

RESULTS FOR NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE HYBRID DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH LINEAR AND NONLINEAR PERTURBATION

Mohamed.Hannabou, Mohamed.Bouaouid and Khalid.Hilal

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 34A40; Secondary 27A40,.

Keywords and phrases: Impulsive condition, hybrid fractional differential equation; Fixed point theorems; linear and nonlinear perturbations.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Abstract *In this manuscript, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for impulsive nonlinear hybrid fractional differential equations. This encompasses scenarios involving both linear and nonlinear perturbations. Our methodology is rooted in the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type, coupled with the application of Banach's fixed-point theorem. Moreover, we offer an illustrative example to demonstrate the practical applicability of our findings.*

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus explores the theory and applications of integrals and derivatives of non-integer orders. This field of mathematical analysis, extensively investigated in recent years, has proven to be a potent tool for mathematically modeling various engineering and scientific phenomena. The widespread appeal of this subject can be attributed to the nonlocal nature of fractional-order operators.

The use of fractional-order operators is particularly valuable in describing the hereditary properties of numerous materials and processes, as evidenced by a shift in focus from classical integer-order models to fractional-order models in the relevant literature. Notably, this characteristic has found applications in applied and biomedical sciences and engineering, as highlighted in books such as [4, 12].

Recent contributions to the field can be explored in works like [19, 17, 32, 33] and their associated references. The examination of coupled systems of fractional-order differential equations holds significance, especially in biosciences, and interested readers can delve into papers like [18, 25] and their referenced works for detailed insights and examples.

Researchers have also delved into the study of hybrid fractional differential equations, a class involving the fractional derivative of an unknown function hybridized with a dependent nonlinearity. Noteworthy results on hybrid differential equations can be found in a series of papers, including [16, 13]. For more details about hybrid differential equations, we refer to [6, 8, 20, 21, 5].

The authors of [25], S. Melliani, A. El Allaoui, and L. S. Chadli, examined a boundary value problem involving nonlinear hybrid differential equations with both linear and nonlinear perturbations.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\vartheta(\hat{x})\eta(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})), \hat{x} \in I = [0, a], a > 0, \\ \vartheta(0)\eta(0, \vartheta(0)) + \hat{\nu}\vartheta(a)\eta(a, \vartheta(a)) = \vartheta(0)\chi(0, \vartheta(0)) + \hat{\nu}\chi(a, \vartheta(a)) + \beta, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\eta \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\chi, \xi \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are given functions and $\hat{\nu}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\hat{\nu} \neq -1$.

Motivated by the good effect of model (1.1), we consider the following problem of impulsive hybrid fractional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{\nu}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})), \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{J}} = [0, 1], \hat{x} \neq \hat{x}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) + I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-)), \hat{x}_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, 0) = \phi(\vartheta), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $D^{\hat{\nu}}$ denote the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\hat{\nu}$. The functions $\varpi \in C(\hat{\mathcal{J}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and ξ, χ belong to $C(\hat{\mathcal{J}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, while $\phi : C(\hat{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, defined as $\phi(\vartheta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \vartheta(\xi_i)$. Here, $\xi_i \in (0, 1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $I_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The notation $\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+)$ and $\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-)$ represents the right and left limits of $\vartheta(\hat{x})$ at $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_i$, where $\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i + \epsilon)$ and $\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i + \epsilon)$. The function ϕ is continuous, defined over the space $C(\hat{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{R})$.

By a solution of the peomlem (1.2) we mean a function $\vartheta \in C(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

- (i) the function $\hat{x} \rightarrow \frac{\vartheta}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta)}$ is increasing in \mathbb{R} , and
- (ii) ϑ satisfies the equations in (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a concise overview of fundamental concepts, fractional calculation laws, and introduces preliminary results. In Section 3, we examine the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the initial value problem (1.2), employing both the Banach contraction mapping principle (BCMP) and Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. In Section 4, we present an example that serves to illustrate the findings of our study. Lastly, Section 5 contains concluding remarks and proposes potential avenues for future research.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we offer a brief overview of the essential concepts and properties of fractional calculus theory. Furthermore, we present several preliminary findings that will be employed in our subsequent analysis. Throughout this paper denotes $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_0 = [0, \hat{x}_1]$, $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_1 = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2]$, ..., $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n-1} = (\hat{x}_{n-1}, \hat{x}_n]$, $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n = (\hat{x}_n, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1$.

