

FIXED POINT OF T –NONEXPANSIVE TYPES MAPPINGS IN $CAT(0)$ SPACES

A. Alipour, M. H. Akhbari, M. Derafshpour and A. Oloomi

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H09.

Keywords and phrases: T –nonexpansive mappings, (TC) condition, geodesic space, $CAT(0)$ space.

Corresponding Author: M. H. Akhbari

Abstract In this paper, we prove fixed point theorems for T –nonexpansive mappings and the mappings that satisfy condition (TC) in $CAT(0)$ metric spaces.

1 Introduction

A metric space X is said to be a $CAT(0)$ space [4] if it is geodesically connected, and if every geodesic triangle in X is at least as "thin" as its comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane. For a thorough discussion of these spaces and of the fundamental role they play in various branches of mathematics [4, 5]. In [4] a very comprehensive exposition on $CAT(0)$ spaces is provided. $CAT(0)$ spaces share several good properties with Hilbert spaces, so it is not that surprising that certain results were originally obtained for Hilbert spaces and counterparts in $CAT(0)$ spaces. Fixed points on $CAT(0)$ spaces, or spaces of globally nonpositive curvature in the sense of Gromov, have been extensively studied in the last years by different authors [1, 2, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 19]. One of the useful applications of the fixed point theorem in $CAT(0)$ spaces is in convex optimization [1].

In 2009, Beiranvand et al. [3] defined T -contraction mappings. The key point in T –contractions is that mapping like $f(x) = 2x$ (which does not have a Banach contraction property) can be composed with mapping like $Tx = \frac{1}{x}$, which Tof has Banach contraction property. Since by taking $Tx = x$, T –contractions and contractions are equivalent, then T –contractions are vital improvement. Afterward, some results dealing with other types of fixed point theorems were proved for T -contractions [10, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22]. Similarly, in this work, we introduce T –nonexpansive and T –Suzuki-generalized nonexpansive mappings that are vital improvements of nonexpansive mappings.

It is natural to extend strong results for the fixed point theorem in $CAT(0)$. Another motivation for this research direction is the application of those results in various convex optimization problems.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we first define the conventions to be held throughout the paper and then define the consequent notions, concepts, and necessary results in the form of lemmas as required in the sequel. In section 3 we prove our main results. Section 4 is devoted to conclusion.

2 Some basic notations and definitions

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A geodesic path joining $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ (or, more briefly, a geodesic from x to y) is a map c from a closed interval $[0, l] \subset \mathbb{R}$ to X such that $c(0) = x$, $c(l) = y$, and $d(c(t), c(t')) = |t - t'|$ for all $t, t' \in [0, l]$. In particular, c is an isometry and $d(x, y) = l$. The image α of c is called a geodesic (or metric) segment joining x and y . When it is unique this geodesic is denoted by $[x, y]$. The space (X, d) is said to be a geodesic space if every two points of X are joined by a geodesic, and X is said to be uniquely geodesic if

there is exactly one geodesic joining x and y for each $x, y \in X$. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is said to be convex if Y includes every geodesic segment joining any two of its points. A geodesic triangle $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in a geodesic space (X, d) consists of three points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ (the vertices of \triangle) and a geodesic segment between each pair of vertices (the edges of \triangle). A comparison triangle for geodesic triangle $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in (X, d) is a triangle $\overline{\triangle}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \triangle(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \overline{x}_3)$ in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 such that $d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(\overline{x}_i, \overline{x}_j) = d(x_i, x_j)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The comparison angle of the points x, y, z in the $\triangle(x, y, z)$ at the point x is the interior angle of any comparison triangle $\overline{\triangle}(x, y, z) = \triangle(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$ at the vertex labelled $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{E}^2$. The comparison angle is denoted $\angle_x(y, z)$ and is well defined provided $x \neq y$ and $x \neq z$.

A geodesic space is said to be a $CAT(0)$ space if all geodesic triangles satisfy the following comparison axiom.

