

# On Lie ideals with generalized derivations and power values on prime rings

Abu Zaid Ansari, Nadeem ur Rehman and Faiza Shujat

Communicated by Harikrishnan Panackal

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 16W25, 16N60; Secondary 16D90, 16U80.

Keywords and phrases: Generalized derivation, Lie ideal, prime ring, Utumi quotients ring and extended centroid.

**Abstract** Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2,  $U, C, L$  and  $H$  denote, the Utumi quotients ring, the extended centroid, a non-central Lie ideal, a non-zero generalized derivation of  $R$  respectively. If  $[H(u), u]^n = [H(u), u]^m$  for all  $u \in L$ , with  $1 < n \neq m \geq 1$ , then one of the following holds:

- (i)  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_4$ , in four non-commuting variables and there exist  $a \in U$  and  $\alpha \in C$  such that  $H(r) = ar + ra + \alpha r$  for all  $r \in R$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $H(r) = \lambda r$  for all  $r \in R$ .

## 1 Introduction

In this article,  $R$  refers to a non-commutative prime ring with center  $Z(R)$ ,  $U$  to its Utumi quotient ring, and  $C = Z(U)$  to its center. For the definitions and associated attributes of these objects, we direct the reader to [2]. An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is termed as a derivation if  $d(rs) = d(r)s + rd(s)$  for all  $r, s \in R$ . If  $H(rs) = H(r)s + rd(s)$  holds for all  $r, s \in R$  and  $d$  is a derivation of  $R$ , then the additive mapping  $H$  is known as a generalized derivation on  $R$ . It goes without saying that every derivation is a generalized derivation. A map of the type  $r \mapsto a_1r + ra_2$  is an example of a generalized derivation, where  $a_1, a_2$  are fixed elements in  $R$ . These type of mappings are known as generalized inner derivations. Due to Lanski [11], if  $d$  is a derivation such that  $d(r)^n = 0$  for all  $r \in L$ , then  $d$  is zero, where  $n$  is a positive integer. Lee in [13] demonstrated an analogy result for generalized derivations. More specifically, Lee demonstrated that if  $H$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  and  $L$  is a non-central Lie ideal of  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative if  $H(r)^n = 0$  for every  $r \in L$ . Carini and De Filippis [3] investigated a non-zero derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that  $[d(r), r]^n = 0$  for every  $r \in L$  of  $R$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, and they came to the conclusion that  $R$  is commutative if  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ .

Further, De Filippis extended this result in [5] by substituting a generalized derivation  $H$  for  $d$ , and concluded that there exist  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $H(r) = \lambda r$  for all  $r \in R$ , unless when  $R$  satisfies  $s_4$  and there exists an element  $a \in U$  such that  $H(r) = ar + ra$  for all  $r \in R$ . Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring with characteristic different from 2, as demonstrated by the author in article [16],  $H$  a non-zero generalized derivation of  $R$ . If  $[H(u), u]^n = [H(u), u]^m$ , for all  $u \in L$ , then either  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_4$  and there exist  $a \in U$  and  $\alpha \in C$  such that  $H(r) = ar + ra + \alpha r$  or there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $H(r) = \lambda r$  for all  $r \in R$ . In this paper, authors obtain same conclusion by considering the generalized identity  $[H(u), u]^n = [H(u), u]^m$  for suitable condition on  $R$ . We revise a few widely accepted facts before moving on to the proof of our conclusion.

**Lemma 1.1** ([13]). *Since each generalized derivation can be specifically extended to a generalized derivation of  $U$ , it is implicitly assumed that all generalized derivations of  $R$  are defined on the entire  $U$ .*

**Lemma 1.2** ([12]). *The differential identity is fulfilled by  $R$ ,  $I$ , and  $U$  if  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** ([4]). *The generalized polynomial identity with coefficients in  $U$  is satisfied by  $R$ ,  $I$  and  $U$  if  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ .*

**Lemma 1.4** ([8, 6]). *There exists a nonzero two-sided ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $0 \neq [I, R] \subseteq L$  if we assume that either  $R$  does not satisfy  $s_4$  or  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ . Particularly, it follows that  $[R, R] \subseteq L$  if  $R$  is a simple ring.*

## 2 Inner Generalized Derivations Case

In order to simplify this section, we will assume that  $H$  is an inner generalized derivation, with  $H(r) = a_1r + ra_2$  for every  $r \in R$ , where  $a_1, a_2$  are constant components of  $U$ . As a result, we may rely on the assumption that  $R$  fulfills the following generalized identity:

$$P(r_1, r_2) = [a_1[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]a_2, [r_1, r_2]]^n - [a_1[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]a_2, [r_1, r_2]]^m,$$

for each  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . In order to prove the result in this section, we also need the following:

**Lemma 2.1.** *By the main assumption of the paper we have that*

$$[a_1[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]a_2, [r_1, r_2]]^n - [a_1[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]a_2, [r_1, r_2]]^m = 0$$

for all  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Furthermore, for any inner automorphism  $\phi$  of  $R$  one get that

$$[\phi(a)[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]\phi(b), [r_1, r_2]]^n - [\phi(a)[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]\phi(b), [r_1, r_2]]^m = 0$$

for all  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Trivially,  $a_1, a_2, a_1 + a_2, a_1 - a_2$  are central in  $R$  iff  $\phi(a_1), \phi(a_2), \phi(a_1 + a_2), \phi(a_1 - a_2)$  are central in  $R$ . Hence, we can replace  $a_1, a_2$  respectively with  $\phi(a_1), \phi(a_2)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose that  $R$  is any non-commutative prime ring with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in R$  such that  $[a_1u + ua_2, u]^n = [a_1u + ua_2, u]^m$  for all  $u \in [R, R]$ , then either  $a_1, a_2 \in C$  or  $R$  satisfies  $s_4$  and  $a_2 - a_1 \in C$ , where  $1 < n \neq m \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The following generalized polynomial identities are satisfied by  $R$ :

$$P(r_1, r_2) = [a[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]b, [r_1, r_2]]^n - [a[r_1, r_2] + [r_1, r_2]b, [r_1, r_2]]^m.$$

Then, in accord with Beidar’s [1, Theorem 2],  $U$  also satisfies this extended polynomial identity. If  $C$  is not finite, then  $P(s_1, s_2) = 0$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in U \otimes_C \overline{C}$ , where  $\overline{C}$  is the algebraic closure of  $C$ . As  $U$  and  $U \otimes_C \overline{C}$  are centrally closed ([7], see Theorem 2.5, 3.5), replace  $R$  by  $U$  or  $U \otimes_C \overline{C}$ , depending on whether  $C$  is finite or infinite. Therefore, we assume that  $R$  is centrally closed over  $C$  which is either algebraic closed or finite. If  $a_1, a_2 \in C$ , then our job is done, so we consider that either  $a_1 \notin C$  or  $a_2 \notin C$ . Using [4],  $P(r_1, r_2)$  is non trivial generalized polynomial identity for  $R$ . Hence, by Martindale’s theorem [14],  $R$  is primitive ring with non-zero socle  $S$  with  $C$  as associated division ring, then Jacobson theorem [9] says that a dense ring of linear transformations of a certain vector space  $V$  over  $C$  and  $R$  are isomorphic.

If  $\dim_C(V) = 2$ , then  $R \simeq M_2(C)$ , the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $C$ . Say  $a_2 - a_1 = \sum c_{ij}e_{ij}$  where  $c_{ij} \in C$  and  $e_{ij}$  are usual unit matrices. Let  $[r_1, r_2] = [e_{ii}, e_{ij}] = e_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$ . Now, using this in our assumption, we get

$$X = [ae_{ij} + e_{ij}a_2, e_{ij}]^n - [ae_{ij} + e_{ij}a_2, e_{ij}]^m = 0.$$

Hence,  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $X$  is zero. Using calculations, we get  $c_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Therefore,  $a_2 - a_1$  is a diagonal matrix. Let  $\phi(r) = (1 + e_{ij})r(1 - e_{ij})$  be an inner automorphisms, where

$i \neq j$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $\phi(a_2 - a_1)$  is a diagonal matrix. So,  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $\phi(a_2 - a_1)$  is zero.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = [\phi(a_2 - a_1)]_{ij} &= \sum_{ij} c_{ij} e_{ij} \\ &= c_{jj} - c_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a_2 - a_1 \in C$ .

