Palestine Journal of Mathematics

Vol 13(2)(2024) , 338–348

Anisotropic elliptic Problem involving the L^1 -version of Minty's lemma

O. AZRAIBI, A. BOUZELMATE, B. EL HAJI and Y. ELKHANNOUSS

Communicated by P. Harikrishnan

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 35J15; Secondary 35J62.

Keywords and phrases: Entropy solutions, nonlinear elliptic equations, anisotropic Sobolev spaces, entropy solutions.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Corresponding Author: B. EL HAJI

Abstract *We prove optimal existence result for entropy solutions to some anisotropic boundary value problems like*

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^i A_i(x, w, \nabla w) = g(x) & \textit{in} \quad \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \textit{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

where $g \in L^1(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, and the function $A_i(x, s, \xi)$ verify the large monotonicity condition. The construction of the proof of our theorem is done by using the Minty's Lemma by its modified version.

1 Introduction

The study of anisotropic elliptic equations on bounded domain has been intensively studied by large number of scientists and researchers, this study is motived by the fact that this type of equations can intimate connections with some application in elasticity, in the process of image restoration and Stochastic Processes with constraints (see for instance [24, 7], and references therein).

In order to fix the ideas let us consider the strongly anisotropic elliptic problems as

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^i A_i(x, w, \nabla w) = g(x) & \textit{in} \quad \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \textit{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $g \in L^1(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$.

In large recent researches, existence result with some qualitative properties and regularity of nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations where the data belonns to L^1- have been proved see the references [11] when Badr EL HAJI et al have been shown the existence result of entropy solution in weighted-Orlicz spaces, other works found by Youssef AKDIM et al. in their paper [2] devoted to study a degenerated problem (0.1) *via Minty's Lemma in weigthed Orlicz-Sobolev space, in the similar direction faria et al (see [14]) have been treated the similar problem as* (0.1) *where the solution u of the elliptic problem studied depend on the gradient.*

On the other hand, by using as main tool an L^1 version of Minty's lemma EL HAJI et al (see [3]) extending the main result under studies to the Musielak-orlicz spaces by giving an existence result for an entropy solutions of elliptic problem as

$$\begin{cases} L(w) = g(x) & \textit{in} \quad \Omega \\ w = 0 & \textit{on} \quad \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $L(w) = -\operatorname{div} l(x, w, \nabla w)$.

The mathematical researches dealing the existence of solutions to some problems parabolic and elliptic under a different assumptions is massive; we refer the reader to [5, 10, 12, 9, 8, 19, 20, 21, 22] and the references therein.

Our goal in this paper is to solve the problem (0.1)(existence results) where the function $A_i(x, s, \xi)$ satisfy the large monotonicity condition and without adopting the almost everywhere convergence of the gradients, and in order to overcome this difficulties, we exploit the technique of Minty's lemma for proving the existence of an entropy solutions, However the approach that we used in the proof differs from that adopted by A. Benkirane et al used in [4]

The outline of this note is as follows. After giving the definition and some auxiliary results on anisotropic Sobolev space, we recall in Section 3 some essential assumptions which are necessary to have an existence solution, finally section 4 will be devoted to give our main results and their proofs.

2 Preliminaries

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $I\!R^N$ $(N \geq 2)$.
Let $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ be N real constants numbers, with $\infty > p_i > 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.
We set

$$\vec{p} = (1, p_1, \ldots, p_N), \qquad D^0 w = w \quad \text{and} \quad D^i w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

and we set

$$\underline{p} = \min\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad p_0 = \max\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N\}.$$

We define the anisotropic Sobolev space $W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ like :

$$W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) = \left\{w \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \quad \text{such that} \quad D^i w \in L^{p_i}(\Omega) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|w\|_{1,\vec{p}} = \|w\|_{1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|D^i w\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The space $\left(W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \|w\|_{1,\vec{p}}\right)$ is a reflexive Banach (separable) space (cf [17]).
We denote by $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ the closure of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ with respect to (2.1).

