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#### Abstract

The primary objective of this paper is to investigate $\sigma$-centralizing mappings within $\sigma$-prime rings and assess the commutativity of $\sigma$-prime rings with involution that satisfy specific identities in derivations. Finally, we will furnish examples to demonstrate the necessity of these assumptions.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we will use the symbol $\mathfrak{R}$ to denote an associative ring and $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ will represent the centre of the ring $\Re$. For any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Re$, the notation $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ illustrate the commutator $x_{1} x_{2}-x_{2} x_{1}$, and $\mathfrak{R}$ is called 2-torsion free if $2 x_{1}=0 \Longrightarrow x_{1}=0$ for $x_{1} \in \Re$. We use this basic identity $\left[x_{1} x_{2}, z\right]=x_{1}\left[x_{2}, z\right]+\left[x_{1}, z\right] x_{2},\left[x_{1}, x_{2} z\right]=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] z+x_{2}\left[x_{1}, z\right]$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, z \in \mathfrak{R}$ as and when required. Remember that an involution is defined as an anti-automorphism of order 2 . A ring $\mathfrak{R}$ is designated as a $\sigma$-prime ring if the conditions $a \mathfrak{R} b=a \mathfrak{R} \sigma(b)=(0)$ or $\sigma(a) \mathfrak{R} b=a \mathfrak{R} b=(0)$ imply that either $a=0$ or $b=0$. It's important to note that while every prime ring with involution is a $\sigma$-prime ring, the converse may not hold true in all cases. For example: Consider the set $S$, defined as $S=\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{0}$, where $\mathfrak{R}^{0}$ represents the opposite ring of $\mathfrak{R}$. We introduce a mapping $\sigma$ on $S$ defined as $\sigma(x, y)=(y, x)$. Consequently, $S$ qualifies as a $\sigma$-prime ring; however, it does not meet the criteria for being a prime ring. We establish the terms hermitian for an element $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ when $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$, and skew-hermitian when $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=-x_{1}$. The assemblage of hermitian elements and skew-hermitian elements in $\mathfrak{R}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{J}_{H}$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{S}$, respectively. In the case where $\mathfrak{R}$ is 2-torsion free, each element $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ can be uniquely expressed as $2 x_{1}=h+k$, where $h$ belongs to $\mathfrak{J}_{H}$ (the set of hermitian elements), and $k$ belongs to $\mathfrak{J}_{S}$ (the set of skew-hermitian elements). The involution $\sigma$ is classified as first kind if the centre of $\mathfrak{R}$, denoted as $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, is contained within $\mathfrak{J}_{H}$. If $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ is not a subset of $\mathfrak{J}_{H}$, $\sigma$ is referred to as second kind. It's important to note that when $\sigma$ is of the second kind, it implies that $\mathfrak{J}_{S} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$. An element $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ that satisfies the condition $x_{1} \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)=\sigma\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1}$ is termed a normal element. If all elements in R meet this condition, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is labeled as a normal ring. For an example of a normal ring, refer to [5].

A mapping $\psi$ applied to $\Re$ is referred to as a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ if it satisfies the conditions $\psi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}\right)+\psi\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $\psi\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}\right) x_{2}+x_{1} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. A map $f$ that operates from $\mathfrak{R}$ into itself is termed a centralizing map on $\mathfrak{R}$ if the condition $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ holds for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Specifically, if $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right]=0$ holds for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, it is referred to as a commuting map. Inspired by the concept of a centralizing map, a map $f$ that operates from $\mathfrak{R}$ into itself is denoted as $\sigma$-centralizing if it adheres to the condition $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Similarly, it is termed $\sigma$-commuting if $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Therefore, it is reasonable to investigate the aforementioned mappings in the context of prime rings, $\sigma$-prime
rings and semi-prime rings with involution.
Posner previously demonstrated that in the presence of a nonzero centralizing derivation within a prime ring, the prime ring must necessarily be commutative. In recent years, several algebraists have established the commutativity theorem for prime and semi-prime rings by incorporating automorphisms and derivations into their investigations for detail see [1, 3, 4, 7, 8]. In 2014, S. Ali and colleagues, as documented in [2], initiated an investigation into the $\sigma$-version of Posner's theorem. They established that if $\mathfrak{R}$ is a prime ring with involution $\sigma$, and the characteristic of $\mathfrak{R}$ is not equal to 2 , and if $\psi$ is a non-zero derivation of $\mathfrak{R}$ satisfying the condition $[\psi(x), \sigma(x)] \in$ $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $\psi\left(\mathfrak{J}_{S} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})\right) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is proven to be commutative. The primary objective of this paper is to investigate the $\sigma$-version of Posner's theorem as it pertains to $\sigma$-prime rings. Furthermore, we have also established the commutativity of $\sigma$-prime rings by considering differential identities.

