# Cesáro Summable Relative Uniform Difference Double Sequence of Positive Linear Functions

Kshetrimayum Renubebeta Devi and Binod Chandra Tripathy

Communicated by S. A. Mohiuddine

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 40A30, 40C05, 46A45, 46B45.

Keywords and phrases: difference sequence space; relative uniform convergent; scale function; Cesáro mean; matrix map; Banach space.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

#### Corresponding Author: B. C. Tripathy

**Abstract** In this article we introduce the *Cesáro* summable difference double sequence spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$ ,  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  and study their topological properties.

# **1** Introduction

Throughout the article  ${}_{2}\omega(ru)$ ,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$ ,  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ ,  ${}_{2}c(ru)$ ,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(ru)$  denote the classes of all relative uniform double sequence space,  $Ces\acute{a}ro$  summable relative uniform difference double sequence space,  $Ces\acute{a}ro$  summable relative uniform bounded difference double sequence space, relative uniform convergence in Pringsheim's sense and  $Ces\acute{a}ro$  summable relative uniform convergence in Pringsheim's sense over a compact domain D respectively.

Throughout the article, N denotes the set of natural numbers.

A double sequence is a double infinite array of numbers by  $(x_{nk})$ . The notion of double sequence was introduced by Pringsheim [19]. Some earlier works on double sequence spaces are found in Bromwich [3]. Hardy [9] introduced the notion of regular convergence of double sequence. The double sequence has been investigated from different aspects by Basarir and Sonalcan [1], Sahin and Dirik [20], Tripathy and Sarma [22, 23, 24] and many others.

A double sequence  $(x_{nk})$  is said to be convergent in Pringshiem's sense if

$$\lim_{n,k\to\infty} x_{nk} = M, \text{ exists where } n,k \in N.$$

The notion of uniform convergence of sequence of functions relative to a scale function was introduced by Moore [4]. Chittenden [5] gave a formulation of the definition given by Moore as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $(f_n)$  of real, single-valued functions  $f_n$  of a real variable x, ranging over a compact subset D of real numbers, converges relatively uniformly on D in case there exist functions g and  $\sigma$ , defined on D, and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $n_o$  (dependent on  $\varepsilon$ ) such that for every  $n \ge n_o$ , the inequality

$$\mid g(x) - f_n(x) \mid < \varepsilon \mid \sigma(x) \mid,$$

holds for every element x of D.

The function  $\sigma$  of the above definition is called a scale function.

Convergence of positive linear operators was also studied by Mohiuddine and Alamri [13], Mohiuddine et al. [14], Kadak and Mohiuddine [10], and many others.

Sahin and Dirik [20] were the first to define the notion of relative uniform convergence of double sequences of functions from the perspective of statistical convergence.

**Definition 1.2.** [Definition 3, Sahin and Dirik [20]] A double sequence of function  $(f_{nk}(x))$  is said to be statistically relatively uniform convergent to f on D if there exists a function  $\sigma(x, y)$ ,  $|\sigma(x, y)| > 0$ , called a scale function  $\sigma(x, y)$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta_{(A)}^2\left(\left\{(n,k): \sup_{(x,y) \in D} \left|\frac{f_{nk}(x) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)}\right| \ge \varepsilon\right\}\right) = 0.$$

Kizmaz [12] defined the difference sequence spaces  $\ell_{\infty}(\Delta), c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$  as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\},\$$

for  $Z = \ell_{\infty}$ , c,  $c_0$  where  $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ ,  $k \in N$ . These sequence spaces are Banach space under the norm

$$||(x_k)||_{\Delta} = |x_1| + \frac{\sup}{k \in N} |\Delta x_k|.$$

Tripathy and Goswami [26] studied the triple difference sequence in probabilistic normed spaces.

Devi and Tripathy [6, 7] studied relative uniform convergence of difference sequence of functions from the perspectives of single sequence and double sequence of functions.

**Definition 1.3.** [Definition 2.5, Devi and Tripathy [7]] A difference double sequence of functions  $(\Delta f_{nk}(x))$  defined on a compact domain D is said to be relatively uniformly convergent if there exists a function  $\sigma(x)$  defined on D and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$|\Delta f_{nk}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon |\sigma(x)|,$$

for all  $n, k \ge n_0$  holds for every element x of D.

