# A STUDY ON DEG-CENTRIC GRAPHS OF SOME GRAPH FAMILIES

Timmy Tomy Thalavayalil and Sudev Naduvath

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 33C20; Secondary 33C65.

Keywords and phrases: Distance, eccentricity, graph operations, deg-centric graph, deg-centrication graphs.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Corresponding Author: Timmy Tomy Thalavayalil

**Abstract** The *deg-centric graph* of a simple, connected graph G, denoted by  $G_d$ , is a graph constructed from G such that,  $V(G_d) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_d) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) \le deg_G(v_i)\}$ . This paper presents the properties and structural characteristics of deg-centric graphs of some graph families; the deg-centrication of graph operations are also discussed.

# 1 Introduction

For a basic terminology of graph theory, we refer to [11]. For further topics on graph classes, [14, 13]. A graph will be assumed to be a simple, connected, and undirected graph G. The size of a graph is the number of edges and is denoted by  $\varepsilon(G)$ . Recall that the distance between two distinct vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of G, denoted by  $d_G(v_i, v_j)$ , is the length of the shortest path joining them. The eccentricity of a vertex  $v_i \in V(G)$ , denoted by  $e(v_i)$  (or  $e_G(v_i)$ , is the furthest distance from  $v_i$  to some vertex of G. Vertices at a distance  $e(v_i)$  from  $v_i$  are called the eccentric vertices of  $v_i$ . An eccentric graph of a graph G, denoted by  $G_e$ , is obtained from the same set of vertices as G with two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  being adjacent in  $G_e$  if and only if  $v_j$  is an eccentric vertex of  $v_i$ is an eccentric vertex of  $v_j$  (see[1, 2]). The iterated eccentric graph of G, denoted by  $G_{e^k}$ , is defined in (see[3]) as the derived graph obtained by taking the eccentric graph successively k-times; that is,  $G_{e^k} = ((Ge)e \dots)e$ , (k-times).

The degree centric graph or deg-centric graph of a graph G is the graph  $G_d$  with  $V(G_d) =$ V(G) and  $E(G_d) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) \le deg_G(v_i)\}$  (see[4]). Let G be a graph and  $G_d$  be the deg-centric graph of G. Then, the successive iteration deg-centric graph of G, denoted by  $G_{d^k}$ , is defined as the derived graph obtained by taking the deg-centric graph successively k times; that is,  $G_{d^k} = ((G_d)_d \dots)_d$ , (k-times). This process is known as deg-centrication process (see[4]). Let  $\varphi(G)$  denote the number of iterations required to transform a graph G to completion. The exact degree centric graph or exact deg-centric graph of a graph G and denoted by  $G_{ed}$ , is the graph with  $V(G_{ed}) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_{ed}) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) = deg_G(v_i)\}$ . This graph transformation is called exact deg-centrication (see[5]). Let G be a graph and  $G_{ed}$  be the exact deg-centric graph of G. Then the iterated exact deg-centric graph of G, denoted by  $G_{ed^k}$ , is defined as the graph obtained by applying *exact deg-centrication* successively k-times; That is,  $G_{ed^k} = ((G_{ed})_{ed}...)_{ed}, (k-\text{times}) (\text{see}[5]).$  The coarse degree centric graph or coarse deg-centric graph of a graph G, denoted by  $G_{cd}$ , is the graph with  $V(G_{cd}) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_{cd}) = \{v_i v_j : v$  $d_G(v_i, v_j) > deg_G(v_i)$ . Then the *iterated coarse deg-centric graph* of G, denoted by  $G_{cd^k}$ , is defined as the graph obtained by applying *coarse deg-centrication* successively k-times; that is,  $G_{cd^k} = ((G_{cd})_{cd}...)_{cd}, (k\text{-times}) \text{ (see[6])}.$ 

The upper degree centric graph or upper deg-centric graph of a graph G, denoted by  $G_{ud}$ , is the graph with  $V(G_{ud}) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_{ud}) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) \ge deg_G(v_i)\}$ . This graph transformation is called upper deg-centrication (see[9]). Let G be a graph and  $G_{ud}$  be the upper

deg-centric graph of G. Then the *iterated upper deg-centric graph* of G, denoted by  $G_{ud^k}$ , is defined as the graph obtained by applying *upper deg-centrication* successively k-times; that is,  $G_{ud^k} = ((G_{ud})_{ud}...)_{ud}$ , (k-times) (see[9]). The lower degree centric graph or lower deg-centric graph of a graph G, denoted by  $G_{ld}$ , is the graph with  $V(G_{ld}) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_{ld}) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) < deg_G(v_i)\}$ . Then, the *iterated lower deg-centric graph* of G, denoted by  $G_{ld^k}$ , is defined as the graph obtained by applying *lower deg-centrication* successively k-times; that is,  $G_{ld^k} = ((G_{ld})_{ld}...)_{ld}$ , (k-times) (see[10]).