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. Consider $\mathfrak{R}$ as a $\sigma$-prime ring with the involution $\sigma$, and let $\psi$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{\Re}$ that commutes with $\sigma$. For any element a in $\mathfrak{R}$, if a $\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then it follows that a must be 0 , or $\psi$ is the zero derivation.

Proof. We have give that $a \psi\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, on replacing $x_{1}$ by $x_{1} x_{2}$, we obtain $a x_{1} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. On changing $x_{2}$ by $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)$, we get $a x_{1} \psi\left(\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=0$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then we have $a \mathfrak{R} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)=a \mathfrak{R} \psi\left(\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=(0)$, by the definition of $\sigma$-prime rings we have either $a=0$ or $\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for all $x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$, implies $\psi=0$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a $\sigma$-prime rings, with involution $\sigma$ and $I \neq(0)$ be a right ideal in $\mathfrak{R}$. If $\psi$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ which is zero on $I$ and commutes with $\sigma$, then $\psi$ is zero on $\mathfrak{R}$.

Proof. As we have that, $\psi(I)=0$ implies $0=\psi(I \mathfrak{R})=\psi(I) \mathfrak{R}+I \psi(\mathfrak{R})=I \psi(\mathfrak{R})$, so by Lemma 2.1, we have $\psi(\mathfrak{R})=(0)$ implies $\psi=0$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ is $\sigma$-prime rings with involution $\sigma$ contains a commutative non-zero right ideal $I$ and $\sigma$ commutes with derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$, then $\Re$ is commutative.

Proof. For $x_{1} \in I$, we have $\left[x_{1}, I\right]=(0)=I_{x_{1}}(I)$ so by Lemma 2.1, we have $I_{x_{1}}=(0)$ on $\Re$ gives us, $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ implies $\left[x_{1}, \mathfrak{R}\right]=(0)$ for all $x_{1} \in I,[a, I]=(0)=I_{a}(I)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, using Lemma 2.1, we have $I_{a}=0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{R}$ implies $a \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, yields the desired result.

Lemma 2.4. Let $b$ and $a b$, is in the centre of $\sigma$-prime ring $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\sigma$ commutes with $\psi$, if $b \neq 0$, then a must be in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$.

Proof. Since $b$ and $a b$ is in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, then $0=[a b, r]=[a, r] b$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, further implies $I_{a}(r) b=0$, applying Lemma 2.1, we get either $b=0$ or $I_{a}=0$, since $b \neq 0$ then later case implies that $a \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a $\sigma$-prime ring of characteristics different from 2, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is 2-torsion free.
Proof. Assume $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $2 x_{1}=0$ implies, $2 x_{1} r s=0$ for all $r, s \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $x_{1} \mathfrak{R}(2 s)=(0)$ for all $s \in \Re$. Since characteristics of $\Re$ is different from 2 and $\Re \neq(0)$, this gives us $s \neq 0 \in \Re$ satisfying $2 s \neq 0$, gives us $(0)=x_{1} \Re(2 s)=x_{1} \Re \sigma(2 s)$, by the definition of $\sigma$-prime rings we obtain $x_{1}=0$, hence $\mathfrak{R}$ is 2 -torsion free.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\Re$ be a 2-torsion free semi prime ring. If $b \in \Re$ commutes with all of its commutator $\left[b, x_{1}\right]$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $b \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{\Re})$.

