Matrix Equation and its Four Smaller Equations

S. Guerarra and R. Belkhiri

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 15A24; Secondary 15A09, 15A03.

Keywords and phrases: matrix equation, set inclusion, least-rank solution, rank formulas, moore-penrose inverse.

The authors are very grateful for the detailed comments and valuable suggestions from the reviewers and editor, that improved the quality of our paper

Corresponding Author: S. Guerarra

Abstract In this work, we investigate the inclusion relationships between two sets, S_1 and S_2 , where S_1 is the set of least-rank solutions of the matrix equation $AXB = C$, while S_2 is the set of solutions of the form $\Gamma = \frac{X_{11} + X_{22} + X_{33} + X_{44}}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$, where X_{11} , X_{22} , X_{33} and X_{44} are the least-rank solutions of the four smaller equations derived from the original equation $AXB = C$. Then, we deduce the necessary and sufficient conditions for the following relations to hold: $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$, $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ and $S_1 \supseteq S_2$.

1 Introduction

In this work, $\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ represents the set of all $n \times m$ complex matrices. In addition, we denote A^* and $r(A)$ as the conjugate transpose and the rank of matrix A, respectively. The Moore-Penrose inverse of matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is defined as the unique $m \times n$ complex matrix denoted by A^+ satisfying the following four equations:

$$
AA^{+}A = A, A^{+}AA^{+} = A^{+}, (AA^{+})^{*} = AA^{+}, (A^{+}A)^{*} = A^{+}A.
$$

Extensive studies and results regarding matrix inversion and generalized inverses see e.g. ([\[1,](#page-12-1) [2,](#page-13-0) [3\]](#page-13-1)).

Additionally, we introduce two orthogonal projectors induced by $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, namely $F_A =$ $I_n - A^+A$ and $E_A = I_m - AA^+$.

Consider the matrix equation:

$$
AXB = C \tag{1.1}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}$ are given matrices, and $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ is an unknown matrix.

Linear matrix equations have been examined in various situations. For example, in [\[16\]](#page-13-2), the author presented necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Hermitian nonnegative definite or positive-definite solutions to (1.1) and representations of these solutions. In [\[9\]](#page-13-3), Tian studied the relations between two approximate solutions of (1.1) , namely, least-squares and leastrank solutions, in [\[6\]](#page-13-4) the authors studied the problem of finding solutions to a system of linear quaternion or octonion equations. For further related works one may refer to $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$ $([4, 10, 12, 11])$.

Tian proposed the notion of least-rank solutions to matrix equations in [\[13,](#page-13-9) [14\]](#page-13-10) based on the minimal rank of the linear matrix function $A - BXC$. The least-rank solutions have since been investigated by many researchers. For instance, in [\[5\]](#page-13-11), the authors derived the necessary and sufficient conditions for the systems $A_1 X B_1 = C_1$ and $A_2 X B_2 = C_2$ to have a common least-rank solution. In [\[15\]](#page-13-12), Xu et al. used the Moore-Penrose inverse to deduce the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Hermitian (skew-Hermitian), Re-nonnegative (Re-positive) definite, and Re-nonnegative (Re-positive) definite least-rank solutions to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and presented explicit representations of the general solutions in cases for which the solvability conditions were satisfied.

To elucidate more properties of the least-rank solutions of (1.1) , we can express the matrices A, B, and C with the following partitioned forms:

$$
A = \left[\begin{array}{c} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \end{array} \right], \quad B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} B_{11} & B_{22} \end{array} \right], \quad C = \left[\begin{array}{cc} C_{11} & C_{22} \\ C_{33} & C_{44} \end{array} \right].
$$

By comparing both sides of Equation (1.1) , we obtain four individual equations:

$$
A_{11}XB_{11} = C_{11}, A_{11}XB_{22} = C_{22}, A_{22}XB_{11} = C_{33}, A_{22}XB_{22} = C_{44}.
$$
 (1.2)