$CAT(0)$: Let \triangle be a geodesic triangle in X and let $\overline{\triangle}$ be a comparison triangle for \triangle . Then $\overline{\triangle}$ is said to satisfy the $CAT(0)$ inequality if for all $x, y \in \triangle$ and all comparison points $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \overline{\triangle}$,

$$d(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}).$$

Given two geodesic paths $c : [0, l] \rightarrow X$ and $c' : [0, l] \rightarrow X$ in a metric space X originating from the same point $c(0) = c'(0)$, the Alexandrov angle between c and c' is defined to be:

$$\angle(c, c') := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < t, t' < \epsilon} \angle_{c(0)}(c(t), c'(t')).$$

In this expression, $\angle_{c(0)}(c(t), c'(t'))$ is the comparison angle for the triple of points $(c(0), c(t), c'(t'))$ as defined previously. Given geodesic segments $[x, y]$ and $[x, z]$ we write $\angle([x, y], [x, z])$ to denote the Alexandrov angle $\angle(c, c')$ where c and c' are geodesic paths whose corresponding geodesic segments are $[x, y]$ and $[x, z]$ respectively. Let X be the $CAT(0)$ space. Consider two geodesic segments $[x, y]$ and $[z, w]$ in a metric space X , such that $z \in [x, y]$, $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$. Then if $[x, z]$ and $[z, y]$ are geodesic segments such that $[x, z] \cup [z, y] = [x, y]$ it is easy to prove that $\angle([x, z], [z, w]) + \angle([z, w], [z, y]) \geq \pi$. It's mean that one of the angles $\angle([x, z], [z, w])$ or $\angle([z, w], [z, y])$ is greater or equal $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a $CAT(0)$ space X . For $x \in X$, we set

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, \{x_n\}).$$

The asymptotic radius $r(x, \{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is given by

$$r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\},$$

and the asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ of x_n is the set

$$A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}.$$

It is known from Proposition 7 of [8] that in a $CAT(0)$ space, $A(\{x_n\})$ consists of exactly one point.

Lemma 2.1. [7] *If K is a closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space and if $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in K , then the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ is in K .*

Lemma 2.2. [6] *Let (X, d) be a $CAT(0)$ space.*

(i) *For $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that*

$$d(x, z) = td(x, y) \text{ and } d(y, z) = (1 - t)d(x, y).$$

We use the notation $(1 - t)x \oplus ty$ for the unique point z satisfying latest equalities.

(ii) *For $x, y, z \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$d((1 - t)x \oplus ty, z) \leq (1 - t)d(x, z) + td(y, z).$$

Lemma 2.3. [11] *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a $CAT(0)$ space X and let $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_n \alpha_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ and $d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x_n) = 0$.*

Definition 2.4. [3] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said sequentially convergent if for every sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $\{Ty_n\}$ be convergent, then $\{y_n\}$ also is convergent.

Definition 2.5. [3] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said subsequentially convergent if for every sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergent, then $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proposition 2.6. [3] If (X, d) is a compact metric space, then every function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is subsequentially convergent and every continuous function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is sequentially convergent.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all functions $T : X \rightarrow X$ are satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) T is subsequentially convergent;
- (ii) T is one-to-one;
- (iii) T is onto;
- (iv) T is continuous.

Definition 2.8. Let K be a nonempty subset of a metric space X . A mapping $f : K \rightarrow K$ is said to T -nonexpansive if for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$d(Tfx, Tfy) \leq d(Tx, Ty).$$

for all $x, y \in K$.

Definition 2.9. Let K be a nonempty subset of a metric space X . A mapping $f : K \rightarrow K$ is said to satisfy condition (TC) (Suzuki-generalized T -nonexpansive) if for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) \leq d(Tx, Ty) \text{ implies } d(Tfx, Tfy) \leq d(Tx, Ty).$$

for all $x, y \in K$.

