

# ON PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF $(\kappa, \mu)$ -CONTACT SPACE FORMS

Venkatesha, Srikantha N and Siddesha M.S.

Communicated by Zafar Ahsan

MSC 2010 Classifications: 53C15, 53C17, 53C25, 53D15.

Keywords and phrases:  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds,  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space forms, mixed-geodesic, pseudo-slant submanifolds, totally umbilical.

*The authors are grateful to the referee for their valuable suggestions in improving the paper.*

**Abstract.** The geometry of pseudo-slant submanifolds of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space forms has been studied. The necessary and sufficient condition for a pseudo-slant submanifold to be mixed-geodesic has been obtained along with some results on totally umbilical pseudo-slant submanifolds of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form.

## 1 Introduction

It is known that slant submanifolds are the generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds, many geometers have shown interest in this study. Chen ([7], [8]) initiated this study on complex manifolds. Lotta [16] introduced the concept of slant immersions in to an almost contact metric manifold. Carriazo introduced another new class of submanifolds called hemi-slant submanifolds (it is also called as anti-slant or pseudo-slant submanifold) [5]. Later many geometers like ([9], [10], [12], [13], [15]) studied pseudo-slant submanifolds on various manifolds.

The notion of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form was introduced by Koufogiorgos [14], which contains the well known class of Sasakian space forms for  $\kappa = 1$ . Thus it is worthwhile to study pseudo-slant submanifolds in a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form. Tripathi et al., [6] introduced generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space forms and proved that the functions of a contact metric generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $M(f_1, \dots, f_6)$  of dimension greater than or equal to 5 are constant and are related to each other. Motivated by these studies we plan to study pseudo-slant submanifolds of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space forms.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains some basic formulas and definitions of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold and their submanifolds. In section 3, we review some definitions and proved some basic results on pseudo-slant submanifold of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. Last section deals with the study of totally umbilical pseudo-slant submanifold in  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold and  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space forms.

## 2 Preliminaries

A  $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be contact manifold if it carries a global 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^m \neq 0$  everywhere on  $\tilde{M}$ . And a  $(2m + 1)$  dimensional almost contact manifold with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  consisting of  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\phi$ , global 1-form  $\eta$  and a characteristic vector field  $\xi$  satisfies ([1], [2]):

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \phi\xi = 0, \eta \circ \phi = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $g$  be the compatible Riemannian metric with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.3}$$

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X). \tag{2.4}$$

Then  $\tilde{M}$  equipped with almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called almost contact metric manifold. Let  $\tilde{\Phi}$  be the fundamental 2-form on  $\tilde{M}$  defined by  $\tilde{\Phi}(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) = -\tilde{\Phi}(Y, X)$ . Now if  $\tilde{\Phi} = d\eta$  then almost contact metric structure becomes contact metric structure.

We know that in a contact metric manifold  $(\tilde{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , the symmetric tensor  $h$ , defined by  $2h = \mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$ , satisfies the following [1]

$$h\xi = 0, h\phi + \phi h = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX, \text{tr}(h) = \text{tr}(\phi h) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\tilde{M}$ . A contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold if the structural vector field  $\xi$  belongs to  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -nullity distribution defined by [3]

$$\mathcal{N}(\kappa, \mu) : p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_p(\kappa, \mu) = \{Z \in T_p \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \kappa(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) + \mu(g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY)\},$$

where  $\kappa, \mu$  are constants. We know that in a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $h^2 = (\kappa - 1)\phi^2$  and therefore  $\kappa \leq 1$ . If  $\kappa = 1$  then  $\tilde{M}$  becomes Sasakian manifold.

Moreover for a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  of dimension  $2m + 1$  and for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have [2]

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX). \tag{2.6}$$

The  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be  $(\kappa, \mu)$  contact space form denoted by  $\tilde{M}(c)$  if  $\tilde{M}$  has constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature. Now the curvature tensor of  $\tilde{M}(c)$  is given by [14]

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{c+3}{4}R_1 + \frac{c-1}{4}R_2 + \left(\frac{c+3}{4} - \kappa\right)R_3 + \frac{1}{2}R_4 + R_5 + (1-\mu)R_6, \tag{2.7}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(X, Y)Z &= \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ R_2(X, Y)Z &= \{g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z\} \\ R_3(X, Y)Z &= \{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ R_4(X, Y)Z &= \{g(hY, Z)hX - g(hX, Z)hY + g(\phi hX, Z)\phi hY - g(\phi hY, Z)\phi hX\} \\ R_5(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY + g(hY, Z)X - g(hX, Z)Y, \\ R_6(X, Y)Z &= \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX + g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$ .