A sequence space  $x = (x_k)$  of complex numbers is said to be (C, 1) summable to  $L \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $\lim_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = L$ . We have  $C_1 = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\lim_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - L) = 0\}$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the linear space of all complex sequences over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The  $Ces\acute{aro}$  sequence space  $Ces_{\infty}$ ,  $Ces_p(1 was introduced by Shiue [21] and it$  $has been shown that <math>\ell_{\infty} \subset Ces_p$  is strict for  $1 . Later on the <math>Ces\acute{aro}$  sequence spaces  $X_p$  and  $X_{\infty}$  of non absolute type was defined by Ng and Lee [15, 16]. For a detailed account of the  $Ces\acute{aro}$  sequence space, one may refer to [2, 8, 17, 25, 18].

### 2 Preliminaries

For the stated definitions of the topological properties of sequence space E, one can adhere to Kamthan and Gupta [11].

**Definition 2.1.** A subset *E* of the set of all double sequence  $_2w$  is said to be solid or normal if  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in E \Rightarrow (\alpha_{nk}f_{nk}(x)) \in E$ , for all  $(\alpha_{nk})$  of sequence of scalars with  $|\alpha_{nk}| \leq 1$ , for all  $n, k \in N$ .

Definition 2.2. Let

$$K = \left\{ (n_i, k_j) : i, j \in N; n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots \text{ and} \right\}$$

 $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \dots \bigg\} \subseteq N \times N$ 

and E be a subset of the set of all double sequence  $_2w$ . A K-step space of E is a sequence space

$$\lambda_K^E = \{ (f_{n_i k_j}(x)) \in \mathcal{L} : (f_{nk}(x)) \in E \}.$$

A canonical pre-image of a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in E$  is a sequence of functions  $(g_{nk}(x)) \in E$  defined by

$$g_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{nk}(x), & \text{if } (n,k) \in K; \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.3.** A double sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

**Remark 2.4.** From the above notions, it follows that if a sequence space E is solid then, E is monotone.

**Definition 2.5.** A double sequence space *E* is said to be symmetric if  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in E \Rightarrow (f_{\pi(n,k)}(x)) \in E$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of *N*.

**Definition 2.6.** A double sequence space *E* is said to be sequence algebra if  $(f_{nk}(x)), (g_{nk}(x)) \in E \Rightarrow (f_{nk}(x).g_{nk}(x)) \in E$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A double sequence space E is said to be convergence free if  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in E$  and if  $g_{nk}(x) = 0$  whenever  $f_{nk}(x) = 0$ , then  $(g_{nk}(x)) \in E$ .

Definition 2.8. We define the following Cesáro summable difference double sequence spaces:

$${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru) = \left\{ f = (f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_{2}\omega(ru) : \lim_{p, q \to \infty} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left( \Delta f_{nk}(x)\sigma(x) - f(x) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$
$${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru) = \left\{ f = (f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_{2}\omega(ru) : \sup_{x \le 1} \sup_{p \ge 1; q \ge 1} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \Delta f_{nk}(x)\sigma(x) < \infty \right\}.$$

# 3 Main Results

We state the following results without proof, since it can be established using standard technique.

**Theorem 3.1.** The sequence spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are normed linear space.

**Theorem 3.2.** The sequence spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are Banach spaces normed by

$$\begin{split} ||f||_{(\Delta,\sigma)} &= \sup_{n \ \ge \ 1} \sup_{||x|| \ \le \ 1} \frac{||f_{n1}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sup_{k \ \ge \ 1} \sup_{||x|| \ \le \ 1} \sup_{\substack{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)|| \ \\ ||x||}} + \sup_{p \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1} \sup_{||x|| \ \le \ 1} \frac{\sum_{n=1k=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^q ||\Delta f_{nk}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{n \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1} \sum_{\substack{||x|| \ \le \ 1}} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{n \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{n \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{\substack{||x|| \ \le \ 1 \ ||x|| \ \le \ 1}} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{n \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{\substack{||x|| \ \le \ 1 \ ||x|| \ \le \ 1}} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{\substack{||x|| \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ > \ 1}} \frac{||f_{1k}(x)|| \ ||\sigma(x)||}{||x||} + \sum_{\substack{||x|| \ \le \ 1 \ ||x|| \ \le \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ \ge \ 1; \ q \ > \ 1; \ q \ q \ > \ 1; \ q \ q \ > \ 1; \ q \ > \ 1;$$

**Theorem 3.3.**  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru) \subset _2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  and the inclusion is strict.

