

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION OF A FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS VIA MEASURE OF NONCOMPACTNESS

N. K. Mahato, Rahul, M. Mursaleen and A. Das

Communicated by: S.A. Mohiuddine

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 33C20; Secondary 33C65.

Keywords and phrases: Functional integral equations; Measure of non-compactness (MNC); Fixed point theorem; Riemann-Liouville fractional integral equations; Hadamard fractional integral equations.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Corresponding Author: M. Mursaleen

Abstract *In this article, we study the existence of solution of a fractional integral equation involving both Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations by applying Petryshyn's fixed point theorem. We also justify our main result with the help of a suitable example.*

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus is a branch of mathematical analysis which really examines many possible interpretations for representing the real number powers or complex number powers of the differentiation operator. In applied science and scientific analysis, a fractional derivative is a derivative of any real or complex non-integer order. The methods and interpretations of fractional calculus have evolved dramatically over the 19th and early 20th centuries, and innumerable researchers have supplied conceptions for fractional derivatives and integrals.

The fixed point theory (in short, FPT), which was first suggested by Stephen Banach has had enormous attention among the scientific community. The fixed point theory has remarkable application in the area of phase-transition theory, health care, integral equation, differential equation, etc. Seeking solutions for fractional differentials and integral equations using the fixed point theory had irresistible attention among researchers.

Many researchers solving different types of integral equations to overcome the different real life situations support of FPT and MNC (e.g., one can see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] and references among them).

In this article, we will use the following notations:

- \mathbf{E} : Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbf{E}}$;
- $B[\theta, \kappa]$: closed ball with center θ and radius κ in \mathbf{E} ;
- $\bar{\Omega}$ the closure of Ω ;
- $\text{Conv}\Omega$ the convex closure of Ω ;
- $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}}$ the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of \mathbf{E} ;
- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}$ the subfamily consisting of all relatively compact sets;
- \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers;
- $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$.

The organization of the paper is as follows

In this paper, we discussed definition and some preliminaries of MNC with Petryshyn's fixed point theorem in section 2. Next, the existence of a solution of a fractional integral equation involving both Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations by applying

Petryshyn’s fixed point theorem in section 3. In section 4, we have given an example which prove the efficiency of our result. Finally, we have given the conclusion of this paper in section 5.

Definition 1.1. [10] A function $\mu : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a measure of noncompactness in \mathbf{E} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\mu(\Omega) = 0$ gives Ω is precompact.
- (ii) $\ker \mu = \{\Omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}} : \mu(\Omega) = 0\}$ is nonempty and $\ker \mu \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}$.
- (iii) $\Omega \subseteq \Omega_1 \implies \mu(\Omega) \leq \mu(\Omega_1)$.
- (iv) $\mu(\bar{\Omega}) = \mu(\Omega)$.
- (v) $\mu(\text{Conv}\Omega) = \mu(\Omega)$.
- (vi) $\mu(\varpi\Omega + (1 - \varpi)\Omega_1) \leq \varpi\mu(\Omega) + (1 - \varpi)\mu(\Omega_1)$ for $\varpi \in [0, 1]$.
- (vii) if $\Omega_\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}}, \Omega_\sigma = \bar{\Omega}_\sigma, \Omega_{\sigma+1} \subset \Omega_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\Omega_\sigma) = 0$ then $\Omega_\infty = \bigcap_{\sigma=1}^{\infty} \Omega_\sigma \neq \phi$.

The family $\ker \mu$ is said to be the kernel of measure μ . Also, $\Omega_\infty \in \ker \mu$ and $\mu(\Omega_\infty) \leq \mu(\Omega_\sigma)$ for any σ , so $\mu(\Omega_\infty) = 0$. This gives $\Omega_\infty \in \ker \mu$.

