

CENTERS AND GENERALIZED CENTERS OF ZERO-SYMMETRIC SANDWICH NEARRINGS WITHOUT IDENTITY

G. Alan Cannon, Ashley M. Segalla and Connor R. Vincent

Communicated by Francesco Rania

MSC 2020 Classification: Primary 16Y30.

Keywords and phrases: abelian nearring, distributive element, center, generalized center.

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments that improved the presentation of the paper. The first author thanks the Louisiana Board of Regents Support Fund (BoRSF) for financial assistance during the preparation of this article.

Abstract Let $(G, +)$ be a finite group written additively with identity 0, but not necessarily abelian, and let X be a finite, nonempty set. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ be a fixed function with $\varphi(0) = x_0$. Then $M_0(X, G) = \{f : X \rightarrow G \mid f(x_0) = 0\}$ is a right zero-symmetric nearring under pointwise addition and multiplication defined by $f_1 * f_2 = f_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in M_0(X, G)$. For $|G| \geq 2$ and $|X| \geq 2$, we characterize when a zero-symmetric sandwich nearring $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity and, in that situation, determine those functions with multiplicative inverses. We find the center of $M_0(X, G)$ and also find the generalized center in certain cases when $M_0(X, G)$ does not have an identity.

1 Introduction

Let $(G, +)$ be a finite group, written additively with identity 0, but not necessarily abelian. Let X be a finite, nonempty set and choose a fixed mapping $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ with $\varphi(0) = x_0$. Then the set of functions $M(X, G) = \{f : X \rightarrow G\}$ forms a right nearring under pointwise addition and multiplication defined by $f_1 * f_2 = f_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2$. We call the nearring $(M(X, G), +, *)$ a sandwich nearring determined by X and G and sandwich function φ .

Note that if $X = G$ and φ is the identity function from G to G , then $M(X, G) = M(G)$, the well-known nearring of mappings from G to G . Thus sandwich nearrings are generalizations of the nearring of self-mappings. For more information on nearrings, consult [9], [12], or [15].

Sandwich nearrings have garnered much attention in recent years. Wendt [16] characterized 1-primitive and 2-primitive zero-symmetric nearrings as dense subnearrings of sandwich centralizer nearrings. Maxson and Speegle [11], as well as Fuchs [10], investigated ideals and simplicity in sandwich nearrings. Booth studied 2-primitivity, 3-primitivity, and radicals of sandwich nearrings in [2] and [3]. In several of these studies, X and G were assumed to have a topological structure.

If $|G| = 1$, then $M(X, G)$ consists of a single function. Thus, throughout the paper we assume $|G| \geq 2$.

It is well-known that in any right nearring N with additive identity 0, $0 \cdot n = 0$ for all $n \in N$. However, unlike in rings, $n \cdot 0$ is not always zero. For this reason, we define the zero-symmetric part of N , denoted N_0 , by $N_0 = \{n \in N \mid n \cdot 0 = 0\}$, a subnearring of N .

In a right nearring N , an element $d \in N$ is distributive if $d(n_1 + n_2) = dn_1 + dn_2$ for all $n_1, n_2 \in N$. The set of all distributive elements in N is denoted N_D . The generalized center of N is $GC(N) = \{n \in N \mid nd = dn \text{ for all } d \in N_D\}$. We note that $GC(N)$ is a subnearring of N by Proposition 1.3 in [7]. The center of N is $C(N) = \{c \in N \mid cn = nc \text{ for all } n \in N\}$.

In this paper, we investigate $M_0(X, G) = (M(X, G))_0$, the zero-symmetric part of $M(X, G)$. We determine when $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity and, in that situation, identify which functions in $M_0(X, G)$ have multiplicative inverses. We then characterize the distributive ele-

ments in $M_0(X, G)$ and find the generalized center of $M_0(X, G)$ in certain cases. Finally, we find the center of $M_0(X, G)$. Recent papers involving commutativity include [13] and [14].

We use id to denote the identity function from a set to itself (as opposed to the identity in $M(X, G)$ or $M_0(X, G)$). The set of all endomorphisms of G is denoted $\text{End } G$, and $\text{Im } f$ is the image of a function f . For ease of notation, we also let $g_0 = 0 \in G$.

Finally, for any $g \in G$, we define $f_g : X \rightarrow G$ by $f_g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ g & \text{if } x \neq x_0 \end{cases}$. Therefore $f_g \in M(X, G)$. Note that f_0 is the additive identity of $M(X, G)$.

2 Characterization of $M_0(X, G)$

By definition, $M_0(X, G) = \{f \in M(X, G) \mid f * f_0 = f_0\}$. First we find a more user-friendly characterization of $M_0(X, G)$.