Proposition 2.1. *(see. [13, 18])*
Let $w \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, we have
***(i)** : there exists $C_p > 0$, such that*

$$\|w\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|D^i w\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \qquad \text{for any} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

***(ii)** : there exists $C_s > 0$, such that*

$$\|w\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_s}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_i} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{cases} q = \overline{p}^* = \dfrac{N\overline{p}}{N - \overline{p}} & \text{if} \quad \overline{p} < N \\ q \in [1, +\infty[& \text{if} \quad \overline{p} \geq N \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in $I\!R^N$ $(N \geq 2)$, we set*

$$s = \max(q, \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} p_i),$$

therefore, the embedding listed below holds :

- *if* $\overline{p} < N$ *so* $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ *is compact for any* $r \in [1, s[$,
- *if* $\overline{p} = N$ *therefore* $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ *is compact for any* $r \in [1, +\infty[$,
- *if* $\overline{p} > N$ *we have* $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ *is compact.*

The proof of the above result (lemma 2.2) depends to the Proposition 2.1.

Definition 2.3. For $k > 0$, we give the following truncation $T_k(\cdot) : I\!R \longmapsto I\!R$, that will be used latter

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if} \quad |s| \leq k, \\ k\dfrac{s}{|s|} & \text{if} \quad |s| > k, \end{cases}$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) := \{w : \Omega \mapsto I\!R \text{ measurable } /T_k(w) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \text{ for any } k > 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $w \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$*, there exists one function* $v_i : \Omega \mapsto I\!R$ *measurable with* $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$*, such that*

$$\forall k > 0 \qquad D^i T_k(w) = v_i.\chi_{\{|w|<k\}} \quad a.e. \quad x \in \Omega,$$

with χ_A *be a characteristic function of a measurable set* A*.* v_i *define the weak partial derivatives of* w *denoted by* $D^i w$*. Therefore, if* $w \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$*, then* $(v_i = D^i w.)$

Lemma 2.5. *(see [16], Theorem 13.47) Let* $(w_n)_n$ *be a sequence in* $L^1(\Omega)$ *and* $w \in L^1(\Omega)$ *such that*

(i) $w_n \to w$ *a.e. in* Ω,

(ii) $w_n \geq 0$ *and* $w \geq 0$ *a.e. in* Ω,

(iii) $\displaystyle\int_\Omega w_n \, dx \to \int_\Omega w \, dx$,

then $w_n \to w$ *in* $L^1(\Omega)$.

3 Essential assumptions

We consider a Leray-Lions operator $\mathbb{A} : W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \longmapsto W^{-1,\vec{p}'}(\Omega)$ *modeled by*

$$\mathbb{A}w = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^i A_i(x, w, \nabla w)$$

where $A_i : \Omega \times \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}^N \mapsto \boldsymbol{R}$ *are Carathéodory functions, for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$*, which satisfy the hypothesis listed bellow as follows:*

$$|A_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \beta \left(R_i(x) + |s|^{p_i - 1} + |\xi|^{p_i - 1} \right) \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \tag{3.1}$$

$$A_i(x, s, \xi)\xi_i \geq \alpha \, |\xi_i|^{p_i} \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left(A_i(x, s, \xi) - A_i\left(x, s, \xi'\right)\right)\left(\xi_i - \xi_i'\right) \geq 0 \, \textit{for} \; \xi_i \neq \xi_i', \tag{3.3}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ *and all* $(s, \xi) \in \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}^N$*, where* $R_i(x) \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ *and* $p_i - 1 > q_i > 0$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$*, where* $R_i(x), \alpha, \beta > 0$*.*

$$g \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{3.4}$$

The following section devoted to stating our Main results and their proofs

4 Main results

The approach used by boccardo [6] of entropy solution is given by the following notion.

Definition 4.1. A function w (mesurable) is named an entropy solution of (0.1) if $T_k(w) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, w, \nabla w) D^i T_k(w - \Phi) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} g T_k(w - \Phi) dx$$

for any $v \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose taht (3.1)-(3.4) are holds, then the problem (0.1) admit one entropy solution w.*

4.1 The key Lemma

Lemma 4.3. *Let w (mesurable function) such that $T_k(w) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ for every $k > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, w, \nabla w) D^i T_k(w - \Phi) dx\, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} g\, T_k(w - \Phi) dx \tag{4.1}$$

is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, w, \nabla w) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx = \int_{\Omega} g\, T_k(w - \Phi) dx \tag{4.2}$$

for every $\Phi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and for every $k > 0$.