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with induced metric denoted by the same symbol  $g$ . Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^\perp$  are induced connections on the tangent bundle  $TM$  and  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$  respectively,  $\sigma$  and  $A_V$  are the second fundamental form and the shape operator with respect to  $V$  respectively. Further  $\sigma$  and  $A_V$  are related by

$$g(A_V X, Y) = g(\sigma(X, Y), V), \tag{2.10}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ . The mean curvature vector  $H$  of  $M$  is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{l} \text{tr}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma(e_i, e_i), \tag{2.11}$$

where  $l$  is the dimension of  $M$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}$  is the local orthonormal frame of  $M$ .

- A submanifold is said to be totally umbilical if

$$\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H, \tag{2.12}$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector.

- A submanifold is said to be totally geodesic if  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ .
- A submanifold is said to be minimal if  $H = 0$ .

Also, we have

$$\sigma_{ij}^r = g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), e_r) \text{ and } \|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^l g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)), \tag{2.13}$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq l, l + 1 \leq r \leq 2m + 1$ . Now for any submanifold  $M$  of a Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  and for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , the covariant derivative of  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z). \tag{2.14}$$

Also for the submanifold  $M$ , the Riemannian curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  is given by

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + A_{\sigma(X,Z)}Y - A_{\sigma(Y,Z)}X + (\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z), \tag{2.15}$$

where  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$ . The tangent and normal components of the above equation are, respectively

$$(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^T = R(X, Y)Z + A_{\sigma(X,Z)}Y - A_{\sigma(Y,Z)}X, \tag{2.16}$$

$$(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z), \tag{2.17}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Note that  $M$  is said to be curvature invariant submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$  if  $(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = 0$ .

The Ricci equation is given by

$$g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)U, V) = g(R^\perp(X, Y)U, V) + g([A_U, A_V]X, Y), \tag{2.18}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ . Here  $R^\perp$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $T^\perp M$  and if it is zero then the normal connection of  $M$  is flat.

**Definition 2.1.** A  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor  $S$  is of the form  $S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are smooth functions on  $\tilde{M}$  and  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Before going to main results we first recall a lemma of [18],

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a contact Riemannian manifold and  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, then  $\kappa \leq 1$ . If  $\kappa < 1$ , then  $M$  admits three mutually orthogonal and integrable distributions  $D(0), D(\lambda)$  and  $D(-\lambda)$  defined by the eigenspaces of  $h$ , where  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$ . Further, if  $X \in D(\lambda)$ , then  $hX = \lambda X$  and if  $X \in D(-\lambda)$  then  $hX = -\lambda X$ .*

### 3 Pseudo-slant submanifolds of $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $(\kappa, \mu)$  contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Then for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  we can write

$$\phi X = TX + NX, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\phi V = tV + nV, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $TX$  and  $NX$  (respectively  $tV$  and  $nV$ ) are the tangential and normal component of  $\phi X$  (respectively  $\phi V$ ). Using (2.1) in the above equations one can get

$$T^2 = -tN - I + \eta \circ \xi, \quad NT + nN = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$n^2 = -I - Nt, \quad Tt + tn = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, from (2.4), (3.1) and (3.2) we can say  $T$  and  $n$  are skew-symmetric tensor fields. Also for  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , we can obtain relation between  $N$  and  $t$  as

$$g(NX, V) = -g(X, tV). \tag{3.5}$$

In view of (2.7) and (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\tilde{R}^\perp(X, Y)V, U) &= \frac{c-1}{4} \{g(X, \phi V)g(\phi Y, U) - g(Y, \phi V)g(\phi X, U) + 2g(X, \phi Y)g(\phi V, U)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{g(hY, V)g(hX, U) - g(hX, V)g(hY, U) + g(\phi hX, V)g(\phi hY, U) \\ &\quad - g(\phi hY, V)g(\phi hX, U)\} - g([A_U, A_V]X, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ . And in view of (2.7), (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c+3}{4}R_1 + \frac{c-1}{4}R_2^T + \left(\frac{c+3}{4} - \kappa\right)R_3 + \frac{1}{2}R_4^T + R_5 + (1-\mu)R_6, \tag{3.7}$$

where,  $R_2^T = g(X, \phi Z)TY - g(Y, \phi Z)TX + 2g(X, \phi Y)TZ$

and  $R_4^T = g(hY, Z)hX - g(hX, Z)hY + g(\phi hX, Z)ThY - g(\phi hY, Z)ThX$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z) &= \left(\frac{c-1}{4}\right) \{g(X, \phi Z)NY - g(Y, \phi Z)NX + 2g(X, \phi Y)NZ\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{g(\phi hX, Z)NhY - g(\phi hY, Z)NhX\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Again from (2.6), we obtain the following;

