

# SOME RESULTS ON HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

Messaoud Guesba

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 47A05, 47A55; Secondary 447B15.

Keywords and phrases: Normal operator, hyponormal operator, Cartesian decomposition, sum direct, tensor product.

The author would like to thank Professor Harikrishnan Panackal and Editor-in-Chief Ayman Badawi for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of this paper.

**Abstract** In this paper we introduce some results on hyponormal operators acting on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We give sufficient conditions for which the sum and product of two hyponormal operators is a hyponormal operator. Also, we study the sum direct and tensor product of this class.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes to the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The symbol  $I$  stands for the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  then,  $T^*$  is its adjoint and  $T = A + iB$  is its Cartesian decomposition. Let  $\ker(T)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}(T)$  denote the kernel and range of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively. For any operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  set as usual,

$$|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Many classes of operators are defined according to the relation between  $T$  and  $T^*$ , for example  $T$  is normal if  $TT^* = T^*T$ ; self-adjoint or hermitian if  $T^* = T$ ; skew-adjoint if  $T^* = -T$ ; positive (denoted by  $T \geq 0$ ) if  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and seky-normal if  $T^2 = T^{*2}$ ; quasinormal if  $TT^*T = T^*T^2$ ; projection if  $T^2 = T = T^*$ ; idempotent if  $T^2 = T$ . For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , if  $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  (or equivalently  $T^*T = I$ ), then  $T$  is called an isometry;  $T$  is called unitary if  $TT^* = T^*T = I$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a partial isometry if  $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in (\ker(T))^{\perp}$ . For more facts about these and other classes of operators, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15] and the references therein.

Recall that An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  has the unique polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ , where  $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $U$  is the appropriate partial isometry satisfying  $\ker(U) = \ker(|T|) = \ker(T)$  and  $\ker(U^*) = \ker(T^*)$ .

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called hyponormal if  $TT^* \leq T^*T$ , which is equivalent to the condition  $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . The notation of hyponormality was first introduced in [11]. Further, the class of hyponormal operators has been studied by many authors. In recent years this class has been generalized, in some sense, to the larger sets of so called  $m$ -hyponormal,  $p$ -hyponormal etc see [1, 13, 17, 18].

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $m$ -hyponormal, if there exists a positive number  $m$ , such that

$$m^2 (A - \lambda I)^* (A - \lambda I) \geq (A - \lambda I) (A - \lambda I)^*, \text{ for, all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

and  $T$  is called  $p$ -hyponormal for  $p > 0$  if

$$(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p.$$

Recall that any  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is expressible as  $T = A + iB$  where  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  are self-adjoint operators. Besides,

$$A = \operatorname{Re} T = \frac{T + T^*}{2},$$

is the real part of  $T$ , and

$$B = \operatorname{Im} T = \frac{T - T^*}{2i},$$

the imaginary part of  $T$ .

It is to check that  $T$  is normal if and only if  $AB = BA$ .

It is well known that a self-adjoint operator can be characterized in the following way an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\langle Tx, x \rangle$  is real. In [4] the authors gave another characterization involving inequalities. We denote by  $(WN)$  the class of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying the following inequality:

$$(\operatorname{Re} T)^2 \leq |T|^2.$$

This class has been introduced by Fong and Istratescu [4]. Notice that this class contains the class of hyponormal operators. Indeed,  $T$  is hyponormal implies that

$$(\operatorname{Re} T)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} T)^2 \leq |T|^2.$$

Since  $(\operatorname{Im} T)^2$  is a positive operator, then

$$(\operatorname{Re} T)^2 \leq |T|^2,$$

that is  $T \in (WN)$ .

The well-known Fuglede-Putnam theorem asserts that if  $S$  and  $T$  are normal and  $SX = XT$  for some operator  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $S^*X = XT^*$  (see [5]). There have been many generalizations of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem (see for example [19] and the references therein). Recently, in [16] the author proved that if  $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  are hyponormal operators, such that  $T^*S = ST^*$  then, the sum and product of  $S$  and  $T$  are hyponormal.

Our aim in this paper is to give new classical results on hyponormal operators in a complex Hilbert space. More precisely, we show that well-known results related to normal operators hold true also for those operators.

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we present our results. We first state the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** [12, Löwner-Heinz's inequality] *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $0 \leq A \leq B$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , then*

$$0 \leq A^\delta \leq B^\delta.$$

Our first result is stated as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an invertible operator with polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ . Then,  $T$  is hyponormal if and only if*

$$|T| \geq U|T|U^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a hyponormal, then  $|T|^2 \geq |T^*|^2$  it follows that

$$U^*|T|^2U \geq |T|^2 \geq U|T|^2U^*.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\left(|T|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \left(U|T|^2U^*\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

i.e.,  $|T| \geq U|T|U^*$ .