For $\hat{x}_i \in (0, 1)$ such that $\hat{x}_1 < \hat{x}_2 < \dots < \hat{x}_n$, we define the following spaces:

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}' = \hat{\mathcal{J}} \setminus \{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n\},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{\vartheta \in C(\hat{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{R}) : \vartheta \in C(\hat{\mathcal{J}}') \text{ and left } \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+) \text{ and right limit } \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) \text{ exist and } \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then, clearly $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\vartheta\| = \max_{\hat{x} \in [0, 1]} |\vartheta(\hat{x})|$.

Definition 2.1. [3] The fractional integral of the function $\xi \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ of order $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$I_a^{\hat{\nu}} \xi(\hat{x}) = \int_a^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s) ds,$$

where Γ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [3] For a function ξ defined on the interval $[a, b]$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional-order derivative of ξ , is defined by

$$({}^R D_{a^+}^{\hat{\nu}} \xi)(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \hat{\nu})} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{n-\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\hat{\nu}] + 1$ and $[\hat{\nu}]$ denotes the integer part of $\hat{\nu}$.

Definition 2.3. [3] For a function ξ given on the interval $[a, b]$, the Caputo fractional-order derivative of ξ , is defined by

$$({}^c D_{a^+}^{\hat{\nu}} \xi)(\hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \hat{\nu})} \int_a^{\hat{z}} \frac{(\hat{z} - s)^{n-\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\hat{\nu}] + 1$ and $[\hat{\nu}]$ denotes the integer part of $\hat{\nu}$.

In this section, we introduce the notation, definitions, and lemmas that will be utilized in our proofs later.

Lemma 2.4. [1] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n - 1 < \hat{\nu} < n$. If η is a continuous function, then we have

$$I^{\hat{\nu}} {}^c D^{\hat{\nu}} \eta(\hat{z}) = \eta(\hat{z}) + a_0 + a_1 \hat{z} + a_2 \hat{z}^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} \hat{z}^{n-1}.$$

Lemma 2.5. (Leray-Schauder alternative see [2]). Let $\hat{\mathfrak{F}} : \hat{\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ be a completely continuous operator (i.e., a map that is restricted to any bounded set in $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ is compact). Let $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}(\hat{\mathfrak{F}}) = \{\vartheta \in \hat{\mathfrak{G}} : \vartheta = \lambda \hat{\mathfrak{F}}\vartheta \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$. Then either the set $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}(\hat{\mathfrak{F}})$ is unbounded or $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}$ has at least one fixed point.

3 Main results

In this section, we will prove the existence of a mild solution for problem (1.2).

To obtain the existence of a mild solution, we will need the following assumptions:

(H₁) i) The functions η and χ are continuous and bounded, that is, there exist positive numbers $\nu_{\varpi} > 0$ and $\mu_{\chi} > 0$, such that

$$|\varpi(\hat{z}, \vartheta)| \leq \nu_{\varpi} \text{ and } |\chi(\hat{z}, \vartheta)| \leq \mu_{\chi} \text{ for all } (\hat{z}, \vartheta) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

ii) There exist positive numbers $M_{\eta} > 0$ and $M_{\chi} > 0$, such that

$$|\eta(\hat{z}, \vartheta) - \eta(\hat{z}, \bar{\vartheta})| \leq M_{\eta} |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}|,$$

and

$$|\chi(\hat{z}, \vartheta) - \chi(\hat{z}, \bar{\vartheta})| \leq M_{\chi} |u - \bar{v}|.$$

for all $\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{z} \in [0, 1]$.

(H₂) There exist positive number $M_{\xi} > 0$, such that

$$|\xi(\hat{z}, \vartheta) - \xi(\hat{z}, \bar{\vartheta})| \leq M_{\xi} |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}|,$$

for all $\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{z} \in [0, 1]$.

