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#### Abstract

In this paper, we determine the structure of the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 144. Up to isomorphism, there are 197 non-isomorphic groups of order 144, and only 28 are non-metabelian. Mittal and Sharma [19] studied the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 144 that have exponent either 36 or 72 . In this work, we characterize the unit groups of the group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 144 having exponent 12 and 24 . This paper completes the study of the unit groups of the group algebras of non-metabelian groups up to order 144.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K} G$ denote the group algebra generated by the finite group $G$ of order $n$ over the finite field $\mathbb{K}$ of order $q=p^{k}$ having characteristics $p$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{K} G)$ denote the collection of all elements in $\mathbb{K} G$ having multiplicative inverses. The set $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{K} G)$ is known as the unit group of $\mathbb{K} G$. Owing to the applications of the units in various fields like coding theory (see [8],[9],[10]), number theory ([6]), cryptography [17] etc., the classification of the unit groups of the group algebras has become a salient research area $[7,13,20,21,25,26,27,28]$.
For the group algebras generated by metabelian groups (recall that a group $G$ is metabelian if its derived subgroup is abelian), Bakshi et al. [3] completely characterized the unit groups. Therefore, most of the researchers in this area focus on the unit groups of the group algebras of non-metabelian groups. Thanks to Pazderski, it is possible to explicitly calculate the possible orders of non-metabelian groups (see [23]). One can note that the smallest possible order of a non-metabelian group is 24 . The unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 24 are studied in [11, 12]. One of the notable works in this direction is due to Mittal and Sharma [15], where the authors studied the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups up to order 72. Furthermore, Mittal and Sharma also characterized the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups up to order 120 (see [16, 18, 22, 24]), except that of the symmetric group $S_{5}$. Arvind and Panja study the unit group of the semisimple group algebra of $S_{5}$ in [2]. In continuation, Abhilash et al. [1] considered all the non-metabelian groups of order 128 and studied the unit groups of their corresponding semisimple group algebras.
Next, using [23], it is straight-forward to note that there are non-metabelian groups of order 144. Up to isomorphism, there are 197 groups of order 144, and only 28 are non-metabelian. Moreover, the possible exponents of these 28 non-metabelian groups are $12,24,36$, and 72 . Recently, Mittal and Sharma [19] computed the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 144 that have exponents 36 or 72 . In this work, we consider the remaining non-metabelian groups of order 144 , i.e., the groups having exponents 12 or 24 (a total of 11 such groups), and compute the unit groups of their corresponding semisimple group algebras. This paper will complete the study of the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of groups up to order 144.
This paper is organized as follows. The preliminaries needed in this paper are collected in section 2 . Moreover, in the same section, we discuss the non-metabelian groups of order 144. Sections 3 and 4 deal with our main results on the structure of the unit groups of semisimple group algebras of 11 non-metabelian groups of order 144 . Finally, section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{K}$ denote the finite field of order $q=p^{k}$ with characteristic $p$ and let $G$ denote the finite group of order $n$. The definitions given below are as in [5].

Definition 2.1. An element $x \in G$ is called $p^{\prime}$-element, if $p \nmid|x|$, where $|x|$ is the order of $x$.

Let the least common multiple of the orders of all $p^{\prime}$-elements in $G$ be denoted by $s$. Let the primitive $s^{t h}$ root of unity over $\mathbb{K}$ be denoted by $\omega$. Therefore, $\mathbb{K}(\omega)$ is the splitting field over $\mathbb{K}$. Next, we define the set

$$
T_{G, \mathbb{K}}=\left\{t \mid \sigma(\omega)=\omega^{t}, \text { where } \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{K}(\omega) / \mathbb{K})\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{K}(\omega) / \mathbb{K})$ denotes the Galois group of $\mathbb{K}(\omega)$ over $\mathbb{K}$.
Definition 2.2. For any $p^{\prime}$-element $x \in G$, let $\gamma_{x}=\sum_{h \in C_{x}} h$. Then, the cyclotomic $\mathbb{K}$-class of $\gamma_{x}$ is the set

$$
S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x^{t}} \mid t \in T_{G, \mathbb{K}}\right\} .
$$

Proposition 2.1. [5] The set of simple components of $\frac{\mathbb{K} G}{J(\mathbb{K} G)}$ and the set of cyclotomic $\mathbb{K}$-classes in $G$, where $J(\mathbb{K} G)$ is the Jacobson radical of $\mathbb{K} G$, are in 1-1 correspondence.