4.2 Proof of The key lemma

It's clear that The equation (4.2) implies (4.1).

Now, by adding and subtracting

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, w, \nabla w) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx,$$

therefore by using assumption (3.2), we can prove that (4.1) implies (4.2). Let $h, k > 0$, let $\lambda \in]-1, 1[$ and $\Theta \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

We take, $\Phi = T_h(w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta)) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in (4.1), we get:

$$E_{hk} \leq F_{hk}, \tag{4.3}$$

with

$$E_{hk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, w, D^i T_h(w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta))) D^i T_k(w - T_h(w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta))))\, dx,$$

and

$$F_{hk} = \int_{\Omega} g\, T_k(w - T_h(w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta))))\, dx.$$

Put

$$S_{hk} = \{x \in \Omega, |w - T_h(w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta))| \leq k\},$$

and

$$T_{hk} = \{x \in \Omega, |w - \lambda T_k(w - \Theta)| \leq h\}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$E_{hk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\,dx$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}^C} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))))\,dx$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}^C} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\,dx.$$

Since $D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\neq 0$ *on* S_{kh}*, we get*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}^C} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\,dx = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, if $x\in T_{hk}^C$*, we can get* $D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))=0$ *and using (3.3), we conclude the following equality,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}^C} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))))\,dx$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}^C} A_i(x,w,0)D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))))\,dx = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

According to (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$E_{hk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}} A_i(x,w,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))))\,dx.$$

Let $h\to+\infty, |\lambda|\le 1$*, we obtain*

$$S_{kh}\to\{x, |\lambda||T_k(w-\Theta)|\le h\}=\Omega, \tag{4.6}$$

$$T_{hk}\to\Omega \quad \textit{implies that} \quad S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}\to\Omega. \tag{4.7}$$

By applying the Lebesgue theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_{kh}\cap T_{hk}} A_i(x,u,D^iT_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta))))\,dx$$
$$=\lambda\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,w,\nabla(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx. \tag{4.8}$$

thus implies that,

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty} E_{hk} = \lambda\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,w,\nabla(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx. \tag{4.9}$$

Moreover, one has

$$F_{hk}=\int_\Omega g\,T_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\,dx.$$

Then

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty}\int_\Omega g\,T_k(w-T_h(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))\,dx = \lambda\int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx, \tag{4.10}$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty} F_{hk} = \lambda \int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx. \tag{4.11}$$

Thanking to (4.9), (4.11) therefore by passing to the limit in (4.3), we can get,

$$\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,w,\nabla(w-\lambda T_k(w-\Theta)))D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx\right)\le\lambda\left(\int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx\right)$$

for every $\Theta\in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)\cap L^\infty(\Omega)$*, and for every* $k>0$*. Let us take* $\lambda>0$*, dividing by* λ*, and* $\lambda\longrightarrow 0$*, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,w,\nabla w)D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx\le\int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx. \tag{4.12}$$

for $\lambda<0$*, dividing by* λ*, and* $\lambda\longrightarrow 0$ *, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,u,\nabla u)D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx\ge\int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx. \tag{4.13}$$

Thanking to (4.12) and (4.13), we deduce that :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_\Omega A_i(x,w,\nabla w)D^iT_k(w-\Theta)\,dx=\int_\Omega gT_k(w-\Theta)dx. \tag{4.14}$$

This achieve the demonstration of Lemma 4.3.

