# Numerical Simulation of Nonlinear SG Equation via DQM and Significant Applications in Josephson Junctions

Richa Rani, Simran Sahlot and Geeta Arora

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 60J05, ; Secondary 68M15

Keywords and phrases: Exponential B-spline basis functions; Differential quadrature method; Sine-Gordon equation; Partial differential equations; Josephson junctions.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

**Abstract** Partial differential equations (PDEs) serve as powerful tools for simulating and mathematically modeling a diverse range of phenomena, including elastic behavior, fluid flows, shallow water waves, epidemiology, biostatistics, gene mutation, and flow turbulence. It is not always feasible to solve these problems analytically when they are mathematically described. Therefore, it could be difficult for researchers to find analytical or exact solutions to these differential equations. Due to the complexity of these differential equations, there are several advanced numerical methods that may be used to solve them. Among these methods, the differential quadrature method (DQM) stands out as a pivotal technique, demonstrating exceptional effectiveness in obtaining numerical solutions for such differential equations. In this study, non-linear Sine-Gordon (SG) equation is numerically solved using the exponential cubic B-spline differential quadrature method. The accuracy and efficiency of this method are shown by the findings, which are equivalent to those reported in the literature and close to exact solution. The results, presented as figures and tables, are deemed positive. Additionally, this paper provides an insightful discussion on the significant application of the SG equation in Josephson junctions.

# 1 Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) plays an important role in understanding phenomena in science and engineering. Researchers seek solutions to modeled PDEs through various analytical and numerical approaches. However, it is not always feasible to solve the partial differential equations using available analytical techniques to provide an exact solution. This is where numerical approaches come into play, helping solve PDEs with the required accuracy. With the advancement of technology, various options are available for obtaining numerical solutions to differential equations using software such as MATLAB, Maple, and Mathematica. Researchers continually work on modifying numerical schemes to provide solutions efficiently and accurately. This paper presents one such attempt to modify a numerical technique. The work introduces a scheme developed to find more accurate solutions for a well-known differential equation using the exponential modified cubic B-spline basis function with the differential quadrature method (DQM). DQM introduced by Richard Bellman in the 1970s [1, 2], is a highly efficient numerical technique for solving differential equations, especially PDEs. Due to its efficiency and accuracy, DQM has found widespread use in solving problems related to beam and plate vibrations, fluid flow, heat transfer, and other engineering applications [3, 4]. Its ability to handle complex boundary conditions and provide accurate results with fewer computational resources makes it a preferred method in many applications. Quan, Chang, and Shu [5] have made significant contributions to the development and refinement of the DQM, enhancing its accuracy, applicability, and efficiency in solving various engineering and scientific problems. In the early stages of DQM, Quan and Chang [6] worked extensively on improving the weighting coefficients used in the method. Their work was particularly focused on enhancing the stability and precision of DQM when applied to complex boundary conditions. They also extended the applicability of DQM to a wider range of differential equations, including those with non-linear terms. Their improvements helped in making the method more robust and reliable, particularly for structural and mechanical engineering problems. Shu further extended the work by systematically developing the generalized DQM, which is an extension of the original DQM. Shu's work focused on the application of DQM to various fields, such as fluid mechanics, vibration analysis, and thermal problems. He contributed to making DQM more versatile by improving the technique's accuracy for higher-order derivatives and irregular grids. Shu's contributions helped establish DQM as a powerful tool in both academic research and industrial applications, enabling the method to be used in the analysis of more complex systems. Together, their contributions have significantly advanced the practical utility of DQM in solving complex partial differential equations with high efficiency and precision [7, 8, 9, 10]. DQM has also been applied with various basis functions, including exponential cubic B-splines to solve complex equations like the Burgers equation, convection-diffusion equations, and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, among others [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. These advancements highlight DQM's broad applicability and efficiency in solving challenging PDEs across different scientific and engineering domains. This demonstrates the broad applicability of DQM with different spline-based basis functions in tackling complex PDEs across a wide range of fields.

