

# On Endomorphism of $FI$ -Semi-Injective Modules

S. Chase and M. K. Patel

Communicated by Mohammad Ashraf

MSC 2010 Classifications: 16D50, 16D60, 16D70, 16D80.

Keywords and phrases:  $FI - M$ - $p$ -injective modules;  $FI$ - semi-injective modules; Co-Hopfian modules; semi local rings; Endomorphism rings.

**Acknowledgment:** M. K. Patel would like to thank National Board for Higher Mathematics, D.A.E., with file No.: 02211/3/2019 NBHM (R.P.) RD-II/1439, for financial assistantship. The authors are thankful to the referee for their valuable suggestions, which helps to improve the quality of the paper.

**Abstract:** In this article, we study the properties of endomorphism ring of  $FI$ -semi injective modules. As a result, we observed that, finite-dimensional  $FI$ -Semi injective module has a semi local endomorphism ring and endomorphism ring of an epi-retractable  $R$ -module  $M$ , whose submodules are  $FI - M$ -principally injective, is right principally projective. Further we prove that, extending  $FI$ -semi-injective module  $M$  is co-Hopfian if and only if it satisfies the cancellation property.

## 1 Introduction

Structures and various properties of principally injective rings and principally injective modules has been studied in detail by many authors ([11], [14]), in the past. It may be recalled, for a ring  $R$ , if each homomorphism from a principal right ideal of  $R$  to  $R$  is given by a multiplication by an element of  $R$  on the left, then  $R$  is called principally injective. Along the same line, a module  $M$  is called principally injective if each homomorphism  $f : aR \rightarrow M, a \in R$ , extends to  $R$ . This notion was extended to modules by Sanh et.al.[15], and they came up with  $M$ -principal injectivity for a given right  $R$ -module  $M$  as a generalization to the idea of principal injectivity. It may be recalled that for a right  $R$ -module  $N$ , if every homomorphism from an  $M$ -cyclic submodule  $s(M)$  of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to a homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , then it is called  $M$ -principally injective. If  $M$  is  $M$ -principally injective, it is called semi injective (see [13], [15], [18]). In our study, we shall consider those  $M$ -cyclic submodule  $s(M)$  of  $M$  that are fully invariant. This will further extend the concept to  $FI$ -semi-injective modules from semi-injective modules. Similarly, the concept of  $FI - M$ -principally injective ( $FI$ -semi-injective) module are introduced as a proper generalization of  $M$ -principally injective (semi-injective) module respectively. Thus the class of  $FI$ -semi-injective module is larger than that of the semi-injective modules, and the following implication is obtained:

$$\text{Injective} \Rightarrow \text{Quasi-injective} \Rightarrow \text{Semi-injective} \Rightarrow \text{FI-semi-injective module}$$

In the following discussion,  $R$  will denote an associative ring with unity and the  $R$ -modules are unitary right  $R$ -modules. We shall use the notation  $N \subseteq M$  to mean that  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ . For  $N \subseteq M$ , if  $N \cap L = 0$  implies  $L = 0$ , then  $N$  is known as an essential submodule of  $M$ , denoted by  $N \subseteq_e M$ . For a nonzero module  $M$  if every submodule of  $M$ , other than the zero submodule, is essential in  $M$ , then it is called uniform. If there exists an epimorphism from  $M^{(I)}$  to  $N$ , where  $I$  is any index set, then  $N$  is called  $M$ -generated.  $N$  is called finitely  $M$ -generated in the case when  $I$  is finite. More particularly,  $N$  is called an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  if the index set  $I$  is a singleton set, equivalently if  $N \cong M/L$  for some submodule  $L$  of  $M$ . If  $s(K) \subseteq K$  for all  $s \in \text{End}(M_R)$ , then  $K$  is called fully invariant. A module is termed as duo if all of its submodules are fully invariant. A ring is called a duo ring if the ring, considered as a module over itself, is a duo module. A module which generates all its submodules is called a self generator module. When submodules of a module are totally ordered by inclusion, then the module is called a uniserial module. A module is called Hopfian (resp., co-Hopfian), if every

surjective (resp., injective) endomorphism of the module is an automorphism. If a module is such that every injective endomorphism is essential, then the module is called weakly co-Hopfian.

From [10], the following conditions may be recalled for an  $R$ -module  $M$ :

( $C_1$ ) In a direct summand of  $M$ , every submodule of  $M$  is essential or large.

( $C_2$ ) A submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand of  $M$  whenever it is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $M$ .

( $C_3$ ) Direct sum of two zero intersection direct summands is a direct summand of  $M$ .

