A CONSTRUCTION OF A LATTICE BY SUBSTITUTION SUM OF A LATTICE AND BOOLEAN ALGEBRA

Ramananda H. S. and Salma Shabnam

Communicated by KUNCHAM S P

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 06B20, 06B10; Secondary 03G05, 03G10.

Keywords and phrases: Formal Concept Analysis; Substitution Sum; Graph theory.

Abstract Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) is an applied branch of lattice theory, widely used in computer science that derives implicit relationships between objects described through a set of attributes on the one hand and these attributes on the other. Any finite lattice can be generated by a formal concept, which can be obtained from the formal context of objects and attributes. In this paper, given a finite lattice L with |(L)| = |(L)| = n where n is the number of atoms in

the Boolean lattice B_n , we construct a formal context obtained by substitution sum $\frac{L}{\alpha}$

and study the structural properties of the lattice BS(L) generated by the above substitution sum. Interestingly, the lattice L and the Boolean lattice B_n remain as separate entities in the lattice BS(L). Further, we have proved that the lattice BS(L) is complemented.

1 Introduction

Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) is an important mathematical application of computer science that is highly used in knowledge representation, knowledge acquisition, linguistics, and data visualization [20], [13], [5]. It helps in processing a wide class of data types by providing a framework in which various data analysis techniques can be formulated. The formal context in FCA consists of a binary relation between the set of objects and the set of attributes. Every formal context \mathbb{K} is isomorphic to $\langle J(L), M(L), I \rangle$ and every formal context generates a unique concept lattice [2].

The substitution sum and substitution product were introduced by Luksch and Wille for the concept analytic evaluation of pair comparison tests [2] and further described in detail by Stephan [8], [9]. Wille and Ganter further compiled all these various types of formal contexts and have characterized the corresponding concept lattices, one of them being the substitution sum in which a context of any lattice is placed in an empty cell of another context where there is no object attribute relation [2]. Doubling constructions by replacing the interval in a lattice by its direct product were first introduced by Alan Day to prove the Whitman's structure theorem for free lattices in a simpler manner [4]. It is also noted that, by deriving the decomposition algorithm, W. Geyer has described all the contexts that corresponds to the doubling constructions using convex sets [6]. Furthermore, he has given an algebraic characterization to these generated lattices. In their paper, K Bertet et al. have characterized the tableau for the CN class and then described an algorithm to recognize whether a lattice belongs to the class CN of all lattices [1]. Furthermore, they have characterized the tableau and provided the recognition algorithm for the class of bounded lattices and distributive lattices.

Convex sublattice CS(L) of the lattice L was first introduced by K.M. Koh [3], [10]. A new partial order \leq on CS(L) was defined by S. Lavanya et al. and they proved that the lattice Land the corresponding convex sublattice CS(L) of L is in the same equational class [11]. Furthermore, corresponding to a congruence relation Θ on lattice L, Ramananda H.S. et al. defined a congruence relation ψ_{θ} on CS(L) and proved that $CS(L/\theta) \cong CS(L)/\psi_{\theta}$ [12]. Also, they interestingly proved that L/Θ and $CS(L)/\psi_{\theta}$ are in the same equational class. Additionally, Ramananda H.S. has defined bounds on the cardinality of CS(L) in terms of join irreducible elements [14]. By using the concept of substitution sum, the authors in their paper [16] have proved that the formal context obtained by substitution sum $\frac{L}{L} \mid \frac{L}{X}$ generated the convex sublattice CS(L) of a lattice L. Further, in the same paper, the authors have proved that the formal context $\frac{L}{\varnothing} \mid \frac{L}{L}$ generates the lattice TS(L) obtained by one-step doubling construction of the

lattice L and discussed the structural properties of this lattice.

The association of a graph with algebraic structures such as rings, modules, or lattices has garnered significant attention in research. A graph known as the identity-filter graph is constructed by Shahabaddin Ebrahimi Atani for any lattice L, offering a characterization of lattices based on properties derived from these graphs [18]. Similarly, Vikas Kulal et al. have constructed the annihilator ideal graph for any lattice L, where the vertices represent non-trivial annihilator ideals of L. Two vertices, I and J, are connected if the annihilator of either I or J contains a non-zero element of the other, establishing a comprehensive understanding of lattice properties through graph theory [19]. Expanding upon this framework, the authors have constructed a graph of a lattice L with respect to an ideal I of L and obtained some results based on the adjacency matrices [15]. The authors have also obtained the determinant of the formal context of atomic amalgams of two Boolean algebras. These results are then leveraged to analyze the properties of adjacency matrices for complete graphs [17].

In this paper, notations and definitions of Lattice theory and Formal Concept Analysis used in the paper are given in Section 2. In Section 3, the structural properties of the lattice BS(L) are introduced and the characterization of the meet and join irreducible elements of BS(L) is given. The properties of the lattice BS(L) are discussed in Section 4.