### 1.1 Sine-Gordon (SG) equation

The SG equation, a second-order partial differential equation, is commonly used across various scientific and technical domains, including mechanical transmission systems, Josephson junctions, and magnetic crystals. A significant characteristic of the SG equation is that its numerical solutions reveal soliton behavior—localized waveforms that maintain their shape during transmission [17]. It is also regarded as an extension of traditional Maxwell systems in optics, providing improved insight into light behavior [18]. Additionally, the SG equation is often employed in geometrical analyses of solitons within canonical field theory, linking soliton velocity with black hole temperature in the literature [19]. Another critical application of the SG equation is in modeling fault dynamics in phenomena like strain waves and earthquakes [20]. This highlights its relevance in understanding seismic distortions in the Earth's crust and provides insight into the origin of natural defects. The kink-like form of the SG soliton solution is particularly useful for exploring the underlying mechanics of these processes. One of the SG equation's most fascinating properties is that it supports soliton solutions, which act like particles due to their localized, wave-like structure [21]. These solitons play a crucial role in various scientific and engineering domains, particularly in Josephson junctions, a key application discussed in this paper.

#### **Applications of Solitons in Josephson Junctions**

Solitons are waves that preserve their physical characteristics and velocity as they propagate through a medium, and they have discovered applications across multiple areas of physics, such as in the study of Josephson junctions. Josephson junctions are components made up of two superconductors with a thin insulating barrier in between, allowing the flow of a supercurrent. These solitons are essential for various applications, including advanced electronics, quantum computing, and voltage standards. Below are some key applications of solitons in Josephson junctions [21, 22, 23]:

**Voltage Standards:** Josephson junctions are crucial in the development of precise voltage standards, which are essential for calibrating voltage-measuring devices across various industries like telecommunications, power grid management, and medical equipment. When exposed to a microwave frequency, a Josephson junction generates a voltage proportional to the frequency, known as the Josephson voltage. This highly stable and predictable voltage is used to create a Josephson Voltage Standard (JVS), which provides a reliable reference voltage for calibration and other purposes.

**Voltage-Controlled Soliton Oscillators:** Solitons in Josephson junctions can be used to generate stable microwave signals. By applying an external voltage, these solitons can oscillate at specific frequencies, enabling the development of highly coherent oscillators.

**Digital Signal Processing:** Josephson junctions allow for extremely fast digital signal processing, which is particularly useful in applications like high-speed data transmission, radar, and image processing.

**High-Speed Communication:** Since solitons can travel long distances without distortion, they are ideal for transmitting data efficiently through Josephson junctions, offering significant advantages in high-speed communication technologies.

**Magnetic Flux Quantization:** Solitons also influence magnetic flux quantization in Josephson junctions. As a soliton moves through the junction, it induces a phase shift in the superconducting order parameter, resulting in discrete quantized magnetic flux.

**Quantum Computing:** In this realm, solitons within Josephson junctions are being explored as potential components for qubits. By controlling solitons with external voltages, they could serve as building blocks for quantum information processing systems.

**Superconductivity Research:** Devices based on Josephson junctions are essential for studying high-temperature superconducting materials and phase transitions. These junctions help researchers understand the properties of layered superconductors, contributing to advancements in superconducting technology.

### **Role of Josephson Junctions in Emerging Technologies**

Josephson junctions play an increasingly significant role in advancing technology that impacts everyday life. Below are some areas where Josephson junctions are making notable contributions [24, 25]:

**Enhanced Efficiency in Electronics:** Josephson junctions enable the creation of low-power, high-speed electronics, improving energy efficiency and extending the battery life of modern devices.

**Improved Communication:** By producing stable and accurate microwave signals, Josephson junctions contribute to faster, more reliable communication systems, resulting in better data transfer rates and connectivity.

**Medical Imaging and Diagnostics:** SQUIDs (Superconducting Quantum Interference Devices) are employed in medical imaging technologies such as MRI, providing detailed internal images and improving diagnostic accuracy.

**Quantum Computing Innovations:** Josephson junctions are fundamental components of superconducting qubits, which hold promise for solving complex problems in fields like material science, cryptography, and drug discovery through quantum computing.

**High-Speed Data Processing:** Josephson junctions are used in devices that facilitate ultrafast data processing, benefiting applications such as video and image processing, ultimately leading to more responsive technologies and enhanced productivity.

Josephson junctions continue to drive advancements in various technologies, from improving electronic efficiency to fostering progress in quantum computing and medical diagnostics.

#### Advantages and Disadvantages of Solitons in Josephson Junctions

Advantages: Stability: Solitons in Josephson junctions are highly stable and can persist without dissipating, making them valuable for applications in quantum computing and secure communication.

**Nonlinear Properties:** The nonlinear behavior of solitons allows them to interact in unique ways, offering possibilities for signal modulation and information processing.

**High-Speed Transmission:** Solitons can propagate through Josephson junctions at high speeds without losing shape, which is advantageous for data transmission in electronics.

**Disadvantages: Challenging Generation:** Creating solitons in Josephson junctions requires precise control over parameters such as voltage and temperature, which can be difficult and time-consuming.