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called extending (or CS) if and only if every proper direct summand of  $M$  is a closed submodule or it satisfies ( $C_1$ ), continuous if it satisfies ( $C_1$ ) with ( $C_2$ ) and quasi-continuous if it satisfies ( $C_1$ ) with ( $C_3$ ). An  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies ( $C_4$ ) if, whenever  $H$  and  $K$  are submodules of  $M$  with  $M = H \oplus K$  and  $f : H \rightarrow K$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism with  $\ker f \subseteq^{\oplus} H$ , then  $\text{Im} f \subseteq^{\oplus} K$ . If an  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies ( $C_4$ ), it is called a  $C_4$ -module. An extending  $C_4$ -module is called a pseudo-continuous module.

### 2 FI-M-Principal Injectivity

If there is an extended homomorphism from  $M$  to  $K$  for every homomorphism from  $s(M)$  to  $K$ ,  $s \in \text{End}(M_R)$  and  $s(M)$  is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  that is fully invariant, then  $K$  is termed fully invariant- $M$ -principally injective (briefly,  $FI - M$ -principally injective or  $FI - M$ -p-injective).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 O & \longrightarrow & s(M) \xrightarrow{i} M \\
 & & \downarrow f \quad \swarrow g \\
 & & K
 \end{array}$$

That is to say that,  $K$  is  $FI - M$ -p-injective, whenever  $s(M)$  is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  which is also fully invariant, every homomorphism  $f : s(M) \rightarrow K$ , can be splitted as  $f = g \circ i$ , where the homomorphism from  $M$  to  $K$  is denoted by  $g$  and the inclusion map to  $M$  from  $s(M)$  is denoted by  $i$ . If  $K$  is  $FI - R$ -principally injective then  $K$  is called  $FI$ -principally injective. As examples, we may take  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  as modules over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It can be verified that  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is  $FI - \mathbb{Z}_6$ -p-injective and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is  $FI - \mathbb{Z}_4$ -p-injective. If  $M$  is  $FI - M$ -p-injective, then  $M$  is called  $FI$ -semi-injective. For a ring  $R$ , if  $R_R$  is  $FI - R$ -p-injective then  $R$  is called right  $FI$ -self-p-injective. As examples, we can see that  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , considered as modules over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , are  $FI$ -semi-injective modules, whereas  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not  $FI$ -semi-injective over itself. In fact, examples of  $FI$ - semi-injective modules consists of modules that are simple, semisimple, semi-injective, quasi-injective,  $FI$ -self-p-injective rings and direct summands of these.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A uniserial duo FI-semi injective module is co-Hopfian.*

**Proof:** Suppose  $M$  is a uniserial duo  $FI$ -semi injective module. Let us consider an injective endomorphism  $f$  on  $M$ . As  $M$  is duo  $FI$ -semi-injective,  $f$  splits i.e.,  $f(M) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . Since  $M$  is uniserial,  $M$  is indecomposable and hence  $f(M) = M$ , therefore  $f$  is automorphism and hence  $M$  is co-Hopfian.

**Corollary 2.2.** *A uniserial duo FI-semi-injective module is weakly co-Hopfian.*

**Proposition 2.3.** *For a duo FI-semi-injective module  $M$ ,  $M$  is non-co-Hopfian if and only if there exists a decomposition  $M = N_r \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where  $N_r \cong M$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$ .*

**Proof:** Firstly, consider that  $M$  is non-co-Hopfian then we have a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  which is a monomorphism but not an isomorphism. Let  $N_1 = f(M)$ ,  $N_1 \neq M$  and  $g : N_1 \rightarrow M$  be an isomorphism. Then by  $FI$ -semi-injectivity of  $M$ ,  $g$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $h|_{N_1} = g$ . Therefore  $M = N_1 \oplus \text{Ker}(h) = N_1 \oplus M_1$  where  $M_1 = \text{Ker}(h) \neq 0$ .

Again, since  $N_1$  is non-co-Hopfian, by similar argument we get  $N_1 = N_2 \oplus M_2$  with  $N_2 \cong N_1$  and  $M_2 \neq 0$ , thus  $M = N_2 \oplus (M_1 \oplus M_2)$ . Now using the principal of mathematical induction and definition of co-Hopfian module, we reach the desired result  $M = N_r \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where  $N_r \cong M$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Conversely, assume that  $M = N_r \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  where  $N_r \cong M$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  then  $M$  is non-co-Hopfian as it is not directly finite.

