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#### Abstract

The paper uses the theory of quasinormal families to study the value distribution of derivatives of meromorphic functions. Let $R$ be a nonzero rational function, and let $f$ be a meromorphic function, whose zeros are multiple. We prove that if $\rho(f)>2$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=a(z)$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane, where $a(z)=R(z) \tan z$ or $a(z)=R(z) \mathrm{e}^{z}$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane and $D$ be a domain on $\mathbb{C}$. For $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r>0$, we write $\bar{\Delta}\left(z_{0}, r\right):=$ $\left\{z\left|\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq r\right\}, \Delta\left(z_{0}, r\right):=\left\{z| | z-z_{0} \mid<r\right\}, \Delta:=\Delta(0,1)\right.$ and $\Delta^{\prime}\left(z_{0}, r\right):=\left\{z\left|0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r\right\}\right.$. We write $f_{n} \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} f$ in $D$ to indicate that the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges to $f$ in the spherical metric uniformly on compact subsets of $D$ and $f_{n} \Rightarrow f$ in $D$ if the convergence is in the Euclidean metric.

For $f$ meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ and $D$ a domain on $\mathbb{C}$, set

$$
f^{\#}(z):=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \text { and } S(D, f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D}\left[f^{\#}(z)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

Set $S(r, f):=S(\Delta(0, r), f)$. The Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic is defined by $T_{0}(r, f)=$ $\int_{0}^{r} \frac{S(t, f)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t$. Let $T(r, f)$ denote the usual Nevanlinna characteristic function. Since $T(r, f)-$ $T_{0}(r, f)$ is bounded as a function of $r$, we can replace $T_{0}(r, f)$ with $T(r, f)$ in the sequel.

Recall that a family $\mathcal{F}$ of functions meromorphic in $D$ is said to be quasinormal in $D$ if from each sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ one can extract a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which converges locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric in $D \backslash E$, where the set $E$ (which may depend on $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}$ ) has no accumulation points in $D$. For further details, please see [1, pp. 131-132].

Our point of departure is the following classical result of Hayman in the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions.

Theorem 1.1. [2] Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function. If $f(z) \neq 0$ for each $z$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=1$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane.

A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is called a small function with respect to $f(z)$ provided that $T(r, a(z))=o\{T(r, f(z))\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside of a possible exceptional set of $r$ of finite linear measure.

We wonder if Theorem 1.1 still holds provided that the constant 1 is replaced by a small function $a(z)$ with respect to $f(z)$.

In 2008, Pang et al. gave a generalized version of Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 1.2. [3] Let $\alpha(z)$ be a nonzero rational function, and let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, whose zeros are multiple. Then $f^{\prime}(z)=\alpha(z)$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane.

In 2013, Yang and Nevo [4] proved the following result.
Theorem 1.3. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane, whose zeros are multiple, and let $\alpha(z)$ be a nonconstant elliptic function such that $T(r, \alpha)=o\{T(r, f)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then $f^{\prime}(z)=\alpha(z)$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane.

In 2017, Yang et al. obtained the following result.
Theorem 1.4. [5] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane, whose poles are multiple and whose zeros have multiplicity at least 3 . Let $\alpha(z):=\beta(z) \exp (\gamma(z))$, where $\beta(z)$ is a nonconstant elliptic function and $\gamma(z)$ is an entire function. If $\sigma(f(z))>\sigma(\alpha(z))$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=\alpha(z)$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane.

In this paper, we continue to consider the value distribution of derivatives of meromorphic functions.

## 2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in $D$, and suppose that there exists $M \geq 1$ such that $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M$ whenever $f(z)=0$. Then if $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z_{0}$, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,
(a) points $z_{n}, z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$,
(b) functions $f_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$, and
(c) positive numbers $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$
such that $\rho_{n}^{-\alpha} f_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)=g_{n}(\zeta) \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} g(\zeta)$ on $\mathbb{C}$, where $g$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $g^{\#}(\zeta) \leq g^{\#}(0)=M+1$. In particular, $g$ has order at most 2 .

This is the local version of [6, Lemma 2] (cf. [7, Lemma 1]; [8, pp. 216-217]). The proof consists of a simple change of variable in the result cited from [6]; cf. [9, pp. 299-300]. For a thorough discussion of related issues, see [10].