**Sensitivity to Noise:** Solitons are susceptible to noise and environmental disturbances, which can degrade their signal quality or cause them to dissipate.

**Limited Commercial Applications:** Although solitons in Josephson junctions show great promise, their use remains confined to certain research areas, with limited commercial deployment so far,

especially in fields like quantum computing and high-speed data transmission.

Overall, solitons in Josephson junctions offer exciting potential across multiple areas, but challenges related to their generation and stability must be addressed for broader practical applications.

The SG equation is given by:

$$u_{tt} + \alpha u_t = \beta u_{xx} + \eta(x) \sin(x) \tag{1.1}$$

initial conditions:

$$u(x,0) = \phi_1(x) and u_t(x,0) = \phi_2(x)$$

and values defined at the boundaries. where u = u(x, t) is a function of space x and time t. Due to its rich mathematical structure, the SG equation is also widely studied for its soliton solutions, integrability, and connections to other nonlinear models. **Applications:** 

- Josephson junctions in superconductivity, where it models the dynamics of magnetic flux quanta.
- Nonlinear optics, where it describes the propagation of light in certain materials.
- Field theory in physics, particularly in models involving scalar fields and kink solutions in particle theory.
- Mechanical systems such as the pendulum chain, where it governs the motion of coupled pendulums under certain conditions.

Different analytical and numerical approaches have been used to solve the Sine-Gordon (SG) problem and obtain its soliton solutions. These methods include the homotopy analysis method, modified cubic B-spline collocation, Legendre spectral element method, and cubic B-spline DQM, among others [26]- [38]. In this study, the focus is on applying the exponential modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method (Expo-MCB-DQM) to numerically solve the SG problem, a nonlinear PDE. The structure of this paper is as follows: The organisation of this paper is as follows: Section 2 presents the numerical approach for constructing exponential cubic B-splines using the differential quadrature method. In Section 3, applies this method to solve numerical SG equation problems to assess its accuracy and effectiveness. Lastly, Section 4 discusses the results and key conclusions.

### 1.2 Numerical Scheme

### Expo-MCB-DQM

DQM is a numerical technique developed to approximate the derivatives of a function by expressing them as weighted sums of function values at discrete points within a given domain. The origins of DQM date back to the late 1970s, when it was first introduced by Richard Bellman and his colleagues. It was inspired by the concept of quadrature, which involves approximating integrals using weighted sums, and extended this idea to the calculation of derivatives. Bellman, a mathematician known for his work in dynamic programming, saw the potential in using quadrature-like techniques to solve differential equations efficiently. DQM is based on the premise that the derivative at any given point in a domain can be represented as a linear combination of the function's values at all grid points within that domain. The accuracy of the method depends on determining appropriate weighting coefficients, which are influenced by the spatial distribution of the grid points [39]. These coefficients allow DQM to achieve high accuracy, even with a relatively small number of points, making it computationally efficient compared to traditional methods. Over the years, DQM has been refined and extended to handle various types of boundary conditions and more complex problems [40]. Its ability to produce accurate results with fewer grid points than methods like finite difference or finite element methods has made it a popular choice for problems requiring both precision and computational efficiency [41]. Here's

a step-by-step explanation of how the DQM is applied using exponential cubic B-splines to approximate derivatives and solve differential equations [42]

### **Step 1: Domain Discretization**

The solution domain [a, b] is divided into a series of discrete grid points, denoted as  $a = x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N = b$ . These grid points serve as the locations where the function and its derivatives will be approximated.

#### **Step 2: Function Representation**

Assuming the function u(x) is sufficiently smooth over the domain, the derivative of the function at each grid point  $x_i$  is approximated as a linear combination of function values at all grid points. This is done using the following general DQM formulation:

$$\frac{d^{(r)}u}{dx^{(r)}}\Big|_{x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N p_{i,j}^{(r)}u(x_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$
(1.2)

Here,  $p_{i,j}^{(r)}$  are the weighting coefficients that need to be determined, r is the order of the derivative, and N is the number of grid points.

#### Step 3: Choosing Basis Functions

In this method, third-degree exponential cubic B-splines are selected as the basis functions to determine the weighting coefficients. These spline functions offer smooth approximations and are especially effective for complex boundary conditions.

### Step 4: Constructing Exponential B-Spline Functions

The exponential cubic B-spline functions  $C_m(x)$  are constructed over sub-intervals of the domain. These splines are defined piecewise, with specific expressions for different segments of the interval, ensuring smoothness and continuity across the domain.

