

# Ring endomorphisms satisfying the $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible property

Nirbhay Kumar and Avanish Kumar Chaturvedi

Communicated by Manoj Kumar Patel

Dedicated to Prof. B. M. Pandeya on his 78th birthday

MSC 2020 Classification: Primary 16W20, 16U99; Secondary 16D80.

Keywords and phrases: singular elements, reversible rings,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings,  $\alpha$ -skew reversible rings.

**Acknowledgement:** Authors would like to thank referees for his/her useful comments to improve this paper.

**Abstract** We introduce a notion of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings describing  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversibility of rings in terms of their endomorphisms. In support, we give some examples and counter examples. We go through some of its characteristics and provide a number of characterizations with the help of their extension rings. Also, we discuss some sufficient condition over which the notion of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings are same.

## 1 Introduction

All rings in the present study are associative rings having identity and all the modules are unitary. In a ring  $R$ ,  $C(R)$  represents the set of all of its central elements. We denote the ring consisting of all  $n$ -square matrices over a ring  $R$  by  $M_n(R)$ ; and  $E_{ij}$  represents the matrix having 1 at  $(i, j)^{th}$  place and elsewhere 0.

Recall [10], if  $E$  is a right ideal of a ring  $R$  with the property that  $E \cap E' \neq 0$  for each nonzero right ideal  $E'$  of  $R$ , then right ideal  $E$  is called as *essential* and symbolized by  $E \leq_e R_R$ . An element  $x \in R$  is termed as *right singular* in a ring  $R$  if  $ann_r(x) = \{a \in R | xa = 0\} \leq_e R_R$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  to the set of all such elements of  $R$  which forms an ideal of  $R$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{Z}_r(R) = \{x \in R | ann_r(x) \leq_e R_R\}$ . The notion of left singular ideal  $\mathcal{Z}({}_R R)$  can be given in the similar way.

Recall [7], if  $xy = 0$  predicts  $yx = 0$  for any  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is called a *reversible* ring. As an extension of reversible rings, Kose et al. [8] gave the idea of central reversible rings. When  $xy = 0$  predicts  $yx \in C(R)$  for each  $x, y \in R$ , the ring  $R$  is called as *central reversible*. Recently, the idea of central reversible rings has been extended to the concept of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings by us [5]. We called a ring  $R$  right (resp., left)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible if  $xy = 0$  implies  $yx \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  ( $\forall x, y \in R$  (resp.,  $yx \in \mathcal{Z}_l(R) \forall x, y \in R$ )). We called a ring  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible when it is left as well as right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible.

In 2009, Baser et al. [3] called an endomorphism  $\alpha \in End(R)$  right (resp., left) *skew reversible* if  $xy = 0$  gives  $y\alpha(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$  (resp.,  $\alpha(y)x = 0$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ ). Whenever  $\alpha$  is a left (right) skew reversible endomorphism of ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is called left (right)  $\alpha$ -skew reversible; and when  $R$  is both right and left  $\alpha$ -skew reversible, it is called as  $\alpha$ -skew reversible. Recently, Bhattacharjee et al. [4] presented a new concept of  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible rings that generalized the class of  $\alpha$ -skew reversible rings. They called endomorphism  $\alpha \in End(R)$  as right (left) *skew central reversible* if  $xy = 0$  gives  $y\alpha(x) \in C(R)$ , for all  $x$  and  $y$  in ring  $R$  (resp.,  $\alpha(y)x \in C(R)$ , for all  $x$  and  $y$  in ring  $R$ ). The ring  $R$  is referred to as being (left)  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible. When  $R$  is both right as well as left  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible, then the ring  $R$  is referred to as being  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible.

Recently, we introduced the idea of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}$ -symmetric rings and studied in [6]. Here, we introduce the idea of skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible rings analogous to  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}$ -symmetric rings. Some results and their proofs are analogous to that of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}$ -symmetric rings.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ .

- (i)  $\alpha$  is called right (resp., left) skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible whenever for any  $a, b \in R, ab = 0$  implies that  $b\alpha(a) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  (resp.,  $\alpha(b)a \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ ).
- (ii)  $R$  is said to be right (resp., left)  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if  $\alpha$  is right (resp., left) skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.
- (iii)  $R$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if it is left as well as right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

**Remark 1.2.** Left-right symmetry is not applicable to the idea of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible rings. For

instance, let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{Z}_r(R) = 0$ . Take into consideration the endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$  presented by  $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x & \bar{y} \\ 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \bar{b}_1 \\ 0 & \bar{c}_1 \end{bmatrix} \in R$  and  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & \bar{b}_2 \\ 0 & \bar{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} \in R$  such that  $A_1A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1a_2 & a_1\bar{b}_2 + \bar{b}_1\bar{c}_2 \\ 0 & \bar{c}_1\bar{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ . Then,  $A_2\alpha(A_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & \bar{b}_2 \\ 0 & \bar{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2a_1 & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . As a result,  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. As for  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{1} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \in R, A_1A_2 = 0$  while  $\alpha(A_2)A_1 = A_2A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{1} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \notin \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ ,  $R$  is not left  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