Theorem 2.1. *The zero-symmetric part of $M(X, G)$ is $M_0(X, G) = \{f \in M(X, G) \mid f(x_0) = 0\}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in M_0(X, G)$ and $x \in X$. Then $f * f_0 = f_0$, and $f(x_0) = f(\varphi(0)) = (f \circ \varphi)(0) = (f \circ \varphi)(f_0(x)) = (f \circ \varphi \circ f_0)(x) = (f * f_0)(x) = f_0(x) = 0$. Therefore $M_0(X, G) \subseteq \{f \in M(X, G) \mid f(x_0) = 0\}$. For the reverse containment, assume $f(x_0) = 0$. Let $x \in X$. Then $(f * f_0)(x) = (f \circ \varphi \circ f_0)(x) = (f \circ \varphi)(f_0(x)) = (f \circ \varphi)(0) = f(\varphi(0)) = f(x_0) = 0 = f_0(x)$. Thus $f * f_0 = f_0$ and $\{f \in M(X, G) \mid f(x_0) = 0\} \subseteq M_0(X, G)$, hence equality. \square

If $X = G$ and $\varphi = id$, then $M_0(X, G) = M_0(G) = \{f \in M(G) \mid f(0) = 0\}$, the zero-symmetric part of $M(G)$. So $M_0(X, G)$ generalizes $M_0(G)$. Note that $f_g \in M_0(X, G)$ for all $g \in G$. Also, if $|X| = 1$, then $M_0(X, G)$ consists of a single function. Thus, throughout the paper we assume $|X| \geq 2$.

To end this section, we determine when $M_0(X, G)$ is abelian.

Theorem 2.2. *The sandwich nearring $M_0(X, G)$ is abelian if and only if $(G, +)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Assume that $M_0(X, G)$ is abelian. Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Since $|X| \geq 2$, we can choose $x_0 \neq x \in X$. Then $g_1 + g_2 = f_{g_1}(x) + f_{g_2}(x) = (f_{g_1} + f_{g_2})(x) = (f_{g_2} + f_{g_1})(x) = f_{g_2}(x) + f_{g_1}(x) = g_2 + g_1$. Thus G is abelian.

Now assume G is abelian. For $f, h \in M_0(X, G)$ and $x \in X$ we have $f(x), h(x) \in G$. Thus $(f + h)(x) = f(x) + h(x) = h(x) + f(x) = (h + f)(x)$. Thus $f + h = h + f$, and $M_0(X, G)$ is abelian. \square

3 Multiplicative identity and inverses

In this section, we determine when $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity. In this case, we also determine the elements in $M_0(X, G)$ that have multiplicative inverses.

Lemma 3.1. *If $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity I , then $I \circ \varphi : G \rightarrow G$ is the identity map, φ is injective, I is surjective, and $|X| \geq |G|$.*

Proof. Assume $I \in M_0(X, G)$ is a multiplicative identity. Let $g \in G$ and $x_0 \neq x \in X$. Then $(I \circ \varphi)(g) = (I \circ \varphi)(f_g(x)) = (I \circ \varphi \circ f_g)(x) = (I * f_g)(x) = f_g(x) = g$. Therefore $I \circ \varphi$ is the identity map from G to G . Since $I \circ \varphi$ is a bijection, we conclude that φ is an injection and I is a surjection. Since I is surjective and X and G are finite, it follows that $|X| \geq |G|$. \square

The next lemma is a straightforward exercise in discrete mathematics, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Y and Z be finite sets, and let $\beta : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a function. If $|Y| = |Z|$, then β is injective if and only if β is surjective.*

Next we characterize when $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity.

Theorem 3.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The nearring $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity I ;*

(ii) φ is a bijection;

(iii) $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$.

In addition, if $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ is bijective, then $I : X \rightarrow G$ is the bijection given by $I = \varphi^{-1}$.

Proof. Assume $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity I . Then $I \circ \varphi : G \rightarrow G$ is the identity map, φ is injective, I is surjective, and $|X| \geq |G|$ by Lemma 3.1. Assume φ is not a bijection. So φ is not surjective and $|X| \neq |G|$ by Lemma 3.2. Thus $|X| > |G|$, say $G = \{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ and $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m\}$. Since φ is injective, without a loss of generality we assume $\varphi(g_i) = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. As $I \circ \varphi : G \rightarrow G$ is the identity map, we conclude that $I(x_i) = g_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Assume $I(x_{n+1}) = g_k \in G$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Define $f : X \rightarrow G$ by $f(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n \\ g_1 & \text{if } n+1 \leq i \leq m \end{cases}$. Then $f \in M_0(X, G)$. Since $f = f * I$, it follows that $g_1 = f(x_{n+1}) = (f * I)(x_{n+1}) = (f \circ \varphi \circ I)(x_{n+1}) = (f \circ \varphi)(I(x_{n+1})) = (f \circ \varphi)(g_k) = f(\varphi(g_k)) = f(x_k) = 0$. Thus $g_1 = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore φ is a bijection, and (i) implies (ii).