2 Notations and Definitions

A partially ordered relation $P = (X, \leq)$ or poset is an ordering which is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive. A poset (L, \leq) is a lattice if every pair of elements has a join \lor and a meet \land . A distributive lattice in which every element x has a unique complement x' is called a Boolean Lattice.

We say that $a \prec b$ in L if an element a in L is covered by an element b. An element x that has a unique upper cover is called a *meet-irreducible element of* L. In particular if x is covered by x^+ , then we denote it by $x \prec x^+$ and the set consisting of all meet irreducible elements of a lattice L is denoted by (L). Similarly, an element y that has a unique lower cover is called a *joinirreducible element in* L. In particular, if y^- is covered by y, then we denote it by $y^- \prec y$ and the set consisting of all join irreducible elements of a lattice L is denoted by (L). Every element that covers the minimum element 0 in a lattice is called the atom and is denoted as A(L). Dually, every element in L that is covered by the maximum element 1 is called the coatom and is denoted as CoA(L).(For further reading, refer[7]).

We now introduce the reader to some definitions of formal concept analysis used in this paper. For a better understanding of the definitions and examples, the reader may refer to Formal Concept Analysis by B.Ganter and R. Wille[2]. The formal context $\mathbb{K} := \langle G, M, I \rangle$ is a binary relation I between two sets G, the object set and M, the attribute set. gIm or $(g,m) \in I$ denotes that the object $g \in G$ has an attribute $m \in M$. Let $\mathbb{K}_1 := \langle G_1, M_1, I_1 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{K}_2 := \langle G_2, M_2, I_2 \rangle$ be the formal contexts such that $(g_1, m_1) \notin I_1$ in \mathbb{K}_1 . Suppose that $G_2 \neq \emptyset \neq M_2$ and $G_1 \setminus g_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset = (M_1 \setminus m) \cap M_2$. The substitution sum of \mathbb{K}_1 with \mathbb{K}_2 on (g_1, m_1) is defined to be the context $\mathbb{K}_1(g_1, m_1)\mathbb{K}_2 := \langle G_0, M_0, I_0 \rangle$ with $G_0 = (G_1 \setminus g_1) \cup G_2$, $M_0 = (M_1 \setminus m_1) \cup M_2$, $I_0 = (h_1, n_1) \in I_1$, $h_1 \neq g_1$, $n_1 \neq m_1 \cup G_2 \times g_1^{I_1} \cup m_1^{I_1} \times M_2 \cup I_2$ (For further reading, see page 150, [2]).

3 Formal context of the lattice BS(L)

In this section, we shall investigate the lattice BS(L) obtained by substitution sum $\begin{array}{c|c} L & L \\ \hline \varnothing & B_n \end{array}$

and introduce some results that provide the structural properties of the lattice BS(L). Throughout this paper, a lattice L under consideration is a finite lattice, with the maximum element of L denoted by 1 and minimum element of L denoted by 0 such that |(L)| = |(L)| = nwhere n is the number of atoms in the Boolean lattice B_n .

Lemma 3.1. Let L be a lattice with |(L)| = |(L)| = n and B_n be the Boolean lattice with n atoms. Then $|BS(L)| = |L| + |B_n| - 2$.

Proof. By the construction of BS(L), clearly, $|BS(L)| \ge |L| + |B_n| - 2$.

Let $x \in BS(L)$, $x \neq 0, x \neq 1$. Let m_i and m'_i be the meet irreducible elements of L and B_n respectively, for $1 \le i \le n$. There are two possibilities.

Case (1) If $x = \wedge m_i$ where $m'_i \in B_n$, $1 \le i \le k, k < n$. Then clearly, $x \in B_n$.

Case (2) Let $x = \wedge m_j \wedge m'_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t, t \leq n, m_j \in (L), m'_j \in (B_n)$. Let $y = \wedge m_j$. Then $y \in L$. Hence the proof. \Box

Remark 3.2. The above lemma shows that every element of BS(L) comes from either L or B_n . The substitution sum will not create new elements in BS(L). This will be used in the proof characterization of irreducible elements in BS(L).

Lemma 3.3. For any $x \in L$, $y \in B_n$, $x \neq 0, 1$, $y \neq 0, 1$. Then either $x \parallel y$ or x < y in BS(L).

Proof. Suppose that $y = \wedge S$, where $S = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_k : k < n\}$, k < n and y < x, for some $x \in L$.

Since y < x, $x = \wedge S'$, where $S' \subset S$. Since B_n is a Boolean lattice, $\wedge S' = z' \in B_n$, contradicting that $x \in L$. Therefore, for any $x \in L$, $y \in B_n$, $x \neq 0, 1, y \neq 0, 1$. Then either $x \parallel y$ or x < y in BS(L). \Box

Remark 3.4. From Lemma 3.3, we observe that there exists no $y \in B_n$ such that y < x.