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = A_{NY}X + t\sigma(X, Y) + g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX), \tag{3.9}$$

$$(\nabla_X N)Y = n\sigma(X, Y) - \sigma(X, TY), \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\nabla_X t)V = A_{nV}X - TA_VX, \tag{3.11}$$

$$(\nabla_X n)V = -\sigma(X, tV) - NA_VX, \tag{3.12}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ .

Now let us recall some definitions of of classes of submanifolds. Let  $M$  be a submanifold, then  $M$  is said to be

- (i) Invariant submanifold if  $N$  is identically zero in (3.1), i.e.,  $\phi X \in TM, \forall X \in TM$ .
- (ii) Anti-invariant submanifold if  $T$  is identically zero in (3.1), i.e.,  $\phi X \in T^\perp M, \forall X \in TM$ .
- (iii) Slant submanifold if there exists an angle  $\theta(x) \in [0, \pi/2]$  between  $\phi X$  and  $TM$  for all non-zero vector  $X$  tangent to  $M$  at  $x$  called slant angle which is constant.
- (iv) Pseudo-slant submanifold if there exists distributions  $D_\theta$  and  $D^\perp$  such that (1)  $TM$  admits orthogonal direct composition  $TM = D_\theta \oplus D^\perp, \xi \in D_\theta$ , (2)  $D_\theta$  is a slant distribution with slant angle  $\theta \neq \pi/2$  and (3)  $D^\perp$  is an anti-invariant distribution [12].

From the above definitions we can note that slant submanifold is the generalization of invariant (if  $\theta = 0$ ) and anti-invariant (if  $\theta = \pi/2$ ) submanifolds. A proper slant submanifold is neither invariant nor anti-invariant submanifold i.e.,  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ . Hence in general we have the following theorem which characterize slant submanifolds of almost contact metric manifolds;

**Theorem 3.1.** [4] *Let  $M$  be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then,  $M$  is slant submanifold if and only if there exist a constant  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$T^2 = -\gamma(I - \eta \otimes \xi), \tag{3.13}$$

furthermore, in this case, if  $\theta$  is the slant angle of  $M$ , then  $\gamma = \cos^2 \theta$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** [4] *Let  $M$  be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with slant angle  $\theta$ . Then for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have*

$$g(TX, TY) = \cos^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\text{and } g(NX, NY) = \sin^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}. \tag{3.15}$$

If we denote the orthogonal complementary of  $\phi TM$  in  $T^\perp M$  by  $\nu$ , then the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  can be decomposed as follows

$$T^\perp M = N(D_\theta) \oplus N(D^\perp) \oplus \nu.$$

From the above decomposition one can see that  $g(X, Z) = 0$ , for each  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . And hence  $g(NX, NZ) = g(\phi X, \phi Z) = g(X, Z) = 0$ . Also we know that  $t\sigma = 0$ , so (3.9) reduces to

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX),$$

for all  $X, Y \in D_\theta$ . Hence we infer that the induced structure  $T$  is a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric structure on  $M$  if the ambient manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold.

Using (2.9) and (2.6) we can state the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *In a pseudo-slant submanifold of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold,  $A_{NZ}W = A_{NW}Z$  for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Then (1)  $N$  is parallel. (2)  $t$  is parallel. (3)  $A_{nV}Y + A_VTY = 0$ , for any  $Y \in \Gamma(TM), V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be parallel. For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , we have from (3.10) that

$$g((\nabla_X N)Y, V) = g(n\sigma(X, Y), V) - g(\sigma(X, TY), V) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

In view of (2.10) and (3.11), the above equation become

$$-g(A_{nV}X, Y) + g(TA_VX, Y) = -g(A_{nV}X - TA_VX, Y) = -g((\nabla_X t)V, Y) = 0$$

Hence  $t$  is parallel. Converse part is obvious. Again if  $N$  is parallel, then from (3.16), we have

$$-g(A_{nV}Y, X) - g(A_VX, TY) = -g(A_{nV}Y + A_VTY, X) = 0.$$

Converse part is trivial. This proves our assertion. □

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. Then the covariant derivative of  $T$  is skew-symmetric.*

