

GENERALIZED χ -CONTRACTION MAPPINGS ON SOFT NEUTROSOPHIC METRIC SPACES

J. Johnsy, M. Jeyaraman and Mohammad Akram

Communicated by: Hijaz Ahmad

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: Fixed point; Soft metric space; Soft fuzzy metric spaces; Altering distance functions; Contraction mapping.

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that improved the quality of our paper.

Abstract *This study examines the Banach contraction theorem in soft neutrosophic metric spaces, introducing a χ -contraction function by restricting the soft neutrosophic metric to soft points of the absolute soft set. We explore fixed-point results for soft mappings with χ -contraction, ensuring the continuity of soft t -norms and t -conorms. Examples demonstrate the effectiveness of the χ -contraction, leading to a new soft neutrosophic Banach contraction theorem and related fixed-point results. These findings advance fixed-point theory in this context.*

1 Introduction

Fuzzy set theory, introduced by Zadeh [20], has been instrumental in representing uncertainty in decision-making processes across various fields. Atanassov [2] extended this concept by introducing intuitionistic fuzzy sets, which added a degree of non-membership to the existing framework. Building on these foundational concepts, Molodtsov [15] proposed soft set theory, offering a more flexible approach to handling uncertainty without requiring additional parameters, a concept further developed by Maji et al. [14].

Several studies have explored the development and applications of Soft Fuzzy Metric Spaces (SFMSs), including contributions by Das et al. [4], Erduran et al. [5], and Yazar et al. [19], who worked on expanding the understanding of soft metrics and applying them to fixed-point theorems. In similar research, Sonam et al. [18] and Sabri et al. [16] investigated properties such as compactness and completeness in SFMSs.

Fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces have also been explored by George and Veeramani [6], as well as Kramosil and Michalek [13], contributing significantly to the development of fuzzy topology and metric spaces. Jeyaraman et al. [12] investigate common fixed point theorems within the setting of intuitionistic generalized fuzzy cone metric spaces, focusing on (Φ, Ψ) -weak contractions and providing valuable insights into their properties and applications.

Additionally, Gupta and Gondhi [7] provided important results on tripled coincidence fixed points in SFMSs, further enhancing the application of soft set theory in complex mathematical problems.

In this study, a novel approach to the Banach contraction mapping in Soft Neutrosophic Metric Spaces (SNMSs) is presented, introducing the χ -contraction function and establishing fixed-point results supported by examples, with implications for various metric spaces and applications in handling uncertainty.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [18] A soft set (Λ, Υ) defined over the universal set Γ consists of a function Λ mapping elements from a parameter set Υ to subsets of Γ .

Definition 2.2. [18] An absolute soft set (Λ, Υ) over Γ is characterized by the condition that $\Lambda(\zeta) = \Gamma$ holds for every ζ belonging to the parameter set Υ . The absolute soft set Γ defined over the parameter set Υ is denoted by $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$.

Definition 2.3. [4] A soft set (Λ, Υ) defined over the universal set Γ is termed as a null or void soft set if $\Lambda(\zeta) = \{\}$ for every ζ in the parameter set Υ . This condition is denoted as $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of all real numbers. We use $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ to represent the collection of all non-empty bounded subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.4. [18] A soft real set is defined as a set consisting of (Λ, Υ) where Λ chooses every member of Υ to a non-empty bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . A soft real number is defined by a soft real set (Λ, Υ) where $\Lambda(\zeta)$ denotes a single real number inside a finite subset of \mathbb{R} for each ζ in Υ . The representation of the value for this is denoted as $\tilde{\eta}$. The function $\tilde{\eta}(\zeta) = \{k\}$ for a given k in the set \mathbb{R} is represented as \tilde{k} .

Definition 2.5. [5] When there is only one parameter $\zeta \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Lambda(\zeta) = \{x\}$, where $x \in \Gamma$, and $\Lambda(\mu) = \varphi$ for all $\mu \in \Upsilon$ except ζ , then a soft set defined over the universal collection Γ is called a soft point. It is represented by $\tilde{\eta}\zeta$. A soft point $\tilde{\eta}\zeta$ is considered to be a component of a soft set (Λ, Υ) if $\tilde{\eta}\zeta = \{x\}$ is a subset of $\Lambda(\zeta)$. This relation is also represented as $\tilde{\eta}\zeta \in (\Lambda, \Upsilon)$. The set containing all soft points of (Λ, Υ) is denoted by $SP(\Lambda, \Upsilon)$.

Definition 2.6. [5] The function ϕ , representing soft elements, transfers parameter sets Υ to the universal set Γ . That is $\phi : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Gamma$ signifies a soft element. The soft set formed by grouping the collection $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of soft elements is denoted as $SS(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$.