Theorem 1.2. [14] Let $F : E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function of E which fulfills the condition if for all $B \subset E$ with B bounded, $F(B)$ is bounded and $\hat{\alpha}(FB) \leq k\hat{\alpha}(B), k \in (0, 1)$. If $\hat{\alpha}(FB) < \hat{\alpha}(B), \forall \hat{\alpha}(B) > 0$, then F is called densifying or condensing map. A k -set contraction is condensing but converse is not true.

Theorem 1.3. [15] Let $F : B_\rho \rightarrow E$ be a condensing function which fulfills the boundary condition $F(z) = kz, \text{ for some } z \in \partial B_\rho$ then $k \leq 1$. Then $\mathbf{F}(F)$ in B_ρ is nonempty, where $\mathbf{F}(F)$ is the set of fixed points of F .

1.1 Measure of noncompactness on $C([0, 1])$

Consider the space $\mathbf{E} = C(I)$ which consists of the set of real continuous functions on I , where $I = [0, 1]$. Then \mathbf{E} is a Banach space with the norm

$$\| \varrho \| = \sup \{ |\varrho(\varsigma)| : \varsigma \in I \}, \varrho \in \mathbf{E}.$$

Let $\phi \neq \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ be fixed bounded, for $\varrho \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, denote by $\omega(\varrho, \epsilon)$ the modulus of the continuity of ϱ i.e.

$$\omega(\varrho, \epsilon) = \sup \{ |\varrho(\varsigma_1) - \varrho(\varsigma_2)| : \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I, |\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2| \leq \epsilon \}.$$

Further we define

$$\omega(\mathcal{Y}, \epsilon) = \sup \{ \omega(\varrho, \epsilon) : \varrho \in \mathcal{Y} \}; \omega_0(\mathcal{Y}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega(\mathcal{Y}, \epsilon).$$

It is well-known that the function ω_0 is a MNC in \mathbf{E} such that the Hausdorff MNC χ is given by $\chi(\mathcal{Y}) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(\mathcal{Y})$ (see [2]).

2 Main Result

The Riemann-Liouville [18] fractional integral of function Θ is defined by

$$({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^\varsigma (\varsigma - \eta)^{\varpi-1} \Theta(\eta) d\eta,$$

where $0 < \varpi < 1, 0 < a < \infty, T > 0, \varsigma \in [a, T]$.

In [18], the Hadamard fractional integral of function Θ is defined by

$$({}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^\varsigma \left(\ln \frac{\varsigma}{\eta} \right)^{\varpi-1} \frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta,$$

where $0 < \varpi < 1; 1 < a < \infty, \varsigma \in [a, T]$.

In this part, we study the following fractional integral equation

$$\Theta(\varsigma) = \Lambda(\varsigma, l(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), ({}^R I_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta(\varsigma)), ({}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta(\varsigma))), \tag{2.1}$$

where $0 \leq \varpi \leq 1, \varsigma \in I = [2, 3]$.

Let $B_{d_0} = \{\Theta(s) \in \mathbf{E} : \|\Theta\| \leq d_0\}$.

We consider the following assumptions to solve the Eq. (2.1):

(A) $\Lambda : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, l : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and there exists constants $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4 \geq 0$ satisfying

$$|\Lambda(\varsigma, l, I_1, I_2) - \Lambda(\varsigma, \bar{l}, \bar{I}_1, \bar{I}_2)| \leq \sigma_1 |l - \bar{l}| + \sigma_2 |I_1 - \bar{I}_1| + \sigma_3 |I_2 - \bar{I}_2|, \varsigma \in I, l, I_1, I_2, \bar{l}, \bar{I}_1, \bar{I}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$|l(\varsigma, J_1) - l(\varsigma, J_2)| \leq \sigma_4 |J_1 - J_2|, J_1, J_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(B) There exists two positive real numbers \mathbb{M} and \mathbb{K} such that

$$|{}^H J_{\varsigma_2}^\varpi [\Theta(\varsigma_2)] - {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^\varpi [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]| \leq \mathbb{M} |\Theta(\varsigma_2) - \Theta(\varsigma_1)|$$

and

$$|({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma_2) - ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma_1)| \leq \mathbb{K} |\Theta(\varsigma_2) - \Theta(\varsigma_1)|$$

