# Some Generalizations of Q-Principally Injective Modules

Varun Kumar, Ashok Ji Gupta and Manoj Kumar Patel

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 16D10, 16D50, 16D60.

Keywords and phrases: Finitely Q-generated submodule, Q-projective module, co-Hopfian module, epiretractable module.

Abstract The purpose of this work is to investigate some more property of Q- finitely injective modules and generalize this idea to Q-small finitely injective modules. A quasi-f-injective module Q is non co-Hopfian if and only if there is a decomposition  $Q = N_r \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for any positive integer r, where  $N_r \cong Q$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ . Also, we prove that a semi-regular module Q, an R-module P is Q-sf-injective if and only if P is Q-f-injective.

## 1 Introduction

It has been the interest of many researchers to study the finite injective and principally injective modules for many years. In 1969, R. N. Gupta [5] introduced the idea of f-injective modules and proved, a ring R is Noetherian if and only if any f-injective module over R is injective. Ramamurthy and Rangaswamy [12] proved that a finitely generated submodule N which is isomorphic to a direct summand of Q is a direct summand of Q and vice versa, also shown that over a right Noetherian ring each quasi injective module is equivalent to finitely quasi injective. In 1991, R. Wisbauer [15] introduced the concept of quasi-principally injective modules (in short, qp-injective) under the terminology of semi-injective modules as a generalization of Q-pinjective modules. An R-module N is called M-generated, if there is an epimorphism  $M^{(I)} \rightarrow$ N for some index set I, if I is finite then N is called finitely M-generated. In particular, a submodule K of M is called an M-cyclic submodule of M, if it is isomorphic to M/L for some submodule L of M equivalently to say that there exist an epimorphism from M to K. Sanh et al. [14] introduced the idea of Q-p-injective module which is a generalization of p-injective modules and they called a module Q is M-p-injective, if for any  $\phi: U \to Q$  (where U is an *M*-cyclic submodule of *M*) there exists  $\psi : M \to Q$  such that  $\phi = \psi i$ , where  $i : U \to M$  is an inclusion. Q is known as quasi-principally injective (in short qp-injective or semi injective), if it is Q-p-injective. It was shown by Sanh et al. [14] that qp-injective modules satisfy  $(C_2)$ and  $(C_3)$  conditions. These work extends the results of Nicholson and Yousif [10]. Also, they proved that the finite direct sum of Q-p-injective modules is Q-p-injective. In 2012, Kumar et al. [9] generalized the idea of p-injective modules given in [14] to Q-small-principally injective (in short, Q-sp-injective) modules and quasi-sp-injective modules. It was shown that the notion of quasi-sp-injective and qp-injective modules are equivalent for a hollow modules. Now, we define some of the terminologies Let R be a ring and M be a right R-module. We say that M has the exchange property if whenever we have right R-module decompositions,  $A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , for some indexing set I then there are submodules  $A'_i \subset A_i$  with  $A = M \oplus_{i \in I} A_i$ . We say that M has finite exchange property, if the index set I is finite. A module M is said to be co-Hopfian, if every injective endomorphism  $f: M \to M$  is an automorphism and module M is said to be directly-finite, if it is not isomorphic to a proper direct summand of itself. A module in which its submodules are linearly ordered by inclusion is called uniserial. A module M is said to have the cancellation property if for modules H and  $K M \oplus H \cong M \oplus K \implies H \cong K$ . Equivalently, if  $A \oplus H = B \oplus K$  with  $A \cong B \implies H \cong K$ . A module M is called weakly co-Hopfian, if every injective endomorphism is essential. We refer [15], for undefined notions and terminologies.

# 2 Q-Finitely Injective Modules

The purpose of this section is to examine some of the properties associated to Q-finitely injective modules. We prove that quasi-f-injective extending module is co-Hopfian if and only if it satisfies cancellation property.