Proposition 2.2. [5] Let $l$ be the number of cyclotomic $\mathbb{K}$-classes in $G$. If $K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{l}$ are the simple components of $Z\left(\frac{\mathbb{K} G}{J(\mathbb{K} G)}\right)$ and $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{l}$ are the cyclotomic $\mathbb{K}$-classes of $G$, then $\left|S_{i}\right|=\left[K_{i}: \mathbb{K}\right]$ with a suitable ordering of the indices, assuming that the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{K}(\omega): \mathbb{K})$ is cyclic.

Lemma 2.1. [14] Let $\mathbb{K} G$ be a semi-simple group algebra and let $G^{\prime}$ be the derived subgroup of $G$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{K} G \cong \mathbb{K} G_{e_{G^{\prime}}} \oplus \triangle\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{K} G_{e_{G^{\prime}}}=\mathbb{K}\left(\frac{G}{G^{\prime}}\right)$ is the sum of all commutative simple components of $\mathbb{K} G$ and $\triangle\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ is the sum of all others.
Proposition 2.3. [14] The number of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{K} G$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of $G$.
We end this section by discussing the non-metabelian groups of order 144.

### 2.1 Non-metabelian groups of order 144

From [19, section 2], we know that there are 28 non-metabelian groups of order 144. These are listed as follows: We write all the 28 non-metabelian groups of order 144 in the following list:

1. $\left(Q_{8} \rtimes C_{9}\right) \cdot C_{2}$
2. $\left(Q_{8} \rtimes C_{9}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$
3. $\left(\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{9}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$
4. $C_{2} \times\left(Q_{8} \rtimes C_{9}\right)$
5. $\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{9}$
6. $C_{2} \times\left(\left(\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{9}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$
7. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$
8. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$
9. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes D_{16}$
10. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$
11. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q_{16}$
12. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$
13. $C_{3} \times\left(C_{2} \cdot S_{4}\right)$
14. $C_{3} \times G L(2,3)$
15. $C_{3} \times\left(A_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$
16. $C_{3} \rtimes\left(C_{2} . S_{4}\right)$
17. $\left(C_{3} \times S L(2,3)\right) \rtimes C_{2}$
18. $\left(C_{3} \times A_{4}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$
19. $\left(\left(C_{4} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}$
20. $S_{3} \times S L(2,3)$
21. $C_{6} \times S L(2,3)$
22. $C_{3} \times\left(\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}\right)$
23. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$
24. $S_{3} \times S_{4}$
25. $C_{2} \times\left(\left(S_{3} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$
26. $C_{2} \times\left(\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q_{8}\right)$
27. $C_{6} \times S_{4}$
28. $C_{2} \times\left(\left(C_{2} \times A_{4}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$.

Among these 28 groups, the groups at the serial numbers $7,8,12,18$ and 19 have exponent 12 (total 5) and the groups at the serial numbers $9,10,11,16,17$ and 23 have exponent 24 (total 6 ). In the subsequent sections, we study the unit groups of group algebras corresponding to these 11 groups.

## 3 Groups of exponent 12

As discussed in section 2, we know that there are 5 non-metabelian groups of order 144. In this section, we characterize the unit group of the semisimple group algebra generated by these 5 groups. Throughout this paper, let $x^{-1} y^{-1} x y=[x, y]$ denote the commutator of $x, y \in G$. The 5 non-metabelian groups of order 144 with exponent 12 are given below. We remark that, in order to be consistent with the list given in section 2, we represent the groups with the same serial numbers as appearing earlier.
7. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$
8. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$
12. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$
18. $\left(C_{3} \times A_{4}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$
19. $\left(\left(C_{4} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}$
$3.1 \quad G_{7}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right)$.
The group $G_{7}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{7}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] \\
& {\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{5}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 18 conjugacy classes of $G_{7}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 12 |
| Order | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 12 |


| $x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{4} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 2 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 6 |

Theorem 3.1. Let $G_{7}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$.