#### **Step 5: Computing Spline Derivatives**

The values and derivatives of the spline functions at each grid point  $x_i$  are computed. This helps in approximating the function's derivative at these points, which will be used in the DQM formulation.

# **Step 6: Modifying Spline Functions**

To eliminate extra points introduced by the spline formulation, modified versions of the exponential cubic B-splines are used. These modified functions  $G_k(x)$  are calculated at the grid points, ensuring that the total number of points remains manageable.

### **Step 7: Approximating First-Order Derivatives**

With the modified splines in place, the first-order derivative at each point is approximated using the DQM equation:

$$G'_k(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N p_{i,j}^{(1)} G_k(x_j), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
(1.3)

### **Step 8: Solving System of Equations**

The resulting system of equations, which approximates the spatial derivatives, is solved using MATLAB or another computational tool. The goal is to find the weighting coefficients  $p_{i,j}^{(r)}$ , which are essential for the numerical solution.

# Step 9: Converting to Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

Once the DQM-based approximations are in place, the spatial derivatives are replaced with the corresponding expressions using the spline basis functions. This transforms the original PDE into an ODE.

### Step 10: Solving the ODE System

The final ODE system is solved numerically employing the reliable and stability-preserving Runge-Kutta (SSP-RK43) technique [43], which ensures stability and precision across time. This method is particularly suitable for handling stiff ODEs and guarantees reliable results.

### 2 Implementation of Scheme

The results are compared to exact solutions and previously proposed numerical schemes in order to show the accuracy of the numerical method that is used in this paper. This research use different error conditions to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Some of the key formulas used to calculate numerical errors include:

$$L_{\infty} = \max\left(\left|u_{\text{exact}}(x_i, t) - u_{\text{numerical}}(x_i, t)\right|\right), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$L_{2} = \sqrt{h \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u_{\text{exact}}(x_{i}, t) - u_{\text{numerical}}(x_{i}, t)|^{2}},$$
(2.2)

$$\mathbf{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u_{\text{exact}}(x_i, t) - u_{\text{numerical}}(x_i, t)|^2}.$$
(2.3)

The exact solution  $u_{\text{exact}}$  and the numerical solution  $u_{\text{numerical}}$  are compared to assess the accuracy of the proposed method. The number of partitions of the domain is denoted by N.

In an iterative numerical method, the rate of convergence p indicates the rate at which the iteration approaches the exact solution as the number of iterations increases. The rate of convergence of the numerical method is calculated using the following formula [40]:

$$p \approx \frac{\log\left(\frac{E_N}{E_{2N}}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{2N}{N}\right)} \tag{2.4}$$

Where  $E_N$  and  $E_{2N}$  are the  $L_{\infty}$  errors with the number of partitions as N and 2N, respectively.

The SG equation has been numerically solved for three different cases to verify the accuracy and effectiveness of the proposed method by calculating the errors.

### **Example 1**

Consider the SG equation (1) within the domain  $x \in [-3, 3]$  with parameters  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , and  $\eta(x) = -1$ , and the following initial conditions:

$$\phi_1(x) = 4 \tan^{-1} \left( \exp(\gamma x) \right)$$

and

$$\phi_2(x) = \frac{-4\gamma \exp(\gamma x)}{1 + \exp(2\gamma x)}$$

The exact solution is provided, and the boundary conditions are derived from it:

$$u(x,t) = 4 \tan^{-1} (\exp(\gamma (x - 0.5t)))$$

Here,  $\gamma$  is a parameter that depends on the speed of the solitary wave, given by:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}$$

The computations are performed with parameters c = 0.5, k = 0.0001, a spatial step size of h = 0.04, and N = 151 node points. The results indicate that the proposed method is both accurate and comparable to those previously reported in the literature for  $\epsilon = 0.5$ . Error values are listed in Table 1, where they are benchmarked against the findings from studies [30], [35] to confirm the method's validity. Figure 1 provides a visual comparison of the exact and numerical solutions over time, highlighting the close match between them.