The set $\mathbb{R}(\Upsilon)$ represents all non-negative soft real numbers, whereas $\mathbb{R}(\Upsilon)^*$ represents all soft real numbers with a parameter set Υ . The sets $[a, b](\Upsilon)$ and $[0, \infty)(\Upsilon)$ represent the collections of all soft real numbers corresponding to the intervals $[a, b]$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively.

Definition 2.7. [18] The operations defined for two soft real numbers $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\lambda} \oplus \tilde{\rho})(\zeta) &= \{\tilde{\lambda}(\zeta) + \tilde{\rho}(\zeta), \zeta \in \Upsilon\}, \\(\tilde{\lambda} \ominus \tilde{\rho})(\zeta) &= \{\tilde{\lambda}(\zeta) - \tilde{\rho}(\zeta), \zeta \in \Upsilon\}, \\(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\rho})(\zeta) &= \{\tilde{\lambda}(\zeta) \cdot \tilde{\rho}(\zeta), \zeta \in \Upsilon\}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8. [18] On a universal set, we consider $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$ to be an absolute-soft set. A metric over $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$ is defined as a mapping $\Xi : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(\Upsilon)^*$ if the following conditions hold:

- (SM1) $\Xi(\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}) \succeq \bar{0}$ for all $\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$,
- (SM2) $\Xi(\check{\zeta}_{p_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \check{\zeta}_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$
- (SM3) $\Xi(\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}) = \Xi(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\zeta}_{\xi_i})$ for all $\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$,
- (SM4) $\Xi(\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}) \preceq \Xi(\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}) + \Xi(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k})$ for each $\check{\zeta}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$.

A soft metric space is formed by combining the soft metric Ξ with the absolute soft set $\tilde{\Gamma}$. It is represented as $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \Xi)$ or $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \Xi, \Upsilon)$.

Definition 2.9. [18] We examine a pair of soft metric spaces. $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon_A}, \Xi, \Upsilon_A)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Upsilon_B}, \Xi, \Upsilon_B)$. We contemplate a function $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon_A}, \Xi, \Upsilon_A) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Upsilon_B}, \Xi, \Upsilon_B)$. A soft mapping is represented by the pair (\mathcal{U}, Φ) , where $\mathcal{U} : \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon_A} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\Upsilon_B}$ and $\Phi : \Upsilon_A \rightarrow \Upsilon_B$.

Definition 2.10. We examine function $\tilde{\otimes} : [0, 1](\Upsilon) \times [0, 1](\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$. This function is proposed to be a continuous soft t-norm (CSTN) provided it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The function $\tilde{\otimes}$ obeys both the commutative and associative properties.
- (ii) $\tilde{\otimes}$ needs to be continuous.
- (iii) $\check{u} \tilde{\otimes} \bar{1} = \check{u}$ for every $\check{u} \in [0, 1](\Upsilon)$,

(iv) $\check{u} \otimes \check{v} \leq \check{\sigma} \otimes \check{\rho}$ whenever $\check{u} \leq \check{v}$ and $\check{\sigma} \leq \check{\rho}$ for $\check{u}, \check{v}, \check{\sigma}, \check{\rho} \in [0, 1](\Upsilon)$.

Definition 2.11. We consider function $\oplus : [0, 1](\Upsilon) \times [0, 1](\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$; then, \otimes is considered a continuous soft t-conorm (CSTCN) if it satisfies the conditions listed below:

- (i) \oplus obeys both commutativity and associativity.
- (ii) \oplus needs to be continuous.
- (iii) $\check{u} \oplus \bar{0} = \check{u}$ for all $\check{u} \in [0, 1](\Upsilon)$,
- (iv) $\check{u} \oplus \check{v} \leq \check{\sigma} \oplus \check{\rho}$ whenever it occurs $\check{u} \leq \check{v}$ and $\check{\sigma} \geq \check{\rho}$ for $\check{u}, \check{v}, \check{\sigma}, \check{\rho} \in [0, 1](\Upsilon)$.

Example 2.12. $\check{\beta} \otimes \check{\delta} = \min\{\check{\beta}, \check{\delta}\}$, $\check{\beta} \oplus \check{\delta} = \max\{\check{\beta}, \check{\delta}\}$

Definition 2.13. We assume $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ as a mapping $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}} : \mathcal{SP}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}) \times \mathcal{SP}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}) \times (0, \infty)(\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$. Then, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}$ is claimed to constitute a soft fuzzy metric on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$ if