4.3 Proof of Main results

Approximate problem

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g_n := T_n(g)$. Let $w_n \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ be solution of the approximate equation of the type

$$\begin{cases} A_n w_n = g_n & \text{in } \Omega \\ w_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

which exists according to ([15]).

we take $T_k(w_n)$ as test in (4.15), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i\left(x, T_n\left(w_n\right), \nabla w_n\right) D^i T_k\left(u_n\right)\ dx = \int_\Omega g_n T_k(w_n)\, dx,$$

Now thanks to (3.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i\left(x, w_n, \nabla w_n\right) D^i T_k\left(w_n\right)\ dx \geq \alpha \int_\Omega \left|D^i T_k\left(w_n\right)\right|^{p_i}\ dx,$$

then

$$\int_\Omega \left|D^i T_k\left(w_n\right)\right|^{p_i}\ dx \leq k\|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega \left|D^i T_k\left(w_n\right)\right|^{p_i}\ dx \leq C_1 k, \tag{4.17}$$

where C_1 is a constant independently of n.

Locally convergence of w_n in measure

Taking $\lambda|T_k(w_n)|$ in (4.15) and using (4.17), one has

$$\int_\Omega \lambda_1 \frac{|D^i T_k(w_n)|^{p_i}}{\lambda} dx \leq \int_\Omega \lambda_1 |D^i T_k(w_n)|^{p_i} dx \leq C_1 k. \tag{4.18}$$

by using (4.18), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} meas\{|w_n| > k\} &\leq \frac{1}{\underset{k}{inf}\frac{k}{\lambda}} \int_{\{|w_n|>k\}} \frac{|w_n(x)|^{p_i}}{\lambda} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\underset{k}{inf}\frac{k}{\lambda}} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\lambda} |T_k(w_n)|^{p_i} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_1 k}{\underset{k}{inf}\frac{k}{\lambda}} \quad \forall n, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

For any $\beta > 0$, we have

$$meas\{|w_n - w_m| > \beta\} \leq meas\{|w_n| > k\} + meas\{|w_m| > k\} + meas\{|T_k(w_n) - T_k(w_m)| > \beta\},$$

and so that

$$meas\{|w_n - w_m| > \beta\} \leq \frac{2C_1 k}{\underset{x\in\Omega}{inf}\frac{k}{\lambda}} + meas\{|T_k(w_n) - T_k(w_m)| > \beta\}. \tag{4.20}$$

By Applying Poincaré inequality (proposition 2.1) and according to (4.17) we obtain the boundedness of $(T_k(w_n))$ in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, therefore there exists $\omega_k \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $T_k(w_n) \rightharpoonup \omega_k$

weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$*, strongly in* $L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega)$ *and a.e. in* Ω*.*

So, we suppose that $(T_k(w_n))_n$ *is a Cauchy sequence in measure in* Ω*.*
Let $\varepsilon > 0$*, then by (4.20) and in view of* $\frac{2C_1 k}{\inf_{x\in\Omega} \frac{k}{\lambda}} \to 0$ *as* $k \to +\infty$ *there exists some* $k = k(\varepsilon) > 0$ *such that*

$$meas\{|w_n - w_m| > \lambda\} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq h_0(k(\varepsilon), \lambda).$$

This proves that w_n *is a Cauchy sequence in measure, thus,* w_n *converges almost everywhere to* w*(measurable function).*

Therefore, there exist a subsequence of $\{w_n\}_n$*, still indexed by* n*, and a function* $w \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} w_n \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \\ w_n \longrightarrow w \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

An intermediate Inequality

Here, we can show that, for $\Phi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$*, we get*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \leq \int_\Omega g_n\, T_k(w_n - \Phi)\, dx. \tag{4.22}$$

Now, we take $T_k(w_n - \Phi)$ *as test in (4.15), with* Φ *in* $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$*, we can obtain*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx = \int_\Omega g_n T_k(w_n - \Phi)\, dx. \tag{4.23}$$

The term $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w-\Phi)\, dx$ *can be added and subtracted to the equation (4.23) we can obtain,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla w_n) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \tag{4.24}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx = \int_\Omega g_n T_k(w_n - \Phi) dx.$$

By (3.2) and truncation function, we can get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega (A_i(x, w_n, \nabla w_n) - A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi)) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \geq 0. \tag{4.25}$$

According to (4.24) and (4.25), we get (4.22).