We can consider Equation (1.1) as a combination of these four smaller equations. However, notably, the fourth equation in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) may not have a common solution. In this case, we can rewrite [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) as four independent matrix equations:

$$
A_{11}X_{11}B_{11} = C_{11}, A_{11}X_{22}B_{22} = C_{22}, A_{22}X_{33}B_{11} = C_{33}, A_{22}X_{44}B_{22} = C_{44}.
$$
 (1.3)

The conditions for these four matrix equations to be consistent may not be the same as those for Equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0). Hence, the possible relationships between the four equations in (1.1) and (1.3) should be investigated for general cases.

Based on the results of Li and Tian in [\[7\]](#page-13-13), in this paper, we decompose the least-rank solution X of Equation (1.1) into the sum of the least-rank solutions of the equations in (1.3) as follows:

$$
\Gamma = \frac{X_{11} + X_{22} + X_{33} + X_{44}}{4}.
$$
\n(1.4)

We aim to determine the existence of additional solutions in the combined set by investigating these connections. The results of this study improve our understanding of the properties of solutions to (1.1) .

We first introduce the following important lemmas:

Lemma 1.1. *[\[8,](#page-13-14) [12\]](#page-13-7)* Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times k}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times r}$, $D \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times k}$. Then,

$$
r[A, B] = r(A) + r(E_A B) = r(B) + r(E_B A), \qquad (1.5)
$$

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{c} A \\ C \end{array}\right] = r(A) + r(CF_A) = r(C) + r(AF_C),\tag{1.6}
$$

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{array}\right] = r\left(B\right) + r\left(C\right) + r\left(E_B A F_C\right). \tag{1.7}
$$

The following formulas are derived from (1.5) *,* (1.6) *and* (1.7) *:*

r

$$
r\begin{bmatrix} A & BF_N \ E_R C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} A & B & 0 \ C & 0 & R \ 0 & N & 0 \end{bmatrix} - r(N) - r(R),
$$

$$
r \begin{bmatrix} M & L \ E_R A & E_R B \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} M & L & 0 \ A & B & R \end{bmatrix} - r(R),
$$

$$
r \begin{bmatrix} M & AF_N \ L & BF_N \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} M & A \ L & B \end{bmatrix} - r(N).
$$

Lemma 1.2. *[\[11\]](#page-13-8) Let* $D \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}$, $E \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times k}$ and $H \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times r}$ be given matrices. Then,

$$
\min_{\substack{X \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times r} \\ Y \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times l}}} r(D - EX - YH) = r\left[\begin{array}{cc} D & E \\ H & 0 \end{array}\right] - r(E) - r(H). \tag{1.8}
$$

Lemma 1.3. [\[10\]](#page-13-6) Let $D \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}$, $E_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times l_1}$, $E_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times l_2}$, $K_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{k_1 \times r}$, $K_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{k_2 \times r}$ be *matrices, such that* $R(E_1) \subset R(E_2)$ *and* $R(K_2^*) \subset R(K_1^*)$ *. Then,*

$$
\max_{\substack{X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{l_1 \times k_1} \\ X_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{l_2 \times k_2}}} r(D - E_1 X_1 K_1 - E_2 X_2 K_2) = \min \left\{ r \begin{bmatrix} D & E_2 \end{bmatrix}, r \begin{bmatrix} D & D \\ K_1 \end{bmatrix}, r \begin{bmatrix} D & E_1 \\ K_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
$$
\n(1.9)

2 Relationships between least-rank solutions of the matrix equation $AXB = C$ and its four smaller equations

Lemma 2.1. [\[9\]](#page-13-3) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}$ be given matrices. The least-rank *solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) can be expressed as follows:*

$$
X = -TM^+S + \hat{T}U + V\hat{S},\tag{2.1}
$$

where M = $\begin{bmatrix} C & A \end{bmatrix}$ $B \quad 0$ 1 $\, ,\, T\,=\, \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & I_n \end{array} \right],\, S\,=\,$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$ I_p $\hat{T} = TF_M, \ \hat{S} = E_M S, \ U \in \mathbb{C}^{(q+n)\times p}$ *and* $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (m+p)}$ *are arbitrary matrices.*