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $M$  is extending  $FI$ -semi-injective module, then  $M$  is co-Hopfian if and only if it satisfies the cancellation property.*

**Proof:** Assume that  $M$  is co-Hopfian, so is directly finite (Proposition 1.33 [12]). Then by Corollary 1.19 [12]  $M$  satisfies cancellation property. Conversely, let  $M$  be non-co-Hopfian, then by Proposition 2.4, there exists decomposition of  $M = M \oplus 0 = N_1 \oplus M_1$  where  $N_1 \cong M$  and  $M_1 \neq 0$ . By assumption  $M$  has cancellation property, so we get  $M_1 = 0$  which is a contradiction to our assumption  $M_1 \neq 0$ . Hence, assumption that  $M$  is non-co-Hopfian is wrong.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Any  $FI$ -semi-injective module satisfies  $(C_4)$  condition.*

**Proof:** By Proposition 1.17 [12]  $FI$ -semi-injective module satisfies  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  conditions and we know  $(C_2) \Rightarrow (C_3) \Rightarrow (C_4)$ , thus we get the desired result.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Following statements are equivalent for extending  $FI$ -semi-injective module:*

- i.  $M$  is a clean module.
- ii.  $M$  has finite exchange property.
- iii.  $M$  has full exchange property

**Proof:** Proof follows in the light of Theorem 4.3 of [3] and remark 1.18 of [12].

The concept of epi-retractable module came into being in 2009 through Ghorbani and Vedadi gave [6]. If every submodule of  $M$  is  $M$ -cyclic, then the  $R$ -module  $M$  is called epi-retractable .

**Lemma 2.7.** *For an  $FI$ -semi injective and epi-retractable module  $M$  and  $S = \text{End}(M_R)$ ,  $S = \{f \in S | f(M) \subseteq^{\oplus} M\}$  if and only if every submodule of  $M$  is  $FI - M$ -Principally injective.*

**Proof:** Let  $f \in S$ , then  $f(M) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$  and so it is  $FI - M$ -principally injective. Conversely, Let  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $s \in S$  and let  $g : s(M) \rightarrow A$  be any homomorphism. By assumption,  $s(M) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . Set  $f = g\pi$ , where  $\pi$  is the natural projection from  $M$  to  $s(M)$ . Then  $f|_{s(M)} = g$ . Hence,  $A$  is  $FI - M$ -principally injective.

**Proposition 2.8.** *The endomorphism ring of a finite-dimensional  $FI$ -semi injective module is a semi local ring.*

**Proof:** Let the finite-dimensional  $FI$ -semi injective module be  $M$ . If  $M$  is finite-dimensional, from a result by Camps and Dicks in [4] it suffices to show that every monomorphism  $f$  on  $M$  is an isomorphism. By hypothesis  $M$  is  $FI$ -semi-injective,so  $M$  satisfies  $(C_2)$  hence  $M \cong f(M)$ . It follows that  $f(M) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . Also, since  $M$  is finite dimensional,  $f(M) \subseteq_e M$ . Hence,  $f(M) = M$ , showing that  $f$  is an isomorphism.

If the right annihilator of every element of a ring  $R$  is generated by an idempotent, then the ring  $R$  is called right principally projective

**Proposition 2.9.** *If  $M$  is an epi-retractable  $R$ -module whose submodules are  $FI - M$ -principally injective, then its endomorphism ring  $S$  is right principally projective.*

**Proof:** A submodule of an  $FI$ -semi-injective is a direct summand of  $M$ , since it is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . If  $f(M)$  is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  for some  $f \in S$ , there exist an idempotent  $e \in S$  with  $Im(f) = f(M) = e(M)$ . For the  $S$ -homomorphism  $g : S \rightarrow fS$ ,  $g(s) = sf$ , we have  $Ker(g) = (1-e)S$ . For this  $(1-e)f(M) = (1-e)e(M) = 0$  and so  $(1-e)S \subseteq Ker(g)$ . Next,  $a \in Ker(g)$  implies that  $ae(M) = af(M) = 0$  implies that  $ae = 0$  this shows that  $a \in (1-e)S$ .

Let  $J(M)$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $M$ , which is nothing but the intersection of all maximal submodules of  $M_R$ . If  $M$  has no maximal submodule, then we define  $J(M) = M$ . Let  $W(S) = \{w \in S | Ker(w) \subseteq_e M\}$  where  $S = End(M_R)$ . It is known that  $W(S)$  is a right, as well as a left ideal in  $S$  [10]. It can be seen that  $W(S) \subseteq \{w \in S | 1-sw \text{ is a monomorphism for all } s \in S\}$  since  $Ker(w) \cap Ker(1-sw) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** For two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $N$  is  $FI$ - $M$ -principally injective if and only if for each fully invariant endomorphism  $s$ ,  $Hom_R(M, N)_s = \{f \in Hom_R(M, N) | f(Ker(s)) = 0\}$ .