Motivated by the above-mentioned studies, we investigate the properties and structural characteristics of deg-centrication of graph operations and certain graph classes in this paper.

**Definition 1.1.** [4] The *degree centric graph* or *deg-centric graph* of simple graph G, denoted by  $G_d$ , is the graph with  $V(G_d) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_d) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) \le deg_G(v_i)\}$ . This graph transformation is called *deg-centrication* of the graph.

**Definition 1.2.** [4] The *iterated deg-centric graph* of a graph G, denoted by  $G_{d^k}$ , is defined as the graph obtained by applying *deg-centrication* successively *k*-times. That is,  $G_{d^k} = ((G_d)_{d...})_d$ , (*k*-times).

**Theorem 1.3.** [4] The deg-centric graph of a non-star graph G with  $\delta(G) \ge diam(G)$  is complete.

**Theorem 1.4.** [4] *The iterated deg-centric graph of a graph G is complete if and only if G is not a star graph.* 

**Corollary 1.5.** [4] The deg-centric graph  $G_d$  of a non-star graph G with  $deg_G(v_i) \ge e(v_i)$  is complete.

**Theorem 1.6.** [4] For a non-star graph G with m = diam(G),  $\varphi(G) \leq \varphi(P_m)$ .

#### 2 Bounds for the Size of Deg-centric Graphs

In this section, we explore an upper bound for the size of deg-centric graphs.

Remember that G+e means adding an edge e to G. If two or more edges say,  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_k$  are added to G it is denoted by  $G + (e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_k)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** For any tree T and G = T + e it follows that,  $\varepsilon(G_d) \ge \varepsilon(T_d)$ .

*Proof.* The above statement immediately follows from the fact that if e is added between vertices  $v_i, v_j$ , then  $deg_T(v_i) < deg_G(v_i)$  and  $deg_T(v_j) < deg_G(v_j)$ .

**Observation 2.1.** For any graph G and H = G + e it follows that,  $\varepsilon(H_d) \ge \varepsilon(G_d)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let distinct graphs G and H both be of order n.

- (a) If G is a spanning subgraph of H then,  $\varepsilon(H_d) \ge \varepsilon(G_d)$ .
- (b) If G is not a spanning subgraph of H and, G and H share a common spanning tree as well as  $\varepsilon(G) < \varepsilon(H)$  then,  $\varepsilon(H_d) \ge \varepsilon(G_d)$ .
- *Proof.* (a) Clearly, by Observation 2.1, adding edges in an appropriate manner iteratively to G one at a time to obtain H. For each iteration, Observation 2.1, remains valid. This settles the result.
  - (b) Clearly, as per Proposition 2.1, adding edges in an appropriate manner iteratively to two copies of a common spanning T of G and H, one at a time to first obtain G and after that obtain G. For each iteration, Proposition 2.1, remains valid. This settles the result.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let S be the set of distinct spanning trees of a graph G. Then,  $\varepsilon(G_d) \ge \varepsilon(T_d^-)$ where,  $\varepsilon(T_d^-) = \{\varepsilon(T_d) : T \in S\}.$ 

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 2.1, it follows for any spanning tree T of G that, for  $H = T + (e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_k)$ ,  $\varepsilon(H_d) \ge \varepsilon(T_d)$ . Amongst the finite number of distinct spanning trees of G there exists some  $T^-$  such that  $\varepsilon(T_d^-) = \{\varepsilon(T_d) : T \in S\}$ . This settles the result.  $\Box$ 