Lemma 2.7. For $\sigma$-prime ring $\mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{S} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, are free from zero-divisor.
Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \mathfrak{J}_{H}$ and $a b=0$, implies $a b r=0$ for all $r \in \mathfrak{R}$ gives us $(0)=a \mathfrak{R} b=$ $a \Re \sigma(b)$, by definition of $\sigma$-prime ring, we have $a=0$ or $b=0$, which completes the proof of Lemma.

Proposition 2.8. ([2], Proposition 2.2) Let $(\Re, \sigma)$ be a 2 -torsion free semiprime ring. If $f$ : $\mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is an additive mapping and satisfying $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathfrak{\Re}$ be a $\sigma$-prime ring of characteristics different from 2 , where involution $\sigma$ is of the second kind and if $\mathfrak{R}$ is normal, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. Given that $\mathfrak{R}$ is normal, it follows that $h k=k h$ where $h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}$ and $k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}$. For any $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, we have $x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right) \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) h \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma$ is of the second kind then we have $0 \neq s \in \mathfrak{J}_{S} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $s\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, using normality of rings $\mathfrak{R}$ we have, $h s\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=s\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) h$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, where $h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}$, therefore last relation further implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left\{h\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) h\right\}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Applying Lemma 2.7, we find that either $s=0$ or $h\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) h$. First case is not possible by our supposition and later case together with (2.1), gives $h x_{1}=x_{1} h$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. On changing $x_{1}$ by $x_{2}$ for any $x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{2}=x_{2} h \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the fact that $x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}$, replacing $h$ by $x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)$ in (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} x_{2}=x_{2}\left\{x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Now, we take $0 \neq s \in \mathfrak{J}_{S} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, then $s\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}$ and equation (2.3) implies that, $s\left\{\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) x_{2}-x_{2}\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right\}=0$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Using Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) x_{2}=x_{2}\left(x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Last equation together with (2.4), gives us $x_{1} x_{2}=x_{2} x_{1}$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Accordingly, we reach the prescribed result.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a $\sigma$-prime ring with involution $\sigma$, which is of the second kind, if $\sigma$ is centralizing then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. Based on the given criterion. For all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linearizing (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Last relation further implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{1}\right]+\left[\left[\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right], x_{1}\right]=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{2} x_{1}$ in (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{1}\right] x_{1}+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\left[\left[\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right], x_{1}\right]+\left[\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right]\left[\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{1}\right] x_{1}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\left[\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]+\left[\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right]\left[\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $x_{2} x_{1}$ in place of $x_{2}$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{1}\right] x_{1}^{2}-\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\left[\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right] x_{1}+\left[\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right] \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\left[\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{\Re}$. Using equations (2.10) and (2.11) and substituting $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)$ for $x_{1}$ and $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $x_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\left\{x_{1}\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]-\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{2} x_{1}$ in (2.12), we attain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\left\{x_{1}\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{1}-\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{1} \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. When (2.12) is utilized in (2.13), it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]\left\{-x_{1} \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)+\sigma\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1}\right\}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. In such a way that we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2} \mathfrak{R}\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Replacing $x_{1}$ by $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $x_{2}$ by $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2} \mathfrak{R}\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]=\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2} \mathfrak{R} \sigma\left\{\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]\right\} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Accordingly, with the definition of a $\sigma$-prime ring, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either }\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2}=0, \text { or }\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Later case implies that $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative, first case implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2}=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Hence we obtain $\mathfrak{R}$ is normal. Using Lemma 2.9, the desired conclusion is achieved.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a 2 -torsion free $\sigma$-prime ring, and $\psi \neq 0$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ commutes with $\sigma$. If for any $a \in \mathfrak{R}$ satisfying $a \psi\left(x_{1}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}\right)$ a for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $a \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$.
Proof. Let on contrary $a \notin \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and we have $\left[a, \psi\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Putting $x_{1} x_{2}$ in place of $x_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a, x_{1}\right] \psi\left(x_{2}\right)+\psi\left(x_{1}\right)\left[a, x_{2}\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and assume that $x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$ commutes with $a$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a)=\left\{x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} \mid a x_{2}=x_{2} a\right\} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Invoking last relation in (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a, x_{1}\right] \psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$, when we substitute $r x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ in (2.22), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, r] \Re \psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $r, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Since, by assumption $x_{2}$ commutes with $a$ i.e., $\left[a, x_{2}\right]=0$, for any $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, we can write $2 a=h+k$ where $h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}$ and $k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h+k, x_{2}\right]=0 \text { where, } h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H}, \quad k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S} \text { and } \quad x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking involution $\sigma$ both side, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h-k, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=0 \text { where }, \quad k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \text { and } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.24) and (2.