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A right *R*-module *B* is known as *A*-finitely injective (*A*-f-injective) if every homomorphism from a finitely *A*-generated submodule of *A* to *B* can be extended to a homomorphism from *A* to *B*. Equivalently, for each  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n \in T = End(A)$ , every homomorphism from  $\alpha : s_1(A) + s_2(A) + \cdots + s_n(A) \to B$  there exists a homomorphism  $\beta : A \to B$  such that  $\alpha = \beta i$ . The module *B* is quasi-f-injective, if it is *B*-f-injective.

**Lemma 2.2.** [8] 1. If  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  be Q-f-injective modules, then  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is Q-f-injective.

2. Let  $\{M_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of Q-f-injective modules. If Q is finitely generated, then  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is Q-f-injective.

3. Direct summand of Q-f-injective module is Q-f-injective.

4. Let K be a finitely N-generated submodule and N be a finitely Q-generated submodule of Q, then K is finitely Q-generated submodule of Q.

5. Let X be a finitely generated right ideal of R and  $N_1 \subset^{\oplus} N$ . If N is f-injective, then  $N_1$  is X-f-injective.

6. Let L be a finitely Q-generated submodule of Q. If N is Q-f-injective, then N is both L-f-injective and Q/L-f-injective.

**Lemma 2.3** (Proposition 2.6, [8]). Let P be a finitely M-generated submodule of M. If Q is M-f-injective, then it is P-f-injective, also any submodule of Q is P-f-injective. Moreover, if M is quasi-projective, then Q is M/P-f-injective.

**Proposition 2.4.** Consider  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ , where each  $A_i$  is A-f-injective module. Then Q is A-f-injective if and only if Q is  $A_i$ -f-injective for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

**Proof:** If part is clear. Conversely, we consider Q is  $A_i$ -f-injective  $1 \le i \le n$ . Consider the inclusion  $i: P \to A$ , where P is finitely A-generated submodule and  $\phi: P \to Q$  be any homomorphism. Now, construct a set  $S = \{(K_i, \alpha_i) : K_i \text{ is finitely } A$ -generated submodule containing P and  $\alpha_i: K_i \to Q$  that extends  $\phi: P \to Q\}$ . Then by Zorn's lemma, we get a maximal member (L,g) of S such that  $P \subset L \subset A$  and  $g: L \to Q$  extends  $\phi$ . Claim that L = A and  $A_i \subset L$ , for every i. Since Q is  $A_i$ -f-injective for each i, then there exists  $g_i: A_i \to Q$  such that  $g_i = g$  on  $L \cap A_i, 1 \le i \le n$ . Now, define  $h_i: L + A_i \to N$  by  $h_i(l + a_i) = g(l) + g_i(a_i), \forall l \in L, a_i \in A_i$ . Since  $g_i = g$  on  $L \cap A_i$ , then  $h_i$  are well defined map. Also, since  $K \subset L$  and g extends  $\phi$ , then  $h_i$  extends  $\phi$ . Hence, by maximality of  $(L,g) \Longrightarrow L + A_i = L \Longrightarrow A_i \subset L = A$ . Hence, Q is A-f-injective.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.5.** Consider R is a finitely generated ring such that  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ . Then any R-module H is f-injective if and only if  $X_i$ -f-injective for each  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Here, we define  $(C_2)$ : A submodule of M is isomorphic to a direct summand of M, then it is a direct summand of M itself.

(C<sub>3</sub>): If A and B are direct summand of M with  $A \cap B = 0$ . Then  $A \oplus B$  is also a direct summand of M.

(C<sub>4</sub>): A module M is said to be a C<sub>4</sub>-module if and only if  $A, B \subset^{\oplus} M$  with  $A \cap B = 0$  and  $A \cong B$ , then  $A \oplus B \subset^{\oplus} M$ , equivalently, if A and B are submodules of M with  $A \cap B = 0$  and  $B \cong A \subset^{\oplus} M$ , then  $B \subset^{\oplus} M$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** 1. Suppose that Q,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are R-modules. If  $N_1 \cong N_2$  and  $N_1$  is Q-f-injective then  $N_2$  is Q-f-injective.

2. Any quasi-f-injective module satisfies the conditions  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$ .

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.7.** Any quasi-f-injective module satisfies  $(C_4)$  condition.

