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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a well-posed logarithmic counterpart of an ill-posed semilinear Cauchy problem associated with an abstract evolution equation of $n$-th order in time.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we present a result of local well-posedness for a logarithmic counterpart of an illposed semilinear problem associated with a higher-order abstract Cauchy problem. The notion of logarithmic operators under different spectral conditions is well-known in the literature, see e.g. $[2,3,5,9,10,11,12,16,17,19,20,22,26]$. In this sense, logarithm equations and models of evolution equations with logarithmic operators have attracted the attention of many researchers and appeared in the literature with increasing frequency, see e.g. $[3,4,5,9,10,11,12,16,17$, 24].

To better present our results, we introduce some notation. Initially, we consider the following abstract semilinear evolution equation of $n$-th order in time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{n} u}{d t^{n}}+A u=f(u), \quad t>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{i} u}{d t^{i}}(0)=u_{i} \in X^{\frac{n-(i+1)}{n}}, \quad i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}, n \geqslant 3 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is a separable Hilbert space and $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is an unbounded linear, closed, densely defined, self-adjoint, and positive definite operator. See [14] and the references therein for examples. We wish to study the fractional powers of $\Lambda_{n}$, the matrix operator obtained by rewriting (1.1)-(1.2) as a first-order abstract system. Before, we need to compile some basic facts and set up some terminologies.

Since $A$ is a sectorial operator in the sense of [18, Definition 1.3.1], this allows us to define the fractional power $A^{-\alpha}$ of order $\alpha \in(0,1)$ according to [2, Formula 4.6.9] and [18, Theorem 1.4.2], as a closed linear operator on its domain $D\left(A^{-\alpha}\right)$ with inverse $A^{\alpha}$.

Denote by $X^{\alpha}=D\left(A^{\alpha}\right)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1)$, taking $A^{0}:=I$ on $X^{0}:=X$ when $\alpha=0$. Recall that $X^{\alpha}$ is dense in $X$ for all $\alpha \in(0,1]$, for details see [2, Theorem 4.6.5]. The fractional power space $X^{\alpha}$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X^{\alpha}}:=\left\|A^{\alpha} \cdot\right\|_{X}$ is a Banach space. It is not difficult to show that $A^{\alpha}$ is the generator of a strongly continuous analytic semigroup on $X$ for any $\alpha \in[0,1]$, see [18]. With this notation, we have $X^{-\alpha}=\left(X^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha>0$, see [2] for the characterization of the negative scale.

The nonlinearity $f$ in (1.1) is defined in $X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ taking values in $X$ and it is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets of $X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$.

After that below, we rewrite (1.1)-(1.2) as a first-order abstract system; namely, we consider the phase space

$$
Y=X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \times X^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \times X^{\frac{n-3}{n}} \times \cdots \times X
$$

which is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$
\|\cdot\|_{Y}^{2}=\|\cdot\|_{X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}^{2}+\|\cdot\|_{X^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}^{2}+\|\cdot\|_{X \frac{n-3}{n}}^{2}+\cdots+\|\cdot\|_{X}^{2}
$$

We can write the problem (1.1)-(1.2) as a Cauchy problem on $Y$, letting $v_{1}=u, v_{2}=\frac{d u}{d t}$, $v_{3}=\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}, \ldots, v_{n}=\frac{d^{n-1} u}{d t^{n-1}}$ and

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \mathbf{u}}{d t}+\Lambda \mathbf{u}=F(\mathbf{u}), t>0  \tag{1.3}\\
\mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the unbounded linear operator $\Lambda: D(\Lambda) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\Lambda)=X^{1} \times X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \times X^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \times \cdots \times X^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda \mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & -I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{1.5}\\
0 & 0 & -I & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I \\
A & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n-1} \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-v_{2} \\
-v_{3} \\
\vdots \\
-v_{n} \\
A v_{1}
\end{array}\right], \forall \mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right] \in D(\Lambda)
$$