Lemma 3.5. If $x \prec y$ in L or B_n , then $x \prec y$ in BS(L).

Proof. Let $x = m_1 \wedge m_2 \wedge ... \wedge m_k$, k < n. Since $x \prec y$ in L, without loss of generality, we assume $y = m_2 \wedge m_3 \wedge ... \wedge m_k$, k < n.

Then in BS(L), $x = m_1 \wedge m_2 \wedge ... \wedge m_k \wedge m'_1 \wedge m'_2 \wedge ... \wedge m'_k$ and $y = m_2 \wedge m_3 \wedge ... \wedge m_k \wedge m'_2 \wedge m'_3 \wedge ... \wedge m'_k$, k < n.

Now, if x < c < y in BS(L), by lemma 3.3, $c \in L$, contradiction to the fact that $x \prec y$ in L. Now, if $x \in B_n$, then $x = m'_1 \land m'_2 \land \ldots \land m'_k$ and $y = m'_2 \land m'_3 \land \ldots \land m'_k$, k < n. Note that, x and y have the same representation in BS(L). Clearly x < y in BS(L). \Box

Lemma 3.6. If $x \in L$, then there exists $y \in B_n$ such that $x \prec y$ in BS(L).

Proof. Let $x \in L$. Then $x = m_1 \wedge m_2 \wedge ... \wedge m_k \wedge m'_1 \wedge m'_2 \wedge ... \wedge m'_k$ and $y = m'_1 \wedge m'_2 \wedge ... \wedge m'_k$ in BS(L) for $k \leq n$. Note that y is an element of B_n and x < y. Clearly $x \prec y$. \Box BS(L)

The following theorems give a characterization of the irreducible elements of BS(L).

Theorem 3.7. The atoms of BS(L) satisfy the following: $A(BS(L)) = A(L) \cup A(B_n)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. \Box

Theorem 3.8. The meet irreducible elements of BS(L) satisfy the relation $(BS(L)) = CoA(L) \cup CoA(B_n)$.

Proof. Let $x \in CoA(L)$. Without loss of generality, $x = m_1$ in L. Then $x = m_1 \wedge m'_1$ in BS(L). Then $x \prec m'_1$ uniquely in BS(L). Therefore $x \in (BS(L))$. Let $x \in CoA(B_n)$. By lemma 3.3, Clearly, $x \in (BS(L))$. Therefore, $CoA(L) \cup CoA(B_n) \subseteq (BS(L))$.

Let $x \in (BS(L))$. If $x \in B_n$, then $x \in (B_n)$ if and only if $x \in CoA(B_n)$. If $x \in L$, and suppose that $x \notin CoA(L)$. Then $x \prec z$, $z \neq 1$ in L. By Lemma 3.5, $x \prec z$ in BS(L). Also, by Lemma 3.6, there exists a unique $y \in B_n$ such that $x \prec y$. This shows that x has one more covering BS(L).

in BS(L). \Box

Theorem 3.9. The join irreducible elements of
$$BS(L)$$
 satisfy the following :
 $(BS(L)) = (L) \bigcup (B_n)$

Proof. Suppose that $a \in L$ be an atom in L. Then $a \in (L)$ and $0 \prec a$ in L. By Lemma 3.7 atoms of L remain as atoms in BS(L), hence join irreducible in BS(L).

Suppose that $a \in (L)$ and a is not an atom. Let a^- be the unique lower cover of a in $\in L$. Then $a^- \prec a$ by Lemma 3.6. Further, there exists no $b_i \in B_n$ such that $b_i \prec B_{S(L)} a$ by Lemma 3.3.

Therefore, $a^- \prec a$ is unique cover in BS(L).

The join irreducible elements of B_n are atoms in B_n . The atoms of B_n remain as atoms of BS(L). \Box

Example 3.1. A lattice L is depicted in Figure 2, the formal context of L is given in Figure 1. The Boolean lattice B_4 is depicted in Figure 4, the formal context of B_4 is given in Figure 3. The context table and corresponding lattice BS(L) obtained from substitution sum of L and B_4 is given in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.

	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4
a		Х		Х
b	Х		Х	
c		Х		
d	Х			



Figure 1. Formal Context of L

Figure 2. Lattice L

	m_1 '	m_2 '	m_3 '	m_4 '
a		Х	Х	Х
b	Х		Х	Х
c	Х	Х		Х
d	Х	Х	Х	

Figure 3. Formal Context of B_4



Figure 4. Lattice B₄

4 Properties of BS(L)

Theorem 4.1. For any lattice with more than 2 elements, the corresponding BS(L) is always a complemented lattice.

Proof. Let $a \in BS(L)$. We prove that a has a complement.