**Proof :** Proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.9 [12].

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $M$  be  $FI$ -semi-injective then  $J(S) = \{s \in S | 1-ts \text{ is a monomorphism for all } t \in S\}$ , where  $S = End(M_R)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $s \in J(S)$  and  $t \in S$ ,  $g(1-ts) = 1_M$  for some  $g \in S$ . Hence,  $Ker(1-ts) = 0$ , implying that  $J(S) \subseteq \{s \in S | 1-ts \text{ is a monomorphism for all } t \in S\}$ . Conversely, if  $Ker(1-ts) = 0$ , then  $l_S(Ker(1-ts)) = S$ . From the above Lemma, we then have  $S = S(1-ts)$  implying that  $1_M = g(1-ts)$  for some  $g \in S$ . Hence,  $s \in J(S)$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** If  $S$  is local, where  $S = End(M_R)$  and  $M$  is  $FI$ -semi injective, then  $J(S) = \{s \in S | s \text{ is fully invariant endomorphism and } Ker(s) \neq 0\}$

**Proof:** If  $S$  is local,  $Ss \neq S$  for any fully invariant endomorphism  $s \in J(S)$ . If  $s$  is a monomorphism, then  $\alpha : s(M) \rightarrow M$  given by  $\alpha(s(M)) = m$ ,  $m \in M$ , is an  $R$ -homomorphism. An extension of  $\alpha$  to  $M$  exist, say  $\beta \in S$ , since  $M$  is  $FI$ -semi-injective. But this implies that  $\beta s = 1_M$  so  $Ss = S$ , which is a contradiction, showing that  $J(S) \subseteq \{s \in S | s \text{ is fully invariant endomorphism and } Ker(s) \neq 0\}$ . The other inclusion is clear.

## References

- [1] F. W. Anderson, K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [2] G. F. Birkenmeier, B. J. Muller and S. T. Rizvi, *Modules in which every fully invariant submodule is essential in a direct summand*, Communication in Algebra, Vol. 30, 2002, 1395-1415.
- [3] V. P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T. Y. Lam, W. K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, *Continuous modules are clean*, J. Algebra, 304 (1), (2006), 94-111
- [4] R. Camps, W. Dicks, *On semilocal rings*, Israel J. Math. 81 (1993), no. 1-2, 203-211
- [5] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [6] A. Ghorbani, M. R. Vedadi, *Epi-retractable modules and some applications*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, 35(1), 2009, 155-166.
- [7] V. Kumar, A. J. Gupta, B. M. Pandeya, M. K. Patel, *C-pseudo injective modules*, East-West J. of Mathematics: Vol. 14, No 1. 2012, 68-76.
- [8] V. Kumar, A. J. Gupta, B. M. Pandeya, M. K. Patel, *M-SP-Injective module*, Asian European Journal of Mathematics, Vol 5, 2011, 1-11.
- [9] R. P. Kurshan, *Rings whose cyclic module has finitely generated socle*, Journal of Algebra : Vol. 15, 1970, 376-386.
- [10] S. H. Mohamed, B. J. Muller, *Continuous and Discrete module*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [11] W. K. Nicholson, M. F. Yousif, *Principally Injective Rings*, Journal of Algebra, 174, 1995, 77-93.
- [12] M. K. Patel, S. Chase, *FI- Semi-Injective Modules*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11(1), 2022, 182-190.

- [13] M. K. Patel, B. M. Pandeya, A. J. Gupta, V. Kumar, *Quasi-P-Injective modules*, International J. of Algebra, Vol. 4, 2010, 1255-1259..
- [14] G. Puninski, R. Wisbauer, M. F. Yousif, *On P-injective ring*, Glasgow Math. Journal, Vol. 37, 1995, 373-378.
- [15] N. V. Sanh, K. P. Shum, S. Dhompongsa, S. Wongwai, *On Quasi Principally Injective Modules*, Algebra Colloquium, 6(3), 1999, 269-276.
- [16] K. Varadarajan, *Properties of endomorphism rings*, Acta Math. Hungar., 74(1-2), 1997, 83-92.
- [17] R. Wisbauer, *Foundation of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, London-tokyo, (1991).
- [18] S. Wongwai, *On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective module*, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. 71, 2002, 27-33.

### Author information

S. Chase and M. K. Patel, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Nagaland, Dimapur-797103, Nagaland, India.

E-mail: [sedevikho.chase@gmail.com](mailto:sedevikho.chase@gmail.com), [mkpitb@gmail.com](mailto:mkpitb@gmail.com)

Received: 2022-08-03.

Accepted: 2022-11-06.