The following notations are adopted for the sets of least-rank solutions to the equations in (1.1) and (1.3) :

$$
S_1 = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p} / r \left(AXB - C \right) = \min \right\},
$$
\n
$$
S_2 = \left\{ \Gamma = \frac{(X_{11} + X_{22} + X_{33} + X_{44})}{4} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p} / \frac{r (A_{11} X_{11} B_{11} - C_{11})}{r (A_{22} X_{33} B_{11} - C_{33})} = \min \frac{r (A_{22} X_{33} B_{11} - C_{33})}{r (A_{22} X_{44} B_{22} - C_{44})} \right\}.
$$
\n(2.2)

Based on these notations, the results can be obtained as follows:

Theorem 2.2. Let X and X_{11} , X_{22} , X_{33} and X_{44} be the least-rank solutions to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) *respectively, define* S_1 *and* S_2 *as in* [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0) *and* [\(2.3\)](#page-2-1) *respectively. Denote*

$$
H_1 = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} C & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & A_{22} \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \end{array}\right],
$$

$$
L = \begin{bmatrix}\nC & 0 & -A & 0 & -A & 0 & -A & 0 & -A \\
B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}C_{11} & \frac{1}{4}A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}C_{11} & \frac{1}{4}A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}C_{11} & \frac{1}{4}A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}C_{22} & \frac{1}{4}A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
$$

Then, the following hold. a) $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if*

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{array}\right] = r(H_1) + r(H_2).
$$

b) $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ *if and only if*

$$
r(M) = r \begin{bmatrix} C \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } r(M) = r \begin{bmatrix} C & A \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\text{ or } r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} C \\ B \end{bmatrix} + r \begin{bmatrix} C & A \end{bmatrix} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i).
$$

c) $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ *if and only if*

$$
r(D_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i), \text{ or } r(D_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i),
$$

or
$$
r\begin{bmatrix} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{bmatrix} = r(D_2) + r(D_1) + 2r(M).
$$

Proof. a) The intersection $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ implies that the minimum rank of the matrix expression $X - \Gamma$ is zero, that is:

$$
\min_{\Gamma \in S2, X \in S_1} r(X - \Gamma) = 0. \tag{2.4}
$$

According to [\(2.1\)](#page-2-2), the general expressions for the least-rank solutions of the four matrix equations in (1.3) can be written as follows:

$$
X_{11} = -T_1M_1^+S_1 + T_{11}U_1 + V_1S_{11},
$$

\n
$$
X_{22} = -T_2M_2^+S_2 + T_{22}U_2 + V_2S_{22},
$$

\n
$$
X_{33} = -T_3M_3^+S_3 + T_{33}U_3 + V_3S_{33},
$$

\n
$$
X_{44} = -T_4M_4^+S_4 + T_{44}U_4 + V_4S_{44}.
$$

where

$$
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & A_{11} \\ B_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} C_{22} & A_{11} \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} C_{33} & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} C_{44} & A_{22} \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}, S_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}, T_{ii} = T_i F_{M_i}, S_{ii} = E_{M_i} S_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.
$$

We can rewrite the expression $X - \Gamma$ as follows:

$$
X - \Gamma = -TM^{+}S + \frac{T_{1}M_{1}^{+}S_{1}}{4} + \frac{T_{2}M_{2}^{+}S_{2}}{4} + \frac{T_{3}M_{3}^{+}S_{3}}{4} + \frac{T_{4}M_{4}^{+}S_{4}}{4} + \hat{T}U + V\hat{S}
$$

