $S = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_i(S) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X_i \cap S)$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the *i*-th projection homomorphism of M.

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 1.1].

We note that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  and N is a fully invariant submodule of M, then  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (N \cap M_i)$  and  $N \cap M_i$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M_i$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let R be a right semisimple ring and M a nonzero right R-module. Then M is semisimple and nonsingular. For any nonzero  $N \le M$ , N is a direct summand of M and hence

is not small in M, but every submodule of M(even M itself) is  $\delta$ -small in M. So M is  $\delta$ -lifting but is not lifting

The following Proposition introduces an equivalent condition for a FI- $\delta$ -lifting module.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let M be an R-module. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) *M* is FI- $\delta$ -lifting module;

(2) For every fully invariant submodule A of M there is a decomposition  $A = N \oplus S$  where N is a dirct summand of M and S,  $\delta$ -small in M.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) Let A be a fully invariant submodule of M. Since M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, there exists a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq A$  and  $M_2 \cap A \delta$ -small in  $M_2$ . Therefore  $A = M_1 \oplus (A \cap M_2)$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Assume that every fully invariant submodule has the stated decomposition. Let A be a fully invariant submodule of M. By hypothesis, there exists a direct summand N of M and a  $\delta$ -small submodule S of M such that  $A = N \oplus S$ . Now let  $M = N \oplus N'$  for some submodule N' of M. Consider the natural epimorphism  $\pi : M \longrightarrow M/N$ . Then  $\pi(S) = (S + N)/N = A/N \ll_{\delta} M/N$ . Therefore, M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  be a finite direct sum of FI- $\delta$ -lifting modules. Then M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

*Proof.* Let N be a fully invariant submodule of M. Then  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (N \cap M_i)$  and  $N \cap M_i$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M_i$ . Since each  $M_i$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, by Proposition 2.3,  $N \cap M_i = L_i \oplus S_i$  where  $L_i$  is a direct summand of  $M_i$  and  $S_i \ll_{\delta} M_i$ . Set  $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_i$  and  $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ . Then  $N = L \oplus S$  where L is a direct summand of M and  $S \ll_{\delta} M$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** If M is a finite direct sum of  $\delta$ -lifting modules, then M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let P be a projective module. Then P is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if and only if P/A has a projective  $\delta$ -cover for every fully invariant submodule A of P.

*Proof.* Suppose P is a projective FI- $\delta$ -lifting module and A a fully invariant submodule of P. Then  $A = X \oplus S$  where X is a direct summand of P and  $S \ll_{\delta} P$ . Let  $P = X \oplus Y$  for some  $Y \leq P$ . As  $S \ll_{\delta} P$ ,  $(X + S)/X \ll_{\delta} P/X$ . Hence the natural map  $f : P/X \to P/(X + S) = P/A$  is a projective  $\delta$ -cover.

Conversely, suppose for every fully invarient submodule A of P, P/A has a projective  $\delta$ -cover. Let  $f: Q \to P/A$  be a projective  $\delta$ -cover of P/A. Then there exists a map  $h: P \to Q$  such that  $fh = \eta$  where  $\eta: P \to P/A$  is the natural map. As  $Kerf \ll_{\delta} Q$  and  $\eta$  is an epimorphism, h is an epimorphism and hence h splits. Suppose  $P = Kerh \oplus B$  for some submodule B of P. Then  $A = Kerh \oplus (A \cap B)$  and  $A \cap B \ll_{\delta} P$ . Thus P is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.7.** Let R be a ring. The module  $R_R$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if and only if R/I has a projective  $\delta$ -cover for every two sided ideal I of R.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let R be a ring and M FI- $\delta$ -lifting. Then every fully invariant submodule of the module  $M/\delta(M)$  is a direct summand.

*Proof.* Let  $N/\delta(M)$  be a fully invariant submodule of  $M/\delta(M)$ . Then N is fully invariant submodule of M by [7, Lemma 3.2]. By hypothesis, there exists a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq N$  and  $N \cap M_2 \ll_{\delta} M_2$ . Since  $M_2 \cap N$  is also  $\delta$ -small in  $M, N \cap M_2 \leq \delta(M)$ . Thus  $M/\delta(M) = (N/\delta(M)) \oplus ((M_2 + \delta(M))/\delta(M))$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** [11, 41.14] The following are equivalent for a module  $M = M' \oplus M''$ :

(1) M' is M''-projective.

