

HYBRID ITERATION METHOD FOR FIXED POINTS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY ϕ -DEMICONTRACTIVE MAPS IN REAL HILBERT SPACES

Uko Sunday Jim

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 47H09, 47H10; Secondary 47J05, 65J15.

Keywords and phrases: Hybrid iteration method, Asymptotically ϕ -demi- contractive, Fixed point, Uniformly Lipschitzian, Hilbert spaces.

We acknowledge the anonymous reviewers for their useful comments.

Abstract. A strong convergence theorem of Hybrid iteration method to fixed points of asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive mapping is proved in real Hilbert spaces. Our results extend, generalize and complement the results of Wang [8], Osilike, Isiogugu and Nwokoro [14], and extend several others from asymptotically demicontractive to the more general class of asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive maps (see for example [2, 11, 17]).

1 Introduction

Let K be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H . A mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be **asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive** with a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [1, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$, (see for example, [3, 4]), if $F(T) = \{x \in K : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$ and there exists an increasing continuous function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|T^n x - p\|^2 \leq k_n \|x - p\|^2 + \|x - T^n x\|^2 - \phi(\|x - T^n x\|), \tag{1.1}$$

$\forall x \in K, p \in F(T)$ and $n \geq 1$.

A mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be **asymptotically demicontractive** with a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [1, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\forall x \in K, p \in F(T), \exists a k \in [0, 1) \ni$

$$\|T^n x - p\|^2 \leq a_n^2 \|x - p\|^2 + k \|(I - T^n)x\|^2, \tag{1.2}$$

A mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be **k -strictly asymptotically pseudocontractive** with a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [1, \infty)$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ if $\forall x, y \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a k \in [0, 1) \ni$

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq k_n \|x - y\|^2 + k \|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2, \tag{1.3}$$

where I is the identity operator. The class of k -strictly asymptotically pseudocontractive and asymptotically demicontractive maps were first introduced in Hilbert spaces by Qihou [10]. Observe that a k -strictly asymptotically pseudocontractive map with a nonempty fixed point set $F(T)$ is asymptotically demicontractive. An example of a k -strictly asymptotically pseudocontractive map is given in Osilike *et al.* [16].

Furthermore, T is uniformly L -Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $L > 0 \ni$

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \tag{1.4}$$

$\forall x, y \in K$ and $n \geq 1$.

The class of asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive maps was first introduced in arbitrary real Banach spaces by Osilike and Isiogugu [13]. It is shown in [13] that the class of asymptotically demicontractive map is a proper subclass of the class of asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive

map while in [4], it is shown that every asymptotically demicontractive map is asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive with $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\phi(t) = (1 - k)t^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a_n^2 - 1)\|x - p\|^2.$$

These classes of operators have been studied by several authors (See for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 17]). In [13] Osilike and Isiogugu proved the convergence of the modified averaging iteration process of Mann [19] to the fixed points of asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive maps. Specifically they proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. ([13], p. 65): *Let E be a real Banach space and K a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a completely continuous uniformly L -Lipschitzian asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive mapping with a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq [1, \infty), \exists \sum (a_n^2 - 1) < \infty$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a real sequence satisfying (i) $0 < \alpha_n < 1$ (ii) $\sum \alpha_n = \infty$ (iii) $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generated from arbitrary $x_1 \in K$ by the modified averaging Mann iteration process*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{1.5}$$

converges strongly to a common fixed point of T .

Similarly, in [4], using the modified averaging implicit iteration scheme $\{x_n\}$ of Sun [20], generated from an $x_1 \in K$, by

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_i^k x_n, \quad n \geq 1$$

where $n = (k - 1)N + i, i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$, Igbokwe and Udofia [4] proved that under certain conditions on the iteration sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$, the above iteration process $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to the common fixed point of the family $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of N uniformly L_i -Lipschitzian asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive self maps of nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H .

The hybrid approximation methods below was first introduced by Yamada [7]. Yamada proposed the method in order to reduce the complexity in computation caused by the the projection $P_K(u^* - \mu F(u^*))$ in the fixed point equation

$$u^* = P_K(u^* - \mu F(u^*)) \tag{1.6}$$

(where P_K is a projection from a Hilbert space H onto a closed convex subset K of H). To solve variational inequalities associated with the fixed point equation (1.6), Yamada introduced the following iteration method: For arbitrary $u_0 \in H$;

$$u_{n+1} = T u_n - \lambda_{n+1} F(T u_n), \quad n \geq 0$$

(where T is a nonexpansive mapping from H into itself, K is the fixed point set of T , F is η -strongly monotone and L -Lipschitzian on K , $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{L^2}$). Yamada proved strong convergence in the Hilbert space H .

Motivated by Yamada’s work, Wang [8] proposed a new explicit iteration scheme with a mapping F to approximate the fixed points of nonexpansive mapping T in Hilbert spaces and proved strong and weak convergence theorems. The explicit iteration scheme of Wang is given below: Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive mapping, $F : H \rightarrow H$ an η -strongly monotone L -Lipschitzian mapping on $K, \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, 1\}$ and μ a fixed constant in $(0, \frac{2\eta}{L^2})$. For arbitrary initial point $x_1 \in H$, the explicit iteration scheme with mapping F is defined as follows

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(T x_n - \lambda_{n+1} \mu F(T x_n)), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.7}$$

In the sequel we shall need the mapping $T^\lambda : E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$T^\lambda = T x - \lambda \mu F(T x), \quad \forall x \in H. \tag{1.8}$$

With (1.8), we observe that (1.7) becomes

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T^{\lambda_{n+1}} x_n, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Recently Osilike *et al.* [14] extend the work of Wang to arbitrary Banach spaces without the strong monotonicity assumption on the hybrid operator F . Specifically, they proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be an arbitrary real Banach space, $T : K \rightarrow K$ a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $F : E \rightarrow E$ an L -Lipschitzian mapping. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 \in E$ by (1.9) and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and λ_n are real sequences in $[0, 1)$ satisfying the conditions:*

- (i) $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_n < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ and for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,
 - (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$.
- Then (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x^*\|$ exists for each $x^* \in F(T)$,
 (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$,
 (c) $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T if and only if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$.

The main purpose of this paper is to modify (1.9) and proved that the modified hybrid iteration process converges to fixed points of N uniformly L -asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive mappings in Hilbert space. Our results extend, generalize and complement the results of Wang [8], Osilike, Isiogugu and Nwokoro [14], and extend several others in literature (see for example, [2, 5, 11, 13, 17]). In the sequel we shall make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. ([17], p. 80): *Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists. In particular, if $\{a_n\}$ has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

2 MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1 (Main Theorem). *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be N uniformly L_1 -Lipschitzian asymptotically ϕ -demicontractive self maps of K with sequence $\{a_{in}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{in} - 1) < \infty \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $F(T) = \{x \in K : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $F : K \rightarrow K$ be an L_2 -Lipschitzian mappings. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 \in K$ by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T^{\lambda_{n+1}} x_n, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.1}$$

where $T^{\lambda_{n+1}} x_n := T^k x_n - \lambda_{n+1} \mu F(T^k)$, $\mu > 0$ and $n = (k - 1)N$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two real sequences in $[0, 1)$ satisfying the conditions;

- (i) $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta < 1$ and for some $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$,
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)^2 < \infty$,
- (iv) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$.

Then,

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for each $p \in F(T)$,
- (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$,
- (c) $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T if and only if there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which converges strongly to p of T .

Proof. We use result of Reinerman [9] (see also [1, 12]) and the fact that T and F are L -Lipschitzian.

$$\|tx + (1 - t)y\|^2 = t\|x\|^2 + (1 - t)\|y\|^2 - t(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

which holds $\forall x, y \in H$. Let $p \in F$, then using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n) - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Observe that $(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n\| = \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|x_n - T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n\|^2 &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \\ (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n\|^2 &= \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n - p\| &= \|(T^k x_n - \lambda_{n+1}\mu F(T^k x_n) - p) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n+1}\mu F(T^k x_n) - p\| \\ &\leq \|T^k x_n - p\| + \lambda_{n+1}\mu\|F(T^k x_n)\| \\ \|T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n - p\|^2 &= \|T^k x_n - p\|^2 + 2\lambda_{n+1}\mu\|F(T^k x_n)\|\|T^k x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n+1}^2\mu^2\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

since $2\|F(T^k x_n)\|\|T^k x_n - p\| \leq \|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 + \|(T^k x_n - p)\|^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\lambda_{n+1}}x_n - p\|^2 &= \|T^k x_n - p\|^2 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n+1}\mu\|T^k x_n - p\| + \lambda_{n+1}^2\mu^2\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|T^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Substitute (2.5), (2.6) into (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\{[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|T^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|T^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

since $\|F(T^k x_n)\| = L_1 L_2 \|x_n - p\| + \|F(p)\|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(T^k x_n)\|^2 &= \{L_1 L_2 \|x_n - p\| + \|F(p)\|\}^2 \\ &= L_1^2 L_2^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2L_1 L_2 \|x_n - p\|\|F(p)\| + \|F(p)\|^2 \\ &\leq L_1 L_2 (L_1 L_2 + 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 + (L_1 L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Substitute (2.8) into (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|T^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\{L_1 L_2 (L_1 L_2 + 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (L_1 L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2\} - \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|T^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]L_1 L_2 (L_1 L_2 + 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1 L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Now estimating (2.9) using (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k x_n - T^k p\|^2 &\leq \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)\right] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - T^k x\|^2 \\ &\quad - \phi(\|x - T^k x_n\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Also $\|x_n - T^k x_n\| = \|x_n - p + p + T^k x_n\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \|T^k x_n - p\| = (L + 1)\|x_n - p\|$.
Hence

$$\|x_n - T^k x_n\|^2 = (L + 1)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \quad (2.11)$$

Substitute (2.8) into (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k x_n - T^k p\|^2 &\leq \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)\right] \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (L + 1)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 - \phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Substitute (2.12) into (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)\right] \|x_n - p\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (L + 1)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 - \phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)\right] \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L + 1)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n \|T^k x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu(L_1L_2 + 1)\|F(p)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \{(1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)\right] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L + 1)^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu(L_1L_2 + 1)(1 - \alpha_n)\} \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \\ &\quad + \{(1 - \alpha_n)^2\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1L_2 + 1) \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu(L_1L_2 + 1)\} \|F(p)\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n \|T^k x_n - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu][1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L + 1)^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)^2\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1L_2 + 1) \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu(L_1L_2 + 1) \\ \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \{1 + \frac{\Psi_n - (1 - \alpha_n)}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|F(p)\|^2 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|T^k x_n - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \{1 - \frac{\Psi_n - (1 - \alpha_n)}{-(1 - \alpha_n)}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|F(p)\|^2 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)}\|T^k x_n - x_n\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

since $-\frac{1}{(1-\alpha_n)} \leq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \{1 + \delta_{in}\}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu]\phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\|T^k x_n - x_n\|^2 + \sigma_n \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{in} &= \Psi_n - (1 - \alpha_n) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu][1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_{in} - 1)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L + 1)^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1) - (1 - \alpha_n) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)^2\lambda_{n+1}\mu + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](a_{in} - 1) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L + 1)^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1)\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu L_1L_2(L_1L_2 + 1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \eta_n\|F(p)\|^2 = \{(1 - \alpha_n)^2\lambda_{n+1}\mu[1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu](L_1L_2 + 1) \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha_n)^2\alpha_n\lambda_{n+1}\mu(L_1L_2 + 1)\}\|F(p)\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

From conditions (ii) – (v), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{in} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$. Thus using Lemma 1.3, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists and $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, thus completing the proof of (a). Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, then there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x_n - p\| \leq M \forall n \geq 1$. It follows from (2.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_n)^2 [1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \leq \{1 + \delta_{in}\} \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ & \quad - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \\ & \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_j)^2 [1 + \lambda_{j+1}\mu] \phi(\|x_j - T^k x_j\|) \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} [\{1 + \delta_{ij}\} \|x_j - p\|^2 \\ & \quad - \|x_{j+1} - p\|^2 + \sigma_j] \\ & = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} [\|x_j - p\|^2 - \|x_{j+1} - p\|^2 + \delta_{ij}\|x_j - p\|^2 + \sigma_j] \\ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)^2 [1 + \lambda_{n+1}\mu] \phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) \leq \|x_N - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + M^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{in} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Conditions (iii) and (iv) imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\|x_n - T^k x_n\|) = 0$. Since ϕ is an increasing and continuous, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T^k x_n\| = 0$. Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T x_n\| &= \|x_n - T^k x_n + T^k x_n - T x_n\| \leq \|x_n - T^k x_n\| \\ & \quad + \|T^k x_n - T x_n\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - T^k x_n\| + \|T^k x_n - T x_n\| = \|x_n - T^k x_n\| \\ & \quad + \|T T^{k-1} x_n - T x_n\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - T^k x_n\| + L \|T^{k-1} x_n - x_n\| \\ & = \|x_n - T^k x_n\| + L \|T^{k-1} x_n - T^{k-1} x_{n-1}\| \\ & \quad + \|T^{k-1} x_{n-1} - x_n\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - T^k x_n\| + L \|T^{k-1} x_n - T^{k-1} x_{n-1}\| \\ & \quad + L \|T^{k-1} x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| + L \|x_{n-1} - x_n\| \\ & = \|x_n - T^k x_n\| + L(L + 1) \|x_{n-1} - x_n\| \\ & \quad + L \|T^{k-1} x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| &= \|x_{n-1} - [\alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \{T^{k-1} x_{n-1} \\ & \quad - \lambda_n \mu F(T^{k-1} x_{n-1})\}]\| \\ & \leq \|x_{n-1} - T^{k-1} x_{n-1}\| + \lambda_n \mu \|F(T^{k-1} x_{n-1})\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n-1} - T^k x_n\| + L(L + 2) \|x_{n-1} - T^{k-1} x_{n-1}\| \\ & \quad + \lambda_n \mu (L + 1) L_1^2 L_2 \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \lambda_n \mu (L + 1) \|F(p)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T x_n\| = 0$. Thus completing the proof of (b). Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ which converges strongly to p and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0$ exists, by Lemma 1.3. Thus completing the proof. \square

3 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Remark 3.1. If T is in addition completely continuous or demicompact, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T . Furthermore, if T satisfies condition (A), then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$, so under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, if T satisfies condition (A), then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

Remark 3.2. The strong monotonicity condition imposed on F in [8] is not required in our results.

Remark 3.3. Our results extend, generalize and complement the results of Wang [8], Osilike, Isiogugu and Nwokoro [14] and others in literature.

References

- [1] C. E. Chidume, *Geometric Properties of Banach Spaces and Nonlinear Iterations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1965*. Springer-Verlag London (2009).
- [2] D. I. Igbokwe, Approximation of Fixed Points of Asymptotically Demicontractive Mappings in Arbitrary Banach Spaces. *Journal of Inequality in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **3**(1) (2002), pp 1 – 11.
- [3] D. I. Igbokwe and U. S. Jim, Approximation of Common Fixed Points of a Finite Family of Asymptotically ϕ -Demicontractive Mappings Using Composite Implicit Iteration Process, *Theoretical Mathematics and Applications*, **2**(1)(2012), 161 – 177.
- [4] D. I. Igbokwe and U. E. Udofia, Approximation of Fixed Points of a Finite Family of Asymptotically ϕ -Demicontractive Maps by an Implicit Iteration Process, *World Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **2**(1), (2010), 26 – 33.
- [5] D. I. Igbokwe and U. E. Udofia, Implicit Iteration Method for Common of Fixed Points of a Finite Family of Asymptotically ϕ -Demicontractive Mappings. *Journal of Nigerian Mathematical Society*, **30**(2011), 53 – 62.
- [6] H. K. Xu, and T. H. Kim, Convergence of Hybrid Steepest-Descent Methods for Variational Inequalities, *J. Optimz. Theory and Appl.*, **119**(1) (2003), 185 – 201.
- [7] I. Yamada, The Hybrid Steepest Descent for Variational Inequality Problem over the Intersection of Fixed point Sets of Nonexpansive Mappings, in *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and their Applications Haifa(2000)* D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich. Eds, vol.8 of Stud. Comp. Math., 473 – 504.
- [8] L. Wang, An iterative Method for Nonexpansive Mappings in Hilbert Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol 2007 Article ID 28619 (2007), 1 – 8, <http://www.hindawi.com/journal/fpta/index.html>
- [9] J. Reinermann, Uber Fixpunkte Kontrahierender Abbildungen und Schwach Konvergente Tooplite-Verfahren, *Arch. Math.*, **20**, (1969), 59 – 64.
- [10] L. Qihou, Convergence Theorems of the Sequence of Iterates for Asymptotically Demicontractive and Hemicontractive Mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **26**(1), (1996), 1835 – 1842.
- [11] M. O. Osilike, Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points of Asymptotically Demicontractive Mappings, *Indian J. of Pure Appl. Maths.*, **29**(12) (1998), 1291 – 1300.
- [12] M. O. Osilike and D. I. Igbokwe, Approximation of Fixed Points of Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings in Certain Banach Spaces. *Fixed point Theory and Applications, Nova Science Publication Huntington, NY*, **15**(2) (2000), 70 – 89.
- [13] M. O. Osilike and F. O. Isiogugu, Fixed Points of Asymptotically ϕ -Demicontractive Mappings in Arbitrary Banach Spaces, *Pan - American Mathematical Journal* , **15**(3) (2005), 59 – 69.
- [14] M. O. Osilike and F. O. Isiogugu, F. O. and P. U. Nwokoro, Hybrid Iteration Method for Fixed Points of Nonexpansive Mappings in Arbitrary Banach Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol 2007 Article ID 64306:(2007), 1 – 7, <http://www.hindawi.com/journal/fpta/index.html>.
- [15] M. O. Osilike and F. O. Isiogugu and P. U. Nwokoro, Hybrid Iteration Method for Fixed Points of Strictly Pseudocontractive Mappings in Arbitrary Banach spaces. *Journal of Nigerian Mathematical Society*, Vol **27**(2008), pp. 91 – 108.
- [16] M. O. Osilike, A. Udomene, D. I. Igbokwe and B.G. Akuchu, Demiclosedness Principle and Convergence Theorem for k-Strictly Asymptotically Pseudocontractive Maps, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **326**(2), (2007), 1334 – 1345.
- [17] M. O. Osilike, S. C. Aniagbosor and B. G. Akuchu, Fixed Points of Asymptotically Demicontractive Mappings in Arbitrary Banach Spaces, *Pan American Mathematical Journal*, **12**(2) (2002), 77 – 88.

- [18] S. S. Chang, Some Problems and Results in the Study of Nonlinear Analysis, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **30**(1997), 4197 – 4208.
- [19] W. R. Mann, Mean Value Methods in Iteration, *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society*, **4**, (1953), 506 – 510.
- [20] Z. H. Sun, Strong Convergence of an Implicit Iteration Process for a Finite Family of Asymptotically Quasi-Nonexpensive Mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **286**, (2003), 351 – 358.

Author information

Uko Sunday Jim, Department of Mathematics, University of Uyo, P. M. B. 1017, Uyo, Nigeria.
E-mail: ukojim@uniuyo.edu.ng

Received: July 4, 2017.

Accepted: February 19, 2018.