(H₃) There exists constant $A > 0$, such that for all

$$|I_i(\vartheta) - I_i(\bar{\vartheta})| \leq A |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \forall \vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₄) There exist constant K_{ϕ} , such that

$$|\phi(\vartheta)| \leq K_{\phi} \|\vartheta\|, \quad \text{for all } \vartheta \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}),$$

(H₅) There exist constant $M_{\phi}, N_{\vartheta} > 0$, such that

$$|\phi(\vartheta)| \leq M_{\phi} \|\vartheta\|, \quad \text{for all } \vartheta \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}),$$

$$|I_i(\vartheta)| \leq N_{\vartheta} \|\vartheta\|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \text{for all } \vartheta \in \mathbb{R},$$

(H₆) There exists constant $\rho > 0$, such that

$$|\phi(\vartheta)| \leq \rho, \quad \forall \vartheta \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}).$$

(H₇) There exist constants $\rho_0, \rho_1 > 0$, such that

$$|\xi(\hat{z}, \vartheta)| \leq \rho_0 + \rho_1 \|\vartheta\|, \quad \text{for all } \vartheta \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } \hat{z} \in [0, 1].$$

For brevity, let us set

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right|,$$

$$\pi = \nu_{\varpi} \left(M_{\chi} + K_{\phi} + \frac{n}{\nu_{\varpi}} (M_{\chi} + A) + \frac{M_{\xi}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. : Let $\hat{v} \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi : [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. A function $\vartheta \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution to the fractional integral equation

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \vartheta_0 - \int_0^a \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{v}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{v}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{v})} \psi(s) ds,$$

if and only if ϑ is a solution to the following fractional Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{v}} \vartheta(\hat{x}) = \psi(\hat{x}), \hat{x} \in [0, a] \\ \vartheta(a) = \vartheta_0, \quad a > 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.2. Let's assume that hypotheses (H_1) and (H_3) hold. Let $\hat{v} \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. A function ϑ is a solution to the fractional integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{x}) &= \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \theta(\hat{x}) \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{v}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{v})} \psi(s) ds, \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_{i+1}], \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\theta(\hat{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1], \\ 1, & \hat{x} \notin [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1], \end{cases}$$

if and only if ϑ is a solution of the following impulsive problem:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{v}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \psi(\hat{x}), \hat{x} \in \mathfrak{J} = [0, 1], \hat{x} \neq \hat{x}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, 0 < \hat{v} < 1, \\ \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) + I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-)), \quad \hat{x}_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, \vartheta(0)) = \phi(\vartheta), \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Assume that ϑ satisfies (3.4). If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1]$, then

$$D^{\hat{v}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \psi(\hat{x}), \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1], \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, \vartheta(0)) = \phi(\vartheta), \tag{3.6}$$

Applying $I^{\hat{v}}$ on both sides of (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) &= \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, \vartheta(0)) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{v}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{v})} \psi(s) ds \\ &= \phi(\vartheta) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{v}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{v})} \psi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} = \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x}-s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds.$$

If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2[$, then

$$D^{\hat{\nu}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \xi(\hat{x}), \quad \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2[, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-)), \tag{3.8}$$

According to Lemma 3.1 and the continuity of $\hat{x} \rightarrow \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) &= \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^+)}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} - \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) - \int_0^{\hat{x}_1} \frac{(\hat{x}_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \\ &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-)))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} - \int_0^{\hat{x}_1} \frac{(\hat{x}_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-) = \varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) \left(\chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \phi(\vartheta) + \int_0^{\hat{x}_1} \frac{(\hat{x}_1-s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds, \right.$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) &= \frac{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) \left[\chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \phi(\vartheta) + \int_0^{\hat{x}_1} \frac{(\hat{x}_1-s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right] + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} \\ &- \int_0^{\hat{x}_1} \frac{(\hat{x}_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \\ &= \phi(\vartheta) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

So, one has

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x}-s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right).$$

If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) &= \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^+)}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} - \chi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2)) - \int_0^{\hat{x}_2} \frac{(\hat{x}_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \\ &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^-) + I_2(\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^-)))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} - \int_0^{\hat{x}_2} \frac{(\hat{x}_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \psi(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^-) = \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2)) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \int_0^{\hat{x}_2} \frac{(\hat{x}_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right),$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) = \\ & \frac{[(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2)) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds]}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} \\ & + \frac{I_2(\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} - \int_0^{\hat{x}_2} \frac{(\hat{x}_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds, \\ & = \phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2)) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \frac{I_2(\vartheta(\hat{x}_2^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_2, \vartheta(\hat{x}_2))} \\ & + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right).$$

If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_{i+1}[$ ($i = 3, 4, \dots, n$), using the same method, one has

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right).$$

Conversely, assume that ϑ satisfies (3.3). If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1[$, we have

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right). \tag{3.9}$$

Then, we divide by $\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))$ and applying $D^{\hat{\nu}}$ on both sides of (3.9), we get equation (3.5).