\n
$$
-T_{11}U_{1} - V_{1}S_{11} - T_{22}U_{2} - V_{2}S_{22} - T_{33}U_{3} - V_{3}S_{33} - T_{44}U_{4} - V_{4}S_{44}
$$

\n
$$
= -TM^{+}S + \frac{T_{1}M_{1}^{+}S_{1}}{4} + \frac{T_{2}M_{2}^{+}S_{2}}{4} + \frac{T_{3}M_{3}^{+}S_{3}}{4} + \frac{T_{4}M_{4}^{+}S_{4}}{4}
$$

\n
$$
+ \left[\hat{T} \quad T_{11} \quad T_{22} \quad T_{33} \quad T_{44} \right] \begin{bmatrix} U \\ -U_{1} \\ -U_{2} \\ -U_{3} \\ -U_{4} \end{bmatrix} + \left[V \quad -V_{1} \quad -V_{2} \quad -V_{3} \quad -V_{4} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S} \\ S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{34} \\ S_{44} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
= G + NZ + WK, \qquad (2.5)
$$

where

 \overline{G}

$$
= -TM^{+}S + \frac{T_{1}M_{1}^{+}S_{1}}{4} + \frac{T_{2}M_{2}^{+}S_{2}}{4} + \frac{T_{3}M_{3}^{+}S_{3}}{4} + \frac{T_{4}M_{4}^{+}S_{4}}{4},
$$

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F} & \hat{I} & \hat{I} & \hat{I} & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{I} \end{bmatrix},
$$

and $Z = \begin{bmatrix} U^* & -U_1^* & -U_2^* & -U_3^* & -U_4^* \end{bmatrix}^*, W = \begin{bmatrix} V & -V_1 & -V_2 & -V_3 & -V_4 \end{bmatrix}$ are arbitrary with appropriate sizes.

By applying (1.8) in Lemma (1.2) to Equation (2.5) , we can deduce the following:

$$
\min_{X \in S_1, \Gamma \in S2} r(X - \Gamma) = \min_{Z, W} r(G + NZ + WK) = r\left[\begin{array}{cc} G & N \\ K & 0 \end{array}\right] - r(N) - r(K). \tag{2.6}
$$

By applying Lemma [\(1.1\)](#page-1-5) and three elementary block matrix operations, we obtain

$$
r\left[K\begin{array}{c}G&-TM^+S+\frac{T\left(M^+S_3+\frac{T\left(M^+S_3+\frac{T\left(M^+S_4+\frac{T\
$$

$$
-2\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - 2r(M)
$$

= $p + n + r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{bmatrix} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - 2r(M).$ (2.7)

$$
r(K) = r \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S} \\ S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{44} \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} S & M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_1 & 0 & M_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 & 0 & M_2 & 0 & 0 \\ S_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_3 & 0 \\ S_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - r(M)
$$

$$
= r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_p & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
$$

$$
= p + r \begin{bmatrix} C & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & A_{22} \\ -B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - r(M).
$$
\n
$$
(2.8)
$$

$$
r(N) = r \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} \hat{T} & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \end{array} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n \\ C & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & A_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$

$$
= n + r \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 & -A & 0 & -A & 0 & -A & 0 & -A \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & A_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - r(M)
$$
\n
$$
= n + r(H_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) - r(M). \tag{2.9}
$$

By substituting $(2.7)-(2.9)$ $(2.7)-(2.9)$ $(2.7)-(2.9)$ into (2.6) , we obtain

$$
\min_{\substack{X \in S_1 \\ \Gamma \in S_2}} r(X - \Gamma) = r \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{array} \right] - r(H_2) - r(H_1). \tag{2.10}
$$