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h, x_{2}+\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=0 \text { where }, \quad k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \text { and } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again using (2.24) and (2.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[k, x_{2}-\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=0 \text { where }, \quad k \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{R}), \quad h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \text { and } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking involution $\sigma$ both side, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[k, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)-x_{2}\right]=0 \text { where } k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S} \text { and } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.26) and (2.28) and $\operatorname{char}(\Re) \neq 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h+k, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=0 \text { where } k \in \mathfrak{J}_{S}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \text { and } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=0 \text { where, } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \text { and } a \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(x_{2}\right) \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a), \quad \text { for } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x_{2}$ by $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)$ in (2.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, r] \Re \psi\left(\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=(0) \text { for } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \text { and } a \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By utilizing the condition that $\sigma$ commutes with $\psi$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, r] \mathfrak{\Re} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)=[a, r] \mathfrak{R} \sigma\left(\psi\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=(0) \text { for } x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a) \text { and } a \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, based on the definition of a $\sigma$-prime ring, we derive $\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ or $[a, r]=0$ for all $r \in \mathfrak{R}$. The latter case is not valid according to our assumption, leaving us with the first case $\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for $x_{2} \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a)$. That implies $\psi$ vanishes on the element of $C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a)$ and $\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \in C_{\mathfrak{R}}(a)$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, certainly, we can obtain $\psi^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. According to Lemma 2.6, we deduce that $\psi=0$. This contradicts our initial assumption. Thus $a \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\Re$ be a $\sigma$-prime ring of characteristics different from 2 and $\psi \neq 0$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ commutes with $\sigma$. If $\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $\psi(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})) \neq\{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. In accordance with our assumption.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linearizing (2.34), results in the following.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[\psi\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. In accordance with our assumption there exists $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ such that $\psi(z) \neq 0$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{2} z$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \sigma(z)+\left[\psi\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] z+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \psi(z)=0 \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$. Invoking (2.35) in (2.36), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi\left(x_{2}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right](z-\sigma(z))+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \psi(z)=0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case(1): Let $\sigma$ is of the first kind i.e., $\sigma(z)=z$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \psi(z)=0 \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} \text { and } z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given that $\sigma$ and $\psi$ commutes, thus $\psi(z) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and using Lemma 2.7, we obtain either $\psi(z)=0$ or $\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$. By given condition $\psi(z)=0$ is not possible, therefore latter case implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$ replacing $x_{2}$ by $\sigma\left(x_{2}\right)$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]=0 \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.
$\operatorname{Case}(2)$ : When $\sigma$ is of the second kind, replacing $x_{1}$ by $x_{1} z$ in (2.34), where $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] z \sigma(z)+\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \sigma(z) \psi(z)=0 \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Using (2.34) in the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \sigma(z) \psi(z)=0 \quad \text { for all } x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R} \text { and } z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, taking $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \mathfrak{J}_{S}$, above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \sigma(z) \psi(z)=0 \text { for all } x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}, \text { for all } z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \mathfrak{J}_{S} . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma$ commutes with $\psi$, therefore $\psi(z) \sigma(z) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and using Lemma 2.7, we obtain either, $\psi(z) \sigma(z)=0$ or $\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$. By employing the given condition we can say that $\psi(z) \sigma(z)=0$ is not possible and later case implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Hence $\mathfrak{R}$ is normal, on using the Lemma 2.9 , commutativity of $\mathfrak{R}$ holds.
Theorem 2.13. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a $\sigma$-prime ring of characteristics different from 2 and $\psi \neq 0$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ commutes with $\sigma$. If $\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $\psi(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})) \neq\{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. By the given condition, we have $\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Using Proposition 2.8 , gives that $\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Now applying Theorem 2.12, we infer that, $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Theorem 2.14. Let $\Re$ be a 2-torsion free $\sigma$-prime ring with involution $\sigma$ of the second kind and $\psi \neq 0$ be a derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$ which commute with $\sigma$. If $\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right)=0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. By the given condition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right)=0 \quad \text { forall } x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linearization of (2.45), gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right)+\psi\left(\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right)=0 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{2} h$ where $h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right)+\psi\left(\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right)\right\} h+\left\{\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \psi(h)=0 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Invoking (2.46) in (2.47), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \psi(h)=0 . \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma$ and $\psi$ commute with each other so $\psi(h) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, using Lemma 2.7, we have either $\psi(h)=0$ or $\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0$. But $\psi(h)=0$ is not possible because $\sigma$ is of the second kind. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{1}$ and using $\operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{R}) \neq 2$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=0 \quad \text { for all } x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\mathfrak{R}$ is normal. Invoking Lemma 2.9, we get our required result.