The nonlinearity $F$ in (1.3) is given by

$$
F(\mathbf{u})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{1.6}\\
0 \\
\vdots \\
f\left(v_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

for any $\mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n}\end{array}\right]$ belonging to a suitable norm space.
The problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $f$ is identically zero was studied in [14]. In [14] it is proved that the Cauchy problem with $n \geqslant 3$ is well-posed if and only if $A$ is a bounded linear operator on $X$. It is also possible to find works involving Cauchy problems associated with an abstract evolution equation of $n$-th order in time in [6], [15], and [21].
To our best knowledge, there is no logarithmic counterpart of the semilinear Cauchy problem of $n$-the order in time, for $n \geqslant 4$ in the literature. The cases $n=2$ and $n=3$ can be found at [3] and [8], respectively. The most interesting here is that we have an ill-posed problem on $Y$ via the theory of strongly continuous semigroup of linear bounded operators, whose logarithmic formulation is a well-posed problem on $Y$, as we will see later. To obtain the logarithm operator $\log \Lambda$ explicitly, we will first calculate the $-\alpha$-th fractional powers $(\alpha \in(0,1))$ of $\Lambda$, and then we will get a characterization of the logarithm operator of $\Lambda$.

We know that the unbounded linear operator $-\Lambda$ with $\Lambda: D(\Lambda) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$ defined in (1.4)-(1.5) is not the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on $Y$, see [6, Theorem 1.1]. But, by [6, Lemma 2.13] the unbounded linear operator $\Lambda$ defined in (1.4)-(1.5) is of positive type $K \geqslant 1$. This allows us to study logarithmic operators and its properties; namely, given $\Lambda: D(\Lambda) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$ defined in (1.4)-(1.5), we consider the family of fractional powers $\left\{\Lambda^{\alpha}=\left(\Lambda^{-\alpha}\right)^{-1} ; \alpha \in(0,1)\right\}$. We know that $\Lambda$ is densely ranged and densely defined (because $0 \in \rho(\Lambda))$, and consequently, we can consider the analytic semigroup of linear bounded operators $\left\{\Lambda^{-t} ; t \geqslant 0\right\}$ in $Y$ and its infinitesimal generator denoted by $-\log \Lambda$ defined by

$$
D(-\log \Lambda)=\left\{\mathbf{u} \in Y ; \exists \lim _{t \searrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\Lambda^{-t}-I\right) \mathbf{u}\right\}
$$

and for any $\mathbf{u} \in D(-\log \Lambda)$

$$
(-\log \Lambda) \mathbf{u}=\lim _{t \searrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\Lambda^{-t}-I\right) \mathbf{u} .
$$

We can also consider the logarithmic operator $\log \Lambda: D(\log \Lambda) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$
D(\log \Lambda)=D(-\log \Lambda)
$$

and for any $\mathbf{u} \in D(\log \Lambda)$

$$
\log \Lambda \mathbf{u}:=-(-\log \Lambda) \mathbf{u}
$$

With this, we consider the logarithmic counterpart to (1.3) in $Y$; namely, the semilinear Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \mathbf{u}_{\ell}}{d t}+(\log \Lambda) \mathbf{u}_{\ell}=F\left(\mathbf{u}_{\ell}\right), t>0  \tag{1.7}\\
\mathbf{u}_{\ell}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{\ell_{0}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F$ is given by (1.6).
We also consider the following notion of mild solution for (1.7). Given $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in Y$ we say that $\mathbf{u}_{\ell}$ is a mild solution of (1.7) provided $\mathbf{u}_{\ell} \in C\left(\left[0, t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)\right), Y\right)$ for $\left.t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)\right)>0$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\ell}$ satisfies for $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)\right)$ the variation of constants formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{\ell}(t)=\Lambda^{-t} \mathbf{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \Lambda^{-(t-s)} F\left(\mathbf{u}_{\ell}(s)\right) d s \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the logarithm operator defined by $\Lambda$ given in (1.4)-(1.5). Finally, in Section 3 we study the semilinear Cauchy problem given in (1.7).