Again, substituting $\hat{x} = 0$ in (3.9), we obtain $\frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, \vartheta(0)) = \phi(\vartheta)$. by (H_0) , for

$\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1[$, the map $\hat{x} \rightarrow \frac{\vartheta}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta)}$ is injective in \mathbb{R} . Then we get (3.6).

Similarly, for $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2[$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{x}) &= \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \chi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1)) + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_1, \vartheta(\hat{x}_1))} \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Then, we divide by $\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))$ and applying $D^{\hat{\nu}}$ on both sides of (3.10), we get equation (3.11).

Again by (H_0) , substituting $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_1$ in (3.9) and taking the limit of (3.10), then (3.10) minus (3.9) gives (3.12).

If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_{i+1}[$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$), similarly we get

$$D^{\hat{\nu}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \right) = \psi(\hat{x}), \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_k, \hat{x}_{k+1}[\tag{3.11}$$

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-)), \tag{3.12}$$

□

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let ξ be continuous, then $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{X}$ is a solution of (1.2) if and only if ϑ is the solution of the integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{x}) &= \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \theta(\hat{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right) \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \psi(s) ds, \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_{i+1}] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta(\hat{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1], \\ 1, & \hat{x} \notin [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1], \end{cases}$$

We define an operator $\Theta : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\vartheta)(\hat{x}) &= \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \theta(\hat{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right) \\ &+ \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

First result

At this point, we are prepared to present our initial finding, focusing on the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the problem defined in (1.2). This result is established through the application of Banach’s contraction mapping principle.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_7)$ holds . Then problem (1.2) has an unique solution provided that $\pi < 1$, π is the constant given in equation (3.1).

Proof. Let us set $\sup_{\hat{x} \in J} \xi(\hat{x}, 0) = \kappa < \infty$, and define a closed ball \bar{B} as follows

$$\bar{B} = \{ \vartheta \in \mathfrak{X} : \|\vartheta\| \leq r \},$$

where

$$r \geq \frac{\mu_\chi + |d| + \frac{\kappa}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu}+1)}}{\nu_\varpi - (M_\phi + \frac{M_\xi}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu}+1)})}. \tag{3.14}$$

We show that $\Theta\bar{B} \subset \bar{B}$. For $\vartheta \in \bar{B}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta(\vartheta)(\hat{x})| &\leq |\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))| \left| \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \theta(\hat{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \nu_\varpi \left(\mu_\chi + M_\phi \|\vartheta\| + |d| + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} (|\xi(s, \vartheta(s)) - \xi(s, 0)| + |\xi(s, 0)|) ds \right) \\ &\leq \nu_\varpi \left(\mu_\chi + M_\phi \|\vartheta\| + |d| + \frac{M_\xi \|\vartheta\| + \kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \\ &\leq \nu_\varpi \left(\mu_\chi + M_\phi r + |d| + \frac{M_\xi \|\vartheta\| + \kappa}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\|\Theta(\vartheta)\| \leq \nu_\omega \left(\mu_\chi + M_\phi r + |d| + \frac{M_\xi \|\vartheta\| + \kappa}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} \right). \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.15), it follows that $\|\Theta(\vartheta)\| \leq r$.

Next, for $(\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta}) \in \bar{B}^2$ and for any $\hat{x} \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta(\vartheta)(\hat{x}) - \Theta(\bar{\vartheta})(\hat{x})| &= \left| \varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) + \phi(\vartheta) + \theta(\hat{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s, \vartheta)(s) ds \\ &\quad - \varpi(\hat{x}, \bar{\vartheta}(\hat{x})) \left(\chi(\hat{x}, \bar{\vartheta}(\hat{x})) + \phi(\bar{\vartheta}) + \theta(\hat{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \bar{\vartheta}(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\bar{\vartheta}(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \bar{\vartheta}(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \xi(s, \bar{\vartheta})(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \nu_\omega \left(M_\chi |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}| + K_\phi |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}| + \frac{n}{\nu_\omega} (M_\chi |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}| + A |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}|) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{M_\xi}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} |\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta(\vartheta) - \Theta(\bar{\vartheta})\| &\leq \nu_\omega \left(M_\chi + K_\phi + \frac{n}{\nu_\omega} (M_\chi + A) + \frac{M_\xi}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) (\|\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}\|) \\ &= \pi \|\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.16), we deduce that

$$\|\Theta(\vartheta) - \Theta(\bar{\vartheta})\| \leq \pi \|\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}\|.$$

□

Because of the condition $\pi < 1$, we can assert that Θ acts as a contraction operator. Consequently, Banach’s fixed-point theorem is applicable, guaranteeing that the operator Θ possesses a single fixed point. This unique fixed point serves as the sole solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2). This concludes the proof.