Passing to the limit

We verify that for $\Phi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$*, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w_n - \Phi)\, dx \leq \int_\Omega g T_k(w - \Phi) dx.$$

Now, we show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w_n - \Phi)\, dx \to \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_\Omega A_i(x, w, \nabla\Phi) D^i T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

as $T_M(w_n) \rightharpoonup T_M(w)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, with $M = k + \|\Phi\|_\infty$, therefore

$$T_k(w_n - \Phi) \rightharpoonup T_k(w - \Phi) \text{ in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \tag{4.26}$$

then

$$\frac{\partial T_k}{\partial x_i}(w_n - \Phi) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial T_k}{\partial x_i}(w - \Phi) \text{ weakly in } L^{\vec{p}}(\Omega) \qquad \forall i = 1, .., N. \tag{4.27}$$

Let us prove that

$$A_i(x, T_M(w_n), \nabla\Phi) \to A_i(x, T_M(w), \nabla\Phi) \text{ strongly in } (L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega))^N.$$

By(3.1), we get

$$|A_i(x, T_M(w_n), \nabla\Phi)| \leq \beta \left(R_i(x) + |T_M(w_n)|^{p_i - 1} + |\nabla\Phi|^{p_i - 1} \right),$$

with β be a positive constant. as $T_M(w_n) \rightharpoonup T_M(w)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega)$, therefore $T_M(w_n) \rightharpoonup T_M(w)$ strongly in $L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω, hence

$$|A_i(x, T_M(w_n), \nabla\Phi)| \to |A_i(x, T_M(w), \nabla\Phi)| \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

and

$$\beta \left(R_i(x) + |T_M(w_n)|^{p_i - 1} + |\nabla\Phi|^{p_i - 1} \right) \to$$

$$\beta \left(R_i(x) + |T_M(w)|^{p_i - 1} + |\nabla\Phi|^{p_i - 1} \right),$$

a.e. in Ω. Therefore, Vitali's theorem, implies

$$A_i(x, T_M(w_n), \nabla\Phi) \to A_i(x, T_M(w), \nabla\Phi) \text{ strongly in } (L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega))^N, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.28}$$

According to (4.27) and (4.28), we can get

$$\int_\Omega A_i(x, w_n, \nabla\Phi)\nabla T_k(w_n - \Phi)\, dx \to \int_\Omega A_i(x, w, \nabla\Phi)\nabla T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \text{ as } n \to +\infty. \tag{4.29}$$

Here, we prove that

$$\int_\Omega g_n T_k(w_n - \Phi) dx \to \int_\Omega g T_k(w - \Phi) dx. \tag{4.30}$$

We get $g_n T_k(w_n - \Phi) \to f T_k(w - \Phi)$ a.e. in Ω therefore Vitali's theorem, implies (4.30) . According to (4.29)and (4.30) we pass to the limit in (4.22), so that $\forall\Phi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, we conclude

$$\int_\Omega A(x, w, \nabla\Phi)\nabla T_k(w - \Phi)\, dx \leq \int_\Omega g T_k(w - \Phi) dx.$$

According to the idea of key Lemma, we conclude that w is a solution of the problem (0.1) in the sense of the definition 4.1.

References

[1] *P. Agarwal, J. Choi and J. Shilpi,* Extended hypergeometric functions of two and three variables*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc.,* **30** *, 403–414, (2015).*

[2] *Y. Akdim, ,E. Azroul, M.Rhoudaf;* Existence of T-solution for degenerated problem via Minty's Lemma. *Acta Math. sinica (English Ser.)* $24, 431 - 438(2008)$.

[3] *O. Azraibi, B. El Haji and M. Mekkour* Entropy Solution for Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problem Having Large Monotonocity in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces *Asia Pac. J. Math, 7. 2023, doi:10.28924/APJM/10-7.*

[4] *A. Benkirane, A. Elmahi;* Almost everywhere convergence of the gradient of solutions to elliptic equations in Orlicz spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A. 28(11)(1997), 1769-1784.*

[5] *A. Benkirane, B. El Haji and M. El Moumni,* On the existence of solution for degenerate parabolic equations with singular terms, *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly Volume 14, Number 3-4, 591-606(2018).*

[6] *L. Boccardo;* Some nonlinear Dirichlet problems in L^1 involving lower order terms in divergence form, Progress in Elliptic and Parabolic Partial Differential Equations *(Capri, 1994), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 350, Longman, Harlow, 1996, 43-57.*

[7] *Chen. Y, Levine. S, Rao. M, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. SIAM J. Appl. Math.* $2006, 66, 1383 - 1406$.