Assume  $\dim_c(V) \geq 3$ , since  $[R, R]$  satisfies

$$[H(u), u]^n - [H(u), u]^m = 0 \text{ for all } u \in [R, R].$$

$$[a_1u + ua_2, u]^n - [a_1u + ua_2, u]^m = 0 \text{ for all } u \in [R, R] \text{ and for some } a_1, a_2 \in U.$$

This implies that

$$[a_1u^2 + ucu - u^2a_2]^n - [a_1u^2 + ucu - u^2a_2]^m = 0 \text{ for all } u \in [R, R], \tag{2.1}$$

and for some  $a_1, a_2 \in U$ . Suppose that for some  $v \in V$ ,  $\{v, bv\}$  is linearly  $C$ -independent and then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{v, a_2v, w\}$  is linearly  $C$ -independent. Then, Jacobson's Theorem says that there exists  $u_1, u_2 \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1v &= 0, \quad u_2v = v, \quad u_1a_2v = w \\ u_2a_2v &= bv, \quad u_1w = -2v, \quad u_2w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $uv = 0$ ,  $ua_2v = w$ ,  $uw = 2v$ . Multiply from right side by  $v$  to (2.1) to obtain  $m = n$ , which contradicts. Hence, for all  $v \in V$ , we have  $\{v, bv\}$  is linearly  $C$ -dependent and for all  $v \in V$ , we get  $a_2v = \alpha_v v$  for some  $\alpha_v \in C$ . Clearly,  $\alpha_v$  is independent of the choice of  $v$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $a_2v = \alpha v$ . Now,  $[a_2, v]v = a_2(uv) - u(a_2v) = \alpha(uv) - u(\alpha v) = 0$ , since  $\alpha \in C$ . Which implies that  $[a_2, u]v = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , that is,  $[a_2, u]V = (0)$ . Since  $[a_2, u]$  is acting faithfully as a linear transformation of vector space  $V$ ,  $[a_2, u] = 0$  for all  $u \in R$ . Then,  $a_2 \in Z(R)$ . Therefore, (2.1) becomes

$$[-cu^2 + ucu]^n - [-cu^2 + ucu]^m = 0 \text{ for all } u \in [R, R] \text{ and for some } a_1, a_2 \in U. \tag{2.2}$$

Again, for some  $v \in V$ ,  $\{v, cv\}$  is linearly  $C$ -independent and then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{v, cv, w\}$  is linearly  $C$ -independent, then from Jacobson's Theorem, there exist  $u_1, u_2 \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1v &= 0, \quad u_2v = v, \quad u_1cv = -2v \\ u_2cv &= 0, \quad u_1w = -v, \quad u_2w = v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $uv = 0$ ,  $ucv = 2v$ ,  $uw = v$ . Further, multiply right side by  $w$  in (2.2) to get  $m = n$ , that is a contradiction again. Therefore, for every  $v \in V$ ,  $\{v, cv\}$  is linearly  $C$ -dependent and using the same argument as we discussed the above, we find  $c \in C$ . Hence, we obtain the desired outcome. □

### 3 The General Case

We assume that  $H$  is a nonzero generalized derivation throughout this section. We can suppose that there exists  $a \in U$  and  $d$ , a derivation on  $R$  such that  $H(r) = ar + d(r)$  (see [13]) in order to demonstrate our core argument.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a non-commutative prime ring with  $\text{char } R \neq 2$  and  $H$  a nonzero generalized derivation of  $R$ ,  $U$  stands for the Utumi quotient ring of  $R$ ,  $C$  as the extended centroid of  $R$ . If  $[H(u), u]^n = [H(u), u]^m$  for all  $u \in L$ , a non-central Lie ideal of  $R$  with  $1 < n \neq m \geq 1$ , then any one of the following is true:*

- (i) *there exist  $a \in U$  and  $\alpha \in C$  such that  $H(r) = ar + ra + \alpha r$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $R$  satisfies the standard identity  $s_4$ ;*

(ii) there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $H(r) = \lambda r$  for all  $r \in R$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 1.1, we can extend generalized derivation to Utumi quotient ring  $U$ . Furthermore, by Lemma 1.4, there exists a nonzero two sided ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $0 \neq [I, R] \subseteq L$ . Next, using Lemma 2.1,  $I$  and  $U$  satisfy the same differential identity, therefore for all  $r_1, r_2 \in U$ ,

$$[a[r_1, r_2] + d([r_1, r_2]), [r_1, r_2]]^n = [a[r_1, r_2] + d([r_1, r_2]), [r_1, r_2]]^m.$$

Considering Khachenko’s theory ([10]), we reach the following two instances:

(i) *d as an inner derivation:* One can consider that  $d(r) = [c, r]$  and  $H(r) = (a + c)r - rc$ , so that  $U$  satisfies

$$[(a + c)[r_1, r_2] - [r_1, r_2]c, [r_1, r_2]]^n = [(a + c)[r_1, r_2] - [r_1, r_2]c, [r_1, r_2]]^m.$$

Using Proposition 2.2, we arrived at desired conclusion.