### Example 2

Consider the SG equation (1) within the domain  $x \in [-20, 20]$  with parameters  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , and  $\eta(x) = -1$ , and the following initial conditions:

|                          | -            | 0.25                   | 0.50                   | 0.75                   | 1.0                     |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                          | $L_2$        | $6.4669 	imes 10^{-6}$ | $8.6894 	imes 10^{-6}$ | $9.9925 	imes 10^{-6}$ | $1.0807 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Present Results          | $L_{\infty}$ | $1.3759 	imes 10^{-5}$ | $1.4149\times10^{-5}$  | $1.4242\times 10^{-5}$ | $1.4056 	imes 10^{-5}$  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | RMS          | $2.1273 	imes 10^{-7}$ | $2.8584\times10^{-7}$  | $3.2870\times10^{-7}$  | $3.5549 	imes 10^{-7}$  |  |  |  |  |
| Mittal and Phatia [20]   | $L_2$        | $3.66 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $9.00 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $1.60 	imes 10^{-4}$   | $2.27 	imes 10^{-4}$    |  |  |  |  |
| wittai allu Bilatia [50] | $L_{\infty}$ | $4.90 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $7.55 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $1.43 	imes 10^{-4}$   | $2.10 	imes 10^{-4}$    |  |  |  |  |
| Shukle and Tamair [25]   | $L_2$        | $5.67 	imes 10^{-6}$   | $8.39	imes10^{-6}$     | $1.05 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $1.24 	imes 10^{-5}$    |  |  |  |  |
| Shukia anu Tamsh [55]    | $L_{\infty}$ | $9.61	imes10^{-6}$     | $1.10 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $1.26 	imes 10^{-5}$   | $1.44 	imes 10^{-5}$    |  |  |  |  |

 Table 1. Comparison of error values in the solution for Example 1.



Figure 1. Graphical representation of Example 1 at time intervals t=0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.

$$\phi_1(x) = 4 \tan^{-1} (\exp(\gamma x)),$$
 (2.5)

$$\phi_2(x) = \frac{-4\gamma c \exp(\gamma x)}{1 + \exp(2\gamma x)}.$$
(2.6)

The exact solution is provided, and the boundary conditions are derived from it:

$$u(x,t) = 4\tan^{-1}(\exp(\gamma(x-ct)))$$
(2.7)

Here,  $\gamma$  is a parameter that depends on the speed of the solitary wave, given by:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \tag{2.8}$$

The computations are performed with parameters c = 0.5, k = 0.01, and N = 501 node points. The results indicate that the proposed method is both accurate and comparable to those previously reported in the literature for  $\epsilon = 0.01$ .

Error values are listed in Table 2, where they are benchmarked against the findings from study [38] to confirm the method's validity. Figure 2 provides a visual comparison of the exact and numerical solutions over time, highlighting the close match between them.

|                          |              | 0.25                    | 0.50                    | 0.75                    | 1.0                     | 2.0                     | 5.0                     | 10.0                    | 15.0                    | 20.0                    |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Present Results          | $L_2$        | $1.1209 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.5654 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.6832 \times 10^{-7}$ | $7.0289 \times 10^{-7}$ | $9.9756 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.6328 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.2190 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.2748 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.8827 \times 10^{-6}$ |
|                          | $L_{\infty}$ | $1.2617 	imes 10^{-7}$  | $3.9927 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.3866 	imes 10^{-7}$  | $8.2197 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.1835 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.4574 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.7674\times10^{-6}$   | $4.2855\times 10^{-6}$  | $6.1400 \times 10^{-6}$ |
|                          | RMS          | $1.7688\times 10^{-8}$  | $5.6261 \times 10^{-8}$ | $8.9680\times10^{-8}$   | $1.1092 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.5741 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.5765 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.0795\times10^{-7}$   | $8.3235\times10^{-7}$   | $1.2439 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Shiralizadeh et al. [38] | $L_2$        | $2.4100\times10^{-4}$   | $3.4300 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.1281\times10^{-4}$   | $4.6189 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $5.1809 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.3038 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.1966 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $6.5199\times10^{-4}$   | $8.4070 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|                          | $L_{\infty}$ | $1.1894\times10^{-4}$   | $1.2227 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.2225\times 10^{-4}$  | $1.2046 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.1437\times10^{-4}$   | $1.3423 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.7801\times10^{-4}$   | $2.3543\times10^{-4}$   | $3.1339\times10^{-4}$   |
|                          | RMS          | $1.0778\times10^{-5}$   | $1.5339\times10^{-5}$   | $1.8461\times10^{-5}$   | $2.0657\times10^{-5}$   | $2.3170\times10^{-5}$   | $1.9247 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.3240\times10^{-5}$   | $2.9158\times10^{-5}$   | $3.7579 	imes 10^{-5}$  |

Table 2. Comparison of error values in the solution for Example 2.