The proof is obvious and hence omitted.

The inclusion is strict is shown in the following example.

**Example 3.4.** Let us consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \rightarrow R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = f_{n,L_{p+1}=L_{m-1}+v+1}(x) = \begin{cases} nx, & \text{for all } n \in N; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

where,  $k = (L_{p+1} = L_{m-1} + v + 1), m \ge 2$  and  $p, m, v \in N$ .

We get,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the scale function

$$\sigma(x) = \left\{\frac{1}{x}, \text{ for } x \in [a, 1]\right\}$$

But one cannot get a scale function which makes  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

Hence,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \notin {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.**  $_2C_1(ru) \subset _2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  inclusion being strict.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(ru)$ .

Then, for all  $x \in D$  and for all  $n, k \in N$ ,

$$\lim_{p, q \to \infty} \frac{1}{pq} f_{nk}(x) \sigma(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $x \in D$ ,  $\lim_{p,q\to\infty} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{n=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^q \Delta f_{nk}(x) \sigma(x) = 0.$ 

This implies  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

Hence,  $_2C_1(ru) \subset _2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

The inclusion is strict is followed from the following example.

**Example 3.6.** Consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \to R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = \left\{ (n+k)x, \text{ for all } n, k \in N. \right.$$

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to constant scale function  $\sigma(x) = 1$ .

But one cannot find a scale function which makes  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(ru)$ .

Hence,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \notin {}_2C_1(ru)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.**  $_{2}c(ru) \subset _{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$ , inclusion being strict.

The proof is obvious and hence omitted.

The inclusion is strict is shown in the following example.

**Example 3.8.** Let us consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \rightarrow R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

 $f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } n \text{ and } k \text{ both are odd;} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

$$\Delta f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } n+k \text{ is even;} \\ -x, & \text{for } n+k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

 $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to  $\sigma(x)$  defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}, & \text{for } x \in [a, 1] \end{cases}$$

But one cannot find a scale function which makes  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2c(ru)$ .

Hence, the inclusion is strict.

**Theorem 3.9.** The spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not monotone and hence are not solid.

*Proof.* The proof is followed from the the following example.

**Example 3.10.** Let us consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \to R$ , 0 < a < 1 defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} (n+k)x, & \text{for } n = 1, k \in N; \\ nkx, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in [a, 1]$ .

We get,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to  $\sigma(x)$  defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \left\{\frac{1}{x}, \text{ for } x \in [a, 1]\right\}$$

Let  $(g_{nk}(x))$  be the pre-image of  $(f_{nk}(x))$  defined by

$$g_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{nk}(x), & \text{for } n \text{ and } k \text{ are odd;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies  $(g_{nk}(x)) \notin {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

Hence,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not monotone and hence are not solid.

**Theorem 3.11.** The spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not convergence free.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is followed from the following example.

**Example 3.12.** Consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \rightarrow R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } n \text{ is odd; } k \in N; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) = 0$ .

Hence,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the constant scale function 1.

Let us consider another sequence of functions  $(g_{nk}(x)), g_{nk} : [a, 1] \to R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$g_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} kx, & \text{for } n, k \text{ both are odd and } n, k \in N; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies  $(g_{nk}(x)) \notin {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

One cannot find a scale function which makes  $(g_{nk}(x))$ , a *Cesáro* summable sequence of functions.

Hence,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not convergence free.

**Theorem 3.13.** The spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not symmetric.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is followed from the following example.

**Example 3.14.** Consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \rightarrow R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = \left\{ (n+k)x, \text{ for all } n, k \in N. \right.$$

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) = 0$ .

 $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the constant scale function 1.

Let  $(g_{nk}(x))$  be the re-arranged sequence of  $(f_{nk}(x))$  defined by

$$g_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} (n+k)x, & \text{for } k \text{ is odd } n, k \in N;\\ (nk)x, & \text{for } k \text{ is even } n, k \in N. \end{cases}$$

This implies  $(g_{nk}(x)) \notin {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

One cannot find a scale function which makes  $(g_{nk}(x))$ , a *Cesáro* summble sequence of functions.

Hence,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not symmetric space.