Lemma 2.2. [4, Lemma 3.9] Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in $D$, all of whose zeros are multiple, and let $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic functions in $D$ such that $\psi_{n} \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} \psi$ in $D$, where $\psi(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$ in $D$. If for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n}^{\prime}(z) \neq \psi_{n}(z)$ for all $z \in D$, then $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is quasinormal in $D$.

Lemma 2.3. [11, Lemma 17] Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in $D$, whose zeros are multiple. Let $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic functions in $D$ such that $\psi_{n}(z) \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} \psi(z)$ in $D$, where $\psi$ is a nonzero holomorphic function in $D$. Let $E \subset D$ be a set which has no accumulation points in $D$. Assume that
(a) $\psi$ and $\psi_{n}$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicity;
(b) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $z \in D, f_{n}^{\prime}(z) \neq \psi_{n}(z)$;
(c) for each $a^{*} \in E$, no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at $a^{*}$;
(d) $f_{n}(z) \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} f(z)$ in $D \backslash E$.

Then
(e) for each $a^{*} \in E$, there exist $r_{a^{*}}>0$ and $N_{a}>0$ such that for sufficiently large $n$, $n\left(\Delta\left(a^{*}, r_{a^{*}}\right), \frac{1}{f_{n}}\right)<N_{a^{*}}$, where $r_{a^{*}}$ and $N_{a^{*}}$ only depend on $a^{*}$;
(f) for each $a^{*} \in E, f(z)=\int_{a^{*}}^{z} \psi(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta$ in $D \backslash E$.

Lemma 2.4. [12, Lemma 2.5] Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in $\Delta\left(z_{0}, r\right)$. Suppose that
(a) there exists $M_{0}>0$ such that $n\left(\Delta\left(z_{0}, r\right), \frac{1}{f_{n}}\right) \leq M_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n$, and
(b) $f_{n} \xrightarrow{\chi} f$ in $\Delta^{\prime}\left(z_{0}, r\right)$, where $f(\not \equiv 0)$ may be $\infty$ identically.

Then there exists $M>0$ such that $S\left(\Delta\left(z_{0}, r / 4\right), f_{n}\right)<M$ for sufficiently large $n$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order $\rho(f)>2$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that $f^{\#}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), f\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 2.6. From the process of proof of Lemma 10 in [13] (see [13, p.12]), it is easy to see that Lemma 2.5 holds. A full and complete proof of Lemma 2.5 is given in [14, p.1278].

Lemma 2.7. Let $d$ be an integer, and let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, all but finite many of whose zeros are multiple. Set $g(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z^{d}}$. If $\rho(f)>2$, then there exist $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow \infty \text { and } S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. Clearly, $\rho(g)>2$. By Lemma 2.5, there exist $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that $g^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ and $S\left(\Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $g_{n}(z):=g\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)$ for $z \in \Delta$. Clearly, $g_{n}^{\#}(0)=g^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is not normal at 0 . It is also clear that all zeros of $g_{n}(z)$ are multiple for sufficiently large $n$ in $\Delta$. Using Lemma 2.1 for $\alpha=1 / 2$, there exist points $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$, positive numbers $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and a subsequence of $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ ) such that

$$
G_{n}(\zeta)=\frac{g_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)}{\rho_{n}^{1 / 2}} \xlongequal{\chi} G(\zeta) \text { in } \mathbb{C}
$$

where $G$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, all of whose zeros are multiple.
Set $\alpha_{n}:=\beta_{n}+z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta_{0}$, where $\zeta_{0}$ is not a zero or pole of $G^{\prime}(\zeta)$ (In fact, $G^{\prime}(\zeta)$ is not a constant function. Otherwise, either $G$ is a constant function, or $G$ has a simple zero). Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{g\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\rho_{n}^{1 / 2}} & =\frac{g_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta_{0}\right)}{\rho_{n}^{1 / 2}}=G_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \rightarrow G\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \\
\rho_{n}^{1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) & =\rho_{n}^{1 / 2} g_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta_{0}\right)=G_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \rightarrow G^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \quad g\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { and } g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}}=g\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}}=\left.\frac{\left(z^{d} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\alpha_{n}^{d}}\right|_{z=\alpha_{n}}=\frac{d}{\alpha_{n}} \cdot g\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Set $\delta_{n}:=\varepsilon_{n}+\left|\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right|=\varepsilon_{n}+\left|z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta_{0}\right|$. Obviously, $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \subset \Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)$, and hence $S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $d$ be an integer, and let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, all but finite many of whose zeros are multiple. Set $g(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z}}$. If $\rho(f)>2$, then there exist $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow \infty \text { and } S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. Since $\rho\left(z^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z}\right)=1$, we have $\rho(g)>2$. Set $g_{n}(z):=g\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)$ for $z \in \Delta$.
Using the same argument as in Lemma 2.7, we can show that

$$
\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \quad g\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { and } g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\alpha_{n}$ has the same definition as in Lemma 2.7. A simple calculation shows that

$$
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}}=g\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}}=\left.\frac{\left(z^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}}\right|_{z=\alpha_{n}}=\left(1+\frac{d}{\alpha_{n}}\right) g\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

