

UNIQUENESS OF DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE MONOMIAL WITH FIXED POINT OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION

Jayarama H. R*, Chaithra C. N, Niranjana C. M and Naveenkumar S. H

Communicated by Sarika Verma

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 30D35; Secondary 39A10.

Keywords and phrases: Nevanlinna Theory, Fixed Point, Differential-Difference Monomial, Meromorphic Function.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Abstract In this paper, we investigate $f(z)$ to be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k be complex constants satisfying that at least one of them is non-zero. The authors establish fixed points about the differential-difference monomial $(f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k}$, where $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j$. These results extend recent results obtained by Zhang and Chen [1].

1 Introduction

In this paper, we assume the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the basic notations of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions (see [3] [2]) Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function defined in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We will let $\sigma(f)$ and $\mu(f)$ denote the order of $f(z)$ respectively. We use $S(r, f)$ to denote any quantity of $S(r, f) = O\{T(r, f)\}$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), possibly outside a set E with finite logarithmic measure.

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$, We use the notations $\sigma(f)$ to denote the order of $f(z)$, $\lambda(f, a)$, and $\lambda(1/f)$, respectively, to denote the exponent of convergence of zeros of $f(z) - a$ and poles of $f(z)$. Especially, if $a = 0$, we denote $\lambda(f, 0) = \lambda(f)$. A point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is called as a fixed point of $f(z)$ if $f(z) = z$. There is a considerable number of results on the fixed points for meromorphic functions in the plane, we refer the reader to Chuang and Yang [4]. It follows Chen and Shon [5], we use the notation $\tau(f)$ to denote the exponent of convergence of fixed points of f that is defined as

$$\tau(f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-z}\right)}{\log r},$$

In 1993, Lahiri proved the following theorem

Theorem A. [6] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane. Suppose that there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\delta(a, f) > 0$ and $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$. Then f has infinitely many fixed points.

Now-a-days investigating on the problems of sharing values by a meromorphic functions with its differences or shift become an extensive subfield of the modern uniqueness theory. We define the shift and difference operators of $f(z)$ as $f(z + c)$ and $\Delta_c f(z) = f(z + c) - f(z)$, where c is a non-zero complex number.

When the order of f is less than 1, Chen and Shon have proved the following.

Theorem B. [5] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of order of growth $\sigma(f) \leq 1$. Suppose that f satisfies $\lambda(1/f) < \lambda(f) < 1$ or has infinitely many zeros (with $\lambda(f) = 0$) and finitely many poles. Then Δf has infinitely many fixed points and satisfies the exponent of convergence of fixed points $\tau(\Delta f) = \sigma(f)$.

In 2014, Wu and Xu have proved the following.

Theorem C. [7] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of order of growth $\sigma(f) < 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that f satisfies $\lambda(1/f) < \sigma(f)$ and $\lambda(f, a) < \sigma(f)$. Then Δf has infinitely many fixed points and satisfies the exponent of convergence of fixed points $\tau(\Delta f) = \sigma(f)$.

For the existence on the fixed points of differences, Cui and Yang have proved the following theorems.

Theorem D. [8] Let f be a function transcendental and meromorphic in the plane with the order $\sigma(f) = 1$. If f has finitely many poles and infinitely many zeros with exponent of convergence of zeros $\lambda(f) \neq 1$, then Δf has infinitely many zeros and fixed points.

Theorem E. [8] Let f be a function transcendental and meromorphic in the plane with the order $\sigma(f) = 1$ $\max\{\lambda(f), \lambda\frac{1}{f}\} = 1$. If f has infinitely many zeros, then Δf has infinitely many zeros and fixed points.

The conditions of Theorems D and E imply that $0, \infty$ are Borel exceptional values. If ∞ and $d \in \mathbb{C}$ are Borel exceptional values of f , Chen obtains the following theorem.

Theorem F. [9] Let f be a finite order meromorphic function such that $\lambda(\frac{1}{f}) < \sigma(f)$, and let $c \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ be a constant such that $f(z + c) \neq f(z)$. If $f(z)$ has a Borel exceptional value $d \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\tau(\Delta_c f) = \sigma(f)$.