### Example 3

Consider the SG equation (1) within the domain  $x \in [-10, 10]$  with parameters  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , and  $\eta(x) = -1$ , and the following initial conditions:



Figure 2. Graphical representation of Example 2 at time intervals t=1, 5, 10, 15, and 20.

$$\phi_1(x) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\phi_2(x) = 4\operatorname{sech}(x). \tag{2.10}$$

The exact solution is provided, and the boundary conditions are derived from it:

$$u(x,t) = 4 \tan^{-1} (\operatorname{sech}(x)t)$$
 (2.11)

The computations are performed with parameters k = 0.01 and N = 401 node points. The results indicate that the proposed approach is both accurate and comparable to those previously reported in the literature for  $\epsilon = 1$ .

Error values are listed in Table 3, where they are benchmarked against the findings from study [38] to confirm the method's validity. Table 4 shows the rate of convergence (ROC) of the proposed method is calculated using the  $L_{\infty}$  error norm for different time levels. Figure 3 provides a visual comparison of the exact and numerical solution over time, highlighting the close match between them.

Table 3. Comparison of error values in the solution for Example 3.

| <u>1</u>                 |              |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         | -                       |                         |                         |                         |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                          |              | 0.25                    | 0.50                    | 0.75                    | 1.0                     | 2.0                     | 5.0                     | 10.0                    | 15.0                    | 20.0                    |  |
| Present Results          | $L_2$        | $1.9450 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.5475 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.9748 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.2777 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.6014 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.9577 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.0544 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.0675 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.1713 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
|                          | $L_{\infty}$ | $3.6320 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.6180 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
|                          | RMS          | $4.3383 	imes 10^{-7}$  | $5.6822 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.6354 \times 10^{-7}$ | $7.3108 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.0328 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.5971 \times 10^{-7}$ | $9.0432 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.5764 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.6126 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
| Shiralizadeh et al. [38] | $L_2$        | $1.4400 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $2.4339\times10^{-4}$   | $3.0422 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $3.5484 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $6.7163 	imes 10^{-4}$  | $3.0000 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2600 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.8900 	imes 10^{-2}$  | $5.1700 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |
|                          | $L_{\infty}$ | $3.0169 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.6806 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.1706 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.2994 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.8976 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.2159 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.4000 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.2000 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.8000 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
|                          | RMS          | $7.1908 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.2154 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.5192 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.7720 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.3540 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.4923 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.2974 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.4000 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6000 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |

|     | t =                   | 1        | t =                   | 2        | t = 5                 |          |  |
|-----|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|--|
| N   | $L_{\infty}$ ROC      |          | $L_{\infty}$          | ROC      | $L_{\infty}$          | ROC      |  |
| 25  | $1.65 \times 10^{-2}$ |          | $1.85 \times 10^{-2}$ |          | $1.66 \times 10^{-2}$ |          |  |
| 50  | $3.32 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5.638675 | $6.27 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4.883128 | $4.27 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5.283404 |  |
| 100 | $2.53 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.716241 | $4.15 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.916740 | $2.96 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.852788 |  |
| 200 | $3.63 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.797904 | $3.63 \times 10^{-6}$ | 3.514449 | $3.63 \times 10^{-6}$ | 3.024371 |  |

**Table 4.** The ROC of numerical method with Example 3.



Figure 3. Graphical representation of Example 3 at time intervals t=1, 5, 10, 15, and 20.

# **3** Conclusion

Ensuring accurate voltage measurements is crucial for various applications like managing power grids, telecommunications, and medical devices. Josephson junctions provide highly precise voltage standards, ensuring reliability in measurements across different fields. Beyond this, Josephson junctions have broad applications in quantum computing, sensing, and digital electronics. Ongoing research is exploring new ways to maximize their unique properties. Solitons, significant in studying Josephson junctions, hold promise for future electronic advancements. The SG equation, widely applicable in physics and mathematics, remains an active area of research. In this study, we employed the DQM to numerically solve the nonlinear SG equation. We specifically utilized the exponential cubic B-spline basis function to compute the weighting coefficients in this approach. The accuracy and proficiency of the exponential cubic B-spline differential quadrature method have been verified using various error norms. This validation indicates that the numerical solutions obtained using this method closely align with the exact solutions, surpassing the accuracy of previously published numerical methods. These positive outcomes suggest the potential applicability of the technique to similar nonlinear partial differential equations, showcasing its adaptability in addressing complex problems. This research contributes to advancing our understanding of solitons in Josephson junctions and provides a robust numerical approach for studying nonlinear equations in various scientific fields.