## 2 Logarithmic operators

In this section, we study the spectral properties of the unbounded linear operator that we will understand as being the logarithm operator of $\Lambda$. The following lemma is a key result in our analysis, a proof of this result is given in [1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $U_{n}$ be nth degree Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind defined in Theorem 2.2. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta=\sin ((n+1) \theta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(-x)=(-1)^{n} U_{n}(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geqslant 0$.
The following theorem is one of the main results of this paper.
Theorem 2.2. If $A$ and $\Lambda$ are as in (1.4)-(1.5), respectively, then we have all the following.
i) - - -th fractional power $\Lambda^{-\alpha}$ can be defined for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{-\alpha}=\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha}(\lambda I+\Lambda)^{-1} d \lambda \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Given any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ we have $\Lambda^{-\alpha}: Y \rightarrow Y$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{-\alpha}=\left[\frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n} \pi\right)\right) A^{-\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{n}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the nth degree Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind defined by the recurrence relation for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geqslant 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}(x)=1 \\
& U_{1}(x)=2 x \\
& U_{n+1}(x)=2 x U_{n}(x)-U_{n-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Part $i$ ) is a consequence of the fact that $\Lambda$ is of positive type operator, see Pazy [23, Theorem 2.6.9]. For the part $i i$ ) note that

$$
(\lambda I+\Lambda)^{-1}=\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\lambda^{n-1} I & \lambda^{n-2} I & \lambda^{n-3} I & \cdots & \lambda I & I  \tag{2.5}\\
-A & \lambda^{n-1} I & \lambda^{n-2} I & \cdots & \lambda^{2} I & \lambda I \\
-\lambda A & -A & \lambda^{n-1} I & \cdots & \lambda^{3} I & \lambda^{2} I \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\lambda^{n-3} A & -\lambda^{n-4} A & -\lambda^{n-5} A & \cdots & \lambda^{n-1} I & \lambda^{n-2} I \\
-\lambda^{n-2} A & -\lambda^{n-3} A & -\lambda^{n-4} & \cdots & -A & \lambda^{n-1} I
\end{array}\right] \text {, for } \lambda \in \rho(-\Lambda) .
$$

Letting $(\lambda I+\Lambda)^{-1}=\left[a_{i j}\right]$, with

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}-\lambda^{i-j-1} A\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1}, & \text { if } i>j \\ \lambda^{n+i-j-1}\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1}, & \text { if } i \leqslant j\end{cases}
$$

Now considering the above characterization, using (2.3), applying in each entry of the matrix (2.5) the fractional formula for $A$,

$$
A^{-\alpha}=\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha}(\lambda I+A)^{-1} d \lambda
$$

and after the change of variable $s=\lambda^{n}$ we obtain the following for $i>j$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha}\left(-\lambda^{i-j-1} A\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1}\right) d \lambda \\
& =-A \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}}(s I+A)^{-1} d s \\
& =-A \frac{(-1)^{i-j-n}}{n} \frac{\sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}\right) n \pi\right)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}(s I+A)^{-1} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that we can rewrite the expression of the sin in terms of
$\sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}\right) n \pi\right)=U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right) \sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}\right) \pi\right)$,
where $U_{n}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the $n$th degree Chebyshev polynomial of second kind. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha}\left(-\lambda^{i-j-1} A\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1} d \lambda\right. \\
& =-\frac{(-1)^{i-j-n}}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j+n}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right) A^{-\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}} \\
& =-\frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right) A^{-\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $i \leqslant j$, we obtain the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha}\left(\lambda^{n+i-j-1}\left(\lambda^{n} I+A\right)^{-1}\right) d \lambda \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right) A^{-\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To better show the next results we will use the following notations for the coefficients of matricial representation $\Lambda^{-\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{(\alpha, n, \mathrm{differ})}=\frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{(\alpha, n, \text { equal })}=\frac{1}{n} U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms 'differ' and 'equal' subscripts above refer to the entry of matricial representation of $\Lambda^{-\alpha}$ for $i \neq j$ and $i=j$, respectively. Therefore, we have the following results.