*Proof.* For any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have from (3.9) that

$$g((\nabla_X T)Y, Z) = g(A_{NY}X + t\sigma(X, Y) + g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX), Z).$$

Using (2.10) in the above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X T)Y, Z) &= g(\sigma(X, Z), NY) + g(t\sigma(X, Y), Z) + g(X + hX, Y)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)g(X + hX, Z) \\ &= -\{g(t\sigma(X, Z), Y) + g(\sigma(X, Y), NZ) - g(X + hX, Y)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)g(X + hX, Z)\} = -g((\nabla_X T)Z, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. Then the covariant derivative of  $n$  is skew-symmetric.*

*Proof.* For any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , in view of (3.12) and (2.10) and following same procedure of the above theorem we obtain  $g((\nabla_X n)V, U) = -g(V, (\nabla_X n)U)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Then  $n$  is parallel if and only if the shape operator  $A_V$  of  $M$  satisfies the condition  $A_V tU = A_U tV$  for all  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be parallel. Then from (3.12), (2.10) and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X n)V, U) &= -g(\sigma(X, tV), U) - g(N A_V X, U) = 0 \\ &\quad -g(A_U tV, X) + g(A_V X, tU) = 0 \\ &\quad -g(A_U tV - A_V tU, X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $A_U tV = A_V tU$  for  $X \in \Gamma(TM), U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.8.** A pseudo-slant submanifold  $M$  of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is said to be mixed-geodesic submanifold if  $\sigma(X, Z) = 0$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . If  $t$  is parallel then  $M$  is either mixed-geodesic or anti-invariant submanifold.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in D_\theta, Y \in D^\perp$  and  $t$  is parallel. By Theorem 3.4, we have  $N$  is parallel and hence  $(\nabla_X N)Y = 0$ . This implies

$$n\sigma(X, Y) - \sigma(X, TY) = 0.$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $TX$  in the above equation we get

$$n\sigma(TX, Y) - \sigma(TX, TY) = 0.$$

Since  $M$  is totally umbilical, from (2.12) and (3.13) the above equation reduces to

$$-\sigma(T^2 X, Y) = \cos^2 \theta \sigma(X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence we get either  $\theta = \pi/2$  ( $M$  is anti-invariant) or  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$  ( $M$  is mixed-geodesic). This completes our proof.  $\square$

#### 4 Pseudo-slant submanifolds of $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space forms

Let us define  $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, e_{p+1} = \sec \theta T e_1, \dots, e_{2p} = \sec \theta T e_p, e_{2p+1} = \xi, e_{2p+2}, \dots, e_{2p+q+1}\}$  as an orthonormal basis of  $\Gamma(TM)$  such that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2p+1}\} \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $\{e_{2p+2}, \dots, e_{2p+q+1}\} \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, W) &= (K_1 + K_3 + K_5 + K_6)g(X, W) + (2K_2 + K_4 - K_5)\eta(X)\eta(W) \\ &\quad + (2p + q - 1)g(\sigma(X, W), H) - \sum_{l=1}^{2p+q} g(\sigma(e_l, W), \sigma(X, e_l)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 &= (p-2) \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \right) + (2p-3-\mu)(\pm\lambda) + \frac{p-2}{2}(\pm\lambda^2) + \frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \kappa, \\
 K_2 &= (1-\mu)(\pm\lambda) - \frac{c-3}{2} - \kappa + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2}, \\
 K_3 &= (p-2) \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \pm 2\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{c-1}{4} - \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) \cos^2 \theta + \frac{c-1}{2}, \\
 K_4 &= \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \pm 2\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{c-1}{4} - \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) \cos^2 \theta - \frac{c-1}{2} \\
 &\quad - (p-1) \left( \frac{c+3}{4} - \kappa + (1-\mu)(\pm\lambda) \right), \\
 K_5 &= \pm\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} + \kappa - (1-\mu)(\pm\lambda) - \sin^2 \theta, \\
 K_6 &= (q-2) \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \right) + (2q-3-\mu)(\pm\lambda) + \frac{q-2}{2}(\pm\lambda^2) + \frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \kappa,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ , by (2.7), (2.15) and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \pm 2\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) \{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{c-1}{4} - (\pm\lambda^2) \right) \{g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, W)\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{c-1}{2}g(X, \phi Y)g(\phi Z, W) \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{c+3}{4} - \kappa + (1-\mu)(\pm\lambda) \right) \{ \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) \\
 &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W) + \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y, Z) \} \\
 &\quad + g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) - g(\sigma(Y, W), \sigma(X, Z)). \tag{4.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the orthonormal basis as defined in the beginning of this section. By taking  $Y = Z = e_i, e_j, \xi, e_k$  where  $1 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq 2p, 2p+2 \leq k \leq 2p+q+1$  repeatedly in (4.2) and adding the resultant equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(X, W) &= \sum_{i=1}^p g(R(X, e_i)e_i, W) + \sum_{i=p+1}^{2p} g(R(X, \sec \theta T e_j) \sec \theta T e_j, W) \\
 &\quad + g(R(X, \xi)\xi, W) + \sum_{k=2p+2}^{2p+q+1} g(R(X, e_k)e_k, W). \tag{4.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

On simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(X, e_i)e_i, W) &= K_1g(X, W) + K_2\eta(X)\eta(W) \\
 &\quad + g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(e_i, e_i)) - g(\sigma(e_i, W), \sigma(X, e_i)), \tag{4.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_1 = (p-2) \left( \frac{c+3}{4} \right) + (2p-3-\mu)(\pm\lambda) + \frac{p-2}{2}(\pm\lambda^2) + \frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \kappa,$

and  $K_2 = (1-\mu)(\pm\lambda) - \frac{c-3}{2} - \kappa + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2},$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(X, \sec \theta T e_j) \sec \theta T e_j, W) = & K_3 g(X, W) + K_4 \eta(X) \eta(W) \\
 & + g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(\sec \theta T e_j, \sec \theta T e_j)) \\
 & - g(\sigma(\sec \theta T e_j, W), \sigma(X, \sec \theta T e_j)), \tag{4.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_3 = (p - 2) \left( \frac{c + 3}{4} \pm 2\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{c - 1}{4} - \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) \cos^2 \theta + \frac{c - 1}{2}$ ,  
 and  $K_4 = \left( \frac{c + 3}{4} \pm 2\lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{c - 1}{4} - \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} \right) \cos^2 \theta - \frac{c - 1}{2}$   
 $- (p - 1) \left( \frac{c + 3}{4} - \kappa + (1 - \mu)(\pm\lambda) \right)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(X, \xi) \xi, W) = & K_5 \{g(X, W) - \eta(X) \eta(W)\}, \tag{4.6} \\
 \text{where } K_5 = & \pm \lambda + \frac{(\pm\lambda^2)}{2} + \kappa - (1 - \mu)(\pm\lambda) - \sin^2 \theta,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(X, e_k) e_k, W) = & K_6 g(X, W) + K_2 \eta(X) \eta(W) \\
 & + g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(e_k, e_k)) - g(\sigma(e_k, W), \sigma(X, e_k)), \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_6 = (q - 2) \left( \frac{c + 3}{4} \right) + (2q - 3 - \mu)(\pm\lambda) + \frac{q - 2}{2} (\pm\lambda^2) + \frac{3(c - 1)}{4} + \kappa$ ,

For  $1 \leq l \leq 2p + q$ , put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l=1}^{2p+q} g(\sigma(e_l, W), \sigma(X, e_l)) = & \sum_{i=1}^p g(\sigma(W, e_i), \sigma(X, e_i)) \\
 & + \sum_{j=p+1}^{2p} g(\sigma(\sec \theta T e_j, W), \sigma(X, \sec \theta T e_j)) \\
 & + \sum_{i=2p+2}^{2p+q+1} g(\sigma(e_k, W), \sigma(X, e_k)) \tag{4.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (4.1) by using (2.11) in (4.4) to (4.8) and then substituting in (4.3). □

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then the scalar curvature  $\rho$  of  $M$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho = & (K_1 + K_3 + K_5 + K_6)(2p + q + 1) \\
 & + (2K_2 + K_4 - K_5)((2p + q)^2 - 1) \|H\|^2 - \|\sigma\|^2. \tag{4.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the fact that

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{2p+q+1} S(e_i, e_i),$$

and (4.1) we get (4.9). □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Every totally umbilical pseudo-slant submanifold  $M$  of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein submanifold.*



*Proof.* Using (4.1) and (2.12) we have

$$S(X, W) = (K_1 + K_3 + K_5 + K_6)g(X, W) + (2K_2 + K_4 - K_5)\eta(X)\eta(W) + (2p + q - 1)g(g(X, W)H, H) - \sum_{l=1}^{2p+q+1} g(g(e_l, W)H, g(X, e_l)H),$$