# References

- R. E. Bellman and J. Casti, "Differential quadrature and long-term integration," J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 34, pp. 235–238, 1971.
- [2] R. E. Bellman, B. G. Kashef, and J. Casti, "Differential quadrature: a technique for the rapid solution of nonlinear partial differential equations," J. Comput. Phys., vol. 10, pp. 40–52, 1972.
- [3] C. W. Bert, S. K. Jang, and A. G. Striz, "Two new approximate methods for analyzing free vibration of structural components," *AIAA J.*, vol. 26, pp. 612–618, 1988.
- [4] C. W. Bert and M. Malik, "Differential quadrature in computational mechanics: A review," Appl. Mech. Rev., vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 1996.
- [5] C. Shu, Differential quadrature and its application in engineering. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 2000.
- [6] J. R. Quan and C. T. Chang, "New insights in solving distributed system equations by the quadrature method-I," *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 13, pp. 779–788, 1989.
- [7] A. Korkmaz and I. Dag, "Cubic B-spline differential quadrature method and stability for Burger's equation," Eng. Comput. Int. J. Comput. Aided Eng. Softw., vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 320–344, 2013.
- [8] A. Korkmaz, A. M. Aksoy, and I. Dag, "Quartic B-spline differential quadrature method," Int. Nonlinear Sci., vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 403–411, 2011.
- [9] R. C. Mittal and S. Dahiya, "Numerical simulation of three-dimensional telegraphic equation using cubic B-spline differential quadrature method," *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 313, pp. 442–452, 2017.
- [10] A. Başhan, S. Battal, G. Karakoç, and T. Geyikli, "B-spline differential quadrature method for the modified Burgers' equation," *Çankaya Univ. J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2015.
- [11] M. Tamsir, V. K. Srivastava, and R. Jiwari, "An algorithm based on exponential modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method for nonlinear Burgers' equation," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 290, pp. 111– 124, 2016.
- [12] H. S. Shukla and M. Tamsir, "An exponential cubic B-spline algorithm for multi-dimensional convectiondiffusion equations," *Alexandria Eng. J.*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 1999–2006, 2018.
- [13] A. H. Msmali, M. Tamsir, and A. A. H. Ahmadini, "Crank-Nicolson-DQM based on cubic exponential B-splines for the approximation of nonlinear Sine-Gordon equation," *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 4091–4097, 2021.
- [14] M. Tamsir, V. K. Srivastava, N. Dhiman, and A. Chauhan, "Numerical computation of nonlinear Fisher's reaction–diffusion equation with exponential modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method," *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2018.
- [15] B. K. Singh and P. Kumar, "An algorithm based on a new DQM with modified exponential cubic B-splines for solving hyperbolic telegraph equation in (2+1) dimension," *Nonlinear Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 113–125, 2018.
- [16] G. Arora, V. Joshi, and R. C. Mittal, "Numerical simulation of nonlinear Schrodinger equation in one and two dimensions," *Math. Model. Comput. Simul.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 634–648, 2019.