**Proof:** Since a quasi-f-injective module satisfies  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  conditions so by an implication we have  $(C_2) \implies (C_3) \implies (C_4)$ , the result holds.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.8.** Let P be a finitely Q-generated submodule of Q. If A is Q-f-injective then any  $A_1 \subset^{\oplus} A$  is P-f-injective.

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.9.** Consider a module Q is a quasi-f-injective. Then Q is a non co-Hopfian if and only if there is a decomposition  $Q = N_r \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for any positive integer r, where  $N_r \cong Q$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ .

**Proof:** We consider Q is a non co-Hopfian module, then any one-one endomorphism  $\phi: Q \to Q$ which is not an automorphsim. Let  $\phi(Q) = N_1, N_1 \neq Q$  and  $g: N_1 \to Q$  be an isomorphism. As Q is quasi-f-injective, so  $\psi: Q \to Q$  exists such that  $\psi|_{N_1} = g$ . Therefore  $Q = N_1 \oplus ker\psi = N_1 \oplus M_1$ , where  $M_1 = ker\psi \neq 0$ . Again, since  $N_1$  is non co-Hopfian then by similar argument we get  $N_1 = N_2 \oplus M_2$  with  $N_2 \cong N_1$  and  $M_2 \neq 0$ , thus  $Q = N_2 \oplus (M_1 \oplus M_2)$ . Now, continuing this process in the similar manner we get the desired result, i.e.  $Q = N_r \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for any positive integer r, where  $N_r \cong Q$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ .

Conversely, we assume that  $Q = N_r \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$  for any positive integer r, where  $N_r \cong Q$  and  $M_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ . Then Q is non co-hopfian as it is not directly finite.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.10.** Consider Q is a quasi-f-injective, uniserial module, then each one-one endomorphism of Q is onto, i.e. Q is co-Hopfian.

**Proof:** Consider a one-one map  $\sigma \in End(Q)$  and Q is a quasi-f-injective then  $\sigma(Q) \subset^{\oplus} Q$ . The uniserial module Q is indecomposable, then it follows that  $\sigma(Q) = Q$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism i.e. Q is co-Hopfian.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.11. Every quasi-f-injective uniserial module is a weakly co-Hopfian module.

**Remark 2.12.** Clearly, any quasi-f-injective module does not satisfies  $(C_1)$  condition. We observe that, an extending quasi-f-injective module is a continuous and quasi-continuous.

**Corollary 2.13.** Every directly finite quasi-f-injective module with  $(C_1)$  condition has the cancellation property.

**Theorem 2.14.** Consider Q is a quasi-f-injective module with  $(C_1)$  condition. Then Q is co-Hopfain if and only if it satisfies the cancellation property.

**Proof:** Let Q be co-Hopfian then it is directly finite and so from the Corollary 2.13 that Q satisfies cancellation property.

Conversely, we consider Q is non co-Hopfian and has cancellation property. Then there is a decomposition of  $Q = N_1 \oplus M_1$ , where  $N_1 \cong Q$  and  $M_1 \neq 0$ . But, Q has cancellation property then we have  $M_1 = 0$  which is not possible, hence our supposition is wrong. Thus, Q is co-Hopfian.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.15.** For a quasi-f-injective module Q with  $(C_1)$  condition the following assertions are equivalent:

1. Q is a clean module;

- 2. *Q* has finite exchange property;
- 3. Q has full exchange property.

**Proof:** Proof follows from [3], Theorem 4.3 and Remark 2.12.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.16.** Consider P is a fully invariant finitely Q-generated submodule and  $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ , where each  $N_i$ 's are finitely Q-generated direct summands of Q. Then  $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (P \cap N_i)$ .