Second result

Our second result focuses on establishing the existence of solutions for the problem (1.2) using the Leray-Schauder alternative. For brevity, let us set

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{\nu_\omega}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\Lambda_0 = 1 - \Lambda_1 \rho_1. \tag{3.18}$$

Theorem 3.5. Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_2)$ and $(H_6) - (H_7)$ hold. Furthermore, it is assumed that $\Lambda_1 \rho_1 < 1$, where Λ_1 is given by (3.17). Then the boundary value problem (1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof. We will show that the operator $\Pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.5.

Step 1: We will prove that the operator Π is completely continuous.

Clearly, it follows by the continuity of functions ϖ, χ, ξ that the operator Π is continuous.

Let $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ be bounded. Then we can find positive constant Ω such that:

$|\xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta)| \leq \Omega, \quad \forall \vartheta \in \mathfrak{G}.$

Thus, for any $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{G}$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi(\vartheta)(\hat{x})| &\leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\mu_{\chi} + \rho + |d| + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x} - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} \Omega ds \right) \\ &\leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\mu_{\chi} + \rho + |d| + \frac{\Omega}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|\Pi(\vartheta)\| \leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\mu_{\chi} + \rho + |d| + \frac{\Omega}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} \right). \tag{3.19}$$

From the inequalities (3.19), we deduce that the operator Π is uniformly bounded.

Setep 2: Now we show that the operator Π is equicontinuous.

We take $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Pi(\vartheta(\tau_2)) - \Pi(\vartheta(\tau_1))| \\ &\leq \left| \varpi(\tau_2, \vartheta(\tau_2)) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\tau_2, \vartheta(\tau_2)) + \theta(\tau_2) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \Omega \int_0^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varpi(\tau_1, \vartheta(\tau_1)) \left(\phi(\vartheta) + \chi(\tau_1, \vartheta(\tau_1)) + \theta(\tau_1) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{(\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds \right) \Big| \\ &\leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\left| (\chi(\tau_2, \vartheta(\tau_2)) - \chi(\tau_1, \vartheta(\tau_1)) + (\theta(\tau_2) - \theta(\tau_1)) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega \left| \int_0^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds - \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{(\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds \right| \right) \\ &\leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\left| (\chi(\tau_2, \vartheta(\tau_2)) - \chi(\tau_1, \vartheta(\tau_1)) + (\theta(\tau_2) - \theta(\tau_1)) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\chi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)) + \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-))}{\varpi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))} \right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{(\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds - \int_{\tau_2}^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{\nu}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu})} ds \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Which tend to 0 independently of ϑ . This implies that the operator $\Pi(\vartheta)$ is equicontinuous.

Thus, by the above findings, the operator $\Pi(\vartheta)$ is completely continuous.

In the next step, it will be established that the set $P = \{\vartheta \in \mathfrak{X} / \vartheta = \lambda \Pi(\vartheta), 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded.

Let $\vartheta \in P$. We have $\vartheta = \lambda \Pi(\vartheta)$. Thus, for any $\hat{x} \in [0, 1]$, we can write

$$\vartheta(\hat{x}) = \lambda \Pi(\hat{x})(\hat{x}),$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vartheta\| &\leq \nu_{\varpi} \left(\mu_{\chi} + \rho + |d| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} (\rho_0 + \rho_1 \|\vartheta\|) \right) \\ &\leq \nu_{\varpi} (\mu_{\chi} + \rho + |d|) + \Lambda_1 (\rho_0 + \rho_1 \|\vartheta\|), \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of (3.18), can be expressed as

$$\|\vartheta\| \leq \frac{\nu_{\varpi} (\mu_{\chi} + \rho + nC) + \Lambda_1 \rho_0}{\Lambda_0}.$$

This demonstrates that the set \mathcal{P} is bounded. As a result, all the conditions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Therefore, the operator Π has at least one fixed point, which corresponds to a solution of problem (1.2). This completes the proof. \square

4 Example

Consider the following impulsive hybrid fractional differential equation::