By substituting (2.10) into (2.4) , we obtain (a) . b) Note that $S_1 \supseteq S_2$ is equivalent to

$$
\max_{\Gamma \in S_2} \min_{X \in S_1} r(X - \Gamma) = 0. \tag{2.11}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\min_{X \in S_1} r(X - \Gamma) = \min_{U, V} r\left(-TM^+S - \Gamma + \hat{T}U + V\hat{S}\right). \tag{2.12}
$$

Applying [\(1.8\)](#page-2-3) to [\(2.12\)](#page-8-2) yields

$$
\min_{X \in S_1} r(X - \Gamma) = r \begin{bmatrix} -TM^+S - \Gamma & \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{S} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - r(\widehat{T}) - r(\widehat{S}). \tag{2.13}
$$

According to (1.5) and (1.6) , we have

$$
r\left(\widehat{T}\right) = r\left(TF_M\right) = r\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ C & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} - r\left(M\right) = r\begin{bmatrix} C \\ B \end{bmatrix} - r\left(M\right) + n,\tag{2.14}
$$

$$
r\left(\widehat{S}\right) = r\left(E_M S\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & C & A \\ I_P & B & 0 \end{array}\right] - r\left(M\right) = r\left[\begin{array}{cc} C & A \end{array}\right] - r\left(M\right) + p. \tag{2.15}
$$

The 2×2 block matrix on the right-hand side of [\(2.13\)](#page-8-3) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n-TM^+S - \Gamma & \hat{T} \\
\hat{S} & 0\n\end{bmatrix}\n= \begin{bmatrix}\nG + \hat{T}U + V\hat{S} - T_{11}U_1 - V_1S_{11} - T_{22}U_2 - V_2S_{22} - T_{33}U_3 - V_3S_{33} - T_{44}U_4 - V_4S_{44} & \hat{T} \\
\hat{S} & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix} G & \hat{T} \\ \hat{S} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
- \begin{bmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & V_3 & V_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ S_{22} & 0 \\ S_{33} & 0 \\ S_{44} & 0 \end{bmatrix} .
$$
(2.16)

In addition, we have

Thus,

$$
r\begin{bmatrix} I_n & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = r\begin{bmatrix} I_n \ 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
r\begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \ S_{11} & 0 \ S_{22} & 0 \ S_{33} & 0 \ S_{44} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

$$
R\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq R\begin{bmatrix} I_n \ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R\begin{bmatrix} S_{11}^* & S_{22}^* & S_{33}^* & S_{44}^* \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq R\begin{bmatrix} I_p \ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Hence, by applying (1.9) to (2.16) , we obtain

$$
\max_{\Gamma \in S_2} r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -TM^+S - \Gamma & \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{S} & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \min \left\{ r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} G & \widehat{T} & I_n \\ \widehat{S} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} G & \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{S} & 0 \\ I_p & 0 \end{array} \right], r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} G & \widehat{T} & I_{11} & I_{22} & I_{33} & I_{44} \\ \widehat{S} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \min \left\{ n + r \left(\widehat{S} \right), p + r \left(\widehat{T} \right), r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} G & N \\ K & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\}.
$$
\n(2.17)

Combining (2.17) and (2.13) yields

$$
\max_{\Gamma \in S_2} \min_{X \in S_1} r(X - \Gamma)
$$
\n
$$
= \min \left\{ n - r\left(\widehat{T}\right), p - r\left(\widehat{S}\right), r \left[\begin{array}{cc} G & N \\ K & 0 \end{array}\right] - r\left(\widehat{T}\right) - r\left(\widehat{S}\right) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \min \left\{ r\left(M\right) - r \left[\begin{array}{c} C \\ B \end{array}\right], r\left(M\right) - r \left[\begin{array}{cc} C & A \end{array}\right],
$$
\n
$$
r \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{array}\right] - r \left[\begin{array}{c} C \\ B \end{array}\right] - r \left[\begin{array}{cc} C & A \end{array}\right] - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} r\left(M_i\right) \right\} . \tag{2.18}
$$