(ii) *d as an outer derivation:* This case yields that for all  $r_1, r_2 \in U$

$$[a[r_1, r_2] + d([r_1, r_2]), [r_1, r_2]]^n = [a[r_1, r_2] + d([r_1, r_2]), [r_1, r_2]]^m.$$

Using Kharchenko’s theory,  $U$  satisfies the generalized polynomial identity:

$$[a[r_1, r_2] + [s_1, r_2] + [r_1, s_2], [r_1, r_2]]^n = [a[r_1, r_2] + [s_1, r_2] + [r_1, s_2], [r_1, r_2]]^m.$$

Particularly,  $s_1 = s_2 = 0$  then from Proposition 2.2, we find  $a \in C$ . Therefore, we obtain the following

$$[[s_1, r_2] + [r_1, s_2], [r_1, r_2]]^n = [[s_1, r_2] + [r_1, s_2], [r_1, r_2]]^m. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, a well known result due to Posner [15],  $U \simeq M_p(K)$ , the ring of  $p \times p$  matrices over field  $K$ . Trivially, for  $m \geq 2$   $R$  is non-commutative. So, we assume (3.1) with

$$r_1 = e_{ii}, r_2 = e_{jj}, s_1 = 0, s_2 = 2e_{ij},$$

then we obtain

$$(2^{n-m} - 1)(e_{jj} - e_{ii}) = 0.$$

Which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain the required conclusion. □

### 4 Acknowledgement

The authors of the paper extend their sincere gratitude to the Deanship of Scientific Research at Islamic University of Madinah for the support provided to the Post- Publishing Program 2.

### References

- [1] K. I. Beidar, *Rings with generalized identities*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., **33**, 53-58, (1978).
- [2] K. I. Beidar, W.S. Martindale and A.V. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, Pure and Applied Math., Dekker, New York, (1996).
- [3] L. Carini and V. De Filippis, *Commutators with power central values on a Lie ideal*, Pacific J. Math., **193(2)**, 269-278, (2000).
- [4] C.L. Chuang, *GPIs’ having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **103(3)**, 723-728, (1988).
- [5] V. De Filippis, *Generalized derivations and commutators with nilpotent values on Lie ideals*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci., **22 (2)**, 167-175, (2006).
- [6] O. M. Di Vincenzo, *On the n-th centralizer of a Lie ideal*, Boll. UMI **7 3-A**, 77-85, (1989).
- [7] T. S. Erickson, W. S. Martindale III and J. M. Osborn, *Prime nonassociative algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **60**, 49-63, (1975).
- [8] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in ring theory*, Univ. of Chicago Press, (1969).

- [9] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1964).
- [10] V. K. Kharchenko, *Differential identities of prime rings*, Algebra and Logic, **17**, 155-168, (1978).
- [11] C. Lanski, *Derivations with nilpotent values on Lie ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **108(1)**, 31-37, (1990).
- [12] T. K. Lee, *Semiprime rings with differential identities*, Bull. Inst. Acad. Sinica, **20(1)**, 27-38, (1992).
- [13] T. K. Lee, *Generalized derivations of left faithful rings*, Comm. Algebra, **27(8)**, 4057-4073, (1999).
- [14] W. S. Martindale III, *Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity*, J. Algebra, **12**, 576-584, (1969).
- [15] E.C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8**, 1093-1100, (1957).
- [16] G., Scudo and A. Z., Ansari, *Generalized derivations on lie ideals and power values on prime rings*, Mathematica Slovaca, **65(5)**, 975-980, (2015).

### Author information

Abu Zaid Ansari, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, KSA.

E-mail: ansari.abuzaid@gmail.com, ansari.abuzaid@iu.edu.sa

Nadeem ur Rehman, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

E-mail: rehman100@gmail.com

Faiza Shujat, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Madinah, KSA.

E-mail: faiza.shujat@gmail.com, fullahkhan@taibahu.edu.sa

Received:

Accepted: 2023-02-11