In 2016, Zhang and Chen we have obtained the following result.

Theorem G. [1] Let f be a finite order meromorphic function, and let $c \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ be a constant such that $f(z + c) \neq f(z)$. If $f(z)$ has two Borel exceptional values, then $\tau(\Delta_c f) = \sigma(f)$.

Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Nevanlinna's deficiency of f with respect to a is defined by

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)},$$

If $a = \infty$, then one should replace $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ in the above formula by $N(r, f)$. If $\delta(a, f) > 0$, then a is called a Nevanlinna deficiency value of f .

Definition 1.1. [10] The expression

$$M_j[f] = (f)^{n_{0j}} (f')^{n_{1j}} \dots (f^{(k)})^{n_{kj}}$$

is called a differential monomial generated by f of degree

$$d(M_j) = \sum_{i=0}^k n_{ij}$$

and weight

$$\Gamma_{M_j} = \sum_{i=0}^k (i+1)n_{ij}.$$

The sum

$$P[f] = \sum_{j=1}^t b_j M_j[f]$$

is called a *differential polynomial* generated by f of degree

$$d(P) = \max\{d(M_j) : 1 \leq j \leq t\}$$

and weight

$$\Gamma_P = \max\{\Gamma_{M_j} : 1 \leq j \leq t\},$$

where $T(r, b_j) = S(r, f)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

The numbers

$$d(P) = \min\{d(M_j) : 1 \leq j \leq t\}$$

and k , the highest order of the derivative of f in $P[f]$, are called respectively the *lower degree* and *order* of $P[f]$.

$P[f]$ is said to be *homogeneous* if

$$d(P) = \Gamma_P.$$

$P[f]$ is called a *linear differential polynomial* generated by f if $d(P) = 1$. Otherwise, $P[f]$ is called a *non-linear differential polynomial*.

For further investigation of the above theorem, we now define a *differential-difference monomial* $M(z)$ of an meromorphic function f as follows:

$$M(z) = (f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k},$$

where k is a positive integer and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k are non-negative integers, not all of them are zero.

we call k and $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j$ respectively the *order* and the *degree* of the monomial $M(z)$.

There has been significant research on various properties as well as the uniqueness of meromorphic functions, differential monomials, and differential polynomials, including differential-difference polynomials. For a detailed discussion of such studies, we refer to the articles [[11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [23], [24], [25], [26]] and the references therein.

In this paper, we obtain Theorem G for differential-difference monomials defined above.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ in the complex plan. let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , ($k \in \mathbb{C}$) be complex constants satisfying that at least one of them is non-zero such that $M(z) \not\equiv 0$, If there is $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with f is satisfies $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$ and a is a Nevanlinna deficiency value of f , then $M(z)$ have infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(M(z)) = \sigma(f)$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ in the complex plan. let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , ($k \in \mathbb{C}$) be complex constants satisfying that at least one of them is non-zero such that $M(z) \not\equiv 0$, If there is $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with f is satisfies $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$ and $\delta(0, f) = 1$, then

$$T(r, M(z)) \sim \psi T(r, f) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right),$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \notin E$, where E is a possible exception set of r with finite logarithmic measure.

Example 1.4. Let $f(z) = e^z$ and $c_1 = \log 1, c_2 = \log 2, \dots, c_k = \log k (k \in \mathbb{C})$. Then $M(z) = f(z + c_1)f(z + c_2)\dots f(z + c_k) = e^{z+\log 1}.e^{z+\log 2} \dots e^{z+\log k} = k!e^{kz}$. Obviously, we can get $\delta(0, f) = \delta(\infty, f) = 1$ and $M(z)$ have infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(M(z)) = \sigma(f)$.

$$T(r, M(z)) \sim kT(r, f) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right),$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, And above all, $M(z) = k!e^{kz} \neq 0$. Therefore, the condition $z \neq 0$ in (if assumed in the theorems above) is necessary.