Now assume that φ is a bijection. Define a function $\alpha : M_0(X, G) \rightarrow M_0(G)$ by $\alpha(f) = f \circ \varphi$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in M_0(X, G)$. Then $\alpha(f_1 + f_2) = (f_1 + f_2) \circ \varphi = (f_1 \circ \varphi) + (f_2 \circ \varphi) = \alpha(f_1) + \alpha(f_2)$. Also, $\alpha(f_1 * f_2) = \alpha(f_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2) = (f_1 \circ \varphi \circ f_2) \circ \varphi = (f_1 \circ \varphi) \circ (f_2 \circ \varphi) = \alpha(f_1) \circ \alpha(f_2)$. Thus α is a nearring homomorphism.

Let $m \in M_0(G)$ and consider $m \circ \varphi^{-1} \in M_0(X, G)$. So $\alpha(m \circ \varphi^{-1}) = (m \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi = m$, and α is surjective. Now let $f, h \in M_0(X, G)$ such that $\alpha(f) = \alpha(h)$. Then $f \circ \varphi = h \circ \varphi$. Hence $f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} = h \circ \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Therefore $f = h$ and α is injective. Thus α is an isomorphism and $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$. So (ii) implies (iii).

Assume $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$. Since $M_0(G)$ has an identity, it follows that $M_0(X, G)$ does as well. Hence (iii) implies (i) and the proof of the equivalence is complete.

In the proof of (i) implies (ii), it was shown that if $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity I , then $I = \varphi^{-1}$. This verifies the last statement. □

A corresponding result was obtained in [11] for the case where G and X are vector spaces over a field, φ is a homogeneous function, and $M(X, G)$ is the set of homogeneous maps from X to G .

We conclude the section with a description of all invertible elements when $M_0(X, G)$ has a multiplicative identity.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume φ is a bijection, say $\varphi(g_i) = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, so that $M_0(X, G)$ has an identity I . Let $f \in M_0(X, G)$. Then f has a multiplicative inverse if and only if f is a bijection. In particular, if f is a bijection with $f(x_i) = g_j$, then $f^{-1}(x_j) = g_i$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ be a bijection with $\varphi(g_i) = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. By Theorem 3.3, the multiplicative identity $I : X \rightarrow G$ is given by $I(x_i) = g_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Let $f \in M_0(X, G)$ such that f is not a bijection. Since φ is a bijection, it follows that $|X| = |G|$. Hence, f is neither injective nor surjective. So assume $f(x_j) = f(x_k) = g_m$ for some $x_j \neq x_k$. As I is bijective, $I(x_j) = g_j \neq g_k = I(x_k)$.

Assume f has a multiplicative inverse f^{-1} . Then $f^{-1} * f = I = f * f^{-1}$. Then for $i \in \{j, k\}$, we have $g_i = I(x_i) = (f^{-1} * f)(x_i) = (f^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ f)(x_i) = (f^{-1} \circ \varphi)(f(x_i)) = (f^{-1} \circ \varphi)(g_m) = f^{-1}(\varphi(g_m)) = f^{-1}(x_m)$. Thus $g_j = f^{-1}(x_m) = g_k$, a contradiction. So f does not have a multiplicative inverse.

Now assume $f \in M_0(X, G)$ is a bijection. Then there exists a bijection $\gamma : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $f(x_i) = g_{\gamma(i)}$. Define $h : X \rightarrow G$ by $h(x_j) = g_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}$. Let $x_j \in X$. Then $(f * h)(x_j) = (f \circ \varphi \circ h)(x_j) = (f \circ \varphi)(h(x_j)) = (f \circ \varphi)(g_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}) = f(\varphi(g_{\gamma^{-1}(j)})) = f(x_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}) = g_{\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(j))} = g_j = I(x_j)$. So $f * h = I$. For $x_i \in X$, we get $(h * f)(x_i) = (h \circ \varphi \circ f)(x_i) = (h \circ \varphi)(f(x_i)) = (h \circ \varphi)(g_{\gamma(i)}) = h(\varphi(g_{\gamma(i)})) = h(x_{\gamma(i)}) = g_{\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(i))} = g_i = I(x_i)$. So $h * f = I$ and $h = f^{-1}$. □