Proof. The group $G_{7}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{7}^{\prime} \cong\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$ and $\frac{G_{7}}{G_{7}^{\prime}} \cong C_{4} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition.
Let us discuss the Wedderburn decomposition in the following 2 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in \bar{G}_{7}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7}$ is given by,

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{8} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{10} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 136=\sum_{i=1}^{10} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2^{9}, 10\right),\left(2^{7}, 6^{3}\right),\left(2^{6}, 4^{3}, 8\right),\left(2^{5}, 3^{3}, 5,8\right),\left(2^{5}, 4,5^{4}\right),\left(2^{4}, 3^{2}, 4,5^{2}, 6\right),\left(2^{3}, 3^{4}, 4,6^{2}\right) \\
\left(2^{3}, 3^{3}, 4^{3}, 7\right),\left(2^{2}, 3^{6}, 5,7\right),\left(2^{2}, 4^{8}\right),\left(2,3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right) \text { and }\left(3^{6}, 4^{2}, 5^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N=\left\langle x_{4}\right\rangle$ of $G_{7}$. The Wedderburn decomposition of the factor group $F=\frac{G_{7}}{N} \cong\left(S_{3} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$ is due to [15] and is given below: $\mathbb{K}_{q} F \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4}$. With this information, we can conclude that the choices for $n_{i}$ 's can either be $\left(2^{2}, 4^{8}\right)$ or $\left(2,3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right)$. Suppose, if $p=5$, then by proposition 1 of $[4],\left(2,3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right)$ cannot be the choice in the decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{7}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{n_{9}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 136=\sum_{i=1}^{8} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{9}^{2}
$$

Therefore, by repeating the same process as in case 1 , we get that $\left(2^{2}, 4^{6}, 4\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Thus, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{7} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
$3.2 G_{8}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes \boldsymbol{C}_{4}\right)$.
The group $G_{8}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{8}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] \\
& {\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle . }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 18 conjugacy classes of $G_{8}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 12 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 12 |


| $x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{4} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 2 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 6 |

Theorem 3.2. Let $G_{8}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{8}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{8}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{8}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{8}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{8}^{\prime} \cong\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$ and $\frac{G_{8}}{G_{8}^{\prime}} \cong C_{4} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. As in theorem 3.1, we further discuss the following two cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12$. The proof is same as case 1 in theorem 3.1.

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{4}}\right\}$, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{4} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{8}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{8} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{6} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=7}^{8} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 136=\sum_{i=1}^{6} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{7}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{8}^{2}
$$

By repeating the same process as in theorem 3.1, we conclude that $\left(2^{2}, 4^{4}, 4,4\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{8} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## $3.3 G_{12}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$.

Here, note that the structure of the groups $G_{8}$ and $G_{12}$ are same, but they are not isomorphic because of the small variation in the presentations. The group $G_{12}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{12}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2}, x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{-1} x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right], \\
& \left.\quad\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2} x_{5}^{-2}, x_{3}^{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 12 conjugacy classes of $G_{12}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 18 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 |

Theorem 3.3. Let $G_{12}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{12}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{12}^{\prime}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$ and $\frac{G_{12}}{G_{12}^{\prime}}=C_{4} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to the Wedderburn decomposition. Let us discuss the decomposition in 2 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in G_{12}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12}$ is given by,

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{8} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 136=\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be $\left(2^{2}, 8^{2}\right)$ and $\left(2,4^{2}, 10\right)$. In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N=\left\langle x_{5}, x_{6}\right\rangle$ of $G_{12}$. The factor group $F=\frac{G_{12}}{N} \cong C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}$. Using [3], we note that $\mathbb{K}_{q} F \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$. With this information, we can conclude that the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{8} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{12}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 136=\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i}^{2}
$$

Further, by repeating the same process as in theorem 3.1, we get that $\left(2^{2}, 8^{2}\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{12} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## $3.4 \quad G_{18}=\left(C_{3} \times A_{4}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$.