To prove the reverse implication, let  $|T| \geq U|T|U^*$  implies that

$$|T|^2 \geq U|T|^2U^*,$$

then  $|T|^2 \geq |T^*|^2$ . Hence,  $T$  is hyponormal. ■

Our next result reads as follows.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $T = U |T|$  be an invertible hyponormal operator. Then,  $U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is also hyponormal.*

*Proof.* By setting  $S = U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} SS^* &= U |T| U^* = |T^*| \\ &\leq |T| = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= S^* S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $S$  is hyponormal. ■

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $T = U |T|$  be an invertible hyponormal operator. Then,  $U |T|^p$  is also hyponormal, for all  $p \in (0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* By setting  $S = U |T|^p$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} SS^* &= U |T|^{2p} U^* = |T^*|^{2p} \\ &\leq |T|^{2p} = |T|^p U^* U |T|^p \\ &= S^* S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $S$  is hyponormal. ■

**Remark 2.5.** The hyponormality of  $T$  (i.e.,  $TT^* \leq T^*T$ ) implies  $|T^*| \leq |T|$ . But  $|T^*| \leq |T|$  does not necessarily imply the hyponormality of  $T$ .

**Example 2.6.** Consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Note that  $A \leq B$  and  $A^2 \not\leq B^2$ .

We set

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ A & 0 & & & & \\ & B & 0 & & & \\ & & B & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we compute

$$TT^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & A^2 & & & & \\ & & B^2 & & & \\ & & & B^2 & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T^*T = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & & & & & \\ & B^2 & & & & \\ & & B^2 & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $|T^*| \leq |T|$ , but  $T$  is not hyponormal (because of  $A^2 \not\leq B^2$ ). One of the main results of this paper reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a hyponormal operator and  $T = U |T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  such that  $U^{n_0} = U^*$  for some positive integer  $n_0$ . Then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $T$  is hyponormal. Hence

$$|T|^2 \geq |T^*|^2 = U |T|^2 U^*.$$

By multiplying both sides of this inequality by  $U$  and  $U^*$ , we get  $U |T|^2 U^* \geq U |^2T|^2 U^{*2}$  whence

$$|T|^2 \geq U |T|^2 U^* \geq U |^2T|^2 U^{*2}.$$

By repeating this process, we reach the following sequence of operators inequalities

$$|T|^2 \geq |T^*|^2 = U |T|^2 U^* \geq U |^2T|^2 U^{*2} \geq \dots \geq U^{n_0+1} |T|^2 U^{(n_0+1)*} \geq \dots \tag{2.1}$$

Because of  $U^{n_0} = U^*$  we can observe that  $U^{n_0+1} = U^*U = U^{(n_0+1)*}$  is the projection onto  $\Re(|T|)$ .

Hence  $U^{n_0+1} |T|^2 U^{(n_0+1)*} = |T|^2$ , from which and inequalities (2.1) we obtain  $|T|^2 = |T^*|^2$  this completes the proof. ■

We are now in a position to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a hyponormal operator and  $T = U |T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  such that  $U^{*n} \rightarrow I$  or  $U^n \rightarrow I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where limits are taken in the strong operator. Then,  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $U^{*n}x \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $T$  be a hyponormal operator, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\| |T| x \| \geq \| |T^*| x \| = \| |T| U^* x \| \geq \| |T| U^{2*} x \| \geq \dots \geq \| |T| U^{n*} x \| \geq \dots \tag{2.2}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \| |T| U^{n*} x \| - \| |T| x \| \right| &\leq \| |T| U^{n*} x - |T| x \| \\ &\leq \| |T| \| \| U^{n*} x - x \| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\| |T| U^{n*} x \| \rightarrow \| |T| x \|$ .

Hence by (2.2), we get

$$\| |T| x \| = \| |T^*| x \|,$$

so  $|T|^2 = |T^*|^2$ .

Thus,  $T$  is normal. ■

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $T = A + iB \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Cartesian decomposition of the operator  $T$ . Let  $BA = C + iD$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $BA$ , then  $T$  is hyponormal if and only of  $D \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is hyponormal, we have

$$T^*T - TT^* = 2i(AB - BA) \geq 0.$$

Thus if  $BA = C + iD$ , then the last equation implies that

$$AB = (BA)^* = C - iD,$$

it follows that

$$T^*T - TT^* = 2D \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. ■

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of above proposition.

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $D = 0$ , then  $T$  is normal.*

In order to prove our next result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.11.** [19, Theorem 2] Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $p$ -hyponormal operator and  $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a self-adjoint which satisfies  $TL = LT^*$ . Then

$$T^*L = LT.$$

The next theorem explain what conditions imply normality for hyponormal operators.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $T = A + iB \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $T$ , with  $AB$  is hyponormal. If  $A$  is idempotent, then  $T$  is normal.

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is idempotent, we have

$$(AB)A = A(BA).$$

Let  $S = AB$ , then  $SA = AS^*$ . Then, it follows from Lemma 2.11, that  $S^*A = AS$ .