4 Generalized centers

In this section, we study the generalized center of $M_0(X, G)$. We first characterize the distributive elements in $M_0(X, G)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $d \in M_0(X, G)$. Then $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ if and only if $d \circ \varphi \in \text{End } G$. In particular, if $d \circ \varphi = 0$, then $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$.*

Proof. Assume $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$. Let $a, b \in G$ and $x_0 \neq x \in X$. Then $(d \circ \varphi)(a + b) = (d \circ \varphi)(f_a(x) + f_b(x)) = (d \circ \varphi \circ (f_a + f_b))(x) = (d * (f_a + f_b))(x) = (d * f_a + d * f_b)(x) = (d * f_a)(x) + (d * f_b)(x) = (d \circ \varphi \circ f_a)(x) + (d \circ \varphi \circ f_b)(x) = (d \circ \varphi)(f_a(x)) + (d \circ \varphi)(f_b(x)) = (d \circ \varphi)(a) + (d \circ \varphi)(b)$. Thus $d \circ \varphi$ is an endomorphism of G .

Assume $d \circ \varphi \in \text{End } G$. Let $f, h \in M_0(X, G)$ and $x \in X$. We conclude that $(d * (f + h))(x) = (d \circ \varphi \circ (f + h))(x) = (d \circ \varphi)(f(x) + h(x)) = (d \circ \varphi)(f(x)) + (d \circ \varphi)(h(x)) = (d \circ \varphi \circ f)(x) + (d \circ \varphi \circ h)(x) = (d * f)(x) + (d * h)(x)$. Hence $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$.

Since $0 \in \text{End } G$, the last sentence follows as a special case of the theorem. □

If φ is a bijection, then $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$ by Theorem 3.3. It is known that $(M_0(G))_D = \text{End } G$ ([12], Lemma 9.6). We conclude that the generalized center of $M_0(G)$ is $GC(M_0(G)) = \{s \in M_0(G) \mid s \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ s \text{ for all } \alpha \in \text{End } G\}$. This nearring has been studied in [4], [5], [6], and [8]. Thus for the rest of this section, we consider $GC(M_0(X, G))$ where φ is not a bijection.

For the remainder of the paper, we let $P = \varphi^{-1}(x_0)$, the preimage of x_0 in G via φ , and $K = \cap \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$ for all $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$. A simple, but useful, result is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For P and K defined above, $P \subseteq K$.*

Proof. Let $g \in P$ and $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$. Then $(d \circ \varphi)(g) = d(\varphi(g)) = d(x_0) = 0$. So $g \in \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$. Since $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ is arbitrary, it follows that $g \in \cap \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$ for all $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$. Therefore $g \in K$ and $P \subseteq K$. □

Theorem 4.3. *If φ is not surjective, then $GC(M_0(X, G)) = \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq K\}$.*

Proof. Assume φ is not surjective. Thus let $\text{Im } \varphi = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \neq X$ and $x_{n+1} \in X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi$. Let $s \in GC(M_0(X, G))$ and $x_i \in \text{Im } \varphi$. Then there exists $g_i \in G$ such that $\varphi(g_i) = x_i$.

Define $d_i(x) = \begin{cases} g_i & \text{if } x = x_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq x_{n+1} \end{cases}$. So $d_i \in M_0(X, G)$. Since $d_i \circ \varphi = 0$, it follows that d_i

is distributive by Theorem 4.1. Hence $s * d_i = d_i * s$. Since $d_i(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$, we conclude that $0 = d_i(\varphi(s(x_{n+1}))) = (d_i \circ \varphi \circ s)(x_{n+1}) = (d_i * s)(x_{n+1}) = (s * d_i)(x_{n+1}) = (s \circ \varphi \circ d_i)(x_{n+1}) = s(\varphi(d_i(x_{n+1}))) = s(\varphi(g_i)) = s(x_i)$. Therefore $s(x_i) = 0$ and $s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$.

Let $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ and $y \in X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi$. Since $s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$, it follows that $0 = s(\varphi(d(y))) = (s \circ \varphi \circ d)(y) = (s * d)(y) = (d * s)(y) = (d \circ \varphi \circ s)(y) = (d \circ \varphi)(s(y))$. Thus $s(y) \in \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$. Since d is arbitrary, we get $s(y) \in K$ and $s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq K$. Therefore $GC(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq K\}$. The reverse inclusion is straightforward to verify. □

Corollary 4.4. *Assume φ is injective but not surjective. Then $GC(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$.*

Proof. Assume that φ is injective but not surjective. Then $|G| < |X|$, say $G = \{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ and $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m\}$. Since φ is injective, without a loss of generality we assume $\varphi(g_i) = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Define $d(x_i) = \begin{cases} g_i & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } n + 1 \leq i \leq m \end{cases}$. Then $d \in M_0(X, G)$.