This completes our proof. □

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . If  $M$  is curvature-invariant pseudo-slant submanifold, then  $M$  is either semi-invariant or anti-invariant submanifold, provided  $3(1 - c) \neq (\pm 2\lambda^2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a curvature-invariant pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then from (2.17) and (3.8) we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z) = \left(\frac{c-1}{4}\right) \{g(X, TZ)NY - g(Y, TZ)NX + 2g(X, TY)NZ\} + \frac{1}{2} \{g(ThX, Z)NhY - g(ThY, Z)NhX\} = 0,$$

for  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . By taking in to account of Lemma 2.2 and putting  $X = Z$  we obtain

$$\left[\frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(\pm\lambda^2)\right] g(TZ, Y)NZ = 0.$$

Now putting  $Y = TZ$  in the above equation and taking inner product with  $NZ$  we get

$$\left[\frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(\pm\lambda^2)\right] g(TZ, TZ)g(NZ, NZ) = 0.$$

Simplifying the above equation by considering (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\frac{3(c-1)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(\pm\lambda^2)} \sin 2\theta \{g(Z, Z) - \eta^2(Z)\} = 0.$$

Thus we infer that either  $M$  is semi-invariant ( $\theta = 0$ ) or anti-invariant ( $\theta = \pi/2$ ), provided  $3(1 - c) \neq (\pm 2\lambda^2)$ . □

**References**

- [1] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry: Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **509**, Springer, Berlin (1976).
- [2] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2002).
- [3] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **91**, (1995), 189-214.
- [4] J. L. Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L. M. Fernandez, M. Fernandez, Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, **42**, (2000), 125-138.
- [5] A. Carriazo, *New Developments in Slant Submanifolds Theory*, Narosa publishing House, New Delhi, India, (2002).
- [6] A. Carriazo, V. Martin-Molina and M. M. Tripathi, Generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -pace forms, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, **10**, (2013), 475-496, doi: [10.1007/s00009-012-0196-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-012-0196-2).
- [7] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of Slant Submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, (1990).
- [8] B.Y. Chen, Slant Immersions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **41**, (1990), 135-147.
- [9] U.C. De and A. Sarkar, On pseudo-slant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences*, **60**, 1(2011), 1-11, doi: [10.3176/proc.2011.1.01](https://doi.org/10.3176/proc.2011.1.01).
- [10] S. Dirik, M. Atçeken, Pseudo-slant submanifolds in cosymplectic space forms, *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae: Mathematica*, **8**, 1(2016), 53-74, doi: [10.1515/ausm-2016-0004](https://doi.org/10.1515/ausm-2016-0004).

- [11] S. Dirik, M. Ateken, . Yildirim, Pseudo-slant submanifold in Kenmotsu space forms, *Journal of Advances in Mathematics*, **11**, 10(2016), 5680-5696.
- [12] V. A. Khan and M. A. Khan, Pseudo-slant Submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Indian Journal of pure and applied Mathematics*, **38**, 1(2007), 31-42.
- [13] M. A. Khan, Totally umbilical Hemi-slant submanifolds of Cosymplectic manifolds, *Mathematica Aeterna*, **3**, 8(2013), 645-653.
- [14] T. Koufogiorgos, Contact Riemannian manifolds with constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature, *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, **20**, 1(1997),13-22.
- [15] B. Laha and A. Bhattacharyya, Totally Umbilical Hemislant Submanifolds of LP-Sasakian Manifold, *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, **36**, 2(2015), 127-131, doi: **10.1134/S1995080215020122**.
- [16] A. Lotta, Slant Submanifolds in Contact Geometry, *Bulletin of Mathematical Society Romania*, **39**, (1996), 183-198.
- [17] M.S. Siddesha and C. S. Bagewadi, On Slant submanifolds of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact manifold, *Differential Geometry-Dynamical Systems*, **18**, (2016), 123-131.
- [18] S. Tanno, Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, **40**, (1988), 441-448.
- [19] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, Invariant submanifolds of almost contact manifolds, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **21**, (1969), 350-364.

### Author information

Venkatesha, Srikantha N, Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta - 577451, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India.

E-mail: vensmath@gmail.com, srikantha087@gmail.com

Received: August 17, 2017.

Accepted: February 11, 2018.

Siddesha M.S., Department of Mathematics, New Horizon College of Engineering, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

E-mail: s.siddu2010@gmail.com