Definition 2.10. Let K be a nonempty subset of a metric space X . For a mapping $f : K \rightarrow K$ and $\epsilon > 0$ the ϵ -set of (T, f) is the set

$$F_\epsilon(T, f) = \{x \in X : d(Tx, Tfx) < \epsilon\}.$$

3 MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. Let K be a bounded subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X , suppose $f : K \rightarrow K$ is T -nonexpansive mappings for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and suppose $x, y \in F_\epsilon(T, f)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) = r$. Let $m \in [x, y] \cap K$. Then $Tf(m) \in F_{\phi(\epsilon)}(T, f)$, where $\phi(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 2r\epsilon}$.

Proof. Let $m \in K$ be the point of $[x, y]$ such that $d(Tx, Tm) = \alpha d(Tx, Ty)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Tfm) &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tfm) \\ &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tx, Tm) \\ &\leq \epsilon + \alpha r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$d(Ty, Tfm) \leq \epsilon + (1 - \alpha)r.$$

At least one of the angles $\angle_{Tm}(Tfm, Tx)$ and $\angle_{Tm}(Tfm, Ty)$ is greater than or equal $\frac{\pi}{2}$. If $\angle_{Tm}(Tfm, Tx) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ then in the comparison triangle $\triangle(Tm, Tx, Tfm)$ the angle at Tm is also greater than or equal $\frac{\pi}{2}$. By the law of cosines we have,

$$(\epsilon + \alpha r)^2 \geq d(Tx, Tfm)^2 \geq (\alpha r)^2 + d(Tm, Tfm)^2.$$

Similarly, if $\angle_{Tm}(Tfm, Ty) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have,

$$(\epsilon + (1 - \alpha)r)^2 \geq d(Ty, Tfm)^2 \geq (1 - \alpha r)^2 + d(Tm, Tfm)^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tm, Tfm)^2 &\leq \max\{\epsilon^2 + 2\alpha r\epsilon, \epsilon^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)r\epsilon\} \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 + 2r\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let K be a nonempty subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X . Also, suppose

- (i) K is bounded closed convex subset of X ,
- (ii) $T : K \rightarrow K$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$;
- (iii) $f : K \rightarrow K$ is an T -nonexpansive such that;

$$\inf\{d(Tx, Tfx) : x \in K\} = 0.$$

Then f has a fixed point in K .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be fixed. Define

$$\rho_0 = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \inf\{d(Tx, Tfx) : Tx \in B(Tx_0, \rho) \cap K\} = 0\},$$

and we have $\rho_0 < \infty$. If $\rho_0 = 0$ there exists sequence $\{x_n\}$ and ρ_n such that $Tx_n \in B(Tx_0, \rho_n) \cap K$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ and $d(Tx_n, Tfx_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since T is subsequentially convergent, by passing to subsequence if necessary, x_n has a subsequence convergent to x_0 . Without losing the generality of the proof, assume that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Since $\{x_n\} \subseteq K$ then $x_0 \in K$. By the continuity of T we have $d(Tx_0, Tfx_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $Tfx_n \rightarrow Tx_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since T is subsequentially convergent, by passing to subsequence if necessary, $f x_n$ has a subsequence convergent to x_0 . Without losing the generality of the proof, assume that $f x_n \rightarrow x_0$. On the other hand, we have

$$d(Tfx_n, Tfx_0) \leq d(Tx_n, Tx_0).$$

Then we have $Tfx_n \rightarrow Tfx_0$. Since T is subsequentially convergent, by passing to subsequence if necessary, $f x_n$ has a subsequence convergent to $f x_0$. Without losing the generality of the proof, assume that $f x_n \rightarrow f x_0$. So we have $x_0 = f x_0$.