(C) There exists $d_0 > 0$ satisfying

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \sup \{|\Lambda(\varsigma, l, I_1, I_2)| : \varsigma \in I, l \in [-L, L], I_1 \in [-K_R, K_R], I_2 \in [-K_H, K_H]\} \leq d_0$$

and $\sigma_3 \mathbb{M} + \sigma_2 \mathbb{K} < 1,$

$$L = \sup \{|l(\varsigma, \Theta)| : \varsigma \in I, \Theta \in [-d_0, d_0]\},$$

Also let $B_{r_0} = \{x \in C(I) : \|x\| \leq r_0\}$.

Theorem 2.1. If the conditions (A),(B) and (C) hold then the Equation (2.1) has a solution in $\mathbf{E} = C(I)$.

Proof. The operator $\Upsilon : B_{d_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is defined as follows

$$(\Upsilon\Theta)(\varsigma) = \Lambda(\varsigma, l(\Theta(\varsigma)), ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma), ({}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma)).$$

Step 1: We prove that Υ is continuous on B_{d_0} .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Theta, \bar{\Theta} \in B_{d_0}$ such that $\|\Theta - \bar{\Theta}\| < \epsilon,$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Upsilon\Theta)(\varsigma) - (\Upsilon\bar{\Theta})(\varsigma)| \\ & \leq |\Lambda(\varsigma, l(\Theta(\varsigma)), ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma), ({}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma)) - \Lambda(\varsigma, l(\bar{\Theta}(\varsigma)), ({}^R I_a^\varpi \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma), ({}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma))| \\ & \leq \sigma_1 |l(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)) - l(\varsigma, \bar{\Theta}(\varsigma))| + \sigma_2 |({}^R I_a^\varpi \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma) - ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma)| + \sigma_3 |{}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi \Theta - {}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi (\bar{\Theta})(\varsigma)|. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & |({}^R I_a^\varpi \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma) - ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma)| \\ & = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^\varsigma (\varsigma - \eta)^{\varpi-1} (\Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta)) d\eta \right| \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi \Gamma(\varpi)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{implies that } |({}^R I_a^\varpi \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma) - ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi \Gamma(\varpi)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |{}^H J_{\zeta}^{\varpi} \Theta - {}^H J_{\zeta}^{\varpi} (\bar{\Theta}) (\zeta)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^{\zeta} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\zeta}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi-1} \frac{(\Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta))}{\eta} d\eta \right| \\
 &< \left| \frac{\epsilon}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^{\zeta} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\zeta}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi-1} \frac{(\Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta))}{\eta} d\eta \right| \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi\Gamma(\varpi)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\zeta}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi} \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi\Gamma(\varpi)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{3}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi}
 \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$|{}^H J_{\zeta}^{\varpi} (\Theta)(\zeta) - {}^H J_{\zeta}^{\varpi} (\bar{\Theta}) (\zeta)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi\Gamma(\varpi)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{3}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi}.$$

Hence $\|\Theta - \bar{\Theta}\| < \epsilon$ gives

$$|(\mathcal{Y}\Theta) (\zeta) - (\mathcal{Y}\bar{\Theta}) (\zeta)| < \sigma_1\sigma_4\epsilon + \sigma_2 \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi\Gamma(\varpi)} + \sigma_3 \frac{\epsilon}{\varpi\Gamma(\varpi)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{3}{\eta}\right) \right]^{\varpi}.$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get $|(\mathcal{Y}\Theta) (\zeta) - (\mathcal{Y}\bar{\Theta}) (\zeta)| \rightarrow 0$. This shows that \mathcal{Y} is continuous on B_{d_0} .