## 2 Properties and Characterizations

Recall from [1],  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$  is called *compatible* whenever for all  $x, y \in R, xy = 0$  if and only if  $x\alpha(y) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$  is compatible, then  $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  implies that  $x \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $r \in R$  such that  $\alpha(r) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Then,  $\exists I \leq_q R_R$  such that  $\alpha(r)I = 0$ . Hence, we have,  $\alpha(a)x = 0, \forall x \in I$ . Since  $\alpha$  is compatible,  $\alpha(rx) = \alpha(r)\alpha(x) = 0, \forall x \in I$  and so  $rx = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$  by [1, Lemma 2.1(ii)]. Therefore,  $rI = 0$  which implies that  $r \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ .  $\square$

From Remark 1.2, it is clear that there is no left-right symmetry in the idea of  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible rings. However, we offer a sufficient condition for this concept to be left-right symmetric in the next result.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$  with a compatible endomorphism  $\alpha$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible;
- (ii)  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible;
- (iii)  $R$  is left  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $xy = 0$  for two elements  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is compatible, by [1, Lemma 2.1(ii)],  $\alpha(x)y = 0$ . Now as  $R$  is right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible, it gives  $y\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . This proves (2).

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Let  $xy = 0$  for two elements  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is compatible, by [1, Lemma 2.1(ii)],  $\alpha(x)y = 0$ . Now since  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible,  $\alpha(yx) = \alpha(y)\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Therefore,  $yx \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  by Lemma 2.1. This proves (1).

(1)  $\iff$  (3). Similar to above cases.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *A ring  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if for any endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$  such that  $x\alpha(x) = 0 \implies x \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R), \forall x \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $uv = 0$  for two elements  $u, v \in R$ . Then, we have  $v\alpha(u)\alpha(v\alpha(u)) = v\alpha(uv)\alpha^2(u) = 0$ . Hence  $v\alpha(u) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  by the hypothesis. This proves that  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The ring  $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \mid r, t \in R \right\}$  is  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible for any right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible ring  $R$ , here endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $S$  is defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{bmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} r & -t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$ .*

*Proof.* By [2, Lemma 2.25], we have  $\mathcal{Z}_r(S) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R), b \in R \right\}$ . Let  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{bmatrix} \in S$  such that  $A_1 A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2 \\ 0 & a_1 a_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ . Then  $a_1 a_2 = 0$  which gives  $a_2 a_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  as  $R$  is right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible. Therefore,  $A_2 \alpha(A_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 a_1 & b_2 a_1 - a_2 b_1 \\ 0 & a_2 a_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$  and  $\alpha(A_2) A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 a_1 & a_2 b_1 - b_2 a_1 \\ 0 & a_2 a_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$ . Thus,  $S$  is a  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible ring. □

**Remark 2.5.** 1. A right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible ring does not necessarily have to be right  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible. In support, let  $R$  represent the ring as in [4, Example 1.4] and  $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \mid r, t \in R \right\}$ . Then, by [4, Example 1.4],  $R$  is central reversible and  $S$  is not right  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible with respect to endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $S$ , defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{bmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} r & -t \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$ . Since  $R$  is central reversible, so by [5, Lemma 1] it follows that  $R$  is right  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible. Hence, by Proposition 2.4,  $S$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

2. A right  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible ring does not necessarily have to be right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. In support, every commutative nonsingular ring is  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible but may or may not be right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. In support, let  $S = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and consider the ring endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $S$  presented by  $\alpha(r, t) = (t, r)$ . Then,  $S$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible as  $S$  is commutative but it is not right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible as  $(1, 0)(0, 1) = (0, 0)$  but  $(0, 1)\alpha(1, 0) = (0, 1)(0, 1) = (0, 1) \notin \mathcal{Z}_r(S) = \{(0, 0)\}$ .
3. Whenever a ring is right  $\alpha$ -skew reversible, it is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. But it isn't guaranteed to be so in the other direction. For example, ring  $S$  in (1) is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible but not  $\alpha$ -skew reversible otherwise it will become  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible, a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.6.** *A ring  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible for a compatible endomorphism  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$  if  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew central reversible.*

*Proof.* Let  $rt = 0$  for two elements  $r, t \in R$ . Then,  $t\alpha(r) \in C(R)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a compatible endomorphism and  $rt = 0$ , we have  $\alpha(r)t = 0$  and so  $(t\alpha(r))^2 = 0$ . Hence,  $t\alpha(r) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  by [10, Lemma 7.11]. □