Set $\delta_{n}:=\varepsilon_{n}+\left|\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right|$. Then we also have $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3 Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $R$ be a nonzero rational function, and let $f$ be a meromorphic function, all but finite many of whose zeros are multiple. If $\rho(f)>2$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=R(z) \tan z$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane (including the possibility of infinitely many common poles of $f$ and $\tan z$ ).

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 still holds provided that $\tan z$ is replaced by $\cot z$.
Proof. We present the proof of Theorem 3.1 using reduction to absurdity.
Now let us assume that $f^{\prime}(z)=R(z) \tan z$ has finitely many solutions in the complex plane. Let $R(z) \sim c z^{d}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $c$ is a finite nonzero complex number and $d$ is an integer.

Set $g(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z^{d}}$. Clearly, $\rho(g)>2$. By Lemma 2.7, there exist $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Write $\alpha_{n}:=x_{n}+i y_{n}$. Taking a subsequence and renumbering if necessary, we may assume that $y_{n} \rightarrow y^{*}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider the following two cases.
Case $1 y^{*} \neq \pm \infty$.
There exist integers $j_{n}$ and points $\widehat{x}_{n} \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ such that $\widehat{x}_{n}=x_{n}-\pi j_{n}$. Taking a suitable subsequence and renumbering if necessary, we may assume that $\widehat{x}_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$. Clearly, $x^{*} \in\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.

Set $\beta^{*}=x^{*}+i y^{*}, \beta_{n}:=\beta^{*}+\pi j_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}:=\left|\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right|+\delta_{n}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } S\left(\Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, a simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right|=\left|\left(\widehat{x}_{n}-x^{*}\right)+i\left(y_{n}-y^{*}\right)\right| \leq\left|\widehat{x}_{n}-x^{*}\right|+\left|y_{n}-y^{*}\right| \rightarrow 0  \tag{3.4}\\
\sigma_{n}=\left|\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right|+\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right) \subset \Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)$ and hence $S\left(\Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty$.
Set

$$
g_{n}(z):=g\left(z+\beta_{n}\right) \text { and } f_{n}(z):=\frac{f\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)}{\beta_{n}^{d}} \text { for } z \in \Delta
$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
S\left(\Delta\left(0, \sigma_{n}\right), g_{n}\right)=S\left(\Delta\left(\beta_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty  \tag{3.5}\\
g_{n}(z)=\frac{\beta_{n}^{d}}{\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)^{d}} \cdot f_{n}(z) \text { and } \frac{\beta_{n}^{d}}{\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)^{d}} \Rightarrow 1 \text { in } \Delta . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}\left(\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{n}^{d}}{\beta_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{n}^{d}}{\beta_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (3.4) and (3.7) imply that no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at $z=0$.
Now, we have, for sufficiently large $n$,
(a1) all zeros of $f_{n}$ are multiple in $\Delta$,
(a2) $f_{n}^{\prime}(z) \neq \psi_{n}$ in $\Delta$, where $\psi_{n}=\frac{R\left(z+\beta_{n}\right)}{\beta_{n}^{d}} \tan \left(z+\beta_{n}\right) \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} c \tan \left(z+\beta^{*}\right)$ in $\Delta$.
By Lemma 2.2, $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is quasinormal in $\Delta$. Thus there exist a subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left.\left\{f_{n}\right\}\right)$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that
(b1) no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at 0 ,
(b2) $f_{n} \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} f^{*}$ in $\Delta^{\prime}(0, \delta)$, where $f^{*}$ is meromorphic or identically infinite there.
By Lemma 2.3, we have
(c1) there exist $r_{0} \in(0, \delta)$ and $N_{0}>0$ such that $n\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0}\right), 1 / f_{n}\right)<N_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n$,
(c2) $f^{*}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} c \tan \left(\zeta+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta$ in $\Delta^{\prime}(0, \delta)$.
Since $f^{*}(z)$ is a single-valued meromorphic function, $\int_{0}^{z} c \tan \left(\zeta+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta$ must also be a singlevalued meromorphic function, and hence $\tan \left(\zeta+\beta^{*}\right)$ must be holomorphic in $\Delta^{\prime}(0, \delta)$. It follows from (3.6), (c1) and (c2) that
(d1) $n\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0}\right), 1 / g_{n}\right)<N_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n$,
(d2) $g_{n}(z) \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{z} c \tan \left(\zeta+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta$ in $\Delta^{\prime}\left(0, r_{0}\right)$.
By Lemma 2.4, there exists $M>0$ such that $S\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0} / 4\right), g_{n}\right)<M$ for sufficiently large $n$. This contradicts (3.5).