Note that for $g_i \in G$, $(d \circ \varphi)(g_i) = d(\varphi(g_i)) = d(x_i) = g_i$. So $d \circ \varphi = id \in \text{End } G$, and d is distributive by Theorem 4.1. Since $d \circ \varphi = id$ is an automorphism of G , it follows that $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = \{0\}$ and $K = \{0\}$. Thus for $s \in GC(M_0(X, G))$, by Theorem 4.3, we conclude that $s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. Since $s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$ as well by Theorem 4.3, it follows that $s(X) = 0$. Hence $s = f_0$ and $GC(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq \{f_0\}$. As $GC(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$, we get $f_0 \in GC(M_0(X, G))$. Therefore $GC(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$ and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.5. *Assume $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $g_1 - g_2 \in K$, and g_1 and g_2 are in the same coset of G determined by K .*

Proof. Let $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ and assume $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then by Theorem 4.1, $d \circ \varphi \in \text{End } G$. Hence $(d \circ \varphi)(g_1 - g_2) = (d \circ \varphi)(g_1) - (d \circ \varphi)(g_2) = d(\varphi(g_1)) - d(\varphi(g_2)) = d(\varphi(g_1)) - d(\varphi(g_1)) = 0$. Thus $g_1 - g_2 \in \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$. Since $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ is arbitrary, we get $g_1 - g_2 \in K$. The last statement follows from properties of cosets. \square

Finding all endomorphisms of a group, or equivalently identifying all distributive elements of $M_0(X, G)$, is usually not very straightforward. In the case where φ is not surjective, finding the kernel of $d \circ \varphi$ for a single $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$ is useful since $K \subseteq \text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$. This is illustrated by the following examples.

Example 4.6. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_6$, $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and define φ by $\varphi(0) = \varphi(3) = x_0$, $\varphi(1) = \varphi(4) = x_1$, and $\varphi(2) = \varphi(5) = x_2$. Note that $P = \{0, 3\} \subseteq K$ by Lemma 4.2.

Also, for $d(\{x_0, x_3\}) = 0$, $d(x_1) = 2$, and $d(x_2) = 4$, the mapping $d \circ \varphi$ is an endomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_6 and $d \in (M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6))_D$. In addition, $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = \{0, 3\}$, so that $K \subseteq \{0, 3\}$. Thus $K = \{0, 3\}$ and $GC(M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6)) = \{s \in M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6) \mid s(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}) = 0 \text{ and } s(x_3) \in \{0, 3\}\}$ by Theorem 4.3.

In the previous example, we found a single distributive element d with $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = P = K$. In the next example $P = K$ also, but there is no distributive element d such that $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = K$.

Example 4.7. Let $G = Q = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$, the quaternion group. Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ and define φ by $\varphi(\{\pm 1\}) = x_0$, $\varphi(\{\pm i\}) = x_1$, $\varphi(\{\pm j\}) = x_2$, and $\varphi(\{\pm k\}) = x_3$. So $P = \{\pm 1\} \subseteq K$.

For $d_1(\{x_0, x_1\}) = 1$ and $d_1(\{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}) = -1$, the mapping $d_1 \circ \varphi$ is an endomorphism of Q and $d_1 \in (M_0(X, Q))_D$. Also $\text{Ker}(d_1 \circ \varphi) = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$.

Likewise, for $d_2(\{x_0, x_2\}) = 1$ and $d_2(\{x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5\}) = -1$, the mapping $d_2 \circ \varphi$ is an endomorphism of Q and $d_2 \in (M_0(X, Q))_D$. Also $\text{Ker}(d_2 \circ \varphi) = \{\pm 1, \pm j\}$.

Thus $K \subseteq [\{\pm 1, \pm i\} \cap \{\pm 1, \pm j\}] = \{\pm 1\} = P$, and $K = P$. Therefore $GC(M_0(X, Q)) = \{s \in M_0(X, Q) \mid s(\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 1 \text{ and } s(\{x_4, x_5\}) \subseteq \{\pm 1\}\}$ by Theorem 4.3.

Note that there is no $d \in (M_0(X, Q))_D$ with $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = \{\pm 1\} = K$. If such a d existed, then the factor group Q/K would consist of the four cosets $\{\pm 1\}$, $\{\pm i\}$, $\{\pm j\}$, and $\{\pm k\}$, and $Q/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. However, Q has no subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus no endomorphism $d \circ \varphi$ can exist with $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = \{\pm 1\}$.

Now we consider a special case.