# **3** Deg-centric Graphs of Some Graph Families

In this section, we discuss the deg-centric graph of certain interesting graph classes. Let  $\varphi(G)$  denote the number of iterations required to transform a graph *G* to complete. A non-trivial *bistar* graph, denoted by  $S_{a,b}$ , is a graph obtained by joining the centers of two non-trivial star graphs  $K_{1,a}$ ,  $a \ge 1$  and  $K_{1,b}$ ,  $b \ge 1$  with the edge  $v_0u_0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For  $a, b \ge 1$ ,

$$\varepsilon((S_{a,b})_d) = 2(a+b) + 1.$$

*Proof.* For a bistar graph  $S_{a,b}$ ;  $a, b \ge 1$ , let the pendant vertices of  $k_{1,a}$  be the set  $X = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_a\}$  and let the pendant vertices of  $k_{1,b}$  be the set  $Y = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_b\}$ . Finally, let  $W = \{v_0, u_0\}$  be center vertices. By Definition 1.1, it follows that both  $v_0, u_0$  are adjacent with all other a + b + 1 vertices. Elements of sets X and Y are pendant vertices. Hence, by Definition 1.1, one edge forms from these pendant vertices, which implies a + b pendant vertices with degree two in  $(S_{a,b})_d$ . Therefore,

$$\varepsilon((S_{a,b})_d) = \frac{2(a+b+1)+2(a+b)}{2}$$
  
= 2(a+b)+1.

**Proposition 3.2.** For  $m, n \ge 2$ ,  $\varphi(K_{m,n}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $m, n \ge 2$ ,  $K_{n,m}$  is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two independent sets X, |X| = n and Y, |Y| = m. Let  $X = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $Y = \{u_{1,2}, \ldots, v_m\}$ . In view of Definition 1.1, all the vertices of  $k_{n,m}$  are adjacent in  $(K_{n,m})_d$ ; that is, the deg-centric graph is complete. Hence,  $\varphi(K_{m,n}) = 1$ .

A djembe graph, denoted by  $D_{1,n}$ , is obtained by joining the vertices  $u_i$ 's;  $1 \le i \le n$  of a closed helm graph  $CH_{1,n}$  to its central vertex  $v_0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For*  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(D_{1,n}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The djembe graph  $D_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n+1. Let  $V(D_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ . Since  $\delta(D_{1,n}) = 4 > diam(D_{1,n}) = 2$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi(D_{1,n}) = 1$ . This settles the result.

A gear graph, denoted by  $G_n, n \ge 3$ , is a graph obtained by inserting an extra vertex between each pair of adjacent vertices on the outer cycle of a wheel graph  $W_n$ .

#### **Proposition 3.4.** *For* $n \ge 3$ *,*

$$\varphi(G_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 3\\ 2, & \text{for } n \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The gear graph  $G_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n + 1. Let  $V(G_n) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ .

(a) If n = 3, by Definition 1.1,  $(G_3)_d \cong k_7$ .

(b) If  $n \ge 4$ , we have  $\delta(G_n) = 2$  and  $diam(G_n) = 4$ . Thus  $\varphi(G_n) > 1$ . The graph is not a star, by Theorem1.6,  $\varphi(G_n) = 2$ . Therefore, the result follows.

# **Proposition 3.5.** *For* $n \ge 4$ , $\varepsilon((G_n)_d) = \frac{1}{2}(3n^2 + 5n)$

*Proof.* The gear graph,  $n \ge 4$ , is of the order 2n+1. Let  $V(G_n) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ . Since  $deg_G(v_0) = n > e(v_0) = 2$ , by Definition1.1, 2n edge forms from  $v_0$  in  $(G_n)_d$ . Vertices  $v_i$  are adjacent to the center vertex  $v_0$  However, since  $deg_{G_n}(v_i) = 3$ , by Definition1.1, 2n edges form from a vertex  $v_i$  in  $(G_n)_d$ . Since  $deg_{G_n}(u_i) = 2$ , then a distance less than or equal to two vertices forms edges from  $u_i$ , that is  $deg_{(G_n)_d(u_i)} = n + 3$ . Finally,

$$\varepsilon((G_n)_d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_i \in V((G_n)_d)} \deg(w_i) = \frac{1}{2} (3n^2 + 5n)$$

A *flower graph*,  $F_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  is a graph obtained from a helm graph  $H_{1,n}$ , by joining each of its pendant vertices  $u_i$ 's to its central vertex  $v_0$ .

#### **Proposition 3.6.** For $n \ge 3$ , $\varphi(F_{1,n}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The flower graph  $F_{1,n,}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  is of the order 2n + 1. Let  $V(F_{1,n,}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ . Since  $\delta(F_{1,n,}) = 2 = diam(F_{1,n,}) = 2$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi(F_{1,n}) = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

An illustration of a proposition 3.6 is given in Figure 1.