(2) For each submodule N of M with M = N + M'', there exists a submodule  $N' \leq N$  such that  $M = N' \oplus M''$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two modules such that  $M_1$  is semisimple and  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting. If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be relatively projective, then  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq N \leq M$  be fully invariant. Let  $K = M_1 \cap (N + M_2)$ . We divide the proof into two cases:

Case (1): Let  $K \neq 0$ . Then  $M_1 = K \oplus K_1$  for some submodule  $K_1$  of  $M_1$  and so  $M = K \oplus K_1 \oplus M_2 = N + (M_2 \oplus K_1)$ . Hence, K is  $(M_2 \oplus K_1)$ -projective. By Lemma 2.9, there exists a submodule  $N_1$  of N such that  $M = N_1 \oplus (M_2 \oplus K_1)$ . We may assume  $N \cap (M_2 \oplus K_1) \neq 0$ .

Then  $N \cap (L + K_1) = L \cap (N + K_1)$  for any submodule L of  $M_2$ . Since  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, there exists a submodule X of  $M_2 \cap (N + K_1) = N \cap (M_2 \oplus K_1)$  such that  $M_2 = X \oplus Y$  and  $Y \cap (N + K_1)$  is  $\delta$ -small in  $M_2$ . Hence,  $M = (N_1 \oplus X) \oplus (Y \oplus K_1)$ . Since  $N_1 \oplus X \leq N$  and  $N \cap (Y \oplus K_1) = Y \cap (N + K_1), N \cap (Y \oplus K_1) = Y \cap (N + K_1)$  is  $\delta$ -small in  $Y \oplus K_1$  by [12, Lemma 1.3]. So M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

Case (2): Let K = 0. Then  $N \leq M_2$ . Since  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, there exists a submodule X of N such that  $M_2 = X \oplus Y$  and  $N \cap Y$  is  $\delta$ -small in Y for some submodule Y of  $M_2$ . Hence,  $M = X \oplus (M_1 \oplus Y)$  and  $N \cap (M_1 \oplus Y) = N \cap Y$  is  $\delta$ -small in Y. By [12, Lemma 1.3],  $N \cap (M_1 \oplus Y) \ll_{\delta} M_1 \oplus Y$ .

**Example 2.11.** Let  $M_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting by Corollary 2.5. But  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is not  $\delta$ -lifting by [8, Example 2.8].

#### **Proposition 2.12.** *Let M* be a module. The following are equivalent:

(1) *M* is FI- $\delta$ -lifting;

(2) every fully invariant submodule of M has a direct summand  $\delta$ -supplement;

(3) for each fully invariant submodule X of M, there is a coclosed submodule K of M and a direct summand  $\delta$ -supplement L of K such that  $K \subseteq X$ ,  $X/K \ll_{\delta} M/K$  and every homomorphism  $f: M \longrightarrow M/L \cap K$  can be lifted to an endomorphism  $g: M \longrightarrow M$ , that is, such that  $g(m) + (L \cap K) = f(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\iff$  (2) Let X be a fully invariant submodule of M. First assume that M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting. Then there exists a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq X$  and  $M_2 \cap X \ll_{\delta} M_2$ . Then  $M = X + M_2$  and  $M_2$  is a direct summand  $\delta$ -supplement of X. Conversely, let K be a direct summand  $\delta$ -supplement of X in M. Then  $M = K + X = K \oplus K'$  and  $K \cap X \ll_{\delta} K$  for some submodule K' of M. Consider the natural projection map  $\phi : M \longrightarrow K'$ . Since X is fully invariant,  $\phi(X) = (X + K) \cap K' = M \cap K' = K' \leq X$ . Thus, M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$  Let X be a fully invariant submodule of M. Since M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, there exists a decomposition  $M = L \oplus K$  such that  $K \leq X$  and  $X/K \ll_{\delta} M/K$ . Since  $L \cap K = 0$ , clearly any homomorphism  $f : M \longrightarrow M/(L \cap K)$  lifts to a  $g : M \longrightarrow M$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (1) Let X be a fully invariant submodule of M. By (3), there is a coclosed submodule K of M and a direct summand  $\delta$ -supplement L of K such that  $K \leq X$  and  $X/K \ll_{\delta} M/K$ . Since K is a  $\delta$ -supplement in M by [4, Proposition 3], it follows from [5, Lemma 2.2] that K is a direct summand of M. Thus, M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

A module M is called a *duo* module provided that every submodule of M is fully invariant.