The group $G_{18}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{18}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{2}^{2},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right], \\
& {\left.\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}^{3},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1}, x_{4}^{3},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{2},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{2}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 18 conjugacy classes of $G_{18}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{5}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 18 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 |


| $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{3}^{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6 |

Theorem 3.4. Let $G_{18}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{18}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{18}^{\prime}=C_{3} \times A_{4}$ and $\frac{G_{18}}{G_{18}^{\prime}}=C_{4}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,5\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in G_{18}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18}$ is given by,

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{14} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{14} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2^{11}, 4^{2}, 8\right),\left(2^{10}, 5^{4}\right),\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 5^{2}, 6\right),\left(2^{8}, 3^{4}, 6^{2}\right),\left(2^{8}, 3^{3}, 4^{2}, 7\right),\left(2^{7}, 4^{7}\right) \\
\left(2^{6}, 3^{3}, 4^{4}, 5\right),\left(2^{5}, 3^{6}, 4,5^{2}\right),\left(2^{4}, 3^{8}, 4,6\right) \text { and }\left(3^{12}, 4^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In order to find the value of $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroups $N_{1}=\left\langle x_{5}, x_{6}\right\rangle$ and $N_{2}=\left\langle x_{4}\right\rangle$ of $G_{18}$. The factor group $F_{1}=\frac{G_{18}}{N_{1}} \cong\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$. Using [3], we know that $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{1} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$. By theorem 3.3 from [15], $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4}$. With this information, we conclude that the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{7,11\} \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{18}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{14} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{14} n_{i}^{2}
$$

Furthermore, using [3, 15] we know that $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{1} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus$ $\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$. This means that

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{18} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

$$
3.5 \quad G_{19}=\left(\left(C_{4} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}
$$

The group $G_{19}$ has the following presentation:
$G_{19}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{3},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1} x_{3}^{-1}$,
$\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right], x_{4}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{2}\right\rangle$
The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 21 conjugacy classes of $G_{19}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{3}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2}^{2}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{3} x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 12 |


| $x_{5} x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{6}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 8 |
| 6 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 6 |

Theorem 3.5. Let $G_{19}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{6} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{9} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus$ $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
3) for $p^{k} \equiv 7 \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{6} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
4) for $p^{k} \equiv 11 \bmod 12, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus$ $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.

Proof. The group $G_{19}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{19}^{\prime} \cong C_{3} \times Q_{8}$ and $\frac{G_{19}}{G_{19}^{\prime}} \cong C_{6}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. We discuss the decomposition in the following 4 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 12$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in G_{19}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}$ is given by,

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{15} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 138=\sum_{i=1}^{15} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\left(2^{12}, 4,5,7\right),\left(2^{10}, 4^{3}, 5^{2}\right),\left(2^{9}, 3^{3}, 5^{3}\right),\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right),\left(2^{8}, 3^{5}, 5,6\right),\left(2^{5}, 3^{6}, 4^{4}\right) \text { and }\left(2^{4}, 3^{9}, 4,5\right)
$$

In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N=\left\langle x_{5}\right\rangle$ of $G_{19}$. The Wedderburn decomposition of the factor group $F=\frac{G_{19}}{N} \cong\left(\left(C_{4} \times C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{2}\right) \rtimes C_{3}$ is $\mathbb{K}_{q} F \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \oplus M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2}$ (see [15]). With this information, we can conclude that the choices for $n_{i}$ 's are reduced to $\left(2^{9}, 3^{3}, 5^{3}\right),\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right)$ and $\left(2^{8}, 3^{5}, 5,6\right)$. Suppose, if $p=5$, then by proposition 1 of $[4],\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right)$ can be the only choice in the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19}$. Thus, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{9} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{5}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{5} x_{6}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{19}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3 , the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=8}^{11} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 138=\sum_{i=1}^{7} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot \sum_{i=8}^{11} n_{i}^{2}
$$

Therefore, repeat the same process as in case 1 , we get that $\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Hence, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong \mathbb{K}_{q}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}^{2} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{3} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{3} \oplus M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

Case 3: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 7 \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{6}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{19}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{9} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=10}^{12} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 138=\sum_{i=1}^{9} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot \sum_{i=10}^{12} n_{i}^{2}
$$

On repeating the same process as in case 1 , we get that $\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{6} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

Case 4: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 11 \bmod 12$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{6}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{6}}\right\}$, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{5}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2}^{2} x_{5} x_{6}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{19}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=6}^{10} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 138=\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot \sum_{i=6}^{10} n_{i}^{2}
$$

W eepeat the same process as in case 1 to note that $\left(2^{9}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}, 6\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Consequently, we get

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{19} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4 Groups of exponent 24