Proposition 2.3. Let $C_{(\alpha, n, \text { differ })}$ and $C_{(\alpha, n, e q u a l)}$ be the coefficients defined in (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. Then

$$
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{C_{(\alpha, n, \text { differ })}}{\alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{C_{(\alpha, n, e q u a l)}-1}{\alpha}=0 .
$$

Proof. Using (2.1), we have

$$
U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)\right)=\frac{\sin ((\alpha-i+j) \pi)}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{\left.C_{(\alpha, n, \text { differ })}\right)}{\alpha} & =\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n \sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)} \frac{\sin ((\alpha-i+j) \pi)}{\alpha} \\
& =\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{(-1)^{i-j}}{n \sin \left(\left(\frac{\alpha-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)} \frac{\sin (\alpha \pi)}{\alpha \pi} \pi \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{-i+j}{n}\right) \pi\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we will calculate $\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{C_{(\alpha, n, \text { equal })}-1}{\alpha}$. Note that as before, we have

$$
U_{n-1}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)\right)=\frac{\sin (\alpha \pi)}{\sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{\sin (\alpha \pi)}{\sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}-1\right]=-\frac{n-\sin (\alpha \pi) \csc \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}{n \alpha}
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{C_{(\alpha, n, \text { equal })}-1}{\alpha}=\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0}-\frac{n-\sin (\alpha \pi) \csc \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}{n \alpha} .
$$

Note that this limit does not exist as $\alpha \searrow 0$. For the L'Hôspital rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0}-\frac{n-\sin (\alpha \pi) \csc \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}{n \alpha} & =\frac{\pi}{n^{2}} \lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \csc \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)\left(\sin (\alpha \pi) \cot \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)-n \cos (\alpha \pi)\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{n^{2}} \lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{\sin (\alpha \pi) \cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)-\cos (\alpha \pi) \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2 n^{2}} \lim _{\alpha \searrow 0} \frac{\left(n^{2}-1\right) \sin (\alpha \pi)}{\cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{n}\right)} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality above, note that the limit also does not exist, and we use L'Hôspital's rule again to conclude.

Theorem 2.4. If $A$ and $\Lambda$ are as in (1.4)-(1.5), respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \Lambda=\left[\ell_{i, j}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\ell_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\log A^{\frac{1}{n}}, & i=j \\ -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\frac{i-j}{n} \pi\right)} A^{\frac{i-j}{n}}, & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\log \Lambda)=\left(X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \cap D(\log A)\right) \times \cdots \times\left(X^{\frac{1}{n}} \cap D(\log A)\right) \times D(\log A) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha>0$ and $\mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n}\end{array}\right] \in D(\log \Lambda)$, then by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\Lambda^{-\alpha}-I\right) \mathbf{u}
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) & \frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha+n-2}{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+1}{n}} & \frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+2}{n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, e q u a l)} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} v_{n} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ) }} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha+n-2}{n}} v_{n} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, d i f f e r)} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, d i f f e r)} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+2}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, e q u a l)} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, e q u a l)}-1\right) A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compute the limit at each entry of the matrix representation, thanks to Proposition 2.3 and (2.10), we have the following convergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} v_{n} \\
& \text { converges to } \\
& -\log A^{\frac{1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)} A^{-\frac{1}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots \frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \pi\right)} A^{-\frac{n}{-1}} v_{n} \\
& \text { in } X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \text {, as } \alpha \searrow 0 \text {; } \\
& \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-2}{n}} v_{n}\right. \\
& \text { converges to } \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \pi\right)} A^{\frac{1}{n}}+\left(-\log A^{\frac{1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)} A^{-\frac{1}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots \frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \pi\right)} A^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} v_{n}\right. \\
& \text { in } X^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \text {, as } \alpha \searrow 0 \text {; } \\
& \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+1}{n}} v_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{(t, n, \text { differ })} A^{-\frac{\alpha-n+2}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(C_{(t, n, \text { equal })} A^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}-I\right) v_{n}\right. \\
& \text { converges to } \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \pi\right)} A^{\frac{1}{n}} v_{1}+\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\pi}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \pi\right)} A^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} v_{2}+\cdots+\left(-\log A^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) v_{n} \\
& \text { in } X \text {, as } \alpha \searrow 0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By the previous analysis, we conclude that (2.8) and (2.9) hold.