Figure 1:  $\varphi((F_{1,4})_d) = 1$ .

The sunflower graph, denoted by  $SF_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  is obtained from the wheel  $W_{1,n}$  by attaching n vertices  $u_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  such that each  $u_i$  is adjacent to  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  and count the suffix is taken modulo n.

**Proposition 3.7.** *For*  $n \ge 3$ ,

$$\varphi(SF_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 3, \\ 2, & \text{for } n \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The sunflower graph  $SF_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n + 1. Let  $V(SF_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ .

(a) If 
$$n = 3$$
, since  $\delta(SF_{1,n}) = 2 = diam(SF_{1,n}) = 2$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $(SF_{1,n})_d \cong k_7$ 

(b) For n≥ 4, we have δ(SF<sub>1,n</sub>) = 2 and diam(SF<sub>1,n</sub>) = 4. Thus, φ(SF<sub>1,n</sub>) > 1. The graph G is not a star, then, m = diam(G) then φ(G) ≤ φ(P<sub>m</sub>), φ(SF<sub>1,n</sub>) ≤ φ(P<sub>4</sub>) = 2, by Theorem1.6, φ(SF<sub>1,n</sub>) = 2.

**Proposition 3.8.** For  $n \ge 4$ ,  $\varepsilon((SF_{1,n})_d) = \frac{1}{2}(3n^2 + 5n)$ .

*Proof.* The sun flower graph  $SF_{1,n,i}$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n + 1. Let  $V(SF_{1,n,i}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ . Since  $\deg_{SF_{1,n}}(v_0) = n > e(v_0) = 2$  and  $\deg_{SF_{1,n}}(v_i) = n + 1 > e(v_i) = 2$  and  $\deg_{SF_{1,n}}(v_i) = n + 1 > e(v_i) = 2$ , by the Definition1.1, 2n edges form from  $v_0$  and  $v_i$  in deg-centric graph. Since  $\deg_{SF_{1,n}}(u_i) = 2$ , by the Definition1.1, distance one or two edges forms from  $u_i$ , that is  $\deg_{(SF_{1,n})_d(u_i)} = n + 3$ . Finally,

$$\varepsilon((SF_{1,n})_d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_i \in V((SF_{1,n})_d)} deg(w_i)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}((n+1)(2n) + n(n+3))$   
=  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 + 5n).$ 

An illustration of a proposition 3.7 and proposition 3.8 is given in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Deg-centric graph of  $SF_{1,4}$ .

A closed sunflower graph  $CSF_{1,n}$  is obtained by adding the edge  $u_iu_{i+1}$  of the sunflower graph, suffixes taken modulo n.

**Proposition 3.9.** For  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(CSF_{1,n}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The closed sunflower graph  $CSF_{1,n}$ , is of the order 2n+1. Let  $V(CSF_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ . For  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\delta(CSF_{1,n}) \ge diam(CSF_{1,n})$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $(CSF_{1,n})_d$  is complete, that is  $\varphi(CSF_{1,n}) = 1$ .

A blossom graph, denoted by  $Bl_{1,n}$ , is obtained by making each  $u_i$  adjacent to the central vertex of the closed sunflower graph.

# **Proposition 3.10.** For $n \ge 3$ , $\varphi(Bl_{1,n}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The blossom graph  $Bl_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  is of the order 2n+1. Let  $V(Bl_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ . Since  $\delta(Bl_{1,n}) = 5 > diam(Bl_{1,n}) = 2$ , by the Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi(Bl_{1,n}) = 1$ .

A sunlet graph, denoted by  $Sl_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is a graph obtained by attaching a pendant vertex to every vertex of a cycle graph  $c_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ . In other words, a sunlet graph on 2n vertices is obtained by taking the corona product  $C_n \circ K_1$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *For*  $n \ge 3$ *,* 

$$\varepsilon((Sl_n)_d) = \begin{cases} \frac{3n^2 - n}{2}, & \text{if } 3 \le n \le 5\\ \frac{3n^2 - 3n}{2}, & \text{if } n = 6\\ 8n, & \text{if } n \ge 7. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $V(Sl_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \underbrace{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n}\}$ . Then,