**Proposition 2.13.** Let M be a module. Consider the following statements:

(1) *M* is  $\delta$ -lifting;

(2) *M* is  $\oplus$ - $\delta$ -supplemented;

(3) *M* is *FI*- $\delta$ -lifting. Then (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). If *M* is a duo module, then (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1).

*Proof.*  $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$  This is clear.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$  This is clear by Proposition 2.12.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if and only if for every fully invariant submodule  $N/M_1$  of  $M/M_1$ , there exists a direct summand K of M such that  $K \leq M_2$ , M = K + N and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} M$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting. Let  $N/M_1$  be any fully invariant submodule of  $M/M_1$ . It is easy to see that  $N \cap M_2$  is fully invariant in  $M_2$ . Since  $M_2$  is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, there exists a decomposition  $M_2 = K \oplus K'$  such that  $M_2 = (N \cap M_2) + K$  and  $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$ . Clearly, M = N + K.

Conversely, suppose that  $M/M_1$  has the stated property. Let H be a fully invariant submodule of  $M_2$ . It is easy to see that  $(H \oplus M_1)/M_1$  is fully invariant in  $M/M_1$ . By hypothesis, there exists a direct summand L of M such that  $L \leq M_2$ ,  $M = L + H + M_1$  and  $L \cap (H + M_1) \ll_{\delta} M$ . By modularity,  $M_2 = L + H$ . It follows easily that L is a  $\delta$ -supplement of H in  $M_2$ . Therefore,  $M_2$ is FI- $\delta$ -lifting by Proposition 2.12.

We define a module to be *H*- $\delta$ -supplemented if for every submodule *N* of *M* there exists a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $(N + D)/N \ll_{\delta} M/N$  and  $(N + D)/D \ll_{\delta} M/D$ .

**Proposition 2.15.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  is a fully invariant submodule of M. If M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented, then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are H- $\delta$ -supplemented.

*Proof.* Similar to [9, Corollary 2.4],  $M_2$  is H- $\delta$ -supplemented. Next we show that  $M_1$  is H- $\delta$ -supplemented. Let K be a submodule of  $M_1$ . Since M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented, there exists a direct summand D of M such that  $(K + D)/K \ll_{\delta} M/K$  and  $(K + D)/D \ll_{\delta} M/D$ . Write  $M = D \oplus D', D' \leq M$ . Then M = K + D'. Since  $M_1$  is a fully invariant submodule of M,  $M_1 = (M_1 \cap D) \oplus (M_1 \cap D')$ . Hence  $M = M_1 + D' = (M_1 \cap D) \oplus D'$ . Thus  $D = D \cap M_1$  and so  $D \leq M_1$ . Now  $(K + D)/K \ll_{\delta} M_1/K$  and  $(K + D)/D \ll_{\delta} M_1/D$ . Therefore,  $M_1$  is H- $\delta$ -supplemented.

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a direct sum of modules. If  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -projective and M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented, then  $M_2$  is H- $\delta$ -supplemented.

*Proof.* Let Y be a submodule of  $M_2$ . Considering the submodule  $Y \oplus M_1$  of M. Since M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented, there exists a submodule X of M and a direct summand D of M such that  $X/(Y \oplus M_1) \ll_{\delta} M/(Y \oplus M_1)$  and  $X/D \ll_{\delta} M/D$ . Then  $M = X + M_2$  and so  $M = D + M_2$ . Since  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -projective, there exists a submodule D' of D such that  $M = D' \oplus M_2$ . Hence  $D = D' \oplus (D \cap M_2), (X \cap M_2)/(D \cap M_2) \ll_{\delta} M/(D \cap M_2)$ , and  $(X \cap M_2)/Y \ll_{\delta} M/Y$ . Thus  $M_2$  is H- $\delta$ -supplemented.

In [10], Özcan defined the submodule  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}(M)$  of M as  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}(M) = \bigcap \{Kerg \mid g : M \to N, N \text{ is a } \delta \text{-small module} \}$ . Any module M is called a  $\delta \text{-cosingular}$  (non- $\delta \text{-cosingular}$ ) module if  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}(M) = 0$  ( $\overline{Z}_{\delta}(M) = M$ ).

**Proposition 2.17.** Let M be an amply supplemented module. Then M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented if and only if  $M = \overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M) \oplus M'$ , where  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M)$  and M' are H- $\delta$ -supplemented, where  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M) = \overline{Z}_{\delta}(\overline{Z}_{\delta}(M))$ .