Thus,

$$BA^2 = A^2B.$$

Since  $A$  is idempotent ( $A^2 = A$ ) then  $AB = BA$ . Thus,  $T$  is normal. ■

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $T = A + iB \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $T$ . If  $AB$  is hyponormal, then  $T$  is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $W = AB$  then  $WA = AW^*$ . Then, by Lemma 2.11, we get  $W^*A = AW$  i.e.,  $BA^2 = A^2B$ . Since  $T$  is hyponormal, we have

$$T^*T - TT^* = 2i(AB - BA) \geq 0.$$

Let  $X = 2i(AB - BA)$ , then  $X \geq 0$  and  $XA = -AX$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} X^2A &= X(XA) \\ &= X(-AX) \\ &= -(XA)X \\ &= AX^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is positive, then

$$XA = -AX = 0.$$

Hence

$$A(AB - BA) = (AB - BA)A = 0,$$

implies that

$$\sigma(AB - BA) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore,  $AB - BA$  is quasi-nilpotent and skew-hermitian.

Thus,

$$AB - BA = 0.$$

So,  $T$  is normal. ■

In the end of this paper, we study the sum direct and tensor product of such operators.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$  be hyponormal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Then  $(T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)$  and  $(T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)$  are hyponormal operators.

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m) (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^* &= (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m) (T_1^* \oplus T_2^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^*) \\
 &= T_1 T_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m T_m^* \\
 &\leq T_1^* T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^* T_m \\
 &= (T_1^* \oplus T_2^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^*) (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m) \\
 &= (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^* (T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)$  is a hyponormal operator.

Now, for  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m) (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) \\
 &= (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m) (T_1^* \otimes T_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^*) (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) \\
 &= T_1 T_1^* x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m T_m^* x_m \\
 &\leq T_1^* T_1 x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^* T_m x_m \\
 &= (T_1^* \otimes T_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^*) (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m) (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) \\
 &= (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m) (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m) (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* \leq (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m).$$

Thus,  $(T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)$  is a hyponormal operator. ■

### 3 Conclusion

This paper aims is to obtain some results on hyponormal operators acting on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We gave sufficient conditions for which the sum and product of two hyponormal operators is a hyponormal operator. Also, the sum direct and tensor product of this class has been studied.

### References

- [1] Ando. T, On hyponormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14, 290–291 (1963).
- [2] Embry. M.R, Conditions impling normality in Hilbert space. Pacific J. Math. 18, 457–460 (1966).
- [3] Fong. C.K, Tsui. S.K, A note on positive operators, J. Operator Theory, 5(1), 73–76 (1981).
- [4] Fong. C.K, Istratescu. V.I, Some characterizations of hermitian operators and related classes of operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.76, 107–112 (1979).
- [5] Fuglede. B, A commutativity theorem for normal operators. Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci., 36, 35–40 (1950).
- [6] Furuta. T, Horie. M, A remark on a class of operators, Proc. Japan., 43, 607–609 (1967).
- [7] Guesba. M, Nadir. M, On  $n$ -power-hyponormal operators, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 12 (1), 473–479 (2016).
- [8] Guesba. M, On some numerical radius inequalities for normal operators in Hilbert spaces, J. Interdiscip. Math, 25 (2), 463–470 (2022).
- [9] Guesba. M, Sid Ahmed. O.A. Mahmoud, Paranormal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Afr. Mat. 31, 1409–1428 (2020).
- [10] Guesba. M, Sid Ahmed. O.A. Mahmoud,  $k$ -Quasi- $A$ -paranormal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Oper. Matrices, 16 (3), 623–643 (2022).
- [11] Halmos. P.R, Normal dilations and extensions of operators, Summa Bras. Math, 2, 124–134 (1950).
- [12] Heinz. E, Beitrage zur St Orungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, Math. Ann, 123, 415–438 (1951).
- [13] Huruya. T, A note on  $p$ -hyponormal operators, 125(12), 3617–3624. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 1997.

- 
- [14] Rakocevic. V, On class of operators, *Mat. Vesnik.* 37, 423–426 (1989).
- [15] Ramya. P, Prasad. T and Shine lal. E, On  $(m, n)$ -class  $Q$  and  $(m, n)$ -class  $Q^*$  operators, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 12 (2), 353–360 (2023).
- [16] Rashid. M.H.M, Some conditions nn non-normal operators which imply normality, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 185–192 (2012).
- [17] Rashid. M.H.M, Prasad. T and Uchiyama. A, Spectral properties for classes of operators related to perinormal operators, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 12 (3), 484–511 (2023).
- [18] Stampfli. J.G, Hyponormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, 12, 1453–1458 (1962).
- [19] Uchiyama. A, Tanahashi. K, Fuglede-Putnam’s theorem for  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal operators, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 44, 397–410 (2002).

### Author information

Messaoud Guesba, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Department of Mathematics El Oued University, 39000, Algeria.  
E-mail: guesbamesaoud2@gmail.com, guesba-messaoud@univ-eloued.dz

Received: 2023-03-20

Accepted: 2024-03-27