Theorem 4.8. *Assume $d \circ \varphi = 0$ for all $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$.*

- (i) *If φ is surjective but not injective, then $GC(M_0(X, G)) = M_0(X, G)$.*
- (ii) *If φ is neither surjective nor injective, then $GC(M_0(X, G)) = \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0\}$.*

Proof. First note that for all $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$, $d \circ \varphi = 0$ if and only if $d(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$.

(i) Assume φ is surjective but not injective. Then for $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$, $0 = (d \circ \varphi)(G) = d(\varphi(G)) = d(X)$. Hence $d = f_0$ and $(M_0(X, G))_D = \{f_0\}$. We conclude that $GC(M_0(X, G)) = M_0(X, G)$.

(ii) Assume φ is neither surjective nor injective. By Theorem 4.3, $GC(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0\}$. Let $f \in M_0(X, G)$ such that $f(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. Also let $x \in X$ and $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$. Since $f(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$ and $d(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$, we get $(f * d)(x) = (f \circ \varphi \circ d)(x) = f(\varphi(d(x))) = 0 = d(\varphi(f(x))) = (d \circ \varphi \circ f)(x) = (d * f)(x)$. Therefore $f * d = d * f$ and $f \in GC(M_0(X, G))$. We conclude that $\{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0\} \subseteq GC(M_0(X, G))$, and thus we have equality. \square

We now provide examples to show that the previous theorem applies in some cases.

Example 4.9. Let G be a finite simple group. Assume φ is not injective. Then for each distributive element d , $d \circ \varphi$ is not an automorphism of G , and $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) \neq \{0\}$. Since G is simple and $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi)$ is a normal subgroup of G , it follows that $\text{Ker}(d \circ \varphi) = G$. Therefore $d \circ \varphi = 0$ for all $d \in (M_0(X, G))_D$.

Example 4.10. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_4$, $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$, and $\varphi(g) = x_g$ for $g = 0, 1, 2$, and $\varphi(3) = x_2$. By Lemma 4.5, $3 - 2 = 1 \in K$. Thus, $\langle 1 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 \subseteq K$. It follows that $K = \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $d \circ \varphi = 0$ for all $d \in (M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_4))_D$. By Theorem 4.8, $GC(M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_4)) = M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_4)$.

5 Centers

Since $C(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq GC(M_0(X, G))$, we use our results in the previous section on generalized centers to classify $C(M_0(X, G))$ for different cases of φ .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $c \in C(M_0(X, G))$. Then either:*

- (i) φ is injective; or
- (ii) $c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$ and $c(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P$.

Proof. Let $c \in C(M_0(X, G))$ and $g \in G$. There are two cases to consider.

(i) Suppose there exists $x \in X$ such that $\varphi(c(x)) \neq x_0$. Thus $x \neq x_0$. Then $(c \circ \varphi)(g) = (c \circ \varphi)(f_g(x)) = (c \circ \varphi \circ f_g)(x) = (c * f_g)(x) = (f_g * c)(x) = (f_g \circ \varphi \circ c)(x) = f_g(\varphi(c(x))) = g$. Hence $(c \circ \varphi)(g) = g$ for all $g \in G$ and $c \circ \varphi = id$.

Assume φ is not injective. Thus there exist distinct $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2) = x_1 \in X$. Then for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we get $c(x_1) = c(\varphi(g_i)) = (c \circ \varphi)(g_i) = id(g_i) = g_i$. Therefore $c(x_1) = g_1 = g_2$, a contradiction. We conclude that φ is injective.

(ii) Now suppose for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(c(x)) = x_0$. In particular, choose $x \neq x_0$. Using the same steps as above, we get $(c \circ \varphi)(g) = f_g(\varphi(c(x))) = f_g(x_0) = 0$. Hence $(c \circ \varphi)(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$ and $c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. We note that since $\varphi(c(x)) = x_0$, it follows that $c(x) \in P$ for all $x \in X$. As $c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$, we can restrict the domain to $X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi$ to obtain $c(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P$. □

Theorem 5.2. *The center of $M_0(X, G)$ is classified as follows:*

- (i) If φ is bijective, then $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0, \varphi^{-1}\}$.
- (ii) If φ is injective but not surjective, then $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$.
- (iii) If φ is not injective, then $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{c \in M_0(X, G) \mid c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } c(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P\}$.
- (iv) If φ is surjective but not injective, then $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$.

Proof. (i) Assume φ is bijective. Then $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$ by Theorem 3.3. The proof of Proposition 1.1 of [1] yields that $C(M_0(G)) = \{0, id\}$. It follows that $C(M_0(X, G))$ consists only of the zero and identity elements in $M_0(X, G)$, namely f_0 and φ^{-1} by Theorem 3.3.