By substituting (2.18) into (2.11) , we obtain (b). (c) The inclusion $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ is equivalent to

$$
\max_{X \in S_1} \min_{\Gamma \in S_2} r(X - \Gamma) = 0. \tag{2.19}
$$

Applying [\(1.8\)](#page-2-3) to the matrix expression $X - \Gamma$ yields

$$
\min_{\Gamma \in S_2} r(X - \Gamma)
$$
\n
$$
= \min_{\Gamma \in S_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \left(X + \frac{T_1 M_1^+ S_1}{4} + \frac{T_2 M_2^+ S_2}{4} + \frac{T_3 M_3^+ S_3}{4} + \frac{T_4 M_4^+ S_4}{4} \right) - \\ \left[T_1 T_1 T_2 2 T_3 3 T_4 4 \right] \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{bmatrix} - \left[V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 \right] \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{44} \end{bmatrix} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= r \left[\begin{array}{ccc} X + \frac{T_1 M_1^+ S_1}{4} + \frac{T_2 M_2^+ S_2}{4} + \frac{T_3 M_3^+ S_3}{4} + \frac{T_4 M_4^+ S_4}{4} & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\ S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- r \left[T_{11} T_{22} T_{33} T_{44} \right] - r \left[\begin{array}{c} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{44} \end{array} \right]. \tag{2.20}
$$

The 5×5 block matrix in [\(2.20\)](#page-10-0) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nX + \frac{T_1 M_1^+ S_1}{4} + \frac{T_2 M_2^+ S_2}{4} + \frac{T_3 M_3^+ S_3}{4} + \frac{T_4 M_4^+ S_4}{4} & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\
S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix} G & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\ S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} U \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
+ \begin{bmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
(2.21)

Applying [\(1.9\)](#page-2-5) to [\(2.21\)](#page-10-1) yields

$$
\max_{X \in S_1} r\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX + \frac{T_1 M_1^+ S_1}{4} + \frac{T_2 M_2^+ S_2}{4} + \frac{T_3 M_3^+ S_3}{4} + \frac{T_4 M_4^+ S_4}{4} & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\
S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= \min \left\{\n\begin{array}{c}\nG & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} & I_n \\
S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}\n\right\},\n\left[\n\begin{array}{c}\nG & T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \\
S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{52} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{62} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{71} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{83} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{92} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}\n\right\}
$$

$$
= \min \left\{ n + r \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{44} \end{bmatrix}, p + r \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \end{bmatrix}, r \begin{bmatrix} G & N \\ K & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
$$
 (2.22)

Furthermore, we have

$$
r\begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ S_{33} \\ S_{44} \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & M_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 & M_2 & 0 & 0 \\ S_3 & 0 & 0 & M_3 & 0 \\ S_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^4 r(M_i)
$$

$$
= r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_p & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ I_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^4 r(M_i)
$$

$$
= p + r \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -B_{11} & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{33} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ -B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & A_{22} \\ -B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i)
$$

= $p + r(D_1) - \sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i)$, (2.23)

$$
r\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{22} & T_{33} & T_{44} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
= r\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & T_3 & T_4 \ 0 & M_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & M_2 & 0 & 0 & -\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) \ 0 & 0 & M_3 & 0 & -\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
= r\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & I_n \ C_{11} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & C_{22} & A_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sum_{i=1}^{4} r(M_i) \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{4}{i-1}r(M_i)
$$

\n
$$
= n + r\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & 0 & -A_{11} & 0 & -A_{11} &
$$

Substituting (2.23) and (2.24) into (2.20) and combining (2.22) and (2.20) yields

 $i=1$

$$
\max_{X \in S_1} \min_{\Gamma \in S_2} r(X - \Gamma)
$$
\n
$$
= \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 r(M_i) - r(D_2), \sum_{i=1}^4 r(M_i) - r(D_1), r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & H_1 \\ H_2 & L \end{bmatrix} - r(D_2) - r(D_1) - 2r(M) \right\}.
$$
\n(2.25)

Finally, by substituting (2.25) into (2.19) , we obtain the desired results in (c).

$$
\Box
$$

3 Conclusion

In the previous section we studied a problem relating to the relations between the original matrix equation in (1.1) and its four smaller equations in (1.3) , by using various well-known formulas concerning rank and Moore-penrose inverses. These results give some profound investigations into the properties of the least-rank solutions of Equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0).