Step 2: An estimate of Δ with respect to ω_0 , assume $\phi \neq \Omega \subseteq B_{r_0}$. For an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\Theta \in \Omega$ and $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I$ such that $|\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1| \leq \epsilon$ and $\varsigma_2 \geq \varsigma_1$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{Y}\Theta) (\varsigma_2) - (\mathcal{Y}\Theta) (\varsigma_1)| \\
 &= |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2), {}^H J_{\varsigma_2}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_2)]) - \Lambda(\varsigma_1, l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)])| \\
 &\leq |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2), {}^H J_{\varsigma_2}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_2)]) - \Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)])| \\
 &+ |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]) - \Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)])| \\
 &+ |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]) - \Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)])| \\
 &+ |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]) - \Lambda(\varsigma_1, l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1)), ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1), {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)])| \\
 &\leq \sigma_3 |{}^H J_{\varsigma_2}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_2)] - {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]| + \sigma_2 |({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2) - ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1)| \\
 &+ \sigma_1 |l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)) - l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1))| + \omega_{\Lambda}(I, \epsilon),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\Lambda}(I, \epsilon) = \sup \{ |\Lambda(\varsigma_2, l, I_1, I_2) - \Lambda(\varsigma_1, l, I_1, I_2)| : |\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1| \leq \epsilon; \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I. \}.$$

Also,

$$\omega_l(I, \epsilon) = \sup \{ |l(\varsigma_2, \Theta(\varsigma_2)) - l(\varsigma_1, \Theta(\varsigma_1))| : \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I; \Theta(\varsigma_1), \Theta(\varsigma_2) \in [-d_0, d_0] \}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |{}^H J_{\varsigma_2}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_2)] - {}^H J_{\varsigma_1}^{\varpi} [\Theta(\varsigma_1)]| \\
 &\leq \mathbb{M} |\Theta(\varsigma_2) - \Theta(\varsigma_1)| \\
 &\leq \mathbb{M}\omega(\Theta, \epsilon) \\
 & |({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_2) - ({}^R I_a^{\varpi} \Theta) (\varsigma_1)| \\
 &\leq \mathbb{K} |\Theta(\varsigma_2) - \Theta(\varsigma_1)| \\
 &\leq \mathbb{K}\omega(\Theta, \epsilon).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|(\mathcal{Y}\Theta)(\varsigma_2) - (\mathcal{Y}\Theta)(\varsigma_1)| \leq \sigma_3 [\mathbb{M}\omega(\Theta, \epsilon)] + \sigma_2 [\mathbb{K}\omega(\Theta, \epsilon)] + \sigma_1\omega_l(I, \epsilon) + \omega_\Lambda(I, \epsilon),$$

i.e.

$$\omega(\mathcal{Y}\Theta, \epsilon) \leq \omega(\Theta, \epsilon) [\sigma_3\mathbb{M} + \sigma_2\mathbb{K}] + \sigma_1\omega_l(I, \epsilon) + \omega_\Lambda(I, \epsilon).$$

By the uniform continuity of l, Λ , we have as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives $\omega_l(I, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega_\Lambda(I, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$. Taking sup and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get,

$$\omega_0(\mathcal{Y}\Omega) \leq [\sigma_3\mathbb{M} + \sigma_2\mathbb{K}]\omega_0(\Omega),$$

which shows that \mathcal{Y} is condensing map.

Now, if $\Theta \in \partial B_{d_0}$ and $\mathcal{Y}\Theta = k\Theta$ then $\|\mathcal{Y}\Theta\| = k\|\Theta\| = kd_0$ and by assumption (B),

$$|(\mathcal{Y}\Theta)(\varsigma)| = |\Lambda(\varsigma, l(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), ({}^R I_a^\varpi \Theta)(\varsigma), {}^H J_\varsigma^\varpi [\Theta(\varsigma)])| \leq d_0, \varsigma \in I$$

hence $\|\mathcal{Y}\Theta\| \leq d_0$ which implies $k \leq 1$.