(ii) Now assume that φ is injective but not surjective. Then $GC(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$ by Corollary 4.4. Since $C(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq GC(M_0(X, G))$ and $f_0 \in C(M_0(X, G))$, we conclude that $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$.

(iii) Assume φ is not injective, and let $c \in C(M_0(X, G))$. By Lemma 5.1, $c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$ and $c(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P$. Thus $C(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P\}$.

Now let $s \in \{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P\}$. Thus $s(X) \subseteq P$. Let $f \in M_0(X, G)$ and $x \in X$. So $\varphi(s(x)) = x_0$. It follows that $(s * f)(x) = (s \circ \varphi \circ f)(x) = s(\varphi(f(x))) = 0 = f(x_0) = f(\varphi(s(x))) = (f \circ \varphi \circ s)(x) = (f * s)(x)$. Hence $s * f = f * s$ and $s \in C(M_0(X, G))$. We conclude that $\{s \in M_0(X, G) \mid s(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } s(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P\} \subseteq C(M_0(X, G))$, hence equality.

(iv) Assume φ is surjective but not injective. Let $c \in C(M_0(X, G))$. By part (iii), $c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. The surjectivity of φ implies that $\text{Im } \varphi = X$. Thus $c(X) = c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. So $c = f_0$ and $C(M_0(X, G)) \subseteq \{f_0\}$. The reverse inclusion is clear and $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{f_0\}$. □

Unlike the generalized center, the center of a nearring, in general, is not a subnearring (see [7]). The next theorem demonstrates that $C(M_0(X, G))$ is often a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$.

Theorem 5.3. *The classification of when the center is a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$ is as follows:*

- (i) If φ is bijective, then $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring if and only if $\exp G = 2$.

- (ii) If φ is injective but not surjective, then $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring.
- (iii) If φ is surjective but not injective, then $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring.
- (iv) If φ is neither injective nor surjective, then $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring if and only if $P = \varphi^{-1}(x_0)$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. For (i), if φ is bijective, then $M_0(X, G) \cong M_0(G)$ by Theorem 3.3. The result now follows from Theorem 5.1 in [7].

The subset of $M_0(X, G)$ consisting only of the identity element, $\{f_0\}$, is a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$. Thus (ii) and (iii) follow from Theorem 5.2.

For (iv), assume φ is neither injective nor surjective. Hence by Theorem 5.2, $C(M_0(X, G)) = \{c \in M_0(X, G) \mid c(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0 \text{ and } c(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P\}$. Assume P is a subgroup of G . Note that $f_0 \in C(M_0(X, G))$ and $C(M_0(X, G))$ is nonempty.

Let $c_1, c_2 \in C(M_0(X, G))$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $c_i(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$ and $c_i(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \subseteq P$. Let $y \in \text{Im } \varphi$. Then $(c_1 - c_2)(y) = c_1(y) - c_2(y) = 0 - 0 = 0$. Also, for all $x \in X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi$, $c_1(x), c_2(x) \in P$ and, since P is a subgroup of G , $(c_1 - c_2)(x) = c_1(x) - c_2(x) \in P$. We conclude that $c_1 - c_2 \in C(M_0(X, G))$.

Also $(c_1 * c_2)(x) = (c_1 \circ \varphi \circ c_2)(x) = c_1(\varphi(c_2(x))) = 0$. Thus $c_1 * c_2 = f_0 \in C(M_0(X, G))$. Therefore $C(M_0(X, G))$ is closed under multiplication and $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$.

For the converse, assume P is not a subgroup of G . Since P is finite, P is not closed under addition. So let $g_1, g_2 \in P$ such that $g_1 + g_2 \notin P$. Since φ is not surjective, there exists $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $\bar{x} \notin \text{Im } \varphi$. Note that $\bar{x} \neq x_0 = \varphi(0) \in \text{Im } \varphi$.

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, define $s_i : X \rightarrow G$ by $s_i(x) = \begin{cases} g_i & \text{if } x = \bar{x} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq \bar{x} \end{cases}$. Since $s_i(x_0) = 0$, we

conclude that $s_i \in M_0(X, G)$.

Let $y \in \text{Im } \varphi$. So $y \neq \bar{x}$, and $s_i(y) = 0$. Therefore $s_i(\text{Im } \varphi) = 0$. Since the range of s_i is $\{0, g_i\}$, we conclude that $s_i(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) = \{0, g_i\} \subseteq P$. So $s_i \in C(M_0(X, G))$.