Now we suppose that $\rho_0 > 0$. We choose $\{Tx_n\} \subseteq K$ such that

$$d(Tx_n, Tfx_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad d(Tx_0, Tx_n) \rightarrow \rho_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\{Tx_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence then similarly we can prove that f has a fixed point. So we suppose there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and subsequences $\{u_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $d(Tu_k, Tv_k) \geq \epsilon$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may also suppose $d(Tu_k, Tv_k) \leq \rho_0 + \frac{1}{k}$. So for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\epsilon \leq d(Tu_k, Tv_k) \leq \rho_0 + \frac{1}{k}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us to consider geodesic triangle $\triangle(Tx_0, Tu_k, Tv_k)$. Suppose that z_k is the midpoint of the segment $[Tu_k, Tv_k]$. So there exist $m_k \in [u_k, v_k]$ such that $Tm_k = z_k$. Also suppose that $\overline{Tm_k}$ is the point corresponding to Tm_k on the comparison triangle $\overline{\triangle}(Tx_0, Tu_k, Tv_k)$. Then by $CAT(0)$ inequality we have

$$d(Tx_0, Tm_k) \leq d(\overline{Tx_0}, \overline{Tm_k}) \leq \sqrt{(\rho_0 + \frac{1}{k})^2 - (\frac{\epsilon}{2})^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly $d(Tx_0, Tm_k) \leq \rho^* < \rho_0$ for k sufficiently large. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$d(Tm_k, Tfm_k) \leq \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 2r\epsilon}.$$

That's mean, $d(Tm_k, Tfm_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the definition of ρ_0 . □

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $K = [\frac{1}{9}, 1]$. Let us define $f : K \rightarrow K$ and $T : K \rightarrow K$ as following

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x}, \quad T(x) = \frac{1}{9x}.$$

It is easy to prove that $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and f is T -nonexpansive mapping. Also, it is easy to prove that f is not nonexpansive mappings. Then all conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied for f . $x = 1$ is a fixed point of f .

Lemma 3.4. Let X be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Also suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence of X . Then

- (i) $r(\{x_n\})$ is the asymptotic radius of $\{x_n\}$ if and only if $r(\{Tx_n\})$ is the asymptotic radius of $\{Tx_n\}$,
- (ii) $A(\{x_n\})$ is the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ if and only if $r(\{Tx_n\})$ is the asymptotic center of $\{Tx_n\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\{x_{n_k}\}$ be a convergent sequence of $\{x_n\}$. By the continuity of T , $\{Tx_{n_k}\}$ is bounded and Tx_{n_k} is convergent. If $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x^*$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n_k} = Tx^*$. On the other hand, if Tx_n is convergent to Tx^* , since T is subsequentially convergent, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence to x^* . So we have

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) \iff r(Tx, \{Tx_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx, Tx_n), \quad (3.3)$$

and the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) It is easily proved by (i) and the definition of asymptotic center. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let K be a nonempty subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X and suppose $f : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies condition (TC) for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then for $x, y \in K$, the following hold:

- (i) $d(Tfx, Tf^2x) \leq d(Tx, Tfx)$.
- (ii) Either $\frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) \leq d(Tx, Ty)$ or $\frac{1}{2}d(Tfx, Tf^2x) \leq d(Tx, y)$ holds.
- (iii) Either $d(Tfx, Tfy) \leq d(Tx, Ty)$ or $d(Tf^2x, Tfy) \leq d(Tfx, Ty)$ holds.

Proof. (i) Follows from $\frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) \leq d(Tx, Tfx)$ and the (TC) property of f .