**Theorem 3.15.** The spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are sequence algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_{nk}(x)) = (g_{nk}(x))$  and  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

Then, there exists a positive integer M such that

$$\sup_{x \le 1} \sup_{p \ge 1; q \ge 1} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \Delta f_{nk}(x) \sigma(x) < M.$$

Then, by the term multiplication and addition of the double infinite array, we get

$$\sup_{x \le 1} \sup_{p \ge 1; q \ge 1} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \Delta(f_{nk}(x).g_{nk}(x))\sigma(x) < M_1.$$

Therefore,  $(f_{nk}(x).g_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru).$ 

In the similar process we can show that  ${}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is a sequence algebra.

**Example 3.16.** Consider a sequence of functions  $(f_{nk}(x)) = (g_{nk}(x)), f_{nk} : [a, 1] \to R$ , 0 < a < 1 defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = g_{nk}(x) = \left\{ (n+k)x, \text{ for all } n, k \in \mathbb{N}. \right.$$

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) = 0$ .

Hence,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the constant scale function 1.

Let the number of rows and the number of columns of the arrays  $(f_{nk}(x))$  and  $(g_{nk}(x))$  are equal.

Then,  $f_{nk}(x).g_{nk}(x) = nx$ , for all  $n, k \in N$ .

This implies  $\Delta f_{nk}(x) \cdot g_{nk}(x) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $(f_{nk}(x).g_{nk}(x)) \in {}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the constant scale function 1.

Hence, the sequence spaces  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are sequence algebra.

**Theorem 3.17.**  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is a closed subspace of  $_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  be a subspace of  $_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

Since,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  is a Banach space,  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in {}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  implies  $f_{nk}(x)$  converges uniformly relatively to  $f(x) \in {}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  and therefore  $(f_{nk}(x))$  is Cauchy in  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

Since,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  is a Banach space,  $(f_{nk}(x))$  converges in  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  to a limit function  $g(x) \in {}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$ .

This implies f(x) = g(x).

Hence,  ${}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is closed.

**Theorem 3.18.**  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is a nowhere dense subset of  $_2C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the fact that  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  is a proper and complete subspace of  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

**Theorem 3.19.**  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is not seperable.

*Proof.* We consider  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and assume that M is the set of functions such that  $M \subseteq {}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$ .

Let F be the set of all double sequence of functions on compact domain D where

$$F = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n, k \text{ both are odd;} \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$\Delta F = \begin{cases} -x, & \text{if } n, k \text{ both are odd;} \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $(f_{nk}(x)) \in F, f_{nk} : [a, 1] \rightarrow R, 0 < a < 1$  defined by

$$f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } x, & \text{if } (n,k) \in M \text{ with respect to } \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{x}, x \in [a,1]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We get,  $F \subset {}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  with respect to the scale function  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{x}, x \in [a, 1]$  and F is uncountable.

Let  $D \subseteq F$  be everywhere dense in  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$ .

Then,  $\overline{D} = F$ , where  $\overline{D}$  denotes the closure of F.

Since,  $(||.||_{(\Delta,\sigma)}, 2C_1(\Delta, ru))$  is a normed space and every derived set in a metric space is closed, we have,  $\overline{D} = D$ .

Hence, D = F and since F is uncountable, there exists no  $D \subseteq F$  such that it is countable and everywhere dense.

Hence, our assumption that D is everywhere dense in  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  is wrong.

Therefore,  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  is not seperable.

**Theorem 3.20.**  $_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is not a Schauder basis.

*Proof.* The proof follows from the fact that  ${}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is not a Schauder basis since  ${}_2C_1(\Delta, ru)$  is not a separable space.

## 4 Conclusion

In this article, we have introduced the class of  $Ces\acute{a}ro$  summable relative uniform difference double sequence space  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  $Ces\acute{a}ro$  summable relative uniform bounded difference double sequence space  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ . We have shown that  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$  are not monotone, solid, convergence free, and symmetric but are sequence algebra and provided examples that support the result. We also discussed the inclusion, denseness and separability property of  ${}_{2}C_{1}(\Delta, ru)$  and  ${}_{2}C_{\infty}(\Delta, ru)$ .