In this section, we characterize the unit group of group algebra generated by 6 non-metabelian groups of order 144 with exponent 24. We use the same numbers for these groups as in section 2. The 6 non-metabelian groups of order 144 with exponent 24 are given below:
9. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes D_{16}$
10. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$
11. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q_{16}$
16. $C_{3} \rtimes\left(C_{2} \cdot S_{4}\right)$
17. $\left(C_{3} \times S L(2,3)\right) \rtimes C_{2}$
23. $\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$

## 4.1 $G_{9}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes D_{16}$ •

The group $G_{9}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{9}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{2},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right], \\
& {\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 15 conjugacy classes of $G_{9}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 |
| Order | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |


| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Theorem 4.1. Let $G_{9}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,7\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{5,11\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.
3) for $p^{k} \equiv\{13,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
4) for $p^{k} \equiv\{17,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{9}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{9}^{\prime}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$ and $\frac{G_{9}}{G_{9}^{\prime}}=C_{2} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. We discuss the Wedderburn decomposition in the following 4 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,7\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in \bar{G}_{9}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{11} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{11} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2^{9}, 10\right),\left(2^{8}, 6^{3}\right),\left(2^{7}, 4^{3}, 8\right),\left(2^{6}, 3^{3}, 5,8\right),\left(2^{6}, 4,5^{4}\right),\left(2^{5}, 3^{2}, 4,5^{2}, 6\right),\left(2^{4}, 3^{4}, 4,6^{2}\right),\left(2^{4}, 3^{3}, 4^{3}, 7\right) \\
\left(2^{3}, 3^{6}, 5,7\right),\left(2^{3}, 4^{8}\right),\left(2^{2}, 3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right),\left(2,3^{6}, 4^{2}, 5^{2}\right) \text { and }\left(3^{8}, 4^{2}, 6\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N_{1}=\left\langle x_{4}\right\rangle$ of $G_{9}$. The Wedderburn decomposition of the factor group $F_{1}=\frac{G_{9}}{N_{1}} \cong\left(S_{3} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{2}$ is $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{1} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4}$ (see [15]). With this information, we can conclude that the choices of $n_{i}$ 's can either be $\left(2^{3}, 4^{8}\right)$ or $\left(2^{2}, 3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right)$. Suppose, if $p=5$, then by proposition 1 of [4], $\left(2^{2}, 3^{3}, 4^{5}, 5\right)$ cannot be the choice in the decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9}$. Hence

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{5,11\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{9}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=6}^{8} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot \sum_{i=6}^{8} n_{i}^{2}
$$

On repeating the same process as in case 1 , we get that $\left(2,4^{4}, 2,4^{2}\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$. Hence, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Case 3: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{13,19\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{9}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{9} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{n_{10}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{9} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{10}^{2}
$$

Next, we consider $N_{2}=\left\langle x_{5}, x_{6}\right\rangle \unlhd G_{9}$. Accordingly, $F_{2}=\frac{G_{9}}{N_{2}} \cong D_{16}$. Using [3], we note that $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus$ $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$. Hence, we note that

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}
$$

Case 4: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{17,23\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{\left.x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}\right\}}\right.$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{9}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{7} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \bigoplus_{i=8}^{9} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{7} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot \sum_{i=8}^{9} n_{i}^{2}
$$

By repeating the procedure as in case 3 , we note that

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{9} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4.2 $G_{10}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$.

The group $G_{10}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{10}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] \\
& {\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 15 conjugacy classes of $G_{10}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 |
| Order | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 3 |


| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 6 | 12 | 6 |

Theorem 4.2. Let $G_{10}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.
3) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$.
4) for $p^{k} \equiv\{11,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$.
5) for $p^{k} \equiv 13 \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
6) for $p^{k} \equiv 17 \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{10}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{10}^{\prime} \cong\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$ and $\frac{G_{10}}{G_{10}} \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. Let us discuss the Wedderburn decomposition in the following 6 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even or $p^{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 24$. The proof follows from case 1 of theorem 4.1.
Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 24$. The proof follows from case 2 of theorem 4.1.
Case 3: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{7,23\} \bmod 24$.
For $p^{k} \equiv 7 \bmod 24, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{10}$. For $p^{k} \equiv 23 \bmod 24, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{2}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=$ $\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{10}$. For both the cases, the Wedderburn decomposition and the choices of $n_{i}$ 's are same as in case 3 of theorem 4.1. Then, as in case 3 of theorem 4.1, we note that $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) .
$$