*Proof.* Let M be an H- $\delta$ -supplemented module. Note that  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M)$  is a fully invariant coclosed submodule of M. Since M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting,  $M = \overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M) \oplus M'$ , where  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M)$  and M' are H- $\delta$ -supplemented by Proposition 2.15. Conversely, let M be an amply supplemented module. Then  $\overline{Z}_{\delta}^2(M)$  is M'-projective by the proof [10, Theorem 2.19]. Therefore, M is H- $\delta$ -supplemented by Theorem 2.16.

**Proposition 2.18.** Let M be an indecomposable R-module. If M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting, then for every fully invariant submodule A of M,  $\delta(A) \ll_{\delta} M$ .

*Proof.* Let A be a fully invariant submodule of M. Since  $\delta(A)$  is a fully invariant submodule of A, then  $\delta(A)$  is a fully invariant submodule of M, by [2, lemma 2.1]. Hence  $\delta(A) = B \oplus L$ , where B is a direct summand of M and  $L \ll_{\delta} M$ . But M is an indecomposable, therefore B = 0. Thus  $\delta(A) = L$  and hence  $\delta(A) \ll_{\delta} M$ .

### **3** Strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting Modules

In this section we define strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting. This class of modules is properly contained in the class of FI- $\delta$ -lifting. We show that a finite direct sum of copies of a strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting module is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting and if M is  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular, then FI- $\delta$ -lifting and strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting are same (Proposition 3.6).

We say that a module M is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting if every fully invariant submodule A of M contains a fully invariant direct summand B of M such that  $A/B \ll_{\delta} M/B$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** The following are equivalent for an *R*-module *M*:

(1) *M* is a strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting module;

(2) Every fully invariant submodule A of M can be written as  $A = B \oplus S$ , where B is a fully invariant direct summand of M and  $S \ll_{\delta} M$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let *M* be an FI- $\delta$ -lifting with  $\delta(M) = 0$ . Then every fully invariant submodule (in particular *M*) is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

*Proof.* Let  $A \leq N \leq M$  such that A is fully invariant in N and N is fully invariant in M. Then A is fully invariant in M [7, Lemma 3.2]. As M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting,  $A = B \oplus S$  where B is a direct summand of M and  $S \ll_{\delta} M$  by Proposition 2.3. Since  $\delta(M) = 0$ , S = 0 and so A is a direct summand of M and hence of N. Thus, N is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  be a finite direct sum of strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting modules such that  $M_i \cong M_j$  for all *i* and *j*. Then *M* is a strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting module.

*Proof.* There exist isomorphisms  $f_i : M_1 \to M_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . If A is a fully invariant submodule of M, then it is easy to see that  $A = A_1 \oplus f_2(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f_n(A_1)$  where  $A_1 = M_1 \cap A$ .

As  $M_1$  is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting and  $A_1$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M_1$ , we have  $A_1 = L_1 \oplus S_1$  where  $L_1$  is a fully invariant direct summand of  $M_1$  and  $S_1 \ll_{\delta} M_1$  by proposition 3.1. Put  $L := L_1 \oplus f_2(L_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(L_1)$  and  $S := S_1 \oplus f_2(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(S_1)$ . Then  $A = L \oplus S$  such that L is a fully invariant direct summand of M and  $S \ll_{\delta} M$ . Hence, M is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

**Example 3.4.** (1) Consider the module M given in Example 2.11. Consider the submodule  $N = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus p^2\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$  of M. N is not small in M and since N is singular, N is not  $\delta$ -small in M and contains no nonzero fully invariant direct summand of M. Hence M is not strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting. But M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

(2) Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . *M* is lifting by [3, 23.20] and so  $\delta$ -lifting. For, consider the fully invariant submodule  $N = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  of *M*. *N* is a fully invariant submodule of *M* which is not  $\delta$ -small in *M*. But *N* does not contain any nonzero fully invariant direct summand of *M*. Thus *M* is not strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

(3) The only fully invariant submodules of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are 0 and  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting. On the other hand,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not  $\oplus$ -supplemented and since every torsion  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is singular, so  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not  $\oplus$ - $\delta$ -supplemented.

Following [6], the module M is called  $\mathcal{T}$ -noncosingular if, for every nonzero endomorphism  $\varphi$  of M,  $Im\varphi$  is not small in M. We define  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular, we say M is  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular if, for every nonzero endomorphism  $\varphi$  of M,  $Im\varphi$  is not  $\delta$ -small in M.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let M be a  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular module and X fully invariant in M. Let  $N \leq X$  such that  $X/N \ll_{\delta} M/N$  and N a direct summand of M. Then N is (unique) fully invariant in M.