[8] *J. Droniou, A.Prignet;* Equivalence between entropy and renormalized solutions for parabolic equations with smooth measure data. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations, App1. 14 (2007) no. 1-2, 181-205 .*

[9] *B. El Haji and M. El Moumni and A. Talha,* Entropy solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations in Musielak Orlicz spaces without $Delta_2$-conditions*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics Vol 9, Issue 1 (2020) 1-26.*

[10] *B. El Haji and M. El Moumni; Entropy solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with L^1-data and without strict monotonocity conditions in weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Vol. 2021 (2021), Article ID 8, pp. 1-17*

[11] *B. El Haji, M. El Moumni and K. Kouhaila,*On a nonlinear elliptic problems having large monotonocity with L^1-data in weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis Vol 5, (2021) 104-116.*

[12] *N. El Amarty, B. El Haji and M. El Moumni,* Existence of renomalized solution for nonlinear Elliptic boundary value problem without Δ_2 -condition *SeMA 77, 389-414 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40324-020-00224-z.*

[13] *I. Fragalà, F. Gazzola, and B. Kawohl, Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar ´e Anal. Non Linéaire, 21 (2004), 715–734.*

[14] *L.F.O. Faria a, O.H. Miyagaki a, D. Motreanub, M. Tanakac,* Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with Leray-Lions operator and dependence on the gradient,*Nonlinear Analysis Vol 96, (2014) 154-166.*

[15] *J.-P. Gossez and V. Mustonen;* Variational inequalities in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. 11 (1987), no. 3, 379-392.*

[16] *E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg,* Real and abstract analysis. *Springer-verlng, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1965.*

[17] *M. Mihailescu, P. Pucci and V. Radulescu,* Eigenvalue problems for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponent. *J. Math. Anal. Appl., 340 (2008), 687 - 698.*

[18] *M. Troisi, Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi, Ricerche Mat., 18 (1969), 3–24.*

[19] *F.Petitta, A.C.Ponce, A.Porretta;* Diffuse measures and nonlinear parabolic equations. *J. Evol. Equ.(2011), no. 4, 861-905 .*

[20] *F.Petitta; Asymptotic behavior of solutions for parabolic operators of Leray-Lions type and measure data. Adv. Differential Equations, 12 (2007), no. 8, 867-891.*

[21] *A.Prignet;* Existence and uniqueness of "entropy", solutions of parabolic problems with $L1$ data. *Nonlinear Anal. 28 (1997) no.12, 1943-1954 .*

[22] *A. Porretta, S. Segura de León;* Nonlinear elliptic equations having a gradient term with natural growth, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), (2006), 85, 465-492.*

[23] *W. Rudin,* Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.*

[24] *Zhikov. V, Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity, Math. USSR Izv. 29 (1987), 33-66.*

Author information

O. AZRAIBI, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Fez, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, P.O. Box 1796 Atlas Fez, Morocco.
E-mail: `ouidadazraibi@gmail.com`

A. BOUZELMATE, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Tetouan, Abdelmalek Essaadi University , BP 2121, Tetouan, Morocco.
E-mail: `abouzelmate@uae.ac.ma`

B. EL HAJI, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Tetouan, Abdelmalek Essaadi University , BP 2121, Tetouan, Morocco.
E-mail: `b.elhaji@uae.ac.ma`

Y. ELKHANNOUSS, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Tetouan, Abdelmalek Essaadi University , BP 2121, Tetouan, Morocco.
E-mail: `elkhannouss@etu.uae.ac.ma`

Received: April 25, 2023 .
Accepted: February 9, 2024.