#### **Proposition 2.17.** Every quasi-f-injective duo module Q has SIP and SSP.

**Proof:** Consider  $Q_1, Q_2 \subset^{\oplus} Q$  and Q is quasi-f-injective duo module. We claim that  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$  and  $Q_1 + Q_2$  both are direct summands of Q. For this, we assume  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q'_1 = Q_2 \oplus Q'_2$ . We observe that every direct summand of Q is finitely Q-generated and fully invariant submodule

of Q. Now,  $Q_2$  can be expressed as  $Q_2 = Q_2 \cap (Q_1 \oplus Q'_1) = (Q_2 \cap Q_1) \oplus (Q_2 \cap Q'_1)$ . Thus,  $Q = Q_2 \oplus Q'_2 = (Q_2 \cap Q_1) \oplus (Q_2 \cap Q'_1) \oplus Q'_2$ . So,  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subset^{\oplus} Q$  and hence Q has SIP. Next,  $Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_1 + (Q_2 \cap Q_1) \oplus (Q_2 \cap Q'_1) = Q_1 \oplus (Q_2 \cap Q'_1)$ . It is clear for quasi-finjective module, direct sum of two disjoint direct summand is again a direct summand. Hence  $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_1 \oplus (Q_2 \cap Q'_1)$ , thus has SSP.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.18.** For a projective *R*-module *P* the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1. Every factor of P-f-injective module is P-f-injective;
- 2. Every factor of P-injective module is P-f-injective;
- 3. Every factor of an injective module is P-f-injective;
- 4. Every finitely P-generated submodule of P is projective.

**Proof:**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  are obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) For two *R*-module *A* and *B* we consider  $\phi : A \to B$  is an onto homomorphism and *A* is an injective module. Suppose that *Y* is finitely *P*-generated submodule of *P* and  $\alpha : Y \to B$  is a homomorphism. By (3), *B* is *P*-f-injective, then there is a  $\sigma : P \to B$  such that  $\sigma i = \alpha$ . Since *P* is projective,  $\sigma$  can be lifted to  $\mu : P \to A$  such that  $\phi \mu = \sigma$ . Clearly,  $\phi \mu i = \alpha$  this implies that  $\alpha$  lifts, where  $\mu i : Y \to A$ . Hence, *Y* is projective.



(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Consider Y is a finitely P-generated submodule, and C is P-f-injective module. Consider  $Q \subset C$ , and  $\eta : C \to C/Q$  is natural epimorphism. Since Y is P-projective,  $\tau : Y \to C/Q$  can be lifted to  $\gamma : Y \to C$ . Since C is P-f-injective,  $\gamma$  can be extended to  $\alpha : P \to C$ . Hence,  $\eta \alpha : P \to C/Q$  extends  $\tau$ .  $\Box$ 



### **3** *Q*-Small-Finitely Injective Modules

Here, we give the idea of Q-small-finitely injective module and discuss its properties and quasismall-finitely injective modules, which generalizes the notions of Q-f-injective modules and quasi-f-injective modules. Also, discuss the several equivalent conditions.

**Definition 3.1.** A module P is said to be Q-small finitely injective (in short, Q-sf- injective) if every homomorphism from a small finitely Q-generated submodule of Q to P can be extended to a homomorphism from Q to P. P is called quasi-sf-injective if it is P-sf-injective.

#### Lemma 3.2.

1. Direct summands of Q-sf-injective module is Q-sf-injective.

2. Let  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  be Q-sf-injective modules. Then  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is Q-sf-injective.

**Proposition 3.3.** For a quasi-sf-injective module Q, we have:

1. Any fully invariant small finitely Q-generated submodule of Q is an Q-sf-injective.

2. A quasi-sf-injective module Q satisfy  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  conditions.

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.4.** Consider P, Q and T are R-modules with  $P \cong Q$ . If P is T-sf-injective, then Q is T-sf-injective module.

**Proof:** Straight forward.□

**Theorem 3.5.** A projective *R*-module *P* the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1. Every quotient of P-sf-injective module is P-sf-injective;
- 2. Every quotient of P-f-injective module is P-sf-injective;
- 3. Every quotient of P-injective is module P-sf-injective;
- 4. Every quotient of an injective module is P-sf-injective;
- 5. Every small finitely P-generated submodule of P is projective.