# References

- [1] M. Basarir and O. Sonalcan, On some double sequence spaces. J. India Acad. Math 21(2)(1999), 193-200.
- [2] V.K. Bhardwaj and S. Gupta, Cesáro summable difference sequence space. Jour. Ineq. Appl. 2013:315.
- [3] T. J. IA. Bromwich, An Introduction to the theory of Infinite Series. Macmillan (1965).
- [4] E. H. Moore, An introduction to a form of general analysis. *The New Haven Mathematical Colloquium, Yale University Press, New Haven* (1910).
- [5] E. W. Chittenden, Relatively uniform convergence of sequences of functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15(1914),197-201.
- [6] K. R. Devi and B. C. Tripathy, Relative uniform convergence of difference sequence of positive linear functions. *Transactions of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute* 176(1)(2022), 37-43.
- [7] K. R. Devi and B. C. Tripathy, Relative uniform convergence of difference double sequence of positive linear functions (online published) *Ric. di. Mat.*, 72(2023), 961–972 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-021-00613-0.
- [8] M. Et, Generalized Cesáro summable difference sequence spaces. ITM Web of conferences 13, 01007 (2017).
- [9] G. H. Hardy, On the convergence of certain multiple series. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 19(1917), 86-95.
- [10] U. Kadak, S. A. Mohiuddine, Generalized statistically almost convergence based on the difference operator which includes the (p,q)-gamma function and related approximation theorems, *Results Math.* (2018) 73:9.
- [11] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence space and series, Lecture notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 65. *Marcel Dekker. Inc.*, New York (1981).
- [12] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces. Canad.Math.Bull. 24(1981),169-176.
- [13] S. A. Mohiuddine, B. A. S. Alamri, Generalization of equi-statistical convergence via weighted lacunary sequence with associated Korovkin and Voronovskaya type approximation theorems, *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat.* 113(3) (2019) 1955-1973.
- [14] S. A. Mohiuddine, B. Hazarika, M. A. Alghamdi, Ideal relatively uniform convergence with Korovkin and Voronovskaya types approximation theorems, *Filomat* 33(14) (2019) 4549-4560.
- [15] P. N. Ng and P. Y. Lee, Cesáro sequence spaces of non-absolute type, Comment Math. 20(1978), 429-433.
- [16] P. N. Ng and P. Y. Lee, On the associate spaces of Cesáro sequence space. Nanta. Math. 9(2)(1976).
- [17] C. Orhan, Cesáro Difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformations. Commun.Fac. Sci. Univ. Ser.A1 Math. Stat. 32(8) 55-63(1983).
- [18] R. F. Patterson, R. Savas, E. Savas, Multidimensional linear functional connected with double strong Cesàro Summability. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 51(1) (2020), 143–153.
- [19] A. Pringsheim, Zur Ttheorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen. Math. Ann. 53(1900), 289-321.
- [20] P. O. Sahin. and F. Dirik, Statistical relative uniform convergence of double sequences of positive linear operators. *Appl. Math. E-notes* 17(2017), 207-220.
- [21] J. S. Shiue, On the Cesáro sequence space, Tamkang J. Math. 1(1)(1970), 19-25.
- [22] B.C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, Vector valued paranormed statistically convergent double sequence spaces. *Math. Slovaca* 57(2)(2007), 179-188.
- [23] B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, Statistically convergent difference double sequence spaces. Acta Math. Sinica (Eng. Ser.), 24(5)(2008), 737-742.
- [24] B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, On some classes of difference double sequence spaces. Fasciculi Mathematici 41(2009), 135-141.
- [25] B.C. Tripathy and P. Saikia, On the spectrum of the Cesáro operator  $C_1$  on  $bv \cap \ell_{\infty}$ , Math. Slovaca 63(3)(2013), 563-572.

[26] B.C. Tripathy and R. Goswami, On triple difference sequences of real numbers in probabilistic normed spaces, Proyecciones Jour. Math. 33(2) (2014),157-174.

# **Author information**

Kshetrimayum Renubebeta Devi, Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Jakhama-797001, Nagaland, India.

\*Present Affiliation: Department of Mathematics, Royal School of Applied and Pure Sciences, The Assam Royal Global University, Guwahati-787035, India.

E-mail: renu.ksh11@gmail.com

Binod Chandra Tripathy, Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Agartala-799022, India. E-mail: tripathybc@yahoo.com and binodtripathy@tripurauniv.ac.in

Received: 2021-11-12 Accepted: 2025-05-07