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\sigma : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring isomorphism and  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ . Then,  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if and only if  $S$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible, where  $\bar{\alpha} = \sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1} \in \text{End}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. Let  $xy = 0$  for two elements  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $\sigma(u) = x, \sigma(v) = y$  for some  $u, v \in R$  as  $\sigma$  is surjective. Now since  $\sigma(uv) = \sigma(u)\sigma(v) = xy = 0$ , we have  $uv = 0$  as  $\sigma$  is injective. It follows that  $v\alpha(u) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Therefore,  $y\bar{\alpha}(x) = \sigma(v)\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(u)) = \sigma(v)\sigma(\alpha(u)) = \sigma(v\alpha(u)) \in \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_r(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$ . Thus,  $S$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

In the opposite direction, suppose that  $S$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. Let  $uv = 0$  for two elements  $u, v \in R$ . Then  $\sigma(u)\sigma(v) = \sigma(uv) = 0$ . Hence,  $\sigma(v\alpha(u)) = \sigma(v)\sigma(\alpha(u)) = \sigma(v)\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(u)) = \sigma(v)\bar{\alpha}(\sigma(u)) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$ . Since  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism,  $v\alpha(u) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . This proves that  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. □

**Theorem 2.8.** *The following are equivalent for  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible;
- (ii)  $S = \{(a, b) \in R \times R \mid a - b \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)\}$  with usual addition and multiplication is a right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible ring, where  $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{End}(S)$  is given by  $\bar{\alpha}(r, t) = (\alpha(r), \alpha(t))$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in S$  such that  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (0, 0)$ . Then,  $a_1 a_2 = 0 = b_1 b_2$ . Since  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible,  $a_2 \alpha(a_1), b_2 \alpha(b_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Therefore,  $(a_2, b_2) \bar{\alpha}(a_1, b_1) = (a_2 \alpha(a_1), b_2 \alpha(b_1)) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R) \times \mathcal{Z}_r(R) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$ . Thus,  $S$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

(2)  $\implies$  (1). For two elements  $a, b \in R$ , let  $ab = 0$ . Then for  $(a, a), (b, b) \in S$ , we have  $(a, a)(b, b) = (0, 0)$ . So,  $(b, b) \bar{\alpha}(a, a) = (b \alpha(a), b \alpha(a)) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$  as  $S$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. Hence,  $\text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a), b \alpha(a)) \leq_e S$ . We want to show that  $\text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a)) \leq_e R$  so that  $b \alpha(a) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in R$ . Then,  $(0, 0) \neq (x, x) \in S$ . Since  $\text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a), b \alpha(a)) \leq_e S$ , there exists  $(0, 0) = (u, v) \in S$  such that  $(0, 0) \neq (x, x)(u, v) = (xu, xv) \in \text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a), b \alpha(a))$ . This implies that  $xu, xv \in \text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a))$  and at least one of  $xu, xv$  must be nonzero. Thus,  $\text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a)) \leq_e R$  and so  $\text{ann}_r(b \alpha(a)) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(R_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then  $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if and only if each  $R_i$  is right  $\alpha_i$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible, where  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ .*

*Proof.* This implied by the fact that  $\mathcal{Z}_r(R) = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{Z}_r(R_i)$ .  $\square$

Recall [11], a ring  $R$  is called an Armendariz ring if for any  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j \in R[x], f(x)g(x) = 0$  implies that  $a_i b_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *With an endomorphism of  $\alpha$ , let  $R$  be an Armendariz ring. Then  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if and only if  $R[x]$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible, where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the endomorphism of  $R[x]$  given by  $\bar{\alpha}(\sum t_i x^i) = \sum \alpha(t_i) x^i$ .*

*Proof. Sufficiency part:-* Let  $A = \sum_{i=0}^m r_i x^i, B = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j x^j \in R[x]$  such that  $AB = 0$ . Then we have  $r_i t_j = 0$  for any pair  $(i, j)$  as because  $R$  is an Armendariz ring. So  $t_j \alpha(r_i) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$  for any pair  $(i, j)$  as  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible. This implies that  $B \bar{\alpha}(A) = (\sum_{j=0}^n t_j x^j)(\sum_{i=0}^m \alpha(r_i) x^i) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)[x] = \mathcal{Z}_r(S)$  (see [9, Exercise 7.35]). This proves sufficiency part.

*Necessity part:-* Let  $uv = 0$  for two elements  $u, v \in R$ . Then,  $v \bar{\alpha}(u) = v \alpha(u) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S) = \mathcal{Z}_r(R)[x]$ . This implies that  $v \alpha(u) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . This proves necessity part.  $\square$

Recall [5], if  $S^{-1}R$  is the localization ring of a ring  $R$  over a multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$  consisting of the central regular elements of  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}_r(S^{-1}R) = S^{-1}\mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *If  $S^{-1}R$  is the localization ring of a ring  $R$  over a multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$  consisting of the central regular elements of  $R$  and  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$  be such that  $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$ , then*

- (i)  $\bar{\alpha} : S^{-1}R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$  given by  $\bar{\alpha}(rs^{-1}) = \alpha(r)(\alpha(s))^{-1}$  is a ring homomorphism.
- (ii)  $R$  is right  $\alpha$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if and only if  $S^{-1}R$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.