1. That the function $f(z) = e^z$ meets the required Nevanlinna deficiency conditions $\delta(0, f) = \delta(\infty, f) = 1$.
2. That the constructed $M(z) = k!e^{kz}$ is a concrete instance verifying the theorems' conclusions- especially the behavior of the characteristic function $T(r, M(z))$ and the existence of infinitely many fixed points.
3. The importance of the condition $M(z) \neq 0$ (i.e., $z \neq 0$) in validating the asymptotic result.

2 Some Lemmas

In this section, we state some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [18] Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [19] Let $f(z)$ be a finite order meromorphic function, then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma(\Delta_c^k f) \leq \sigma(f)$.

Lemma 2.3. [20] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order. Then for any positive integer n , we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c^n f(z)}{f(z)}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4. [21] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order. Then

$$N(r, f(z+c)) = N(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

where $S(r, f) = O(T(r, f)) (r \rightarrow \infty)$, possibly outside a set E of r with finite logarithmic measure.

Lemma 2.5. [22] Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane and $P(z) = a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$, where $a_0(\neq 0), a_1, \dots, a_n$ are constants. Then

$$T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

Lemma 2.6. [22] Let $F(r)$ and $G(r)$ be monotone increasing function such that $F(r) \leq G(r)$ outside of exceptional set E that is of finite logarithmic measure. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, there exists $r_0 > 1$ such that $F(r) \leq G(\alpha r)$ for all $r > r_0$.

Lemma 2.7. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ in the complex plan. let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k, (k \in \mathbb{C})$ be complex constants satisfying that at least one of them is non-zero such that $M(z) \neq 0$ and $\delta(0, f) > 0$. Then $M(z)$ a transcendental and meromorphic function of finite order.

Proof. Since $\delta(0, f) > 0$, from Lemma 2.2, we know that $\sigma(M(z)) \leq \sigma(f) < +\infty$. If $M(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function. Suppose that $M(z)$ is not a transcendental meromorphic function, then there is a rational $P(z)$ such that $P(z)M(z) \equiv 1$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f^\psi} &\equiv P(z) \frac{M(z)}{f^\psi} \\ &\equiv P(z) \left(\frac{f^{(1)}(z+c_1)}{f} \right)^{n_1} \cdot \left(\frac{f^{(2)}(z+c_2)}{f} \right)^{n_2} \cdots \left(\frac{f^{(k)}(z+c_k)}{f} \right)^{n_k} \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and noting that $f(z)$ is transcendental, we can get

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 2.5 and the first fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna theory, we can get

$$\psi T(r, f) \leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$

This contradicts with $\delta(0, f) > 0$. Thus $M(z)$ is a transcendental and meromorphic function of finite order. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ in the complex plane. let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , ($k \in \mathbb{C}$) be complex constants satisfying that at least one of them is non-zero such that $M(z) \not\equiv 0$ and $\delta(0, f) > 0$, then*

$$\psi T(r, f) \leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\psi N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z)-z}\right) + S(r, f)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, we know that $M(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function, then there is $\eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z) \not\equiv 0$.

Noticing

$$\frac{1}{f^\psi} = \frac{M(z)}{zf^\psi} - \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{zf^\psi} \frac{M(z) - z}{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}, \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{M(z)}{zf^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{zf^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{M(z) - z}{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq 2m\left(r, \frac{M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_\eta M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{M(z) - z}{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}\right) + O(\log r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Applying the first fundamental theorem, we get

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^\psi}\right) = \psi T(r, f) - \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(1). \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{M(z) - z}{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) \\ &\quad - N\left(r, \frac{M(z) - z}{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Combining (1)-(4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi T(r, f) &\leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2m\left(r, \frac{M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_\eta M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) \\
 &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) \\
 &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) + O(\log r) \\
 &\leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right) + N(r, z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)) \\
 &\quad + 2m\left(r, \frac{M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_\eta M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_\eta M(z) &= \Delta_\eta((f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k}) \\
 &= (f^{(1)}(z + c_1 + \eta))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2 + \eta))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k + \eta))^{n_k} \\
 &\quad - (f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k} \\
 \Delta_\eta(M(z) - z) &= \Delta_\eta((f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k} - z) \\
 &= (f^{(1)}(z + c_1 + \eta))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2 + \eta))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k + \eta))^{n_k} \\
 &\quad - (f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k} - (z + \eta),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.6}$$

then, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z) &= z((f^{(1)}(z + c_1 + \eta))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2 + \eta))^{n_2} \dots \\
 &\quad (f^{(k)}(z + c_k + \eta))^{n_k}) - (z + 1)((f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)} \\
 &\quad (z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k}) \\
 z\Delta_\eta(M(z) - z) - (M(z) - z) &= z((f^{(1)}(z + c_1 + \eta))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2 + \eta))^{n_2} \dots \\
 &\quad (f^{(k)}(z + c_k + \eta))^{n_k}) - (z + 1)((f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} \\
 &\quad (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k}) - z((z + \eta) - 1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z} &= \frac{z\Delta_\eta(M(z) - z) - (M(z) - z)}{M(z) - z} \\
 &= \frac{z\Delta_\eta(M(z) - z)}{M(z) - z} - 1
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(r, z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)) &\leq N(r, (f^{(1)}(z + c_1 + \eta))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2 + \eta))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k + \eta))^{n_k}) \\
 &\quad + N(r, (f^{(1)}(z + c_1))^{n_1} (f^{(2)}(z + c_2))^{n_2} \dots (f^{(k)}(z + c_k))^{n_k})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.9}$$

Thus from Lemma 2.4 and (9), we deduce

$$N(r, z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)) \leq 2\psi N(r, f(z)) + O(\log r)
 \tag{2.10}$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.7 we know that $M(z) - z$ is a transcendental meromorphic function of

finite order. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and (8), that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) &= S(r, f) \\ m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_\eta M(z)}{f^\psi}\right) &= S(r, f) \\ m\left(r, \frac{z\Delta_\eta M(z) - M(z)}{M(z) - z}\right) &= S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

From (5) and (10)-(11), we have

$$\psi T(r, f) \leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\psi N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.12}$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem

Theorem 1.9.

Proof. Denoting $g = f - a$ by (12) we derive,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi T(r, f) &\leq \psi T(r, g) + O(1) \\ &\leq \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 2\psi N(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &= \psi N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) + 2\psi N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\delta(0, f) > 0$ and $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$, there is a positive number $\theta < 1$ such that

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) < \theta T(r, f) \tag{3.2}$$

$$N(r, f) = O(1)T(r, f) \tag{3.3}$$

If $M(z)$ has only a finite number of fixed points, then from (13), (14) and (15) we would have

$$\psi(1 - O(1) - \theta)T(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right), r \notin E, r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

where E is a possible exceptional set with finite logarithmic measure. Noticing f is transcendental, applying Lemma 2.6 and (16), we can get that $M(z)$ assumes has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(M(z)) = \sigma(f)$. □

Theorem 1.10.

Proof. Since $\delta(0, f) = 1$ and $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$,

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f) \tag{3.5}$$

$$N(r, f) = S(r, f) \tag{3.6}$$

Since

$$T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \psi_i T(r, f^{(j)}(z + c_j)) + O(1). \tag{3.7}$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we can derive from (19) that

$$T(r, M(z)) \leq \psi T(r, f) + S(r, f) \tag{3.8}$$

From (17), (18), (19), (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi T(r, f) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, M(z)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \psi T(r, f) + S(r, f)\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Since f is transcendental, (21) means that $M(z)$ assumes has infinitely many fixed points and

$$T(r, M(z)) \sim \psi T(r, f) \sim N\left(r, \frac{1}{M(z) - z}\right),$$

as $r \notin E, r \rightarrow \infty$, where E is a possible exception set of r with finite logarithmic measure. \square