# pendant vertices

- (a) If  $3 \le n \le 5$ , in view of Definition 1.1,  $\deg_{Sl_n}(v_i) = 3 > e(v_i) = 2$  then all  $v_i$  vertices are adjacent with other 2n 1 vertices. However, since all  $u_i$  are pendants vertices, by Definition 1.1, no edge forms from a  $u_i$  in the deg-centric graph. Then the number of edges equals  $\frac{n(2n-1)+(n)(n)}{2}$ . Finally,  $\varepsilon((Sl_n)_d) = \frac{3n^2 n}{2}$ .
- (b) If n = 6, by Definition 1.1, then all  $v_i$  vertices are adjacent with other 2n 2 vertices. However, since all  $u_i$  are pendant vertices, in view of Definition 1.1, no edge forms from a  $u_i$  in  $(Sl_n)_d$ . Finally,  $\varepsilon((Sl_n)_d) = \frac{n(2n-2)+n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{3n^2-3n}{2}$ .
- (c) Consider  $n \ge 7$ , by Definition 1.1, then all  $v_i$  vertices are adjacent with eleven vertices. However, since all  $u_i$  are pendant vertices, no edge forms from a  $u_i$  in  $(Sl_n)_d$ . Then, all  $u_i$  have degree five. Then,  $\varepsilon((Sl_n)_d) = \frac{11n+5n}{2}$ . Finally,  $\varepsilon((Sl_n)_d) = 8n$ .

**Corollary 3.12.** For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\varphi(Sl_n) \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* In views of Definition 1.1, and Proposition 3.11,  $Sl_d$  is not complete; that is  $\varphi(Sl_n) \geq 2$ .

An illustration of a proposition 3.11 is given in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Deg-centric graph of Sl<sub>7</sub>.

An antiprism graph, denoted by  $A_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$  is a graph obtained two cycles  $C_n$  and  $C'_n$  of order n with vertex sets  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n\}$  respectively. Join the vertices  $u_i v_i$  and  $u_i v_{i+1}$  to form the additional edges.

**Proposition 3.13.** *For*  $n \ge 3$ *,* 

$$\varepsilon((A_n)_d) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(K_{2n}) & \text{if } 3 \le n \le 8\\ 16n & \text{if } n \ge 9. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For an antiprism graph  $A_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n. Let  $V(A_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ .

(i) If  $3 \le n \le 8$ ,  $\deg_{A_n}(v_i) = \deg_{A_n}(u_i) = 4 > e(v_i) = e(u_i)$ , by Corollary 1.5,  $(A_n)_d \cong K_{2n}$ . (ii) If  $n \ge 9$ ,  $\deg_{A_n}(v_i) = \deg_{A_n}(u_i) = 4$ , by Definition 1.1,  $\deg_{(A_n)_d(v_i)} = \deg_{(A_n)_d(u_i)} = 16$ . That implies  $\varepsilon((A_n)_d) = 16n$ .

**Observation 3.1.** For  $n \ge 9$ ,  $\varphi(A_n) \ge 2$ .

Consider a complete graph  $K_n$  with the vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n\}$  be a copy of V(G) such that  $u_i$  corresponds to  $v_i$ . The *sun graph*, denoted by  $S_n$ , is a graph with vertex set  $V \cup U$  and two vertices x and y are adjacent in  $S_n$  if  $x \sim y$  in  $K_n$  and  $x = u_i, y \in v_i, v_{i+1}$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** For  $n \ge 4$ ,  $\varphi(S_n) = 2$ .

*Proof.* For  $n \ge 4$ , we have  $\delta(S_n) = 2$  and  $diam(S_n) = 3$ . Thus,  $\varphi(S_n) > 1$ . By Definition 1.1,  $\varphi(S_n) = 2$ . Therefore, the result follows.

**Observation 3.2.** For n = 3,  $\varphi(S_n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* For a sun graph  $S_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n. Let  $V(S_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ . For n = 3,  $\delta(S_3) \ge diam(S_3)$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $(S_3)_d$  is complete; that is,  $\varphi(S_3) = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.15.** *For*  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varepsilon((S_n)_d) = \frac{1}{2}(n(3n+1))$ .

*Proof.* The sun graph  $S_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is of the order 2n. Let  $V(S_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ . Since  $deg_{S_n}(v_i) = n + 1 > e(v_i) = 2$ , by Definition 1.1, (2n - 1) edges form from  $v_i$  in  $(S_n)_d$ . However, since  $deg_{S_n}(u_i) = 2$ , by Definition 1.1, distance one or two edges forms from  $u_i$  in  $(S_n)_d$ . Finally,

$$\varepsilon((S_n)_d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_i \in V((S_n)_d)} deg(w_i)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} (n(2n-1) + n(n+2))$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} (n(3n+1)).$ 

Recall that the sequence of the second pentagonal numbers is generated by:

$$p_n = \frac{n(3n+1)}{2}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In expanded form it is:

0, 2, 7, 15, 26, 40, 57, 77, 100, 126, 155, 187, ...