Case 4: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{11,19\} \bmod 24$.
For $p^{k} \equiv 11 \bmod 24, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{6}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{10}$. For $p^{k} \equiv 19 \bmod$ $24, S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{2} x_{5}}, \gamma_{x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{10}$. For both the cases, similar to case 3 , we can see that the Wedderburn decomposition is given by $\mathbb{K}_{q} F_{2} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3}$

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{10} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) .
$$

Case 5: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 24$. The proof follows from case 3 of theorem 4.1.
Case 6: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv 5 \bmod 24$. The proof follows from case 4 of theorem 4.1. This completes the proof.

## 4.3 $G_{11}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q_{16}$.

The group $G_{11}$ has the following presentation:
$G_{11}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]$,

$$
\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{5}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 15 conjugacy classes of $G_{9}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 3 |


| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 12 | 12 | 6 |

Theorem 4.3. Let $G_{11}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{11}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{5,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{11}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.
3) for $p^{k} \equiv\{7,17\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{11}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}$.
4) for $p^{k} \equiv\{11,13\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{11}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{8}$.

Proof. The proof can be done on the similar lines of theorem 4.1.

## 4.4 $G_{16}=C_{3} \rtimes\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{2} \cdot S_{4}\right)$.

The group $G_{16}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{16}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{2}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], \\
& x_{2}^{3},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}^{3},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right], x_{4}^{2} x_{6}^{-1}, \\
& {\left.\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right] x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{2}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 15 conjugacy classes of $G_{16}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 2 |
| Order | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 6 |


| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 8 | 3 | 6 | 6 |

Theorem 4.4. Let $G_{16}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,7,17,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus$ $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{5,11,13,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus$ $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.

Proof. The group $G_{16}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{16}^{\prime} \cong C_{3} \times S L(2,3)$ and $\frac{G_{16}}{G_{16}^{\prime}} \cong C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. Let us discuss the decomposition in the following 2 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,7,17,23\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in G_{16}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16}$ is given by,

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{13} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 142=\sum_{i=1}^{13} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2^{11}, 7^{2}\right),\left(2^{9}, 3,5,6^{2}\right),\left(2^{9}, 4^{2}, 5,7\right),\left(2^{7}, 4^{4}, 5^{2}\right),\left(2^{6}, 3^{6}, 8\right),\left(2^{6}, 3^{3}, 4,5^{3}\right),\left(2^{6}, 3^{2}, 4^{4}, 6\right) \\
\left(2^{5}, 3^{5}, 4,5,6\right),\left(2^{3}, 3^{9}, 7\right),\left(2^{2}, 3^{6}, 4^{5}\right) \text { and }\left(2,3^{9}, 4^{2}, 5\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N=C_{3}$ of $G_{16}$. The Wedderburn decomposition of the factor group $F=\frac{G_{16}}{N} \cong C_{2} \cdot S_{4}$ is $\mathbb{K}_{q} F \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{3} \oplus M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$ (see [15, theorem 3.1]). With this information, we can conclude that the choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be $\left(2^{6}, 3^{3}, 4,5^{3}\right),\left(2^{6}, 3^{2}, 4^{4}, 6\right)$ or $\left(2^{5}, 3^{5}, 4,5,6\right)$. Suppose, if $p=5$, then by proposition 1 of $[4],\left(2^{6}, 3^{2}, 4^{4}, 6\right)$ is the only choice for the decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{5,11,13,19\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1} x_{4}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1} x_{4}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{16}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{11} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{n_{12}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 142=\sum_{i=1}^{11} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{12}^{2}
$$

On repeating the same process as in case 1 , we get that $\left(2^{6}, 3^{2}, 4^{4}, 6\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Hence, we get

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{16} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(M_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus M_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4.5 $\quad G_{17}=\left(C_{3} \times S L(2,3)\right) \rtimes C_{2}$.

The group $G_{17}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{17}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{2}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right] x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right], x_{2}^{3}, \\
& {\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right] x_{5}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}^{3},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right], x_{4}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, } \\
& {\left.\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right], x_{5}^{2} x_{6}^{-1},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{2}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 15 conjugacy classes of $G_{17}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 2 |
| Order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 6 |


| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}$ | $x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 8 | 3 | 6 | 6 |

Theorem 4.5. Let $G_{17}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,11,17,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{17}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{6} \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus$ $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{5,7,13,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{17}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2} \oplus\left(G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{4} \oplus$ $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of theorem 4.4.

