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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the group of homeomorphisms of a topological space. A subgroup $H$ of the group $S(X)$ of all permutations of a set $X$ is called $t$-representable on $X$ if there exists a topology $\tau$ on $X$ such that the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)=K$. It is proved that the group generated by a permutation which is an arbitrary product of infinite cycles is a $t$-representable subgroup of $S(X)$. It is also proved that the group generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint finite cycles is not a $t$-representable subgroup of $S(X)$ when the order of the group is greater than two.


## 1 Introduction

Consider the topological space $(X, \tau)$, the set of all homeomorphisms on $(X, T)$ onto itself form a group under composition which is a subgroup of the symmetric group $S(X)$. Many authors studied the concept of group of homeomorphisms. In 1959, J. De Groot proved that for any group $G$, there is a topological space $(X, \tau)$ such that the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ is isomorphic to G [4]. The problem of representing a subgroup of $S(X)$ as the group of homeomorphisms of some topology on $X$ was considered by P. T. Ramachandran. In [6, 7], P. T. Ramachandran showed that nontrivial proper normal subgroups of the group of all permutations of a set $X$ can not be represented as the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ for any topology $\tau$ on $X$. If $X=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, \mathrm{n} \geq 3$, the group of permutations of $X$ generated by the cycle $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ cannot be represented as the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ for any topology $\tau$ on $X$ whereas if $X$ is an infinite set, then the cyclic group generated by an infinite cycle can be represented as the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ for a topology $\tau$ on $X$ $[6,8]$.

A subgroup $H$ of the group $S(X)$ of all permutations of a set $X$ is called $t$-representable on $X$ if there exists a topology $\tau$ on $X$ such that the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)=H$. In [9] it is proved that the direct sum of finite $t$-representable permutation groups is $t$-representable, every permutation group of order two is $t$-representable and also determined the $t$-representability of finite transitive permutation groups. In [10], we determined the $t$-representability of group generated by a permutation which is a product of disjoint cycles having equal lengths.

The aim of this paper is to continue the study in [10]. In the second section we determine the $t$-representability of groups generated by an arbitrary product of infinite cycles. In the third section we prove that the group generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint finite cycles is not a $t$-representable subgroup of $S(X)$ provided the order of the group is greater than two.

We use an order theoretic method to determine the $t$-representability of permutation groups. Susan J. Andima and W. J Thron [1] associated each topology $\tau$ on a set $X$ with a preorder relation ' $\leq$ ' on $X$ defined by $a \leq b$ if and only if every open set containing $b$ contains $a$. Then any homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ onto itself is also an order isomorphisms of $(X, \leq)$. Also we
have the group of homeomorphisms of $(X, \tau)$ which is denoted by $H(X, \tau)$ is equal to the group of order isomorphisms of $(X, \leq)$ if $X$ is finite [9].

A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be a $T_{0}$ space if given any two distinct points in $X$, there exist an open set which contains one of them but not the other [11]. So $(X, \tau)$ is a $T_{0}$ space if and only if the corresponding preordered set $(X, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set. If $X$ is a finite nonempty set, then the partially ordered set $(X, \leq)$ has both maximal and minimal elements. Also an order isomorphism of $(X, \leq)$ maps maximal elements to maximal elements and minimal elements to minimal elements.

The basic concepts to be used in our proofs will be introduced as needed and reference for each concept will be mentioned along with. In particular for the basic notions of topological spaces and groups we refer to [3] and [11].

## $2 t$-representability of the groups generated by a product of disjoint infinite cycles

In this section we investigate the $t$-representability of infinite cyclic subgroups of symmetric groups. Here we prove that if $X$ is an infinite set and $\sigma$ is a permutation on $X$ which can be written as an arbitrary product of disjoint infinite cycles, then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$, $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is $t$-representable on $X$.

We need the following definition.
Definition 2.1. [2] Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two permutation groups on $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ respectively. The direct product $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ acts on the disjoint union $X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ by the rule

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)(x)= \begin{cases}g_{1}(x) & \text { if } x \in X_{1} \\ g_{2}(x) & \text { if } x \in X_{2}\end{cases}
$$

First we prove an important property of a $t$-representable permutation group.
Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be any set and $Y$ be a nonempty subset of $X$. If $H$ is a t-representable permutation group on $Y$, then the permutation group $\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H$ is $t$-representable on $X$ where $I_{X \backslash Y}$ is the identity permutation on $X \backslash Y$.