The relation between the size of the deg-centricated sun graphs and the second pentagon numbers follows immediately as a corollary 3.16.

**Corollary 3.16.** For  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varepsilon((S_n)_d) = p_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ .

An illustration of a proposition 3.15 is given in Figure 4.

A closed sun graph  $CS_n$ , is the graph obtained from adding the edges  $u_i u_{i+1}$  in the sun graph. In views of Definition 1.1, and Theorem 1.3, for  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(CS_n) = 1$ .

A tree denoted by  $T_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  is a connected acyclic graph. It is known that a tree  $T_n$  has n-1 edges.

**Proposition 3.17.** For 
$$n \ge 3$$
,  $\varphi(T_n) \ge 2$ .



Figure 4: Deg-centric sun graph of  $S_4$ .

*Proof.* For a tree  $T_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$  is of the order n. Let  $V(T_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, \}$ . A tree with at least two vertices has at least two pendant vertices, in view of Definition 1.1,  $(T_n)_d$  is not complete; that is,  $\varphi(T_n) \ge 2$ .

The *ladder graph*,  $L_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  is obtained by taking two copies of a path  $P_n$  with respective vertices say,  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n$  and  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n$  and adding the edges  $v_i u_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Note that  $L_n \cong P_n \Box K_2$  where  $\Box$  denotes the Cartesian product.

**Proposition 3.18.** For a ladder  $G = L_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  it follows that:

$$\varepsilon(L_{1_d}) = 1,$$
  

$$\varepsilon(L_{2_d}) = 6,$$
  

$$\varepsilon(L_{3_d}) = 13,$$
  

$$\varepsilon(L_{4_d}) = 24,$$
  

$$\varepsilon(L_{5_d}) = 37,$$
  

$$\varepsilon(G_d) = \varepsilon(H_d) + 11 \text{ where } H = L_{n-1} \text{ and } n > 6.$$

*Proof.* By applying Definition 1.1, it easily follows that  $\varepsilon(L_{1d}) = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon(L_{2d}) = 6$ ,  $\varepsilon(L_{3d}) = 13$ ,  $\varepsilon(L_{4d}) = 24$  and  $\varepsilon(L_{5d}) = 37$ . Now, besides the claimed result, it is valid that for any  $n \ge 6$  and  $H = L_{n-1}$  the size of  $H_d$ ; that is,  $\varepsilon(H_d)$  can be determined by applying Definition 1.1. Consider  $H = L_{n-1}$  and assume that both  $H_d$  and  $\varepsilon(H_d)$  has been determined. Now consider the extension from H to  $G = L_n$ . Some subgraph of  $H_d$  is a subgraph of  $G_d$ . Note that in G the degree of respectively  $v_{n-1}$ ,  $u_{n-1}$  has increased to 3. Therefore, all edges found in  $H_d$  are in  $G_d$ , that is  $\varepsilon(H) \subset \varepsilon(G)$ . With regards to say,  $v_n$  the edges which forms are  $v_n u_n, v_n u_{n-1}, v_n v_{n-2}$ . A similar thing can be applied to vertex  $u_n$ . The edges which forms are  $u_n v_n, u_n v_{n-1}, u_n u_{n-1}, u_n u_{n-2}$ . Also, the edges  $v_{n-2}u_n, v_{n-3}v_n, u_{n-2}v_n, u_{n-3}u_n$  are formed. Hence,

$$\varepsilon(G_d) = \varepsilon(H_d) + (7+4)$$
  
=  $\varepsilon(H_d) + 11.$ 

Finally, since an initial value,  $\varepsilon(L_{5_d}) = 37$  is known, the result for  $n \ge 6$ .

**Observation 3.3.** For  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(L_n) \ge 2$ .

A friendship graph, denoted by  $F_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is obtained by joining n copies of the complete graph  $K_3$  with a common vertex. In view of Definition 1.1, and Theorem 1.3, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\varphi(F_n) = 1$ .

A butterfly graph, denoted by  $BF_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is constructed by joining two copies of the cycle graph  $C_n$  with a common vertex v and is therefore isomorphic to the friendship graph  $F_n$ . In view of Definition 1.1, and Theorem 1.3, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\varphi(BF_n) = 1$ .