Case 1. Suppose $a \in B_n$. Since B_n is a complemented lattice, there exists a unique a' such that $a \wedge a' = 0$ and $a \vee a' = 1$ in B_n . The same a' serve as complement of a in BS(L).

	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_1 '	<i>m</i> ₂ '	<i>m</i> ₃ '	m_4 '
a		Х		X		X		X
b	X		X		Х		Х	
c		Х				X		
d	X				Х			
a'						X	Х	X
b'					Х		Х	X
c'					Х	X		X
d'					Х	X	Х	

Figure 5. Context of BS(L)



Figure 6. Lattice BS(L)

Case 2. Suppose $a \in L$, $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$. Let $b \in B_n$ be such that $a \prec b$ in BS(L). Let b' be the complement of $b \in B_n$. We prove that b' is a complement of a in BS(L). Since a < b in BS(L), we have $0 = a \land b' < b \land b' = 0$. Therefore, $a \land b' = 0$.

Now, we prove that $a \lor b' = 1$. Observe that $a \prec b$ is the single bridge between L and B_n , we have $a \lor b'=b \lor b' = 1$.

Hence, BS(L) is a complemented Lattice. \Box

5 Conclusion

This construction differs from doubling construction in that L might not constitute a convex sublattice of B_n ; nevertheless, a one-to-one mapping exists from L to B_n . A general characterization of the lattice generated by the substitution sum $\frac{L_1 \mid L_2}{L_3 \mid L_4}$ is suggested for further study.

6 Acknowledgement

The authors thank St Joseph Engineering College and Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi for the constant support provided in carrying out this research.

References

- Bertet K. and Caspard N., Doubling Convex Sets in Lattices, Characterizations and Recognition Algorithms, Order, 19, 181–207 (2002).
- [2] B. Ganter and R. Wille, Formal Concept Analysis: Mathematical Foundations, Springer (1996).
- [3] Chen C.C. and Koh K.M., On the lattice of convex sublattices of a finite lattice, *Nanta Math.*, **5**, 92-95 (1972).
- [4] Day A., A simple solution of the word problem for lattices, Canad. Math. Bull., 13, 253–254 (1970).
- [5] Dau F., Ducrou J. and Eklund P., Concept similarity and related categories in SearchSleuth, *International conference on Conceptual structures*, Springer, 255-268 (2008).
- [6] Geyer W., The generalized doubling construction and Formal Concept Analysis, *Algebra Universalis*, 32, 341–367 (1994).
- [7] Grätzer G., Lattice Theory, Second Edition, Birkhäuser Verlag, (2003).
- [8] Jörg Stephan, Substitution decomposition of lattices., PhD Thesis, THDarm-stadt, (1991).
- [9] Jörg Stephan, Substitution product of lattices, Contributions to General Algebra, 7, 321-336 (1991).
- [10] K. M. Koh, On the lattice of convex sublattices of a lattice, Nanta Math.,, 6, 18-37 (1972).
- [11] Lavanya S. and Parameshwara Bhatta S., A new approach to the lattice of convex sublattices of a lattice, *Algebra Universalis*, 35, 63-71 (1996).
- [12] Parameshwara Bhatta S. and Ramananda H. S., Natural extension of a congruence of a lattice to its lattice of convex sublattices, Arch. Math. (Brno), 47, 133-138 (1996).
- [13] Priss U., Linguistic applications of formal concept analysis, *Formal Concept Analysis*, Springer, 149-160 (2005).
- [14] Ramananda H.S., Number of Convex Sublattices of a Lattice, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 42, 89-94 (2016).
- [15] Ramananda H.S., Harsha A.J., and Shabnam Salma, Relation Between Graph of a Lattice with Respect to its Ideals and Corresponding Adjacency Matrix, *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math*, 8, (2022).
- [16] Shabnam Salma and Ramananda, H. S., Lattices and the Formal Context obtained by substitution sum, Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science, 7, 12-24 (2022).
- [17] Salma Shabnam, Ramananda H. S. and Harsha A.J., Properties of the formal context of orthomodular lattices, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 11, 915–924 (2022).
- [18] Shahabaddin Ebrahimi Atani, The Identity-Filter graph of lattices, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 13(1), 77–90 (2024).
- [19] Vikas Kulal, Anil Khairnar and Krishnat Masalkar, Annihilator Ideal Graph of a lattice, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 11(4), 195–204 (2022).
- [20] Wermerlinger M., Yu Yijun, Strohmaier M., Using formal concept analysis to construct and visualise hierarchies of socio-technical relations, *In. Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Software Engineering*, Companion Volume ,18-24, 327-330 (2009).

Author information

Ramananda H. S. and Salma Shabnam, Department of Mathematics, St Joseph Engineering College, Mangaluru-575028, Karnataka, India.

E-mail: ramanandah@sjec.ac.in, salmas@sjec.ac.in