However, $(s_1 + s_2)(\bar{x}) = s_1(\bar{x}) + s_2(\bar{x}) = g_1 + g_2 \notin P$. Hence $(s_1 + s_2)(X \setminus \text{Im } \varphi) \not\subseteq P$ and $s_1 + s_2 \notin C(M_0(X, G))$. It follows that $C(M_0(X, G))$ is not a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$. Therefore $C(M_0(X, G))$ is a subnearring of $M_0(X, G)$ if and only if P is a subgroup of G . \square

We end with two examples illustrating part (iv) of Theorem 5.3.

Example 5.4. Let $G = S_3$, the symmetric group of order six, and let $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. Also let $A_3 = \{(1), (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 3\ 2)\}$, the alternating group of even permutations in S_3 . Define $\varphi : S_3 \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(A_3) = x_0$, $\varphi((1\ 2)) = x_1$, and $\varphi(\{(2\ 3), (1\ 3)\}) = x_2$. Then φ is neither injective nor surjective, and $P = A_3$. So $C(M_0(X, S_3)) = \{c \in M_0(X, S_3) \mid c(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}) = 0 \text{ and } c(\{x_3, x_4\}) \subseteq A_3\}$ by Theorem 5.2. Since A_3 is a subgroup of S_3 , it follows from Theorem 5.3 that $C(M_0(X, S_3))$ is a subnearring of $M_0(X, S_3)$.

Example 5.5. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$. Define $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(\{0, 1, 2\}) = x_0$ and $\varphi(\{3, 4, 5\}) = x_1$. Then φ is neither injective nor surjective, and $P = \{0, 1, 2\}$. By Theorem 5.2, $C(M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6)) = \{c \in M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6) \mid c(\{x_0, x_1\}) = 0 \text{ and } c(x_2) \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. Since $P = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_6 , $C(M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6))$ is not a subnearring of $M_0(X, \mathbb{Z}_6)$ by Theorem 5.3.

References

- [1] E. Aichinger and M. Farag, *On when the multiplicative center of a near-ring is a subnear-ring*, Aequationes Math., **68**, 46 – 59, (2004).
- [2] G. Booth, *Primitivity in near-rings of continuous functions*, Topology Appl., **159(9)**, 2274 – 2279, (2012).
- [3] G. Booth, *On primeness and radicals in near-rings of continuous functions*, Math. Pannon., **26(2)**, 3 – 13, (2017/2018).
- [4] S. Butzman, G. A. Cannon, and M. Paz, *Centers of centralizer nearrings determined by all endomorphisms of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, **10(2)**, 13 – 26, (2022).
- [5] G. A. Cannon, *Centralizer near-rings determined by End G* , in *Near-Rings and Near-Fields*, Y. Fong et al. (eds.), 89 – 111, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.

- [6] G. A. Cannon, *Localness of the centralizer nearring determined by End G* , Rocky Mountain J. Math., **30(1)**, 115 – 133, (2000).
- [7] G. A. Cannon, M. Farag, and L. Kabza, *Centers and generalized centers of near-rings*, Comm. Algebra, **35**, 443 – 453, (2007).
- [8] G. A. Cannon and L. Kabza, *Simplicity of the centralizer near-ring determined by End G* , Algebra Colloq., **5(4)**, 383 – 390, (1998).
- [9] J. R. Clay, *Nearrings: Geneses and Applications*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1992).
- [10] P. Fuchs, *On the structure of ideals in sandwich near-rings*, Results Math., **17(3-4)**, 256 – 271, (1990).
- [11] C. J. Maxson and A. Speegle, *Sandwich near-rings of homogeneous functions*, Comm. Algebra, **23(12)**, 4587 – 4611, (1995).
- [12] J. D. P. Meldrum, *Near-Rings and Their Links With Groups*, Research Notes in Math., No. 134, Pitman Publ. Co., London, (1985).
- [13] M. Mozumder, N. Dar, and A. Abbasi, *Study of commutativity theorems in rings with involution*, Palest. J. Math., **11(3)**, 394 – 401, (2022).
- [14] L. Oukhtite, A. Mamouni, and H. El Mir, *On commutativity with derivations acting on prime ideals*, Palest. J. Math., **12(2)**, 116 – 124, (2023).
- [15] G. Pilz, *Near-Rings*, North-Holland/American Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1983).
- [16] G. Wendt, *1-primitive near-rings*, Math. Pannon., **24(2)**, 269 – 287, (2013).

Author information

G. Alan Cannon, Department of Mathematics, Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, LA 70402, USA.
E-mail: acannon@southeastern.edu

Ashley M. Segalla, Department of Mathematics, Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, LA 70402, USA.
E-mail: ashley.segalla@southeastern.edu

Connor R. Vincent, Center for Geospatial Sciences, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis, MS 39529, USA.
E-mail: connor.r.vincent.civ@us.navy.mil

Received: 2024-09-11

Accepted: 2024-12-20