**Proof:**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$  are obvious.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  Consider  $\phi : A \to B$  is an epimorphism in which A is an injective module. Let C be small finitely P-generated submodule of P and  $\tau : C \to B$  is a homomorphism. By (3), B is P-sf-injective,  $\tau$  can be extended to  $\gamma : P \to B$  such that  $\gamma i = \tau$ , where  $i : C \to P$  is inclusion map. Since P is projective,  $\gamma$  can be lifted to  $\mu : P \to A$  such that  $\phi \mu = \gamma$ . Then clearly,  $\phi \mu i = \tau$  which implies that  $\tau$  lifts, where a homomorphism  $\mu i : C \to A$ . Hence, C is projective.



 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$  Consider *C* is a small finitely *P*-generated submodule of *P*, and *N* is an *P*-sf-injective *R*-module. Let *B* be a submodule of *A*, and  $\pi : A \to A/B$  be canonical epimorphism. From (5), *C* is projective, any  $\delta : C \to A/B$  can be lifted to  $\gamma : C \to A$ . Since *A* is *P*-sf-injective,  $\gamma$  can be extended to  $\beta : P \to A$ . Thus,  $\pi\beta : P \to A/B$  extends  $\delta$ .



**Theorem 3.6.** Consider Q is a hollow R-module. Then P is Q-f-injective module if and only if P is Q-sf-injective module.

**Proof:** First part of theorem is clear. Now, we prove the other part. For this we assume that P is Q-sf-injective module. Let L be a finitely Q-generated submodule of Q. Clearly, L is small finitely Q-generated submodule of Q because Q is hollow. Therefore,  $\alpha : L \to P$  can be extended to  $\beta : Q \to P$ . Hence, P is Q-f-injective module.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** If Q is a semi-regular module. Then P is a Q-sf-injective if and only if P is a Q-f-injective.

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\gamma$  :  $H \to P$  be a homomorphism, where H is a finitely Q-generated submodule. Since Q is semi regular, then there exists a decomposition  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ , where  $Q_1 \subseteq H$  and  $H \cap Q_2$  is small in H. Hence,  $Q = H + Q_2$ ,  $H = Q_1 \oplus (H \cap Q_2)$  and so  $H \cap Q_2$  is a finitely Q-generated submodule of H. Therefore, there is  $\tau : Q \to P$  such that  $\tau(x) = \gamma(x)$  for all  $x \in H \cap Q_2$ . Now, we take a homomorphism  $\psi : Q \to P$  defined by  $\psi(m) = \gamma(a) + \tau(q)$  for any m = a + q,  $a \in H, q \in Q_2$ . Now, we show that  $\gamma$  is well defined. Take,  $a_1 + q_2 = a_2 + q'_2$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in H, q_2, q'_2 \in Q_2$ , then  $a_1 - a_2 = q'_2 - q_2 \in H \cap Q_2$ . Hence,  $\gamma(a_1 - a_2) = \tau(q'_2 - q_2) \Longrightarrow \psi(a_1 + q_2) = \psi(a_2 + q'_2)$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a homomorphism and  $\psi|_H = \gamma$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Obvious.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.8.** The following implications shows the two way generalizations of quasi-sf-injective module.

 $\begin{array}{c} Quasi \text{ injective module} \\ \Downarrow \\ Quasi-f-injective module \Leftarrow Quasi-p-injective module} \\ \Downarrow \\ Quasi-sf-injective module \Leftarrow Quasi-sp-injective module \end{array}$ 

Now, we give a counter example that reverse implication does not hold.

**Example 3.9.** Consider  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  (where p is prime) as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Then  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -p-injective but not  $\mathbb{Z}$  injective module.

**Proposition 3.10.** An epiretractable hollow module Q is quasi-injective if and only if it is quasisf-injective.

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.11.** For an epiretractable module Q, the following assertions are equivalent:

- Q is quasi-injective;
  Q is quasi-p-injective;
- 3. Q is quasi-f-injective.

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.12.** For a hollow module Q, these assertions are equivalent:

- 1. Q is quasi-f-injective;
- 2. Q is quasi-sp-injective;
- *3. Q* is quasi-sf-injective.