*Proof.* Let P be a submodule of M such that  $M = N \oplus P$ . Assume that N is not fully invariant in M. Then there exist an endomorphism  $\varphi$  of M and  $x \in N$  such that  $\varphi(x)inN$ . Let  $\psi = \pi_P \varphi \pi_N : M \longrightarrow P$ , where  $\pi_N : M \longrightarrow N$  and  $\pi_N : M \longrightarrow P$  are the projections. Note that  $\psi \neq 0(\varphi(x) \in N)$  and  $Im\psi \subseteq X \cap P \ll_{\delta} M$ . This contradicts the fact that M is  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ noncosingular. Thus, N is fully invariant in M.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let M be a  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular module. Then M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if and only if M is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

*Proof.* Let M be FI- $\delta$ -lifting and X a fully invariant submodule of M. Then there exists a direct summand N of M such that  $X/N \ll_{\delta} M/N$ . By Proposition 3.5, N is fully invariant in M. Thus, M is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting. The converse is clear.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let M be a  $\delta$ -noncosingular module. Then M is FI- $\delta$ -lifting if and only if M is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let M be an FI- $\delta$ -lifting module and X a fully invariant submodule of M. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then M/X is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting:

(1) M/X is indecomposable;

(2) M/X is  $\mathcal{T}$ - $\delta$ -noncosingular.

*Proof.* By [7, Proposition 3.3], M/X is FI- $\delta$ -lifting. (1) Clearly, indecomposable FI- $\delta$ -lifting modules are strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting. (2) This follows from Proposition 3.5.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let M be a  $\delta$ -lifting (respectively  $\delta$ -noncosingular weakly  $\delta$ -supplemented FI- $\delta$ -lifting) module such that every  $\delta$ -small submodule is fully invariant. Then every factor module of M is  $\delta$ -lifting (respectively strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting).

*Proof.* Let X, Y be submodules of M such that M = X + Y and  $X \cap Y \ll_{\delta} M$ . Note that  $M/(X \cap Y) = X/(X \cap Y) \oplus Y/(X \cap Y)$ . By hypothesis,  $X \cap Y$  is fully invariant in M. If M is  $\delta$ -lifting, then  $M/(X \cap Y)$  is  $\delta$ -lifting by [1, 22.2]. Since the  $\delta$ -lifting property is inherited by direct summands, M/X is  $\delta$ -lifting. Now assume that M is a  $\delta$ -noncosingular weakly  $\delta$ -supplemented FI- $\delta$ -lifting module. Then the result follows from [7, Proposition 3.3], Corollary 3.7 and the fact that any direct summand of a strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting module is strongly FI- $\delta$ -lifting.

# References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1992).
- [2] G. F. Birkenmeier, B. J. Müller and S. T. Rizvi, Modules in which every fully invariant submodule is essential in a direct summand, *Comm. Algebra*, **30**, 1395-1415 (2002).
- [3] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting Modules*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, (2006).
- [4] T. Inoue, Sum of hollow modules, Osaka J. Math. 20, 331-336 (1983).
- [5] D. Keskin, Discrete and quasi-discrete modules, Comm. Algebra, 30(11), 5273-5282 (2002).
- [6] D. Keskin and R. Tribak, On  $\mathcal{T}$ -noncosingular modues. Bull Aust Math Soc, 80, 462-471 (2009).
- [7] M. T. Kosan, The lifting condition and fully invariant submodules, *East-West J. Math.* 7(1), 99-106 (2005).
- [8] M. T. Kosan,  $\delta$ -lifting and  $\delta$ -supplemented modules, *Algebra Colloq.* **14**(1), 53-60 (2007).
- [9] M. T. Kosan and D. Keskin, H-supplemented duo modules. J. Algebra Appl. 6, 965-971 (2007).
- [10] A. C. Özcan, The torsion theory cogenerated by  $\delta$ -M-small modules and GCO-modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **35**, 623-633 (2007).
- [11] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, Reading, (1991).
- [12] Y. Zhou, Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, *Algebra Colloq.* 7(3), 305-318 (2000).

#### **Author information**

Y. Talebi, M. Hosseinpour and S. Khajvand Sany, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran. E-mail: talebi@umz.ac.ir, m.hpour@umz.ac.ir, s\_khaajvand@yahoo.com

Received: March 16, 2014.

Accepted: October 22, 2014