- (SNM1) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) + \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) + \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \leq \bar{3}$
- (SNM2) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \geq \bar{0}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM3) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \bar{1} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM4) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_i}, \zeta_{\xi_j}, \check{l})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM5) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{l} \oplus \check{q}) \leq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{q})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l}, \check{q} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM6) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \cdot) : (0, \infty)(\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$ is a continuous map.
- (SNM7) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \leq \bar{0}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM8) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM9) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_i}, \zeta_{\xi_j}, \check{l})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM10) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{l} \oplus \check{q}) \leq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{q})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l}, \check{q} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM11) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \cdot) : (0, \infty)(\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$ is a continuous map.
- (SNM12) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \leq \bar{0}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM13) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM14) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_i}, \zeta_{\xi_j}, \check{l})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM15) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{l} \oplus \check{q}) \leq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k}, \check{q})$ for all $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{p_k} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \check{l}, \check{q} \succ \bar{0}$,
- (SNM16) $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \cdot) : (0, \infty)(\Upsilon) \rightarrow [0, 1](\Upsilon)$ is a function that exhibits continuity.

The Soft Neutrosophic Metric Space (SNMS) is defined as the combination of the soft fuzzy metrics $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}},$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}$, together with the absolute soft set $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$. It is symbolized as $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \otimes, \oplus)$.

Example 2.14. We consider an SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \otimes, \oplus)$. We let $\check{\beta} \otimes \check{\delta} = \min\{\check{\beta}, \check{\delta}\}$ and $\check{\beta} \oplus \check{\delta} = \max\{\check{\beta}, \check{\delta}\}$ be defined in $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{E})$. We define $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}$ are the mappings from $\mathcal{SP}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}) \times \mathcal{SP}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}) \times (0, \infty)(\Upsilon)$ to $[0, 1](\Upsilon)$ as $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \frac{\check{l}}{\check{l} \oplus \mathfrak{E}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j})}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j})}{\check{l} \oplus \mathfrak{E}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j})}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{l}) = \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j})}{\check{l}}$, where $\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$ and $\check{l} \succ \bar{0}$.

Then, $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \otimes, \oplus)$ is an SNMS. Furthermore, the soft fuzzy metrics $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}},$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}$, which are derived from the soft metric \mathfrak{E} , are referred to as the standard soft neutrosophic metric.

Definition 2.15. In the context of $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \otimes, \oplus)$ being an SNMS, let's introduce a collection of soft sets denoted as Ω . The collection Ω is considered a soft open cover of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$ if every set in Ω is a soft open set that fully contains $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$. An SNMS is defined as compact if, for any soft open cover of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$ within $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \otimes)$, there exists a finite set of soft open sets that collectively cover $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$.

Definition 2.16. Any soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ in SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ is considered to converge towards a soft point $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{1}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}, \forall \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}$. Similarly, for any given value $\bar{\tau}$ belonging to the interval $(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ and \acute{l} greater than $\bar{0}$, there exists a positive integer N_0 such that $\zeta_{\xi_i}^m$ belongs to the soft set $\mathcal{SS}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{\tau}, \acute{l}))$ for all m greater than or equal to N_0 , where $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{\tau}, \acute{l})$ represents a soft open ball centered at $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ with radius $\bar{\tau}$ with respect to \acute{l} . This means $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \succ \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \forall \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}, m \geq N_0$.

Definition 2.17. Any soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ in SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ is considered to be a Cauchy sequence in SNMS if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{1}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}, \forall \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}$. Alternatively, for any specified $\bar{\tau}$ belonging to the interval $(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ and \acute{l} greater than $\bar{0}$, there exists N_0 in the set of positive integers such that $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) \succ \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) \succ \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^t, \acute{l}) \succ \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \forall m, t \geq N_0$.

Definition 2.18. An SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ is considered complete if all Cauchy sequences in the SNMS converge.

Definition 2.19. In a Soft Neutrosophic Metric Space (SNMS) $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$, compactness implies that every sequence of soft fuzzy elements within $\tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ has at least one subsequence which converges.

3 Main Results

Definition 3.1. Considering $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ as an SNMS, a soft mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) from this SNMS to itself is considered a soft neutrosophic contraction if there exists a value $\bar{\alpha}$ in the interval $[\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ that satisfies a certain condition.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \forall \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \text{ and } \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. A function $\theta : \mathbb{R}(Y) \rightarrow [0, \infty)(Y)$ is considered a χ -function if it meets the following requirements:

- (i) $\theta(\acute{l}) = \bar{0} \Leftrightarrow \acute{l} = \bar{0}$,
- (ii) The function θ is monotonically increasing, and as \acute{l} approaches infinity, $\theta(\acute{l})$ tends to positive infinity,
- (iii) The function θ is left-continuous whenever \acute{l} exceeds $\bar{0}$,
- (iv) At $\acute{l} = \bar{0}$, θ exhibits continuity.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbb{R}(Y)$ denote the set of all soft real numbers equipped with a soft topology, and let $[0, \infty)(Y)$ represent the non-negative subset of $\mathbb{R}(Y)$. We define the function $\theta : \mathbb{R}(Y) \rightarrow [0, \infty)(Y)$ as follows:

$$\theta(\acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{0} \\ \sqrt{3}k & \text{if } \acute{l} \neq \bar{0}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, θ satisfies all the criteria to be considered a χ -function.