## 3 Logarithmic equations

One of the main results of this work is based on the fact that it is well known that the unbounded linear operator $-\log \Lambda$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators on $Y$, see e.g. [2]. In other words, the semilinear Cauchy problem (1.7) is a well-posed problem on $Y$ via the theory of strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators. Namely, we have the following results.

If we propose the semilinear Cauchy problem (1.7) on $Y$ and we write $\mathbf{u}_{\ell}=\left[v_{i \ell}\right]_{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}$ then thanks to our previous results, the remarks above and [7, Theorem 2.2], we can rewrite the semilinear differential equation in (1.7) as follows:
$\frac{d^{n} v_{1 \ell}}{d t^{n}}+A_{n-1} \frac{d^{n-1} v_{1 \ell}}{d t^{n-1}}+\cdots+A_{1} \frac{d v_{1 \ell}}{d t}+A_{0} v_{1 \ell}=$ The 1,1 entry of the matrix $\left[p(\log \Lambda) f\left(\mathbf{u}_{\ell}\right)\right]$, where

$$
p(\log \Lambda)=(\log \Lambda)^{n-1}+A_{n-1}(\log \Lambda)^{n-2}+\cdots+A_{2} \log \Lambda+A_{1}
$$

subject to initial conditions given by

$$
\partial_{t}^{j} v_{1 \ell}(0)=w_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

The following results deal with the well-posedness of problem (1.7) with $f$ identically zero.
Theorem 3.1. There exists a unique mild solution to the linear Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \mathbf{u}_{\ell}}{d t}+(\log \Lambda) \mathbf{u}_{\ell}=0, t>0  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathbf{u}_{\ell}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{\ell_{0}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\ell}(t)=\Lambda^{-t} \mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

for any $t \geqslant 0$ such that if $\mathbf{u}_{\ell_{0}} \in Y$ then $\mathbf{u}_{\ell} \in C([0, \infty), Y) \cap C^{1}((0, \infty), Y)$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is of positive type $K \geq 1$, the linear operator $-\log \Lambda$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{\Lambda^{-t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ which is an analytic semigroup of angle $\pi / 2$, see [2, Theorem 4.6.4].

Moreover, we can rewrite the linear differential equation in (3.1) as follows

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}} v_{1 \ell}+\log A \frac{d^{n-1}}{d t^{n-1}} v_{1 \ell}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Lambda^{k}(\log \Lambda)\right) \frac{d^{n-k}}{d t^{n-k}} v_{1 \ell}+\operatorname{det}(\log \Lambda) v_{1 \ell}=0
$$

for $t>0$, with initial conditions given by

$$
\partial_{t}^{j} v_{1 \ell}(0)=w_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

In a suitable space such that $\mathbf{u}_{\ell_{0}} \in Y$, and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Lambda^{k} M\right)=\frac{1}{k!} \operatorname{det}\left(\left[t_{i, j}\right]_{k}\right), \quad t_{i, j}= \begin{cases}0, & j>i+1,  \tag{3.2}\\ k-i, & j=i+1, \\ \operatorname{tr}\left(M^{i-j+1}\right), & j \leqslant i .\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $f$ be a function defined in $X^{\frac{1}{n}}$ taking values in $X$ such that it is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets of $X^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Then, the function $F$ defined as in (1.6) on $Y$ taking values in $Y$ is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets of $Y$.
Proof. Let $B$ be a bounded subset of $Y$. If $\left[\begin{array}{c}w_{1} \\ \vdots \\ w_{n}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right] \in Y$, then for some $c_{B}>0$ we have