References

[1] Y. Awad, R. Mghames and H. Chehade, *Power GCDQ and LCMQ Matrices Defined on GCD-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains*, Palest. J. Math., 12(1) , 30-41, (2023).

- [2] A. Ben-Israel, T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, 2nd Edition. Springer, (2003).
- [3] S. L. Cambell and C. D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverse of Linear Transformations*, SIAM, (2008).
- [4] A. Daşdemir, *A note on the generalised order-k modified PELL and PELL-LUCAS numbers*, Palest. J. Math., 8(2), 45-52, (2019).
- [5] S. Guerarra and S. Guedjiba, *Common least-rank solution of matrix equations* $A_1X_1B_1 = C_1$ *and* $A_2X_2B_2 = C_2$ *with applications*, Facta Universitatis (Nis). Ser. Math. Inform., **29**, 313-323, (2014).
- [6] A. Ipek and C. B. Çimen, *On the solutions of some systems of linear real octonion equations*, Palest. J. Math. Soc., 5(2), 292-303, (2016).
- [7] Y. Li and Y. Tian, *On relations among solutions of the Hermitian matrix equation* AXA[∗] *and its three small equations*, Ann. Funct. Anal., 5 , 30-46, (2014).
- [8] G. Marsaglia and G.P.H. Styan, *Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra, Linear Multilinear Algebra.,* 2 *, 269-292, (1974).*
- *[9] Y. Tian and H. Wang,* Relations between least-squares and least-rank solutions of the matrix equation AXB = C*, Appl. Math. Comput.,* 219 *, 10293-10301, (2013).*
- *[10] Y. Tian,* Upper and lower bounds for ranks of matrix expressions using generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl.,* 355 *, 187-214, (2002).*
- *[11] Y. Tian,* The minimal rank of the matrix expression A − BX − Y C*, Missouri. J. Math. Sci.,* 14 *, 40-48, (2002).*
- *[12] Y. Tian,* Equalities and inequalities for inertias of Hermitian matrices with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl.,* 433 *, 263-296, (2010).*
- *[13] Y. Tian,* The maximal and minimal ranks of some expressions of generalized inverses of matrices*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math.,* 25 *, 745-755, (2002).*
- *[14] Y. Tian and S. Cheng,* The maximal and minimal ranks of A−BXC with applications*, New York Journal of Mathematics.,* 9 *, 345-362, (2003).*
- *[15] J. Xu, H. Zhang, L. Liu, H. Zhang and Y. Yuan,* A unified treatment for the restricted solutions of the matrix equation AXB = C*, AIMS Mathematics.,* 5(6) *, 6594-6608, (2020).*
- $[16]$ *X. Zhang,* Hermitian nonnegative-definite and positive-definite solutions of the matrix equation $AXB =$ C*, Appl. Math. E-Notes.,* 4 *, 40-47, (2004).*

Author information

S. Guerarra, Faculty of Exact Sciences and Sciences of Nature and Life, System Dynamics and Control Laboratory

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

University of Oum El Bouaghi, 04000, Algeria.

E-mail: guerarra.siham@univ-oeb.dz

R. Belkhiri, Faculty of Exact Sciences and Sciences of Nature and Life, System Dynamics and Control Laboratory

Department of Mathematics and Informatics University of Oum El Bouaghi, 04000, Algeria. E-mail: radja.belkhiri@univ-oeb.dz

Received: 2023-07-05 Accepted: 2024-01-15