Definition 3.4. Considering $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ as an SNMS, a soft mapping $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : (\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ is called a χ -contraction mapping on an SNMS if there exists a soft real number $\bar{\alpha} \in [\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ that satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}} \ominus \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \ominus, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}} \ominus \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \ominus, \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}} \ominus \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l}\bar{\alpha}) \ominus, \forall \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \text{ and } \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}, \end{aligned}$$

where θ is defined as a χ -function.

Theorem 3.5. We consider $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\Theta, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ as a complete SNMS wherein

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, l) &= \bar{1}, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, l) &= \bar{0}, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, l) &= \bar{0} \text{ for all } \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Hence, the soft neutrosophic contraction mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) on $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$ possesses exactly one unique soft fixed point.

Proof. We start with a soft point $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$ and create a soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ where each $\zeta_{\xi_i}^m$ is obtained by applying (\mathcal{U}, Φ) repeatedly to $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0$.

By induction, we derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{\alpha}^m), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{\alpha}^m), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{\alpha}^m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, by conditions (3.2) and (SNM5, SNM10, SNM15), for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l/\bar{c}) \underbrace{\ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l/\bar{c}) \\ &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m) \underbrace{\ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1}), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l/\bar{c}) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l/\bar{c}) \\ &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1}), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, l/\bar{c}) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l/\bar{c}) \\ &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, l/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\succeq \underbrace{\bar{1} \ddot{\otimes} \bar{1} \ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes} \bar{1}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{1}, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\preceq \underbrace{\bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{0}, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, l) &\preceq \underbrace{\bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the soft fuzzy sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is considered to be convergent in $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\Theta, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ because it satisfies the Cauchy property. Additionally, as $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\Theta, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ is complete, this implies that the sequence converges. We let $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\} \rightarrow \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_\Theta(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, l) = \bar{1}, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, l) = \bar{0}, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, l) = \bar{0}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\otimes} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \\
&\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha}) \ddot{\otimes} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2})
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \bar{1} \ddot{\otimes} \bar{1} = \bar{1}, \text{ or } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{1}, \\
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} = \bar{0}, \text{ or } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}, \\
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} = \bar{0}, \text{ or } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$. Therefore, $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ serves as a soft fixed point of (\mathcal{U}, Φ) .

It is easy to verify that there is only one soft fixed point for the soft neutrosophic contraction mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) . \square

Theorem 3.6. Regard $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ as a complete SNMS wherein

$$\lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{1}, \lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}, \lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0} \forall \acute{l} > \bar{0}. \quad (3.4)$$

Also, we consider a χ -contraction $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$. Then, (\mathcal{U}, Φ) possesses only one soft fixed point.

Proof. Start with a soft point $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0$ belonging to $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$ and create a soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ where each $\zeta_{\xi_i}^m$ is obtained by applying (\mathcal{U}, Φ) repeatedly to $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0$.

Based on conditions i) and iv) in Definition (2.11), for any \acute{l} greater than $\bar{0}$, there exists a \check{q} greater than $\bar{0}$ such that $\theta(\check{q})$ is less than \acute{l} . Now, through the process of induction, we derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}^m)), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}^m)), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}^m)).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By utilising conditions (3.5), we have, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q})), \\
&\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})) \underbrace{\ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})), \\
&\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m)) \underbrace{\ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1})), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q})), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m)) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1})), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q})), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c})), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^m)) \underbrace{\ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus}}_{c\text{-times}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{c}\bar{\alpha}^{m+c-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, letting $\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s$ and using (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \underbrace{\bar{1} \ddot{\otimes} \bar{1} \ddot{\otimes} \dots \ddot{\otimes} \bar{1}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{1}, \\
\lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \underbrace{\bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{0}, \\
\lim_{\acute{l} \rightarrow +\infty_s} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+c}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \underbrace{\bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0} \ddot{\oplus} \dots \ddot{\oplus} \bar{0}}_{c\text{-times}} = \bar{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the soft fuzzy sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is Cauchy in $(\bar{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ and hence it is convergent as $(\bar{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ is complete. We let $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\} \rightarrow \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{1}, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) = \bar{0}. \quad (3.6)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\otimes} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\
&\succeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \ddot{\otimes} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\
&\preceq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\acute{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \ddot{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.6) and the fact that $\ddot{\otimes}$ is a CSTN and $\ddot{\oplus}$ is CSTCN, we get $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{1}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ serves as a soft fixed point for the mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) . The uniqueness of the soft fixed point for the χ -contraction mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) on $(\bar{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \ddot{\otimes}, \ddot{\oplus})$ is easily demonstrable. \square

Theorem 3.7. Consider $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ as a complete SNMS, and let $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : (\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ be a χ -contraction mapping. Additionally, assume that for a soft point $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0 \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$, the iterated soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$, defined by $\zeta_{\xi_i}^m = (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m-1}$ for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is convergent. As a result, there exists a unique soft fixed point of (\mathcal{U}, Φ) in $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ to which the sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ converges.