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))} - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}))\right) = \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})), \hat{x} \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\hat{x}_1\}, \\ \vartheta(\hat{x}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_1^-) + (-2u(\hat{x}_1^-)), \hat{x}_1 \neq 0, 1, \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varpi(0, \vartheta(0))} - \chi(0, \vartheta(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Here, we have

$$\varpi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) = \frac{\arctan \hat{x}}{3} |\vartheta(\hat{x})| + 1,$$

$$\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} \vartheta(\hat{x}),$$

$$\xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x})) = \frac{1}{4\hat{x}^2} (\vartheta(\hat{x}) + \sqrt{2}),$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta_1) - \chi(\hat{x}, \vartheta_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{9} |\vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1|, \\ \hat{x} &\in [0.1], \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta_1) - \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta_2)| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1|, \hat{x} \in [0.1], \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\pi = \nu_{\varpi} \left(M_{\chi} + K_{\phi} + M_{\eta} + nA + \frac{M_{\xi}}{\Gamma(\hat{\nu} + 1)} \right) = 0.12345678 < 1,$$

As all of the assumptions in Theorem 3.4 are satisfied, our results can be directly applied to the problem (4.1).

5 Conclusion

The main focus of this paper is to explore the existence of solutions for impulsive nonlinear hybrid fractional differential equations involving both linear and nonlinear perturbations. Our results not only improve upon existing findings in this research area but also provide a more generalized perspective. Furthermore, we anticipate that the theory we have developed can be extended to address broader problems related to impulsive fractional differential equations featuring both linear and nonlinear perturbations. The fixed-point theorems employed in our analysis can also be applied to investigate the existence of solutions for other types of impulsive fractional differential equations, including those involving alternative forms of fractional derivatives such as Hilfer’s and Hadamard’s derivatives. By contributing to the advancement of more comprehensive and efficient tools for studying these problems, we aim to enhance our understanding of the dynamics of complex systems and their behavior in impulsive conditions.

References

- [1] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego., (1999).
- [2] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer, New York, NY, USA, (2003).
- [3] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam., **204** (2006).
- [4] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with threepoint boundary conditions, *Computers , Mathematics with Applications.*, vol. **58**, no. 9, pp. 1838-1843 (2009).
- [5] Yong Zhou, Feng Jiao, Jing Li, Existence and uniqueness for fractional neutral differential equations with infinite delay, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA.*, (**71**) 3249-3256 (2009).
- [6] B. C. Dhage, Nonlinear quadratic first order functional integro-differential equations with periodic boundary conditions, *Dynamic Systems and Applications.*, (**18**) 303-322, (2009).
- [7] V. E. Tarasov, Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, *Fields and Media*, Springer: New York, NY, USA., (2010).
- [8] B. C. Dhage and V. Lakshmikantham, Basic results on hybrid differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, (**4**) 414-424 (2010).
- [9] B. C. Dhage, Quadratic perturbations of periodic boundary value problems of second order ordinary differential equations, *Diff. Equ. Appl.* (**2**) 465-486 (2010).
- [10] Y. Zhao, S. Sun, Z. Han, Q. Li, Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** 1312-1324 (2011).
- [11] D. N. Chalishajar and F. S. Acharya, Controllability of Second Order Semi-linear Neutral Impulsive Differential Inclusion on unbounded domain with infinite delay in Banach Spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **48(4)** 813–838 (2011).
- [12] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, and J. Pimentel, Some boundary value problems of fractional differential equations and inclusions, *Computers, Mathematics with Applications*, vol. **62**, no. 3, pp.1238-1250 (2011).
- [13] S. Sun, Y. Zhao, Z. Han, and Y. Li, The existence of solutions for boundary value problem of fractional hybrid differential equations, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. **17**, no. 12, pp. 4961-4967 (2012).
- [14] M. Di Paola, F.P. Pinnola, M. Zingales, Fractional differential equations and related exact mechanical models, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, (**66**) 608-620 (2013).
- [15] B. C. Dhage, N. S. Jadhav, Basic results in the theory of hybrid differential equations with linear perturbations of second type, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics.*, (**44**) 171-186 (2013).
- [16] Z. Hu and W. Liu, Solvability of a coupled system of fractional differential equations with periodic boundary conditions at resonance, *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal.*, vol. **65**, no. 11, pp.1619-1633 (2014).
- [17] K. Razminia, A. Razminia, and J. A. Tenreiro Machado, Analysis of diffusion process in fractured reservoirs using fractional derivative approach, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation.*, vol. **19**, no. 9, pp. 3161-3170 (2014).
- [18] D. Baleanu and P. Agarwal, Certain inequalities involving the fractional-integral operators, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. **2014**, Article ID 371274, 10 pages (2014).
- [19] B. Ahmad and S. K. Ntouyas, An existence theorem for fractional hybrid differential inclusions of HADamard type with DIRichlet boundary conditions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. **2014**, Article ID 705809, 7 pages, (2014).
- [20] B. C. Dhage, S. N. Salunkhe, R. P. Agarwal, W. Zhang, A functional differential equation in Banach algebras, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications.*, **8** 89-99 (2005).
- [21] K. Hilal, A. Kajouni, Boundary value problems for hybrid differential equations, *Mathematical Theory and Modeling.*, 2224-5804 (2015).
- [22] V. V. Tarasova and V.E Tarasov, Logistic map with memory from economic model, *Chaos Solitons Fractals.*, **95** 84-91 (2017).
- [23] N. Ahmed, D. Vieru, C. Fetecau, N. A. Shah, Convective flows of generalized time-nonlocal nanofluids through a vertical rectangular channel, *Phys. Fluids.*, **30** 052002 (2018).
- [24] W. Benhamida, S. Hamani and J. Henderson, Boundary value problems for Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equations, *Adv.in the Theory of nonlinear Ana. Applns.*, **2(3)** 138-145 (2018).
- [25] S. Melliani, A. El Allaoui and L. S. Chadli, Boundary Value Problem of Nonlinear Hybrid Differential Equations with Linear and Nonlinear Perturbations, *International Journal of Differential Equations.*, Volume **2020**, Article ID 9850924 (2020).
- [26] Mohamed Hannabou, Khalid Hilal, and Ahmed Kajouni, Existence and Uniqueness of Mild Solutions to Impulsive Nonlocal Cauchy Problems, *Journal of Mathematics.*, Volume **2020** |Article ID 572912 (2020).