$$
\left\|F\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1} \\
\vdots \\
w_{n}
\end{array}\right]\right)-F\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right\|_{Y}^{2}=\left\|f\left(w_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} \leqslant c_{B}\left\|w_{1}-x_{2}\right\|_{X}^{2} .
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $f, F$ as in Proposition 3.2. Given a bounded subset $B$ of $Y$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in B$. Then the semilinear Cauchy problem (1.7) is a locally well-posed problem on $Y$; that is, for every $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in B$ there exists a $t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)>0$ such that (1.7) has a unique mild solution on $\left[0, t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)\right)$ given by (1.8). Moreover, if $t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)<\infty$ then

$$
\lim _{t / t_{0}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|=\infty
$$

Proof. The result is a consequence of our previous analysis jointly with [23, Theorem 1.4].

## 4 Applications

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain with with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ with $N \in$ $\{1,2,3\}$, and let $X=L^{2}(\Omega)$ be endowed with the standard inner product. In this section we consider the unbounded linear operators $A_{D}: D\left(A_{D}\right) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by linear $2 m$-th order uniformly elliptic partial differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{D} u=(-\Delta)^{m} u, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(A_{D}\right)=H^{2 m}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{m}(\Omega), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also consider the linear evolution equations of $n$-th order in time with $n \geqslant \frac{4}{4-N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}(m \geqslant 1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}^{n} u+(-\Delta)^{m} u=f(u) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to zero Dirichlet boundary conditions and initial conditions

$$
\begin{cases}u(x, t)=\Delta^{j} u(x, t)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega, t \geqslant 0, i \in\{j, \ldots, m-1\},  \tag{4.4}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \partial_{t}^{i} u(x, 0)=u_{i}(x), & x \in \partial \Omega, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\} .\end{cases}
$$

The unbounded linear operator $A_{D}$ defined in (4.1)-(4.2) is a closed, densely defined, selfadjoint, and positive definite operator. There exists $\zeta>0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma\left(A_{D}\right)>\zeta$, that is, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\zeta$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, and therefore, $A_{D}$ is a sectorial operator in the sense of Henry [18, Definition 1.3.1], with the eigenvalues $\left\{\nu_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$
0<\nu_{1} \leqslant \nu_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \nu_{j} \leqslant \ldots, \quad \nu_{j} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow+\infty
$$

This allows us to define the fractional power $A_{D}^{-\alpha}$ of order $\alpha \in(0,1)$ according to [2, Formula 4.6.9] and [18, Theorem 1.4.2], as a closed linear operator on its domain $D\left(A_{D}^{-\alpha}\right)$ with inverse $A_{D}^{\alpha}$. Denote by $X^{\alpha}=D\left(A_{D}^{\alpha}\right)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$. The fractional power space $X^{\alpha}$ endowed with the graphic norm

$$
\|\cdot\|_{X^{\alpha}}:=\left\|A_{D}^{\alpha} \cdot\right\|_{X}
$$

is a Banach space; namely, e.g., if $m \alpha$ is an integer, then

$$
X^{\alpha}=D\left((-\Delta)^{m \alpha}\right)=H^{2 m \alpha}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{m \alpha}(\Omega)
$$

with equivalent norms, see [13, Page 29] and [18, Pages 29 and 30].
With this notation, we have $X^{-\alpha}=\left(X^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha>0$, see [2] and [25] for the characterization of the negative scale. The scale of fractional power spaces $\left\{X^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$ associated with $A_{D}$ safisty

$$
X^{\alpha} \subset H^{2 m \alpha}(\Omega), \quad \alpha \in[0,1]
$$

where $H^{2 m \alpha}(\Omega)$ are the potential Bessel spaces, see Cholewa and Dłotko [13, Page 48]. Moreover, the nonlinearity $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in 4.3 is a continuously differentiable function satisfying for some $2<\rho \leqslant \frac{n N}{n N-4 m(n-1)}$ the growth condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mid f^{\prime}(s)\right) \leqslant C\left(1+|s|^{\rho-1}\right), s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is a direct consequence of (4.5) via Mean Value's Theorem.
Lemma 4.1. Let $f$ be a real function of one real variable such that (4.5) holds. Then