*Proof.* (1). Clear.

(2). *Sufficiency part:-* Let  $r_1 s_1^{-1}, r_2 s_2^{-1} \in S^{-1}R$  such that  $(r_1 s_1^{-1})(r_2 s_2^{-1}) = 0$ . Then, we have  $r_1 r_2 = 0$  and so  $r_2 \alpha(r_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . Hence,  $(r_2 s_2^{-1}) \bar{\alpha}(r_1 s_1^{-1}) = (r_2 s_2^{-1})(\alpha(r_1) \alpha(s_1)^{-1}) = (r_2 \alpha(r_1))(\alpha(s_1))^{-1} \in S^{-1}\mathcal{Z}_r(R) = \mathcal{Z}_r(S^{-1}R)$ . This proves sufficiency part.

*Necessity part:-* Let  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  such that  $r_1 r_2 = 0$ . Then for any  $s \in S$ ,  $(r_1 s^{-1})(r_2 s^{-1}) = 0$  and so  $(r_2 s^{-1}) \bar{\alpha}(r_1 s^{-1}) = (r_2 s^{-1})(\alpha(r_1) \alpha(s)^{-1}) = (r_2 \alpha(r_1)) (\alpha(s))^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}_r(S^{-1}R) = S^{-1}\mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . This implies that  $(r_2 \alpha(r_1)) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(R)$ . This proves necessity part.  $\square$

Recall from [4], the map  $\bar{\alpha} : R[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow R[x, x^{-1}]$  given by  $\bar{\alpha}(\sum_{i=k}^n a_i x^i) = \sum_{i=k}^n \alpha(a_i) x^i$  is a ring homomorphism of  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  and its restriction  $\bar{\alpha}|_{R[x]}$  lies in  $\text{End}(R[x])$  for any  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** *For any endomorphism  $\alpha$ , sending identity on itself, of a ring  $R$ ,  $R[x]$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible if and only if  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible.*

*Proof.* Since  $R[x, x^{-1}] = S^{-1}(R[x])$  is the localization ring of  $R$  over the multiplicatively closed subset  $S = \{1, x, x^2, x^3 \dots\}$  of  $R$  consisting of the central regular elements of  $R$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(S) \subseteq S$ , result follows from Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** Let  $R$  be a ring that has an endomorphism  $\alpha$  that has the property  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . Then for any  $n \geq 2$ ,  $M_n(R)$  and  $T_n(R)$  are not right  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew  $\mathcal{Z}_r$ -reversible, where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is given by  $\bar{\alpha}(a_{ij}) = (\alpha(a_{ij}))$ .

## References

- [1] A. Alhevaz and A. Moussavi, Annihilator conditions in matrix and skew polynomial rings, J. Algebra Appl., 11; 1250079:01-26, 2012.
- [2] A. Amini, B. Amini, A. Nejadzadeh and H. Sharif, Singular clean rings, J. Korean Math. Soc., 55; 1143-1156, 2018.
- [3] M. Baser, C. Y. Hong and T. K. Kwak, On extended reversible rings, Algebra Colloq., 16; 37-48, 2009.
- [4] A. Bhattacharjee and U. S. Chakraborty, Ring endomorphisms satisfying the central reversible property, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 130; 12:01-22, 2020.
- [5] A. K. Chaturvedi and N. Kumar, On  $\mathcal{Z}$ -reversible rings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A, 92; 555-562, 2023.
- [6] A. K. Chaturvedi and N. Kumar, Rings endomorphisms satisfying  $\mathcal{Z}$ -symmetric property, Jordan J. Math. Stat. (to appear).
- [7] P. M. Cohn, Reversible rings, Bull. London Math. Soc., 31; 641-648, 1999.
- [8] H. Kose, B. Ungor, S. Halicioglu and A. Harmanci, A generalization of reversible rings, Iranian J. Sci. Tech., 38; 43-48, 2014.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, Exercises in Classical Ring Theory, 2nd edi., Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [10] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on modules and rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [11] M. B. Rege and S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 73; 14-17, 1997.

## Author information

Nirbhay Kumar, Department of Mathematics, Feroze Gandhi College, Raebareli-229001, India.  
E-mail: nirbhayk2897@gmail.com

Avanish Kumar Chaturvedi, Department of Mathematics, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002, India.  
E-mail: akchaturvedi.math@gmail.com, achaturvedi@allduniv.ac.in