Proof. Let $\tau_{1}$ be a topology on $Y$ such that $H\left(Y, \tau_{1}\right)=H$. The result is trivially true if $X \backslash Y=\emptyset$. So we assume that $X \backslash Y \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\left\{(X \backslash Y) \cup U: U \in \tau_{1}\right\}
$$

By using the well-ordering Theorem, well-order the set $X \backslash Y$ by the order relation $<$. Define a topology $\tau_{2}$ on $X \backslash Y$ as

$$
\tau_{2}=\{X \backslash Y\} \cup\{\{y \in X \backslash Y: y<x\}: x \in X \backslash Y\}
$$

Let

$$
\tau=\tau_{2} \cup \tau^{\prime}
$$

It is easy to see that $\tau$ is a topology on $X$.
Claim: $H(X, \tau)=\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H$.
Let $h \in\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H$. This gives that $h=\left(I_{X \backslash Y}, h_{1}\right)$ for some $h_{1} \in H$. Let $U \in \tau$. If $U \in \tau_{2}$, then we have $h(U)=U$ and $h^{-1}(U)=U$ and hence $h(U), h^{-1}(U) \in \tau$. If $U \in \tau^{\prime}$, then $U=(X \backslash Y) \cup U_{1}$ for some $U_{1}$ in $\tau_{1}$. Since $h_{1}$ is a homeomorphism on $\left(Y, \tau_{1}\right), h_{1}\left(U_{1}\right) \in \tau_{1}$ and $h_{1}^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \in \tau_{1}$. This implies that both $h(U)=(X \backslash Y) \cup h_{1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $h^{-1}(U)=(X \backslash Y) \cup h_{1}^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ are in $\tau$. Since $U$ is arbitrary, $h$ is a homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H \subseteq H(X, \tau) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely assume that $h \in H(X, \tau)$. First we prove that $h(x)=x$ for all $x \in X \backslash Y$. Now we consider the case $|X \backslash Y|=1$. If $X \backslash Y=\{x\}$, then $x$ is isolated in $X$ and no point of $Y$ is isolated in $X$, so $h(x)=x$.

Now we assume that $|X \backslash Y| \geq 2$. Let $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ be the first and the second elements of the set $X \backslash Y$ and $U=\left\{y \in X \backslash Y: y<x_{1}\right\}$. Then $U=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ and $U \in \tau$. Since $h$ is a homeomorphism, $h(U) \in \tau$ and hence $h\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be any element of $X \backslash Y$ such that $h(x)=x$ for all $x$ in $X \backslash Y$ such that $x<x_{\alpha}$.

If $x_{\alpha}$ has an immediate successor $x_{\beta}$ in $X \backslash Y$, consider $U=\left\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<x_{\beta}\right\}$, which is an open set and hence $h(U)$ is open in $\tau$. Now

$$
h(U)=\left\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<x_{\alpha}\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $X \backslash Y \subseteq h(U)$, then $x_{\alpha}$ and $x_{\beta}$ are both in $h(U) \backslash\left\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<x_{\alpha}\right\}$, which is impossible. So $h(U)=\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<z\}$ for some $z \in X \backslash Y$ and hence $h(U)=\left\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<x_{\beta}\right\}$. Consequently $h\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=x_{\alpha}$.

If $x_{\alpha}$ has no immediate successor, then $x_{\alpha}$ is the last element of the set $X \backslash Y$. Since $X \backslash Y \in$ $\tau_{2}, X \backslash Y \in \tau$. Therefore $h(X \backslash Y) \in \tau$ and $h(X \backslash Y)=\left\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<x_{\alpha}\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$. For any $z \in X \backslash Y,\{x \in X \backslash Y: x<z\}$ is a proper subset of $h(X \backslash Y)$. This implies that $X \backslash Y \subseteq h(X \backslash Y)$ and hence $h\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=x_{\alpha}$.

Thus $h_{\mid X \backslash Y}=I_{X \backslash Y}$ and $h_{\mid Y}$ will be a homeomorphism of $\left(Y, \tau_{1}\right)$. Clearly $h_{\mid Y} \in H$ and we get $h=\left(I_{X \backslash Y}, h_{1}\right)$ where $h_{1}=h_{\mid Y} \in H$. So $h \in\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, T) \subseteq\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 2.1 and 2.2, we have $H(X, \tau)=\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\} \times H$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.3. Let $H$ be a non-trivial permutation group on a set $X$. Let $Y=X \backslash\{x \in X$ : $h(x)=x$ for all $h \in H\}$. