# EXISTENCE OF THREE SOLUTIONS FOR A DISCRETE $p$-LAPLACIAN BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM 

Omar Hammouti<br>Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 39A10. 34B08. 34B15. 58E30.
Keywords and phrases: Discrete boundary value problems, fourth order, critical point theory, variational methods.
The author would like to thank the editor-in-chief and anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and helpful remarks.


#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with boundary value problems for a fourth-order nonlinear difference equation. Sufficient condition are obtained for the existence of at least three solutions, via variational methods and critical point theory. One example is included to illustrate the result.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ the sets of all natural numbers, integers and real numbers, respectively. For any integers $a$ and $b$ with $a \leq b,[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by the discrete interval $\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$.

Now, we are concerned with the existence of at least three solutions to the fourth-order non linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right)-\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)=\alpha f(t, u(t))+\beta g(t, u(t)), t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the boundary value conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(N+1)=\Delta u(-1)=\Delta u(N+1)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 1$ is an integer, $1<p<\infty$ is a constant, $\varphi_{p}$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator, that is $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, \alpha, \beta$ are real parameters positive, $f, g[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two continuous functions, $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta u(t)=u(t+1)-u(t), \Delta^{0} u(t)=u(t)$, $\Delta^{i} u(t)=\Delta^{i-1}(\Delta u(t))$ for $i=1,2,3,4$.
By a solution of (1.1), (1.2), we mean a function $u:[-1, N+2]_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies both (1.1) and (1.2).

Boundary value problem (BVP, for short) (1.1), (1.2) could be regarded as a discrete analogue of the fourth-order problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}\right)\right)-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\frac{d u(t)}{d t}\right)\right)=\alpha f(t, u(t))+\beta g(t, u(t)), \quad t \in\right] 0,1[  \tag{1.3}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Difference equations appear in numerous settings and forms, both in mathematics and in its applications to statistics, computing, electrical circuit analysis, dynamical systems, economics, biology, and other fields (see, for example [1, 16]). For this reason, in recent years the existence of solutions for difference equations has been studied by many authors, and some results have been obtained by using various methods such as fixed point theorems methods, and the upper and lower solutions methods (see $[1,14,16]$ and the references therein). Studying the solvability of difference equations by using variational methods was initiated by Guo and Yu [12]. Since then, by using the critical point theory approaches, such as those based on the mini-max methods and the Morse theory, the existence of solutions for difference equations has been extensively investigated (see $[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,15,20]$ ).

In this paper, we shall study the existence of at least three solutions of the BVP (1.1), (1.2), via variational methods and critical point theory.

Put

$$
F(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t, s) d s \quad \text { and } \quad G(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(t, s) d s
$$

To state our main results, we make the following assumptions:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ There exists $\delta$ with $\delta<\lambda_{1}$ such that

$$
\lim \sup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \max _{t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{p F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leq \delta
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\min _{u \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=\left\{u:[-1, N+2]_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u(0)=u(N+1)=\Delta u(-1)=\Delta u(N+1)=0\right\} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will see in the Section 3 that $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem corresponding to the BVP (1.1), (1.2).
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist $\left.c, d \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ such that $c^{p}<N d^{p}$ and

$$
\max _{(t,|x|) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times[0, c]} F(t, x)<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{6 N}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{p} \sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, d) .
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exists $\nu>0$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\left.\max _{t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{p G(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\max _{t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}}} \frac{p G(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}\right\} \leqslant \nu . . . . . . .}\right.
$$

$\left(H_{4}\right)$ There exists $\rho>0$ such that $\sum_{t=1}^{N} G(t, \rho)>\frac{6 \nu \rho^{p}}{p \lambda_{1}}$.
The main results in this paper are the following theorems:
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, then there exist a nonempty open set $\Lambda \subset[0,1]$ and a positive number $\gamma$, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there exist $\xi>0$ such that, for each $\beta \in] 0, \xi]$, the BVP (1.1), (1.2) has at least three distinct solutions in $E_{N}$ whose norms are less than $\gamma$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then for each compact interval $[a, b] \subset] \frac{6 \rho^{p}}{p \sum_{t=1}^{N} G(t, \rho)}, \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\nu}[$, there exist $\zeta>0$ such that for each $\beta \in[a, b]$, there exists $\eta>0$ such that for each $\alpha \in] 0, \eta]$, the BVP (1.1), (1.2) has at least three distinct solutions in $E_{N}$ whose norms are less than $\zeta$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, contains some preliminary lemmas. Section 3, we introduce the eigenvalue problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ associated to the BVP (1.1), (1.2). The main results will be proved in Section 4.

## 2 Variational structure and some lemmas

We consider the vector space defined in (1.5). For $u \in E_{N}$, define

$$
\|u\|=\left(\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

So $\left(E_{N},\|\cdot\|\right)$ is an $N$ dimensional reflexive Banach space. In fact, $E_{N}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We also put, for every $u \in E_{N}$

$$
\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}}|u(t)|
$$

Obviously,

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leqslant\|u\| .
$$

Let the functionals $\Phi, \Psi_{1}$, and $\Psi_{2}$ be defined as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p} \\
\Psi_{1}(u)=\sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, u(t))
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}(u)=\sum_{t=1}^{N} G(t, u(t)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in E_{N}$.
The functional corresponding of BVP (1.1), (1.2) is given by

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}=\Phi-\alpha \Psi_{1}-\beta \Psi_{2}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Phi, \Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ are continuously differentiable and for all $u, v \in E_{N}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi^{\prime}(u) \cdot v=\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)+\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1) \\
\left\langle\Psi_{1}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\sum_{t=1}^{N} f(t, u(t)) v(t),\left\langle\Psi_{2}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\sum_{t=1}^{N} g(t, u(t)) v(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(u) \cdot v & =\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)+\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1) \\
& -\sum_{t=1}^{N} \alpha f(t, u(t)) v(t)-\beta g(t, u(t)) v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. For all $u, v \in E_{N}$, we have

1) $\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1)=-\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right) v(t)$.
2) $\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)=\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) v(t)$.

Proof. 1) Let $u, v \in E_{N}$, by the summation by parts formula and the fact that $v(0)=v(N+1)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right) v(t) & =\left[\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) v(t)\right]_{1}^{N+1}-\sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t)) \Delta v(t) \\
& =-|\Delta u(0)|^{p-2} \Delta u(0) v(1)-\sum_{t=2}^{N+1} \varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1) \\
& =-\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

2) By the summation by parts formula and the fact that $v(0)=v(N+1)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) v(t) & =\left[\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) v(t)\right]_{1}^{N+1} \\
& -\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right)\right) \Delta v(t) \\
& =-\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(-1)\right)\right) v(1)-\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right)\right) \Delta v(t) \\
& =-\sum_{t=0}^{N} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right)\right) \Delta v(t) \\
& =-\sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) \Delta v(t-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, using the summation by parts formula and the fact that $\Delta v(N+1)=\Delta v(-1)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{N} \Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) v(t) & \left.=-\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right) \Delta v(t-1)\right]_{1}^{N+2} \\
& \left.+\sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-1) \\
& \left.=\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(-1)\right) \Delta v(0)+\sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-1) \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{N+1} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-1)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-1) \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 2.1.
By Lemma 2.1, $I_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ can be written as

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(u) . v=\sum_{t=1}^{N}\left[\Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right)-\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)-\alpha f(t, u(t))-\beta g(t, u(t))\right] v(t)
$$

for any $v \in E_{N}$.
Thus, finding solutions of PVP (1.1), (1.2) is equivalent to finding critical point of the functional $I_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Lemma 2.2. (Minty-Browder see [21]) Let $\theta: E \longrightarrow E^{*}$ be strictly monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous operator on the real, reflexive Banach space $E$. Then, the inverse operator $\theta^{-1}: E^{*} \longrightarrow E$ exists.

Lemma 2.3. (see [19]) Let $E$ be a nonempty set and $\phi, \psi$ two real functions on $E$. Assume that there are $R>0$ and $u_{0}, u_{1} \in E$ such that

$$
\phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0, \quad \phi\left(u_{1}\right)>R, \quad \sup _{\left.\left.u \in \phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right)} \psi(u)<R \frac{\psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\phi\left(u_{1}\right)}
$$

Then for each $\rho$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right)} \psi(u)<\rho<R \frac{\psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\phi\left(u_{1}\right)},
$$

one has

$$
\sup _{\alpha \geqslant 0} \inf _{u \in E}[\phi(u)+\alpha(\rho-\psi(u))]<\inf _{u \in E} \sup _{\alpha \geqslant 0}[\phi(u)+\alpha(\rho-\psi(u))] .
$$

Lemma 2.4. (see [18]) Let $E$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ an interval, let $\phi: E \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous $C^{1}$ functional, bounded on each bounded subset of $E$ and whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on $E^{*} ; \psi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $C^{1}$ functional with compact derivative. Assume that
i) $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}[\phi(u)-\alpha \psi(u)]=\infty$, for all $\alpha \in S$;
ii) there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in S^{u}} \inf _{u \in E}[\phi(u)+\alpha(\rho-\psi(u))]<\inf _{u \in E} \sup _{\alpha \in S}[\phi(u)+\alpha(\rho-\psi(u))] .
$$

Then there exist a nonempty open set $\Lambda \subset S$ and a positive number $\gamma$, with the following property: for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and every $C^{1}$ functional $\Gamma: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\xi>0$ such that, for each $\beta \in] 0, \xi]$, the functional $\phi-\alpha \psi-\beta \Gamma$ has at least three distinct critical point in $E$, whose norms are less than $\gamma$.

Lemma 2.5. (see [17]) Let E be a separable and reflexive real Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E}$, $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. Let $\phi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous functional that is bounded on bounded subsets of $E$ and whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $E^{*}$ and $\psi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact.
Assume that $\phi$ has a strict local minimum $u_{0}$ with $\phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.
Let

$$
\delta=\max \left\{0, \lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(u)}{\phi(u)}, \lim _{u \rightarrow u_{0}} \sup \frac{\psi(u)}{\phi(u)}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\eta=\sup _{u \in \phi^{-1}([0, \infty[)} \frac{\psi(u)}{\phi(u)}
$$

and assume that $\delta<\eta$. Then, for each compact interval $[a, b] \subset] \frac{1}{\eta}, \frac{1}{\delta}[$ (with the conventions $\left.\left(\frac{1}{0}=\infty\right),\left(\frac{1}{\infty}=0\right)\right)$, there exists $\omega>0$ with the following property: for each $\beta \in[a, b]$ and every $C^{1}$ functional $\Gamma: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\zeta>0$ such that, for each $\alpha \in] 0, \zeta]$, the functional $\phi-\alpha \psi-\beta \Gamma$ has at least three distinct critical point in $E$ whose norms are less than $\omega$.

## 3 Eigenvalue problem

We consider the nonlinear eigenvalue problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ corresponding to the BVP (1.1), (1.2):

$$
\left(P_{0}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right)-\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)=\lambda \varphi_{p}(u(t)), t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \\
u(0)=u(N+1)=\Delta u(-1)=\Delta u(N+1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 3.1. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is called eigenvalue of $\left(P_{0}\right)$ if there exists $u \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}$ such that
$\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)+\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1)=\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi_{p}(u(t)) v(t), \quad \forall v \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}$.
Proposition 3.2. (see [13]) Let E be a real Banach space, $G, J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and a set of constraints $S=\{u \in E \mid G(u)=0\}$. Suppose that for any $u \in S, G^{\prime}(u) \neq 0$ and there exists $u_{0} \in S$ such that $J\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in S} J(u)$. Then there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\lambda G^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 3.3. $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{N}$ are the first and the last eigenvalue respectively of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}\left(\right.$ defined in (1.4)) and $\lambda_{N}=\max _{v \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}}$.

Proof. Put

$$
J(u)=\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}, G(u)=\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}-1=\|u\|^{p}-1
$$

and

$$
S=\left\{u \in E_{N} \mid G(u)=0\right\}=\left\{u \in E_{N} \mid\|u\|=1\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that $G^{\prime}(u) \neq 0$ for any $u \in S$.
The set $S$ is compact and $J$ is continuous on $S$, then there exists $u_{1} \in S$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{1}\right)=\min _{u \in S} J(u)=\lambda^{\prime}
$$

Clearly $\lambda^{\prime}>0$. From the Proposition 3.2, there exists $\lambda_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} G^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which mains that $\Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)\right)\right)-\Delta\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta u_{1}(t-1)\right)\right)=\lambda_{1} \varphi_{p}\left(u_{1}(t)\right), t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
Multiplying (3.1) by $u_{1}$ in the sense of inner product, we obtain

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)\right|^{p}+\left|\Delta u_{1}(t-1)\right|^{p}=\lambda_{1} \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{p}
$$

i.e.,

$$
J\left(u_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{p}=\lambda_{1}
$$

Therefore, $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$.

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =\min _{u \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p} \\
& =\min _{u \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}} \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} \frac{u(t-2)}{\|u\|}\right|^{p}+\left|\Delta \frac{u(t-1)}{\|u\|}\right|^{p} \\
& =\min _{u \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we show that $\lambda_{N}$ is an eigenvalue of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\lambda_{N}=\max _{v \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}} .
$$

If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$, then there exists $u \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}$ such that:
$\sum_{t=1}^{N+2} \varphi_{p}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right) \Delta^{2} v(t-2)+\varphi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1)) \Delta v(t-1)=\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi_{p}(u(t)) v(t), \quad \forall v \in E_{N} \backslash\{0\}$.
In particular for $v=u$, we get $\lambda=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}}$.
So, we deduce that $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{N}$.
Then, $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{N}$ are the first and the least eigenvalue respectively of the problem $\left(P_{0}\right)$.
The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.
It is clear to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}\|u\|^{p} \leq \Phi(u) \leq \frac{\lambda_{N}}{p}\|u\|^{p}, \quad \forall u \in E_{N} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will apply Lemma 2.4, with $\phi=\Phi, \psi=\Psi_{1}$ and $\Gamma=\Psi_{2}$.
Firsty, we show that the functionals $\Phi, \Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ satisfy the regularity assumptions of Lemma 2.4.

It is easy to see that $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ are continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gateaux derivative is compact.
Clearly, by (3.2) that $\Phi$ is coercive, sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional and is bounded on each bounded subset of $E_{N}$, Gâteaux differentiable. We continue to prove the existence of the inverse function $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}: E_{N}^{*} \longrightarrow E_{N}$. At first, we show the strict monotonicity of $\Phi^{\prime}$. Indeed, let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in E_{N}$ with $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) & \geq \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left(\left|\Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)\right|^{p-2} \Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)-\left|\Delta^{2} u_{2}(t-2)\right|^{p-2} \Delta^{2} u_{2}(t-2)\right) \\
& \left(\Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)-\Delta^{2} u_{2}(t-2)\right) \\
& +\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left(\left|\Delta u_{1}(t-1)\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{1}(t-1)-\left|\Delta^{2} u_{2}(t-1)\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{2}(t-1)\right) \\
& \left(\Delta u_{1}(t-1)-\Delta u_{2}(t-1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the well-known inequality, for any $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\left(|v|^{m-2} v-|w|^{m-2} w\right)(v-w) \geq C_{m}|v-w|^{m}, \quad m \geq 2, C_{m}>0
$$

we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) & \geq C_{1} \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u_{1}(t-2)-\Delta^{2} u_{2}(t-2)\right|^{p-2} \\
& +C_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta u_{1}(t-1)-\Delta u_{2}(t-1)\right|^{p-2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are two positive constants. Therefore $\Phi^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone. Moreover,

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u) \cdot u=\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p} \geq \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{p} .
$$

So, $\Phi^{\prime}(u) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.
Then, from Lemma 2.2, $\Phi^{\prime}$ has an inverse mapping $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}: E_{N}^{*} \longrightarrow E_{N}$.
Now we prove that $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous. Let $\left(u_{n}^{*}\right), u^{*} \in E_{N}^{*}$ with $u_{n}^{*} \longrightarrow u^{*}$, and let $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)=$ $u_{n},\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(u^{*}\right)=u$. Then, $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=u_{n}^{*}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(u)=u^{*}$, which means that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $E_{N}$. Hence there exists $u_{0} \in E_{N}$ and a subsequence, again denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \longrightarrow u_{0}$ in $E_{N}$. Thus $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Since the limit is unique, it follows that $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}(u)$. Therefore $u_{0}=u$, then $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(u_{n}^{*}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(u^{*}\right)$. Hence $\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous.
Next, from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\left.\frac{p F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leq \delta+\varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad(t,|x|) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times\right] \rho,+\infty[
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<\lambda_{1}-\delta$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{p}(\eta+\varepsilon)|x|^{p} \quad \text { for }(t,|x|) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times\right] \rho,+\infty[ \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (4.1) and the continuity of $x \longrightarrow F(t, x)$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{p}(\delta+\varepsilon)|x|^{p}+c, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{N+2}\left|\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right|^{p}+|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p} \geq\left.\lambda_{1}| | u\right|^{p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha \in[0,1]$, using the preceding inequality and (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u)-\alpha \Psi_{1}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(\delta+\varepsilon) \sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p}-c N \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\left[\lambda_{1}-(\delta+\varepsilon)\right]\|u\|^{p}-c N
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon<\lambda_{1}-\delta$, then

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Phi(u)-\alpha \Psi_{1}(u)\right)=\infty
$$

The condition i) in Lemma 2.4 is satisfied.
Next, for $u_{0}=0$ we have $\Phi(0)=\Psi_{1}(0)=0$.

Put $R=\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{1} c^{p}$ and choose $u_{1} \in E_{N}$ defined by: $u_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}d, & t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ 0 & , \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$
By (3.2) with $u=u_{1}$ and $c^{p}<N d^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(u_{1}\right) & \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}\|u\|^{p} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p} N d^{p} \\
& >\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p} c^{p}=R .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Phi(u) \leqslant R$, then for all $t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| \leq\|u\| & \leqslant\left(\frac{p \Phi(u)}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{p R}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=c
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies that,

$$
\left.\left.\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right) \subseteq\left\{u \in E_{N} /|u(t)| \leqslant c, t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right\}
$$

So, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right)} \Psi_{1}(u) & =\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right)} \sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, u(t)) \\
& \leqslant N_{(t,|x|) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times[0, c]} F(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from $\left(H_{2}\right)$ we deduce that

$$
\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, R\right]\right)} \Psi_{1}(u)<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{6}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{p} \sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, d)
$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that $\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{6}{p} d^{p}, \Psi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, d)$, and

$$
R \frac{\Psi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{6}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{p} \sum_{t=1}^{N} F(t, d)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right)} \Psi_{1}(u)<R \frac{\Psi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}
$$

Then from Lemma 2.3, for each $\rho$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right)} \Psi_{1}(u)<\rho<r \frac{\Psi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}
$$

one has

$$
\sup _{\alpha \geqslant 0} \inf _{u \in E}\left[\Phi(u)+\alpha\left(\rho-\Psi_{1}(u)\right)\right]<\inf _{u \in E_{\alpha \geqslant 0}} \sup _{\alpha \geqslant 0}\left[\Phi(u)+\alpha\left(\rho-\Psi_{1}(u)\right)\right] .
$$

Therefore, all the assumptions of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied. Then the functional $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ admits at least three distinct critical points, which are solutions of BVP (1.1), (1.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We know that the functional $\Phi, \Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ satisfy the regularity assumptions of Lemma 2.5. For $u_{0}=0, \Phi$ has a strict local minimum and $\Phi(0)=\Psi_{2}(0)=0$.
In view of $\left(H_{3}\right)$, there exist $0<R_{1}<R_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, x) \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \nu|x|^{p}, \text { for any }(t,|x|) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times\left[0, R_{1}[\cup] R_{2}, \infty[\right. \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the continuity of $g$, this implies that there exists $c_{1}>0$ and $q>p$ such that

$$
G(t, x) \leqslant c_{1}|x|^{q} \text { for all }(t, x) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times\left[-R_{2},-R_{1}\right] \cup\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]
$$

Using the preceding inequality and (4.4), we have

$$
G(t, x) \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \nu|x|^{p}+c_{1}|x|^{q} \text { for all }(t, x) \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

So, from (2.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{2}(u) & \leqslant \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p} \nu|u(t)|^{p}+c_{1}|u(t)|^{q} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \nu\|u\|^{p}+c_{1} N\|u\|_{\infty}^{q} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \nu\|u\|^{p}+c_{1} N\|u\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u \in E_{N}$.
Hence, using (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \sup \frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leqslant \frac{\nu}{\lambda_{1}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $|u(t)|>R_{2}$ for any $t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ then the inequality (4.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, u(t)) \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \nu|u(t)|^{p} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And if $|u(t)| \leqslant R_{2}$, by continuity of $g$ there exist $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(t, u(t))| \leqslant c_{2} \text { for any } t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the preceding inequality, (4.6) and (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{2}(u)=\sum_{t=1}^{N} G(t, u(t)) & =\sum_{|u(t)| \leq R_{2}} G(t, u(t))+\sum_{|u(t)|>R_{2}} G(t, u(t)) \\
& \leqslant N c_{2}+\frac{1}{p} \nu \sum_{t=1}^{N}|u(t)|^{p} \\
& \leqslant N c_{2}+\frac{1}{p} \nu\|u\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leqslant \frac{p N c_{2}}{\lambda_{1}\|u\|^{p}}+\frac{\nu}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

So, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leqslant \frac{\nu}{\lambda_{1}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (4.5) and (4.8), we obtain

$$
\delta=\max \left\{0, \lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \sup \frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)}\right\} \leqslant \frac{\nu}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

For $\rho>0$, choose $u_{2} \in E_{N}$ such that $u_{2}(t)=\rho$ for any $t \in[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
Clearly $\Phi\left(u_{2}\right)=\frac{6}{p} \rho^{p}>0$, therefore $u_{2} \in \Phi^{-1}(] 0, \infty[)$. So, it is easy to see that

$$
\eta=\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(] 0, \infty[)} \frac{\Psi_{2}(u)}{\Phi(u)} \geqslant \frac{\Psi_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{2}\right)}=\frac{p \sum_{t=1}^{N} G(t, \rho)}{6 \rho^{p}}
$$

From $\left(H_{4}\right)$, we deduce that

$$
\eta>\frac{\nu}{\lambda_{1}} \geqslant \delta .
$$

Hence, all the assumptions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Therefore the functional $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ admits at least three distinct critical points, which are solutions of the BVP (1.1), (1.2). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

Example 4.1. We consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\Delta^{2}\left(\varphi_{10}\left(\Delta^{2} u(t-2)\right)\right)-\Delta\left(\varphi_{10}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)=\alpha f(t, u(t))+\beta g(t, u(t)), t \in[1,6]_{\mathbb{Z}}  \tag{4.9}\\
u(0)=u(7)=\Delta u(-1)=\Delta u(7)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[1,6]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for $t \in[1,6]_{\mathbb{Z}}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$
g(t, x)=t \begin{cases}x & , \\ |x|>1 \\ x^{7} & ,|x| \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
G(t, x)=t\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{3}{8}, & |x|>1 \\
\frac{1}{8} x^{8}, & |x| \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

 $\nu>0$, (for example $\nu=\lambda_{1} \times 10^{-6}$ ).
We choose $\rho=1$, then we have $\sum_{t=1}^{6} G(t, 1)=\frac{21}{8}$ and $\frac{6 \nu \rho^{p}}{p \lambda_{1}}=6 \times 10^{-7}$, therefore $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is satisfied.
We deduce for each interval compact $[a, b] \subset] \frac{8}{35}, 10^{6}[$, there exist $\zeta>0$ such that for each $\beta \in[a, b]$, there exists $\eta>0$ such that for each $\alpha \in] 0, \eta]$, the problem (4.9) has at least three distinct solutions in $E_{N}$, whose norms are less than $\zeta$.
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