(ii) Assume that

$$\frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) > d(Tx, Ty) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}d(Tfx, Tf^2x) > d(Tfx, Ty).$$

Then we have by (i),

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Tfx) &\leq d(Tx, Ty) + d(Tfx, Ty) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) + \frac{1}{2}d(Tfx, Tf^2x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) + \frac{1}{2}d(Tx, Tfx) \\ &\leq d(Tx, Tfx). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore we obtain the desired result. (iii) Follows from (ii). \square

Lemma 3.6. Let K be a nonempty subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X . Suppose $f : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies condition (TC) for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then

$$d(Tx, Tfy) \leq 3d(Tfx, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty),$$

holds for all $x, y \in K$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, either

$$d(Tfx, Tfy) \leq d(Tx, Ty) \quad \text{or} \quad d(Tf^2x, Tfy) \leq d(Tfx, Ty),$$

holds. In the first case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Tfy) &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tfy) \\ &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq 3d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tx, Ty). \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, we have by Lemma 3.5

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Tfy) &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tf^2x) + d(Tf^2x, Tfy) \\ &\leq d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tfx) + d(Tf^2x, Tfy) \\ &\leq 2d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tfy) + d(Tf^2x, Tfx) \\ &\leq 2d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tfx, Tfy) + d(Tfx, Tx) \\ &\leq 3d(Tx, Tfx) + d(Tx, Ty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the desired result in both cases. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let K be a nonempty bounded and convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X and suppose $f : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies condition (TC) for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_1 \in K$ and*

$$Tx_{n+1} = \alpha_n Tfx_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that $\limsup_n \alpha_n < 1$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tfx_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 (i) that

$$\frac{1}{2}d(Tx_n, Tfx_n) \leq \alpha_n d(Tx_n, Tfx_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By condition (TC) , we have

$$d(Tfx_n, Tfx_{n+1}) \leq d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tfx_n, Tx_n) = 0$ by Lemma 2.3. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let K be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X . Suppose $f : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies condition (TC) for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then T has a fixed point in K .*

Proof. Let $x_1 \in K$. By Lemma 2.2 and since T is onto, we can define sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$Tx_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}Tfx_n \oplus \frac{1}{2}Tx_n.$$

By Proposition 7 of [15], there exist $z \in X$ such that $A(\{x_n\}) = \{z\}$. By (i) of Lemma 3.4, $A(\{x_n\}) = \{z\}$ if and only if $A(\{Tx_n\}) = \{Tz\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $z \in K$ and so $Tz \in K$. By Lemma 3.7 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, x_n) = 0$ and by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$d(Tx_n, Tfxz) \leq 3d(Tfx_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tz).$$

Taking \limsup on both sides in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tfxz) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz).$$

That is

$$r(Tfxz, \{Tx_n\}) \leq r(Tz, Tx_n).$$

By the uniqueness of asymptotic centers, we have $Tz = Tfxz$. since T is one to one we have $fxz = z$. This completes the proof. \square

4 Conclusion

By weakening condition nonexpansivity and considering the family of a certain class of functions, we define so called T –nonexpansive and the mappings with condition (TC) . For such mappings, some fixed point theorems are also proved. In this way, we obtain a very large class of operators which include not only some of the aforementioned-conditions but even mappings that need not be nonexpansive. Due to the authors' knowledge, fixed points of such operators have not been examined so far.

Remark 4.1. It is proposed to investigate the fixed point property for multivalued nonexpansive mappings ([24]) in $CAT(0)$ spaces. Another interesting topic could be the generalization of convergence theorems, as proved in [18] and [23] in $CAT(0)$ spaces.