- [27] M. Bouaouid, K. Hilal and M. Hannabou, Integral solutions of nondense impulsive conformable-fractional differential equations with nonlocal condition, *Journal of Applied Analysis (Gruyter)*, **27** (2021).
- [28] Mohamed Hannabou and Khalid Hilal, Existence Results for a System of Coupled Hybrid Differential Equations with Fractional Order, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Differential Equations.*, Volume 2020, Article ID 3038427 (2020).
- [29] M. Hannabou and K. Hilal, Investigation of a Mild Solution to Coupled Systems of Impulsive Hybrid Fractional Differential Equations, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, Volume **2019** |Article ID 2618982 (2019).
- [30] Mohamed Bouaouid, Mohamed Hannabou and Khalid Hilal, Nonlocal Conformable Fractional Differential Equations with a Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Mathematics.*, Volume **2020**, Article ID 5615080 (2020).
- [31] Muath Awadalla, Mohamed Hannabou, Kinda Abuasbeh, Khalid Hilal, A Novel Implementation of Dhage's Fixed Point Theorem to Nonlinear Sequential Hybrid Fractional Differential Equation, *Fractal Fract.*, **7(2)** 144 (2023).
- [32] V. E. Nikam, A. K. Shukla and D. Gopal , SOME NEW DARBO TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREMS USING GENERALIZED OPERATORS AND EXISTENCE OF A SYSTEM OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ,*Palestine Journal of Mathematics.*, Vol. **12(4)** 245-257 (2023)..
- [33] Prakashkumar H. Patel, Haribhai Kataria, Vishant Shah and Jaita Sharma, EXISTNECE RESULTS FOR THE FRACTIONAL ORDER GENERALIZED CAUCHY PROBLEM WITH NON-INSTANTNEOUS IMPULSES ON BANACH SPACE, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics.*, Vol. **12(1)** , 254-264 (2023).

Author information

Mohamed.Hannabou, Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Multidisciplinary faculty, Beni Mellal, Morocco.
E-mail: hnnabou@gmail.com

Mohamed.Bouaouid, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technics, Sultan Moulay Slimane University,, BP 523, 23000 Beni Mellal, Morocco..
E-mail: bouaouidfst@gmail.com

Khalid.Hilal, Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technics, Sultan Moulay Slimane University,, BP 523, 23000 Beni Mellal, Morocco..
E-mail: khalid.hilal.usms@gmail.com

Received: 2023-03-31

Accepted: 2024-03-15