Case $2 y^{*}=+\infty$ or $y^{*}=-\infty$.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y^{*}=+\infty$.
Set

$$
g_{n}(z):=g\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right) \text { and } f_{n}(z):=\frac{f\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \text { for } z \in \Delta
$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\Delta\left(0, \delta_{n}\right), g_{n}\right)=S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(z)=\frac{\alpha_{n}^{d}}{\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)^{d}} \cdot f_{n}(z) \text { and } \frac{\alpha_{n}^{d}}{\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)^{d}} \Rightarrow 1 \text { in } \Delta . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$
f_{n}(0)=\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } f_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then, no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at $z=0$.
Now, we have, for sufficiently large $n$,
(A1) all zeros of $f_{n}$ are multiple in $\Delta$,
(A2) $f_{n}^{\prime}(z) \neq \psi_{n}$ in $\Delta$, where $\psi_{n}=\frac{R\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d}} \tan \left(z+\alpha_{n}\right) \Rightarrow c i$ in $\Delta$.
(A note: $\tan z=\frac{1}{i} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i z}-\mathrm{e}^{-i z}}{\mathrm{e}^{i z}+\mathrm{e}^{-i z}} \rightarrow i$ as $\operatorname{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty$.)
By Lemma 2.2, $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is quasinormal in $\Delta$. Thus there exist a subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left.\left\{f_{n}\right\}\right)$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that
(B1) no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at 0 ,
(B2) $f_{n} \stackrel{\chi}{\Rightarrow} f^{*}$ in $\Delta^{\prime}(0, \delta)$, where $f^{*}$ is meromorphic or identically infinite there.
By Lemma 2.3, we have
(C1) there exist $r_{0} \in(0, \delta)$ and $N_{0}>0$ such that $n\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0}\right), 1 / f_{n}\right)<N_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n$,
(C2) $f^{*}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} c i \mathrm{~d} \zeta=c i z$ in $\Delta^{\prime}(0, \delta)$.
It follows from (3.9), (C1) and (C2) that
(D1) $n\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0}\right), 1 / g_{n}\right)<N_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n$,
(D2) $g_{n}(z) \Rightarrow c i z$ in $\Delta^{\prime}\left(0, r_{0}\right)$.

By Lemma 2.4, there exists $M>0$ such that $S\left(\Delta\left(0, r_{0} / 4\right), g_{n}\right)<M$ for sufficiently large $n$. This contradicts (3.8).

Theorem 3.3. Let $R$ be a nonzero rational function, and let $f$ be a meromorphic function, all but finite many of whose zeros are multiple. If $\rho(f)>2$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=R(z) \mathrm{e}^{z}$ has infinitely many solutions in the complex plane.

Proof. We assume that $f^{\prime}(z)=R(z) \mathrm{e}^{z}$ has at most finitely many zeros and derive a contradiction. Let $R(z) \sim c z^{d}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $c$ is a finite nonzero complex number and $d$ is an integer.

Set $g(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z}}$. By Lemma 2.8, there exists a sequence $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Set

$$
g_{n}(z):=g\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right) \text { and } f_{n}(z):=\frac{f\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \text { for } z \in \Delta
$$

Then we see that

$$
S\left(\Delta\left(0, \delta_{n}\right), g_{n}\right)=S\left(\Delta\left(\alpha_{n}, \delta_{n}\right), g\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

$$
g_{n}(z)=\frac{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}}{\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z+\alpha_{n}}} \cdot f_{n}(z) \text { and } \frac{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}}{\left(z+\alpha_{n}\right)^{d} \mathrm{e}^{z+\alpha_{n}}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-z} \text { in } \Delta
$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$
f_{n}(0)=\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } f_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\alpha_{n}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{n}}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then, no subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal at $z=0$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in case 2 and we omit the details here.
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