References

- [1] M. A. Ahmad Khan and P. Cholamjiak, *A multi-step approximant for fixed point problem and convex optimization problem in Hadamard spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **22**(3), p. 62, (2020).
- [2] S. Atailia, N. Redjel and A. Dehici, *Some fixed point results for (c) –mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **22**(2), p. 51, (2020).
- [3] A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid, and H. Pazandeh, *Two fixed-point theorems for special mappings*, arXiv:0903.1504v1math.FA, (2009).
- [4] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger (Eds), *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999).
- [5] D. Burago, Y. Burago and S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, in: Graduate Studies in Math vol. 33, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2001).
- [6] S. Dhompongsa and B. Panyanak, *On \triangle -convergence theorems in $CAT(0)$ spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **56**, 2572–2579, (2008).
- [7] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, *Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal, **8**, 35–45, (2007).
- [8] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk, B. Sims, *Fixed points of uniformly lipschitzian mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA., bf 65, 762–772, (2006).
- [9] R. Espinola and A. Fernandez-Leon, *$CAT(\kappa)$ –spaces, weak convergence and fixed points*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **353**, 410–427, (2009).
- [10] M. Filipovic, L. Paunovic, S. Radenovic and M. Rajovic, *Remarks on "Cone metric spaces and fixed-point theorems of T-Kannan and T-Chatterjea contractive mappings"*, Math. Comput. Modelling., 54(5-6) (2011) 1467–1472.
- [11] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Iteration processes for nonexpansive mappings*, in: Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Toronto, Ont., 1982), in: Contemp. Math., vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 115–123 (1983).
- [12] S. Ibrahim, and S. A. Murad, *Solution of fractional differential equations with some existence and stability results*, Palest. J. Math., **12**(1), 482–492, (2023).
- [13] M. Izadi, A. Jokar, M. H. Akhbari and M. Derafshour, *Some Common Fixed Point Results for T –Contractions in Cone Metric Spaces over a Banach Algebra*, J. Math. Anal., **11**(4), 16–30, (2020).
- [14] F. Khojasteh, A. Razani and S. Moradi, *A fixed point of generalized $T - F$ –contraction mappings in cone metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl 2011, **14**, 1–15, (2011).
- [15] W.A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, *A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **68**, 3689–3696, (2008).
- [16] W.A. Kirk, *Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory*, Proceedings, Universities of Malaga and Seville, September 2002–February 2003 (D. Girela, G. Lopez o and R. Villa, eds.), Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, 195–225, (2003).
- [17] W.A. Kirk, *Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory II*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (J. Garcia-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster and B. Sims, eds.), Yokohama Publ., 113–142, (2004).
- [18] Meijuan Shang, Xiaolong Qin and Yongfu Su, *Strong convergence of Ishikawa iterative method for non-expansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Inequal., **1**(2), 195–204, (2007).
- [19] S. K. Mohanta and P. Biswas, *Cyclic contractions and some fixed point and coincidence point results in dislocated quasi rectangular b-metric spaces*, Palest. J. Math., **12**(4), 1–25, (2023).
- [20] S.Moradi, D. Alimohammadi, *New Extensions Fixed-point theorem on complete metric spaces and generalized metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **5**(47), 2313–2320, (2011).

- [21] J. R. Morales and E. Rojas, *Some results on T-zamfirescu operators*, Revista Notas de Matematica., **5**(1), 64–71, (2009).
- [22] H. Rahimi, B. E. Rhoades, S. Radenovic, and G. Soleimani Rad, *Fixed and periodic point theorems for T-contractions on cone metric spaces*, Filomat., **27**(5), 881–888, (2013).
- [23] Y. Yao, M. Aslam Noor and Yeong-Cheng Liou, *On Modified Noor Iterations for Nonexpansive Mappings*, J. Math. Inequal., **1**(2), 165–181, (2007).
- [24] Z. Zuo, *Some Fixed Point Property for Multivalued Nonexpansive Mappings in Banach Spaces*, J. Math. Inequal., **7**(1), 129–137, (2013).

Author information

A. Alipour, Department of Mathematics, Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University, kerman, Iran.

E-mail: abbasalipour1983@yahoo.com

M. H. Akhbari, Department of Mathematics, Estahban Branch, Islamic Azad University, Estahban, Iran.

E-mail: hadi.akhbari@iaui.ac.ir

M. Derafshpour, Department of Mathematics, Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University, kerman, Iran.

E-mail: derafshpour.m@gmail.com

A. Oloomi, Department of Mathematics, Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University, kerman, Iran.

E-mail: ali_oloomi111@yahoo.com

Received: 2024-08-29

Accepted: 2025-02-04