#### 4 Deg-centrication process of Graph Operations

In this section, we discuss certain graph operations. For the elementary graph operation, G + H and G or H is a non-trivial graph, the parameter  $\varphi(G+H) = 1$ . Another elementary graph operation is the disjoint union of graphs denoted by  $G \cup H$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(G \cup H) = max\{\varphi(G), \varphi(H)\}$  (see[4]).

Recall that the *Cartesian product* of two graphs G and H, denoted by  $G \Box H$ , has the following adjacency rule. The vertices (g, h) and (g', h') have edges between them if g = g' and  $hh' \in E(H)$  or h = h' and  $gg' \in E(G)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For a non trivial graph G and a graph H, it follows that

- (a) If  $G \cong K_2$  and  $H \cong K_2$ , then  $\varphi(G \Box H) = 1$ .
- (b) If  $G \cong P_n$ ,  $n \leq 2$  and  $H \cong K_2$ , then  $\varphi(G \Box H) = 1$ .
- (c) If  $G \cong P_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$  and  $H \cong K_2$ , then  $\varphi(G \Box H) \ge 2$ .
- *Proof.* (a) Since G is nontrivial, it has an order of at least 2. If  $G \cong K_2$  and  $H \cong K_2$ , then  $(G \Box H)_d = (C_4)_d$  is a complete graph. Hence,  $\varphi(G \Box H) = 1$ .
  - (b) Let  $G \cong P_n$ ,  $n \leq 2$  and  $H \cong K_2$ . In view of Definition 1.1,  $(G \Box H)_d$  is complete. Hence  $\varphi(G \Box H) = 1$ .
  - (c) Let  $G \cong P_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$  and  $H \cong K_2$ . Since  $G \Box H \cong P_n \Box K_n \cong L_n$  then, by Observation 3.3,  $\varphi(L_n) \ge 2$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** For a grid graph  $P_m \Box P_n$ ,  $m \text{ or } n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(P_m \Box P_n) \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a grid graph  $P_m \Box P_n$ , if m or  $n \ge 3$ . The grid graph is of the order mn.  $P_m \Box P_n$ , is a bipartite graph, as the cartesian product of two bipartite graphs is bipartite, then, in view of Definition 1.1,  $G_d$  is not complete; that is  $\varphi(P_m \Box P_n) \ge 2$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** For a rook's graph  $K_m \Box K_n$ ,  $\varphi(K_m \Box K_n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a rook's graph  $K_m \Box K_n$ , is of order mn, and the diameter is two. Then in view of Theorem 1.3,  $G_d$  is complete; that is  $\varphi(K_m \Box K_n) = 1$ .

The corona product of two graphs G and H, denoted by  $G \circ H$ , is obtained by taking n copies of H, and each vertex in G is adjacent to every vertex of the corresponding H. Every i-th vertex of G is adjacent to each vertex of i-th copy of H, where  $1 \le i \le n$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** For a nontrivial graph G and a graph H. Then,

- (a) If  $G \cong K_n$  and  $H \cong K_m$ , then  $\varphi(G \circ H) = 1$ .
- (b) If  $G \cong K_n$ , and  $H \cong C_m$ , then  $\varphi(G \circ H) = 1$ .
- (c) If  $G \cong K_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , and  $H \cong P_m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , then,  $\varphi(G \circ H) \ge 2$
- *Proof.* (a) If  $G \cong K_n$  and  $H \cong K_m$ . Clearly,  $\delta(K_n \circ K_m) \ge diam(K_n \circ K_m)$ , then in view of Theorem 1.3,  $(K_n \circ K_m)_d$  is complete. Hence,  $\varphi(G \circ H) = 1$ .
  - (b) If  $G \cong K_n$  and  $H \cong C_m$ . Clearly,  $\delta(K_n \circ C_m) \ge diam(K_n \circ C_m)$ , then in view of Theorem 1.3,  $(K_n \circ C_m)_d$  is complete. Hence,  $\varphi(G \circ H) = 1$ .
  - (c) Let  $G \cong K_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$  and  $H \cong P_m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ . Then, in view of Definition 1.1,  $(K_n \circ P_m)_d$  is not complete; that is,  $\varphi(K_n \circ P_m) \ge 2$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** For  $n, m \ge 4$ ,  $\varphi(C_n \circ C_m) \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = C_n \circ C_m$ , if  $n, m \ge 4$ . Then, in view of Definition 1.1,  $(C_n \circ C_m)_d$  is not complete; that is,  $\varphi(C_n \circ C_m) \ge 2$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** For  $n, m \ge 2$ ,  $\varphi(P_n \circ P_m) \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* In view of Definition 1.1,  $(P_n \circ P_m)_d$  is not complete; that is,  $\varphi(P_n \circ P_m) \ge 2$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** For  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(C_n \circ K_1) \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* In view of proposition 3.11 and corollary 3.12,  $(C_n \circ K_1)_d$  is not complete; that is,  $\varphi(C_n \circ K_1) \ge 2$ .