## 4.6 $\quad G_{23}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes Q D_{16}$.

The group $G_{23}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{23}= & \left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right| x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] x_{4}^{-1} x_{3}^{-1},\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{1}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-1}, x_{2}^{2}, \\
& {\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right] x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{2}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{-1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{5}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-2},\left[x_{6}, x_{3}\right] x_{6}^{-1} x_{5}^{-2}, x_{4}^{2},\left[x_{5}, x_{4}\right] x_{5}^{-1}, } \\
& {\left.\left[x_{6}, x_{4}\right] x_{6}^{-1}, x_{5}^{3},\left[x_{6}, x_{5}\right], x_{6}^{3}\right\rangle }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sizes, orders and the representatives of the 9 conjugacy classes of $G_{23}$ are given below:

| Representative | $e$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 1 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 36 | 18 | 24 |
| Order | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 6 |

Theorem 4.6. Let $G_{23}$ be the group defined above and $\mathbb{K}_{q}$ be the finite field of characteristic $p>3$. Then

1) for $k$ even or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,11,17,19\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(G L_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.
2) for $p^{k} \equiv\{5,7,13,23\} \bmod 24, \mathcal{U}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}^{*}\right)^{4} \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(G L_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. The group $G_{23}$ is finite and so, Artinian. Thus, by Maschke's theorem, $J\left(\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23}\right)=0$. Also, the commutator subgroup $G_{23}^{\prime}=\left(C_{3} \times C_{3}\right) \rtimes C_{4}$ and $\frac{G_{23}}{G_{23}^{\prime}}=C_{2} \times C_{2}$. Therefore, lemma 2.1 can be applied to compute the Wedderburn decomposition. Let us discuss the Wedderburn decomposition in the following 2 cases.
Case 1: $k$ is even in $q=p^{k}$ or $p^{k} \equiv\{1,11,17,19\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $\left|S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)\right|=1, \forall g \in G_{23}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_{i}^{2}
$$

The choices of $n_{i}$ 's can be

$$
\left(2^{3}, 8^{2}\right),\left(2^{2}, 4^{2}, 10\right),\left(3^{3}, 7,8\right),\left(3^{2}, 4,5,9\right),\left(4^{2}, 6^{3}\right) \text { and }\left(4,5^{3}, 7\right)
$$

In the direction of finding $n_{i}$ 's uniquely, we consider the normal subgroup $N=\left\langle x_{5}, x_{6}\right\rangle$ of $G_{23}$. Using [3], the Wedderburn decomposition of the group $F=\frac{G_{23}}{N} \cong Q D_{16}$ is given by $\mathbb{K}_{q} F \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3}$. With this information, we can conclude that the only choice for the decomposition of $\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23}$ is $\left(2^{3}, 8^{2}\right)$. Therefore, we get

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{3} \oplus\left(M_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Case 2: $k$ is odd and $p^{k} \equiv\{5,11,13,19\} \bmod 24$.
In this case, $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{x_{1}}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{x_{1}}, \gamma_{x_{1} x_{4}}\right\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{g}\right\}$, for the remaining $g \in G_{23}$. By lemma 2.1 and propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the Wedderburn decomposition is given by

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{n_{4}}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right), n_{i} \geq 2 \Rightarrow 140=\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{i}^{2}+2 \cdot n_{4}^{2}
$$

Therefore, we repeat the same process as in case 1 to get that $\left(2,8^{2}, 2\right)$ is the only possibility for $n_{i}$ 's. Therefore, we get

$$
\mathbb{K}_{q} G_{23} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)^{4} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q^{2}}\right) \oplus\left(M_{8}\left(\mathbb{K}_{q}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 5 Conclusion remarks

We have characterized the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order 144 that have exponent either 12 or 24 . In all, we have considered 11 group algebras in this paper. With this paper, the study of the unit groups of semisimple group algebras of groups up to order 144 is completed. This paper further motivates the researchers to compute the unit groups of the semisimple group algebras of non-metabelian groups of order greater than 144.
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