Theorem 2.15. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a 2-torsion $\sigma$-prime rings with involution $\sigma$ which is of the second kind and $\psi$ be a nonzero derivation on $\mathfrak{R}$, which commutes with $\sigma$. If $\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ is commutative.

Proof. By the assumption, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \text { for all } x_{1} \in \mathfrak{R} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linearizing above equation, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right)+\psi\left(\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{2} h$ where $h \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi\left(\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right)+\psi\left(\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right)\right\} h+\left\{\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \psi(h) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) . \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Invoking (2.52) in (2.53), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \psi(h) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \quad \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} \text { and } h \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \mathfrak{J}_{H} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right), r\right]\right\} \psi(h)=0 \quad \text { for all } r, x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R} . \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\sigma$ and $\psi$ commutes. Hence $\psi(h) \in \mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and in $\sigma$-prime ring $\mathfrak{J}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ is free from zero divisor, we have either $\psi(h)=0$ or $\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$. But $\psi(h)=0$ is not possible because $\sigma$ is of the second kind. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\left[x_{2}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) . \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{1}$ and using $\operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{R}) \neq 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}, \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R}) \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.10, we can establish that $\mathfrak{R}$ is a commutative ring.
The example below illustrates that the condition requiring $\sigma$ to be of the second kind is essential in Theorems 2.14 and 2.15.

Example 2.16. Let us take $\mathfrak{R}=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, define $\sigma$ on $\mathfrak{R}$ in such away, $\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}d & -b \\ -c & a\end{array}\right]$ and set $\psi \neq 0$ as follows $\psi\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & b \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathfrak{\Re}$ is a $\sigma$-prime ring with the first kind of involution, $\psi$ is non zero derivation fulfilling the condition of Theorems 2.14 and 2.15 , however $\mathfrak{R}$ is not commutative.

It is a widely recognized that zero-divisors cannot exist in the centre of a prime ring. However, in $\sigma$-prime rings, it's important to note that the centre may not be devoid of zero-divisors. The follwing example explain the above Lemma.
Example 2.17. Let us consider $\mathfrak{R}=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a, b, \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, define $\sigma$ on $\mathfrak{R}$ in such away, $\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}b & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right]$. It is easy to verify that $\Re$ is $\sigma$-prime ring. For any non-zero $a,\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{R})$ and for any non-zero $b,\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right] \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. This shows the Lemma.
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