**Proof:** Straight forward.  $\Box$ 

In [9] the idea of small module homomorphism has been given as a homomorphism  $\gamma : X \to Y$  such that image of  $\gamma$  is a small submodule of Y.

**Theorem 3.13.** Consider a module Q is a quasi-f-injective and each small endomorphisms  $\alpha_i \in S = End(Q), 1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} S\alpha_i$  is direct. Then  $\phi : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(Q) \to Q$  can be extended to a  $\psi : Q \to Q$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\alpha_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots n$  is small module endomorphism and Q is quasi-f-injective, there is  $\psi_i : Q \to Q$  such that,  $\psi_i \alpha_i = \phi \alpha_i$  and consequently  $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi \alpha_i$ . Since  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)(Q) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(Q)$ ,  $\phi$  can be extended to  $\psi : Q \to Q$  such that,  $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)(m) = \phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)(m)$  for any  $m \in Q$ . That is  $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi \alpha_i$ . It follows  $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \alpha_i$ . The direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S \alpha_i$  implies  $\psi \alpha_i = \psi_i \alpha_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore for any  $x \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(Q) \implies \phi(x) = \psi(x)$ . Hence, the theorem.  $\Box$ 

### References

- [1] Sh. Asgari, *On Weakly Co-Hopfian Modules*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, **33**(1), 65 72 (2007).
- [2] K. A. Byrd, *Rings whose quasi-injective modules are injective*, Proceeding of American Mathematical Society, **33** (2) (1972).
- [3] V. P. Camilo and D. Khurana, *Continuous Modules are Clean*, J. Algebra, **304**(1), 94 111 (2006).
- [4] A. Ghorbani and M. R. Vedadi, *Epi-retractable modules and some applications*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 35 (1), 155 166 (2009).
- [5] R. N. Gupta, On f-Injective modules and semi-hereditary rings, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, 35 A (2), 323 – 328 (1969).
- [6] A. K. Gupta and K. Varadarajan, *Modules over endomorphism rings*, Comm. Algebra, 8(14), 1291 1333 (1980).

- [7] A. Haghany and M. R. Vedadi, *Modules whose injective endomorphism are essential*, Journal of Algebra, 243, 765 779 (2001).
- [8] P. Jampachon, J. Itharat and N. V. Sanh, On finitely injectivity, South. Asian Bull. Math., 24(4), 559 564 (2000).
- [9] V. Kumar, A. J. Gupta, B. M. Pandeya and M. K. Patel, *M-SP-Injective Modules*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics (World Scientific), 5(1), 1 – 11 (2012).
- [10] W. K. Nicholson, J. K. Park and M. F. Yousif, *Principally quasi-injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, 27 (4), 1683 1693 (1999).
- [11] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, J. Algebra, 174 (1), 77 93 (1995).
- [12] V. S. Ramamurthy and K. M. Rangaswamy, On Finitely Injective Modules, Journal of Australian Mathematical Society, 16 (2), 239 – 248 (1973).
- [13] N. V. Sanh and K. P. Shum, *Endomorphism rings of quasi principally injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, 29 (4), 1437 1443 (2001).
- [14] N. V. Sanh, K. P. Shum, S. Dhompongsa and S. Wongwai, On Quasi-principally injective modules, Algebra Coll. 6 (3), 269 276 (1999).
- [15] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Rings and Modules, Gordan and Breach London-Tokyo (1991).
- [16] S. Wongwai, On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective modules, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.(N.S.), 71 (1), 27 33 (2002).

#### **Author information**

Varun Kumar, Department of Mathematics Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith Varanasi-221002 (UP), India. E-mail: varun83itbhu@gmail.com, varunmath@mgkvp.ac.in

Ashok Ji Gupta, Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi-221005 (UP), India. E-mail: agupta.apm@itbhu.ac.in

Manoj Kumar Patel, Department of Mathematics National Institute of Technology Nagaland Dimapur-797103 Nagaland, India. E-mail: mkpitb@gmail.com