- [17] G. Arora, R. Rani, and H. Emadifar, "Soliton: A dispersion-less solution with existence and its types," *Heliyon*, vol. 8, no. June, p. e12122, 2022.
- [18] T. Povich and J. Xin, "A numerical study of the light bullets interaction in the (2+1) Sine-Gordon equation," J. Nonlinear Sci., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 11–25, 2005.
- [19] L. Di, M. Villari, G. Marcucci, M. C. Braidotti, and C. Conti, "Sine-Gordon soliton as a model for Hawking radiation of moving black holes and quantum soliton evaporation," *J. Phys. Commun.*, vol. 2, no. 5, p. 055016, 2018.
- [20] V. G. Bykov, "Sine-Gordon equation and its application to tectonic stress transfer," J. Seismol., vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 497–510, 2014.
- [21] Rani, R., Arora, G., & Bala, K. (2024). Numerical solution of one-dimensional nonlinear Sine–Gordon equation using LOOCV with exponential B-spline. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 43(4), 1-19.
- [22] S. M. Anlage, "Microwave superconductivity," IEEE J. Microw., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 389-402, 2021.
- [23] R. S. Souto, M. Leijnse, and C. Schrade, "Josephson diode effect in supercurrent interferometer," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 129, no. 26, p. 267702, 2022.
- [24] J. J. Mazo and A. V. Ustinov, "The Sine-Gordon equation in Josephson-junction arrays," *The Sine-Gordon Model and Its Applications: From Pendula and Josephson Junctions to Gravity and High-Energy Physics*, pp. 155–175, 2014.
- [25] D. De Santis, C. Guarcello, B. Spagnolo, A. Carollo, and D. Valenti, "Generation of travelling sine-Gordon breathers in noisy long Josephson junctions," *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, vol. 158, p. 112039, 2022.
- [26] F. S. V. Causanilles, H. M. Baskonus, J. L. G. Guirao, and G. R. Bermudez, "Some important points of the Josephson effect via two superconductors in complex bases," *Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 15, p. 2591, 2022.
- [27] D. Kaya, "An application of the modified decomposition method for two-dimensional sine-Gordon equation," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 159, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2004.
- [28] U. Yücel, "Homotopy analysis method for the sine-Gordon equation with initial conditions," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 387–395, 2008.
- [29] M. Dehghan and A. Shokri, "A numerical method for one-dimensional nonlinear sine-Gordon equation using collocation and radial basis functions," *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 687–698, 2008.
- [30] J. Rashidinia and R. Mohammadi, "Tension spline solution of nonlinear sine-Gordon equation," *Numer. Algorithms*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 129–142, 2011.
- [31] R. C. Mittal and R. Bhatia, "Numerical solution of nonlinear sine-Gordon equation by modified cubic B-spline collocation method," *Int. J. Partial Differ. Equations*, vol. 2014, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2014.
- [32] M. Lotfi and A. Alipanah, "Legendre spectral element method for solving sine-Gordon equation," *Adv. Differ. Equations*, vol. 2019, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2019.
- [33] D. Adak and S. Natarajan, "Virtual element method for semilinear sine-Gordon equation over polygonal mesh using product approximation technique," *Math. Comput. Simul.*, vol. 172, pp. 224–243, 2019.
- [34] R. Jiwari, "Barycentric rational interpolation and local radial basis functions based numerical algorithms for multidimensional sine-Gordon equation," *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 1965–1992, 2020.
- [35] B. K. Singh and M. Gupta, "A new efficient fourth order collocation scheme for solving sine–Gordon equation," *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.*, vol. 123, no. 7, p. 138, 2021.
- [36] H. S. Shukla and M. Tamsir, "Numerical solution of nonlinear sine-Gordon equation by using the modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method," *Beni-Suef Univ. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 359– 366, 2018.
- [37] R. Rani, G. Arora, H. Emadifar, and M. Khademi, "Numerical simulation of one-dimensional nonlinear Schrodinger equation using PSO with exponential B-spline," *Alexandria Eng. J.*, vol. 79, no. August, pp. 644–651, 2023.
- [38] G. Arora, V. Joshi, and R. C. Mittal, "A spline-based differential quadrature approach to solve sine-Gordon equation in one and two dimensions," *Fractals*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 1–14, 2022.
- [39] M. Shiralizadeh, A. Alipanah, and M. Mohammadi, "Numerical solution of one-dimensional sine-Gordon equation using rational radial basis functions," *J. Math. Model.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 387–405, 2022.
- [40] Mishra, S., Arora, G., & Emadifar, H. (2024). B-spline Basis Function and its Various Forms Explained Concisely. In Advance Numerical Techniques to Solve Linear and Nonlinear Differential Equations (pp. 63-83). *River Publishers*.
- [41] Liu, Y., Shu, C., Yu, P., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., & Lu, C. (2024). Development of a Fourier-expansion based differential quadrature method with lattice Boltzmann flux solvers: Application to incompressible isothermal and thermal flows. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 96(5), 738-765.

- [42] Rasheed, A., Bashir, U., Ibraheem, F., & Javed, S. (2024). Enhancing curve and surface applications with trigonometric polynomial basis functions. *Plos one*, 19(1), e0293970.
- [43] R. Spiteri and S. Ruuth, "A new class of optimal high-order strong stability-preserving time-stepping schemes," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 469–491, 2002.
- [44] Shukla, J. P., Singh, B. K., Cattani, C., & Gupta, M. (2024). Novel Cubic B-spline Based DQM for Studying Convection–Diffusion Type Equations in Extended Temporal Domains. In Advance Numerical Techniques to Solve Linear and Nonlinear Differential Equations (pp. 21-35). *River Publishers*.
- [45] M. Ghasemi, "High order approximations using spline-based differential quadrature method: Implementation to the multi-dimensional PDEs," *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 46, pp. 63–80, 2017.

#### **Author information**

Richa Rani, Department of Mathematics, Guru Gorakhnath ji Govt. College, India. E-mail: richasardana7@gmail.com

Simran Sahlot, Department of Mathematics, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India. E-mail: geetadma@gmail.com

Geeta Arora, Department of Mathematics, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India. E-mail: sim.sahlot@gmail.com