Thus by Petryshyn’s fixed point theorem (Theorem 1.3), \mathcal{Y} has a fixed point in $\Omega \subseteq B_{d_0}$ i.e. equation (2.1) has a solution in \mathbf{E} . □

3 Example

Example 3.1. Consider the following equation

$$\Theta(\varsigma) = \varsigma^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\Theta(\varsigma)}}{7 + \varsigma^5 + \varsigma^7} + \frac{({}^R I_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta)(\varsigma)}{7} + \frac{{}^H I_0^{\frac{1}{2}} [\Theta(\varsigma)]}{14} \tag{3.1}$$

for $\varsigma \in [2, 3] = I$.

Here

$$({}^R I_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta)(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\varsigma (\varsigma - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta) d\eta,$$

and

$$({}^H I_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta)(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\varsigma \left(\log \frac{\varsigma}{\eta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta,$$

Also, $\Lambda(\varsigma, l, I_1, I_2) = \varsigma^2 + l + \frac{I}{7} + \frac{I_2}{14}$ and $l(\varsigma, \Theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\Theta(\varsigma)}}{7 + \varsigma^5 + \varsigma^7}$. It is trivial that both Λ, l are continuous satisfying

$$|l(\varsigma, J_1) - l(\varsigma, J_2)| \leq \frac{|J_1 - J_2|}{7}$$

and

$$|\Lambda(\varsigma, l, I_1, I_2) - \Lambda(\varsigma, \bar{l}, \bar{I}_1, \bar{I}_2)| \leq |l - \bar{l}| + \frac{1}{7} |I_1 - \bar{I}_1| + \frac{1}{14} |I_2 - \bar{I}_2|.$$

Therefore, $\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{7}, \sigma_3 = \frac{1}{14}$. If $\|\Theta\| \leq d_0$ then

$$K_R = \frac{d_0}{\Gamma(\omega)}, K_H = 2d_0, L = \frac{d_0}{7}.$$

Further,

$$|\Lambda(\varsigma, l, I_1, I_2)| \leq \frac{d_0}{7} + \frac{1}{7} \frac{d_0}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{2d_0}{14} = \frac{d_0}{7} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right) \leq d_0.$$

If we choose $d_0 = 3$ then

$$L = \frac{3}{7}, K_R = 1.70, K_H = 6$$

which gives

$$\bar{\Lambda} \leq 3, \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 \approx 0.214 < 1.$$

We observe that all the assumption from (A) – (C) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 2.1 we concluded that the equation (3.1) has a solution in \mathbf{E} .

4 Conclusion remarks

In the present article, we have introduced a new fractional integral operator involving both Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations. Then, we have established the existence solution of a fractional integral equation involving both Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations by applying Petryshyn's fixed point theorem using the technique of MNC. Finally, we have verified our result by a suitable example.

References

- [1] R. Arab, H.K. Nashine, N. H. Can and T.T. Binh, Solvability of functional-integral equations (fractional order) using measure of noncompactness, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, Article number: 12 (2020).
- [2] J. Banaś and K. Goebel, *Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 60, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1980).*
- [3] J. Banaś and M. Mursaleen, *Sequence Spaces and Measures of Noncompactness with Applications to Differential and Integral Equations, Springer India, (2014).*
- [4] M.A. Darwish and K. Sadarangani, On a quadratic integral equation with supremum involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional order, *Math. Nachr.* 288(5-6) 566–576 (2015).
- [5] A. Das, B. Hazarika, R. Arab, R.P. Agarwal and H.K. Nashine, Solvability of infinite systems of fractional differential equations in the space of tempered sequences, *Filomat* 33(17) 5519-5530 (2019).
- [6] A. Das, B. Hazarkia and M. Mursaleen, Application of measure of noncompactness for solvability of the infinite system of integral equations in two variables in ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$), *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 113(1) 31-40 (2019).
- [7] M. Gabeleh, E. Malkowsky, M. Mursaleen and V. Rakočević, A new survey of measures of noncompactness and their applications, *Axioms*, 11 (2022) 299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11060299>.
- [8] M. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 604-608 (1973).
- [9] I. Haque, J. Ali and M. Mursaleen, Solvability of implicit fractional order integral equation in ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) space via generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem, *Jour. Function Spaces*, Article ID 1674243, (2022),
- [10] K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets, *Fund. Math.* 15 301-309 (1930).
- [11] M. Mursaleen and V. Rakočević, A survey on measures of noncompactness with some applications in infinite systems of differential equations, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, DOI:10.1007/s00010-021-00848-0.
- [12] M. Mursaleen and S.M.H. Rizvi, Solvability of infinite system of second order differential equations in c_0 and ℓ_1 by Meir-Keeler condensing operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(10) 4279-4289 (2016).
- [13] H.K. Nashine, R. Arab, R.P. Agarwal and Ali Shole Haghhigh, Darbo type fixed and coupled fixed point results and its application to integral equation, *Periodica Math. Hungarica*, 77 94-107 (2018).
- [14] R.D. Nussbaum, The fixed point index and fixed point theorem for k set contractions, Proquest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1969. Thesis(Ph.D)-The University of Chicago.
- [15] W.V. Petryshyn, Structure of the fixed points sets of k -set-contractions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 40(1970-1971) 312-328.
- [16] M. Rabbani, A. Das, B. Hazarika and R. Arab, Measure of noncompactness of a new space of tempered sequences and its application on fractional differential equations, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 140 (2020) 110221, 7 pages.
- [17] M. Rabbani, A. Das, B. Hazarika and R. Arab, Existence of solution for two dimensional nonlinear fractional integral equation by measure of noncompactness and iterative algorithm to solve it, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 370(2020) 1-17.
- [18] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and I.O. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Yverdon, Switzerland: Gordon and Breach Science, 1993.
- [19] H. A. Kayvanloo, M. Khanehgir, R. Allahyari, A family of measures of noncompactness in the Holder space $C^{n,\gamma}(R_+)$ and its application to some fractional differential equations and numerical methods, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 363 256-272 (2020).
- [20] F. P. Najafabadi, J. J. Nieto., and H. A. Kayvanloo, Measure of noncompactness on weighted Sobolev space with an application to some nonlinear convolution type integral equations, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 22(3) 1-15 (2020).
- [21] H. Mehravaran, H. Amiri Kayvanloo, and M. Mursaleen, Solvability of infinite systems of fractional differential equations in the double sequence space $2^c(\Delta)$, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 25(6) 2298-2312 (2022).

- [22] H. Mehravaran, H. Amiri Kayvanloo, and R. Allahyari, Measures of noncompactness in the space of regulated functions $R(J, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ and its application to some nonlinear infinite systems of fractional differential equations, *Math. Sci.*, 17(3) 223-232 (2023).
- [23] H. Mehravaran, H., Amiri Kayvanloo, and R. Allahyari, Solvability of infinite systems of fractional differential equations in the space of tempered sequence space $m^\beta(\phi)$, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 13(1) 1023-1034 (2022).
- [24] W. Rahou, A. Salim, J. E. Lazreg and M. Benchohra, Implicit caputo tempered fractional differential equations with retarded and advanced arguments in Banach spaces, *Palest. J. Math.* 14(1)1-14 2025.
- [25] A. E. Haddouchi, A. Sadrati, Existence and uniqueness of fixed point to a mixed monotone vector operator and application to a system of fractional boundary value problems, *Palest. J. Math.* 14(1), 654–670 (2025).

Author information

N. K. Mahato, Natural Science Discipline, IIITDM Jabalpur, India.

E-mail: nihar@iiitdmj.ac.in

Rahul, Natural Science Discipline, IIITDM Jabalpur, Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, Raj Kumar Goel institute of Technology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

E-mail: rahul_fsh@rkit.ac.in

M. Mursaleen, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai 602105, Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail: mursaleen@gmail.com

A. Das, Department of Mathematics, Cotton University, Guwahati, Assam, India.

E-mail: math.anupam@gmail.com eg

Received: 2025-01-08

Accepted: 2025-03-12