The *direct product* or tensor product of two graphs, two vertices (g,h) and (g',h') are adjacent if both  $gg' \in E(G)$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ . The direct product of G and H is denoted by  $G \times H$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** *If G* and *H* are connected graphs with no odd cycles then  $(G \times H)_d$  *has exactly two components.* 

*Proof.* Let two connected graphs G and H with no odd cycles. Clearly, the product  $G \times H$  is connected if and only if either G or H contains an odd cycle(see[14]). Then it is clear that if G and H with no odd cycles then the direct product has exactly two components. If  $G \times H$  has two components, it implies  $(G \times H)_d$  has exactly two components.

**Proposition 4.9.** If  $G \cong K_2$  and  $H \cong K_n$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . then  $\varphi(G \times H) = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $G \cong K_2$  and  $H \cong K_n$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . In view of Theorem 1.3,  $(G \times H)_d$  is complete; that is  $\varphi(G \times H) = 1$ .

#### 5 Conclusion

The graph transformation called deg-centrication has been discussed. Various exploratory results have been presented to establish some foundation for further research. As a scope of the study, the researchers can extend the study on graph theoretical parameters to deg-centric graphs of various class graphs and obtain fruitful results. Also, the researchers can extend the study to various types of domination, coloring, labelling, and decomposition to deg-centric graphs.

### References

- [1] J. Akiyama, K. Ando and D. Avis, Eccentric graphs, Discrete Math., 56, 1-6, (1985).
- [2] M.R Raja, T.A Mangam and S. Naduvath, *Eccentric completion of a graph*, Commun. Comb. Optim., 7, 193–201,(2022).
- [3] M.R Raja, J. Kok, T.A Mangam and S. Naduvath, *Cyclic property of iterative eccentrication of a grap*. Discrete Math. Algorithm. Appl., **15(07)**, 2250155, (2022).
- [4] T.T Thalavayalil, J. Kok, and S. Naduvath, A study on deg-centric graphs, Proyecciones., 43(02), 911-926, (2024).
- [5] T.T Thalavayalil, J. Kok, and S. Naduvath, A study on exact deg-centric graphs, J. Interconn. Networks., 2450004, (2024).
- [6] T.T Thalavayalil, and S. Naduvath, A study on coarse deg-centric graphs, Gulf. J. Math., 16(2), 171-182,(2024).
- [7] Joseph, Anu and Dominic, Charles, Vertex induced 2-edge coloring and vertex incident 2-edge coloring of some graph products , *Palest. J*. Math., **11(3)** 86-95,(2022).
- [8] Rajkumar, R and Devi, P, Permutability graph of cyclic subgraphs, Palest. J. Math., 5(2),(2016).
- [9] T.T Thalavayalil, J. Kok, and S. Naduvath, A study on upper deg-centric graphs, TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math., to appear, (2024).
- [10] T.T Thalavayalil, and S. Naduvath, A study on lower deg-centric graphs, Communicated, (2024).
- [11] D.B West, Introduction to graph theory, Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, (2001).
- [12] Sarkar, Ishita and Manjunath, N and Chaluvaraju, B, Bounds related to product variants of graphs, Palest. J. Math., 12(2),(2023).

- [13] A. Brandstädt, V.B Le and J.P Spinrad, Graph classes: a survey, SIAM., (1999).
- [14] Weichsel, Paul M, The Kronecker product of graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soci., 13(1) 47-52, (1962).

#### **Author information**

Timmy Tomy Thalavayalil, Department of Mathematics, Christ University, Bangalore-560029, India. E-mail: timmy.thalavayalil@res.christuniversity.in

Sudev Naduvath, Department of Mathematics, Christ University, Bangalore-560029, India. E-mail: sudev.nk@christuniversity.in