Proof. We examine a χ -contraction mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) operating on $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$. Subsequently, a soft real number $\bar{\alpha}$ exists within the range $[\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ that fulfills the specified condition.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}\left(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta\left(\frac{\acute{l}}{\bar{\alpha}}\right)\right), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}\left(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta\left(\frac{\acute{l}}{\bar{\alpha}}\right)\right), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta(\acute{l})) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}\left(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \theta\left(\frac{\acute{l}}{\bar{\alpha}}\right)\right), \forall \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}, \end{aligned}$$

where θ is a χ -function.

According to conditions (i) and (iv) outlined in Definition (2.11), for any $\acute{l} > \bar{0}$, there exists a $\check{q} > \bar{0}$ such that $\acute{l} > \theta(\check{q})$. As a result, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}m)), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}m)), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^0, \zeta_{\xi_i}^1, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{\alpha}m)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We let $m \rightarrow \infty$ in condition (3.7). Then, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{1}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$.

Given that $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is convergent, there exists a soft point $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon$ such that $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\} \rightarrow \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$, meaning that,

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{1}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{p_j}^t, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0} \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\ &\succeq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\ &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \acute{l}/\bar{2}) \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}), \\ &\preceq \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^m, \theta(\check{q}/\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})) \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m+1}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}/\bar{2}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.8) and the fact that \mathfrak{O} is a CSTN, \mathfrak{P} is CSTCN

$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{1}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}((\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}$ is a fixed point of (\mathcal{U}, Φ) . Lastly, the uniqueness of the soft fixed point for the χ -contraction map (\mathcal{U}, Φ) on $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ can be easily confirmed. \square

Theorem 3.8. Considering $(\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$ as a complete SNMS with $\tilde{\beta} \mathfrak{O} \tilde{\delta} = \min\{\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\delta}\}$, $\tilde{\beta} \mathfrak{P} \tilde{\delta} = \max\{\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\delta}\}$ and a χ -contraction $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : (\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Gamma}_\Upsilon, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{P})$, then (\mathcal{U}, Φ) possesses a unique soft fixed point.

Proof. We begin with a soft point $\zeta_{\xi_i}^0$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ and generate a soft sequence $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ defined by $\zeta_{\xi_i}^m = (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)^m \zeta_{\xi_i}^0$. According to Theorem (3.7), the proof is concluded, establishing that $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is a Cauchy soft sequence. Now, suppose that $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is not a Cauchy soft sequence. In this case, there exist soft real numbers $\bar{l} > \bar{0}$ and $\bar{\tau} > \bar{0}$, such that for any $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exist $m(N_0), t(N_0) \geq N_0$ that satisfy:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \succ \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \quad (3.9)$$

choosing $m(N_0) < t(N_0)$. In this case, $t(N_0)$ represents the smallest positive integer concerning $m(N_0)$ that fulfills condition (3.9).

Consequently, there are $\bar{l} > \bar{0}$ and $\bar{\tau} > \bar{0}$ for which two increasing sequences $\{t(N_0)\}$ and $\{m(N_0)\}$, with $t(N_0) > m(N_0)$, can be generated, satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \bar{l}) &\prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \bar{l}) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \bar{l}) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \succ \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}. \quad (3.11)$$

To construct these sequences, we need to locate a soft point $\zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \\ \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \\ \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1} \notin \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The construction of such a sequence is feasible since we assumed that $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is not a Cauchy soft sequence.

Since for $\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y$, $\bar{\tau} \succ \bar{0}$ and $\bar{0} \prec \bar{l}_1 \prec \bar{l}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_1) \prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}\} \subset \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_2) \prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \\ \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_1) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\} \supset \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_2) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \\ \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_1) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\} \supset \{\check{\nu}_{\xi_j} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \bar{l}_2) \prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}\}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, whenever it is possible to form such a sequence for $\bar{l} > \bar{0}$ and $\bar{\tau} > \bar{0}$, the construction of $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}\}$ and $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}\}$ satisfies Conditions (3.10) and (3.11) for any $\check{q} > \bar{0}$, where $\check{q} < \bar{l}$. Since θ is a χ -function, for any $\bar{l} > \bar{0}$, there exists $\check{q} > \bar{0}$ such that $\bar{l} > \theta(\check{q})$. Therefore, we choose \bar{l} in (3.10) and (3.11) as $\bar{l} = \theta(\bar{l}_1)$ for some $\bar{l}_1 > \bar{0}$ such that $\theta(\bar{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) > \theta(\bar{l}_1)$. This selection is feasible due to the conditions i) and iv) stipulated in Definition (2.11)..

From Conditions (3.10) and (3.11), we derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\prec \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\succ \bar{1} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\bar{l}_1)) &\prec \bar{0} \ominus \bar{\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)), \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \text{ or} \\
\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \text{ or} \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \text{ or} \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \gtrsim \theta(\acute{l}_1)$, choosing $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as $\tilde{\Gamma} \lesssim \{\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \ominus \theta(\acute{l}_1)\}$.

This means $\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \ominus \tilde{\Gamma} \gtrsim \theta(\acute{l}_1)$.

Utilizing Condition (3.7) in Theorem (3.7), we select N_0 to be sufficiently large such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \tilde{\Gamma}) &\lesssim \bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1, \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \tilde{\Gamma}) &\gtrsim \bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1, \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \tilde{\Gamma}) &\gtrsim \bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1 \text{ for } \bar{0} \lesssim \tilde{\tau}_1 \lesssim \tilde{\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

With this selection of N_0 and $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and considering Conditions (3.12) to (3.14), we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, (\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \ominus \tilde{\Gamma})) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\lesssim (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}) \otimes (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1), \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, (\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \ominus \tilde{\Gamma})) \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)) \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\gtrsim (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}) \oplus (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1), \\
\bar{0} \ominus \tilde{\tau} &\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha})), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, (\theta(\acute{l}_1/\bar{\alpha}) \ominus \tilde{\Gamma})) \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\gtrsim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{t(N_0)-1}, \theta(\acute{l}_1)) \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)-1}, \zeta_{\xi_i}^{m(N_0)}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \\
&\gtrsim (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}) \oplus (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1),
\end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that $\tilde{\tau}_1 \lesssim \tilde{\tau}$, we have $(\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}) \lesssim (\bar{1} \ominus \tilde{\tau}_1)$.

This introduces a contradiction. As a result, $\{\zeta_{\xi_i}^m\}$ is Cauchy. The proof follows Theorem (3.7) after that. \square

4 Illustrations

Example 4.1. Considering, a set $\Gamma = \{r, s, t\}$ and a parameter set $\Upsilon = \{a, b\}$ with a CSTN and CSTCN defined as $\check{u} \otimes \check{v} = \min\{\check{u}, \check{v}\}$ and $\check{u} \oplus \check{v} = \max\{\check{u}, \check{v}\}$. Then, $\mathcal{SP}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Upsilon}) =$

$\{\zeta_\iota, \zeta_\mu, \check{\nu}_a, \check{\nu}_b, \check{\omega}_\iota, \check{\omega}_\mu\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G} : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times (0, \infty)(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1](Y)$ as follows: for any $p_i, p_j \in Y$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{0} \\ 0.8 & \text{if } \bar{0} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{2} \\ \bar{1} & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{0} \\ 0.5 & \text{if } \bar{0} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{4} \\ \bar{1} & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{1} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \text{ for all } \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \text{ and } \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}.$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{1}, \\ 0.1, & \text{if } \bar{0} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{2}, \\ \bar{1}, & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{1}, \\ 0.4, & \text{if } \bar{1} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{4}, \\ \bar{1}, & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \text{ for all } \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \text{ and } \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}.$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{1}, \\ 0.6, & \text{if } \bar{0} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{2}, \\ \bar{1}, & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\check{\omega}_{\xi_j}, \zeta_{\xi_i}, \acute{l}) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}, & \text{if } \acute{l} = \bar{1}, \\ 0.5, & \text{if } \bar{1} \prec \acute{l} \preceq \bar{4}, \\ \bar{1}, & \text{if } \acute{l} > \bar{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j}, \acute{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \zeta_{\xi_i} = \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \text{ for all } \zeta_{\xi_i}, \check{\nu}_{\xi_j} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \text{ and } \acute{l} \succ \bar{0}.$$

Therefore, $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \check{\otimes}, \check{\oplus})$ forms a complete SNMS. Next, consider a soft self-mapping

(\mathcal{U}, Φ) defined on $\tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ as follows: $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\zeta_\iota) = \check{\nu}_a$, $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\zeta_\mu) = \check{\nu}_b$, $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\check{\nu}_a) = \check{\nu}_b$,

$(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\check{\nu}_b) = \check{\nu}_a$, $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\check{\omega}_\iota) = \zeta_\mu$, $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\check{\omega}_\mu) = \zeta_\iota$.

Thus, (\mathcal{U}, Φ) forms a soft contraction map on the SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \check{\otimes}, \check{\oplus})$, satisfying all the conditions specified in Theorem (3.5). Additionally, it has a unique fixed point, denoted as $\check{\nu}_b$.

Example 4.2. Take a set $\Gamma = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{U} = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}$, $\mathcal{V} = [4, 5]$, and a parameter set $Y = \{1, 2\}$. We describe $\Xi : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(Y)^*$ as follows: $\Xi(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q) = |\alpha - \beta| + |\gamma - \delta| \forall \zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q \in SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y)$.

In $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \Xi)$, we define $\zeta \check{\otimes} \check{\nu} = \zeta \circ \check{\nu}$ or $\zeta \check{\otimes} \check{\nu} = \min\{\zeta, \check{\nu}\}$ and $\zeta \check{\oplus} \check{\nu} = \max\{\zeta, \check{\nu}\}$. We define

$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J} : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times (0, \infty)(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1](Y)$ as follows: $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q, \acute{l}) = \frac{\acute{l}}{\bar{l} \oplus \Xi(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q)}$,

$\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q, \acute{l}) = \frac{\Xi(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q)}{\bar{l} \oplus \Xi(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q)}$, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q, \acute{l}) = \frac{\Xi(\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q)}{\bar{l}}$ for each $\zeta_p, \check{\nu}_q \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ and $\acute{l} \succ \bar{0}$.

Then, $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{J}, \check{\otimes}, \check{\oplus})$ is a complete SNMS.

Now, we consider map $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi) : \tilde{\Gamma}_Y \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ defined by $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)(\zeta_p) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\bar{1}}{\bar{2}}\right)_1 & \text{if } \zeta_p \in SP(\check{V}_P) \\ \left(\frac{\bar{1}}{\bar{3}}\right)_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then, (\mathcal{U}, Φ) is a soft contraction map on SNMS $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S})$ and it follows all the conditions specified in Theorem (3.5). Moreover, it admits only one fixed point, i.e., $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_2$.

Example 4.3. Consider $\Gamma = \left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{9}\right\}$ and parameter set $Y = \{1, 2\}$ with a soft t-norm and soft t-conorm defined as $\check{u} \otimes \check{v} = \min\{\check{u}, \check{v}\}$ and $\check{u} \oplus \check{v} = \min\{\check{u}, \check{v}\}$ for $\check{u}, \check{v} \in [0, 1](Y)$. Then, $SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) = \left\{\frac{5}{81}, \frac{5}{82}, \frac{3}{41}, \frac{3}{42}, \frac{8}{91}, \frac{8}{92}\right\}$. We define $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}} : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times (0, \infty)(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1](Y)$ as follows: for any $p, q \in Y$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \bar{k} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.9 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{3} \\ \bar{1} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{8}{9_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{8}{9_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{8}{9_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}\left(\frac{8}{9_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \hat{l} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.6 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{8} \\ \bar{1} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{8}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q, \hat{l}) = \bar{1} \leftrightarrow \check{\zeta}_p = \check{\nu}_p, \text{ for all } \check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \hat{l} \gtrsim \bar{0}.$$

We define $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}} : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times (0, \infty)(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1](Y)$ as follows: for any $p, q \in Y$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{1} & \text{if } \bar{k} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.1 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{3} \\ \bar{0} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{8}{9_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{8}{9_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{8}{9_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}\left(\frac{8}{9_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{1} & \text{if } \hat{l} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.2 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{8} \\ \bar{0} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{8}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q, \hat{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \check{\zeta}_p = \check{\nu}_p, \text{ for all } \check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \hat{l} \gtrsim \bar{0}.$$

We define $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}} : SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times SP(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y) \times (0, \infty)(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1](Y)$ as follows: for any $p, q \in Y$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{1} & \text{if } \bar{k} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.4 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{3} \\ \bar{0} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{8}{9_p}, \frac{3}{4_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{3}{4_q}, \frac{8}{9_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{5}{8_p}, \frac{8}{9_q}, \hat{l}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}\left(\frac{8}{9_q}, \frac{5}{8_p}, \hat{l}\right) = \begin{cases} \bar{1} & \text{if } \hat{l} = \bar{0} \\ \bar{0}.6 & \text{if } \bar{0} \lesssim \hat{l} \lesssim \bar{8} \\ \bar{0} & \text{if } \hat{l} > \bar{8}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q, \hat{l}) = \bar{0} \leftrightarrow \check{\zeta}_p = \check{\nu}_p, \text{ for all } \check{\zeta}_p, \check{\nu}_q \in \tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \hat{l} \gtrsim \bar{0}.$$

Then, $(\tilde{\Gamma}_Y, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{G}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{J}}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S})$ is a complete SNMS.

Now, take a soft self-map (\mathcal{U}, Φ) on $\tilde{\Gamma}_Y$ as $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\left(\frac{5}{81}\right) = \frac{3}{41}; (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\left(\frac{5}{82}\right) = \frac{3}{41};$

$$(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\left(\frac{3}{41}\right) = \frac{3}{41}; (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\left(\frac{3}{42}\right) = \frac{5}{82};$$

$(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\left(\frac{8}{91}\right) = \frac{8}{92}; (\mathcal{U}, \Phi)\frac{8}{92} = \frac{8}{91}$. We choose $\theta(\hat{l}) = \bar{k}^{\frac{1}{3}}$. This function θ fits the criteria for a χ -function. Consequently, the mapping (\mathcal{U}, Φ) meets the conditions outlined in Theorem (3.8), and uniquely converges to the fixed point $\frac{3}{41}$.

5 Conclusion remarks

This work introduces a novel approach to Banach contraction in SNMSs by applying a specific constraint to link the soft points of the absolute soft set. It extends the contraction concept through χ -contraction mapping, leading to unique fixed points with continuity of soft t-norms and t-conorms. The fixed-point results are supported by examples, demonstrating their practical applications in managing uncertainty. These results can also be extended to various metric spaces, such as soft fuzzy partial and b-metric spaces. The findings have important implications for both theoretical and computational mathematics.

References

- [1] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., and Smarandache, F. (2024). A generalization of neutrosophic metric space and related fixed point results. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 66, 17-32.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 87-96.
- [3] Beaulaa, T., and Raja, R. (2015). Completeness in fuzzy soft metric space. *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 2, 438-442.
- [4] Das, S., and Samanta, S. (2013). Soft metric. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 6, 77-94.
- [5] Erduran, F. S., Git, R. A. E., and Gezici, A. (2017). Soft fuzzy metric spaces. *General Letters in Mathematics*, 3, 91-101.
- [6] George, A., and Veeramani, P. (1994). On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64, 395-399.
- [7] Gupta, V., and Gondhi, A. (2023). Soft tripled coincidence fixed point theorems in soft fuzzy metric space. *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics and Physics*, 16, 397-407.
- [8] Gupta, V., Gondhi, A., and Mani, N. (2023). Contraction theorems in neutrosophic soft metric space. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2902(1), 020009. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0140216>
- [9] Jeyaraman, M. (2022). Fixed point theorem on soft M-fuzzy metric spaces. *Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 58, 12-18.
- [10] Johnsy, J., and Jeyaraman, M. (2024). Fixed point theorems for $(\psi - \phi)$ -contractions in generalized neutrosophic metric spaces. *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 16(1), 13-25.
- [11] Jeyaraman, M., Johnsy, J., and Pandiselvi, R. (2023). Some results in neutrosophic soft metric spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 58(1), 26.
- [12] Jeyaraman, M., and Sowndrarajan, S. (2019). Some common fixed point theorems for (Φ, Ψ) -weak contractions in intuitionistic generalized fuzzy cone metric spaces. *Malaya J. Math*, 1, 154-159.
- [13] Kramosil, I., and Michalek, J. (1975). Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika*, 11, 336-344.
- [14] Maji, P. K., Biswas, R., and Roy, A. R. (2003). Soft set theory. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 45, 555-562.
- [15] Molodtsov, D. (1999). Soft set theory—First results. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 37, 19-31.
- [16] Sabri, R. I., Mohammedali, M. N., Rasheed, M., and Shihab, S. (2020). Compactness of soft fuzzy metric space. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 55, 1-10.
- [17] Smarandache, F. (2005). Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, 287-297.
- [18] Sonam, S., Bhardwaj, R., and Narayan, S. (2023). Fixed point results in soft fuzzy metric spaces. *Mathematics*, 11(14), 3189.
- [19] Yazar, M. I., Gunduz, Ç., and Bayramov, S. (2016). Fixed point theorems of soft contractive mappings. *Filomat*, 30, 269-279.
- [20] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.
- [21] Zhang, H., Shu, L., and Liao, S. (2014). Intuitionistic fuzzy soft rough set and its application in decision making. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 287314.

Author information

J. Johnsy, Department of Mathematics, Fatima College(Autonomous),
Affiliated to Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India.
E-mail: johnsy.math@gmail.com

M. Jeyaraman, P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College
Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.
E-mail: jeya.math@gmail.com

Mohammad Akram, Faculty of Science, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah 42351, Saudi Arabia.
E-mail: akramkhan_20@rediffmail.com (Corresponding Author)

Received: 2025-05-29

Accepted: 2025-10-09