$$
\left|f\left(s_{1}\right)-f\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant 2^{\rho-1} c\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|\left(1+\left|s_{1}\right|^{\rho-1}+\left|s_{2}\right|^{\rho-1}\right)
$$

for any $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Moreover, we have the following result.
Lemma 4.2. Let $f$ be a real function of one real variable such that the condition (4.5) holds. Then there exists $s \in\left(0, \frac{n N}{4}\right)$ such that the Nemitskiŭ operator $f^{e}: X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \rightarrow X^{-\frac{s}{n}}$ given by $f^{e}(u)(x)=f(u(x))$ for any $u \in X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ and $x \in \Omega$ is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets of $X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$.
Proof. Let $B$ be a bounded subset of $X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in B$. Let $s \in\left(0, \frac{n N}{4}\right)$ such that

$$
\rho \leqslant \frac{n N+4 m s}{n N-4 m s}
$$

Since $X^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{2 m \alpha}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha>0$, we have

$$
X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \hookrightarrow X^{\frac{s}{n}} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{2 m s}{n}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N-4 m s}}(\Omega)
$$

Therefore $L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N+4 m s}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X^{-\frac{s}{n}}$. Now, by Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{e}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{X^{-\frac{s}{n}}} & \leqslant c_{0}\left\|f^{e}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N+4 m s}}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant c_{0}\left\|2^{\rho-1} c\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|\left(1+\left|u_{1}\right|^{\rho-1}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{\rho-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N+4 m s}}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant c_{1}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N-4 m s}}(\Omega)}\left\|1+\left|u_{1}\right|^{\rho-1}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{\rho-1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n N}{4 m s}(\Omega)}} \\
& \leqslant c_{2}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N-4 m s}}(\Omega)}\left(1+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n N(\rho-1)}{4 m s}}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n N(\rho-1)}{4 m s}}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is the embedding constant from $L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N+4 m s}}(\Omega)$ to $X^{-\frac{s}{n}}$.
From Sobolev embeddings, we have

$$
X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \hookrightarrow X^{\frac{s}{n}} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{2 m s}{n}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{n N(\rho-1)}{4 m s}}(\Omega)
$$

for all $2<\rho \leqslant \frac{n N+4 m s}{n N-4 m s}$, it follows that

$$
\left\|f^{e}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{X^{-\frac{s}{n}}} \leqslant K\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}\left(1+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}^{\rho-1}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X \frac{n-1}{n}}^{\rho-1}\right)
$$

for some constant $K>0$.

Remark 4.3. Since $L^{\frac{2 n N}{(n N-4 m(n-1)) \rho}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ for all $2<\rho \leqslant \frac{n N}{n N-4 m(n-1)}$, it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.2 that $f^{e}: X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets; that is,

$$
\left\|f^{e}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant k\left\|f^{e}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N-4 m(n-1) \rho}(\Omega)}} \leqslant k_{1}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{X^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}
$$

where $k_{1}=k_{1}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X \frac{n-1}{n}},\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X \frac{n-1}{n}}\right)$. The scheme below describes this situation:

$$
X^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{2 m(n-1)}{n}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2 n N}{n N-4 m(n-1)}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{f(u) \approx u^{\rho}} L^{\frac{2 n N}{(n N-4 m(n-1) \rho}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega),
$$

with $1<\rho \leqslant \frac{n N}{n N-4 m(n-1)}$.
A direct consequence of Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3 is the following result.
Corollary 4.4. If $f$ is as in Lemma 4.2, then the function $F: Y \rightarrow Y$ given by (1.6) is Lipschitz continuous in bounded subsets of $Y$.

Now, Theorem 3.3 and [23, Theorem 1.4] guarantee local well-posedness for the semilinear Cauchy problem (1.7) on $Y$ with $A=\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{m}$ and $f$ as in Lemma 4.2.
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