References

- [1] R. R. Zhang, and Z. X. Chen, Fixed points of meromorphic functions and of their differences, divided differences and shifts, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **32**, 1189–1202, (2016).
- [2] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, *Clarendon Press: Oxford*, **2**, (1964).
- [3] C. C. Yang, and H. X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, *Springer Science and Business Media*, **557**, (2004).
- [4] Q. Zhuang, and C. C. Yang, Fix-points and factorization of meromorphic functions, *World Scienti c*, (1990).
- [5] Z. X. Chen, and K. H. Shon, Properties of differences of meromorphic functions, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **61**, 213–224, (2011).
- [6] I. Lahiri, Milloux theorem, defficiency and x-points for vector-valued meromorphic functions, *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, **59**, 45–60, (1993).
- [7] Z. Wu, and H. Xu, Fixed points of difference operator of meromorphic functions, *The Scienti c World Journal*, (2014).
- [8] C. Weiwei, and Y. Lianzhong, Zeros and xed points of difference operators of meromorphic functions, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **33**, 773–780 (2013).
- [9] Z. X. Chen, Fixed points of meromorphic functions and of their differences and shifts, *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, **2**, 153–163, (2013).
- [10] Abhijit Banerjee, and Molla Basir Ahamed, Meromorphic Function Sharing a Small Function with its Differential Polynomial, *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, **54**, 35–45, (2015).
- [11] Molla Basir Ahamed, An investigation on the conjecture of Chen and Yi, *Results in Mathematics*, **74**, (1–28), (2019).
- [12] Molla Basir Ahamed, Uniqueness of two differential polynomials of a meromorphic function sharing a set, *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **33**, 1181–1203, (2018).
- [13] Abhijit Banerjee, and Molla Basir Ahamed, Uniqueness of a Polynomial and a Differential Monomial Sharing a Small Function, *Analele Universitatii de Vest, Timisoara Seria Matematica Informatica*, **1**, 55–71, (2016).
- [14] Abhijit Banerjee, and Molla Basir Ahamed, On Some Suffcient Conditions for Periodicity of Meromorphic Function Under New Shared Sets, *Filomat*, **33**, 6055–6072, (2019).
- [15] Abhijit Banerjee, and Molla Basir Ahamed, Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing a non-zero polynomial with finite weight, *Mathematica Bohemica*, **141**, 13–36, (2016).
- [16] Abhijit Banerjee, and Molla Basir Ahamed, Results on meromorphic function sharing two sets with its linear-difference operator, *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **55**, 143–155, (2020).
- [17] Sanjay Mallick, and Mollar Basir Ahamed, On uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its higher difference operators sharing two sets, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, **12**, 78, (2022).
- [18] R. G. Halburd, and R.J. Korhonen, Meromorphic solutions of difference equations, integrability and the discrete Painleve equations, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **40**, R1, (2007).
- [19] Y. M. Chiang, and S. J. Feng, On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **361**, 3767–3791, (2009).

- [20] R. G. Halburd, and R. Korhonen, Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ma.*, (2015).
- [21] Y. M. Chiang, and S. J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, *The Ramanujan Journal*, **16**, 105–129, (2008).
- [22] Z. Chen, On growth, zeros and poles of meromorphic solutions of linear and nonlinear difference equations, *Science China Mathematics*, **54**, 2123–2133, (2011).
- [23] P. Yang, Z. Jiang, S. Deng, and J. Yang, Value distribution of meromorphic function concerning certain small function, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **12**, 49–55, (2023).
- [24] Biswajit Saha, Value distribution and uniqueness of difference polynomials of entire functions, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **9**, 327–336, (2020).
- [25] Pulak Sahoo, Uniqueness and differential polynomials of meromorphic functions sharing a polynomial, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **5**, 46–56, (2016).
- [26] N. B. Gatti, H. R. Jayarama, S. H. Naveenkumar and C. N. Chaithra, On fixed point of difference polynomials with meromorphic function of finite order, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, **14**, 1815–1824, (2023).

Author information

Jayarama H. R*, Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering and Technology, Sapthagiri NPS University, Bangalore-560057, India.

E-mail: jayjayaramhr@gmail.com

Chaithra C. N, Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering and Technology, Sapthagiri NPS University, Bangalore-560057, India.

E-mail: chinuchai15@gmail.com

Niranjan C. M, Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering and Technology, Sapthagiri NPS University, Bangalore-560057, India.

E-mail: niranjan@snpssu.edu.in

Naveenkumar S. H, Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, India.

E-mail: naveenkumarnew1991@gmail.com

Received: 2024-09-09

Accepted: 2025-03-22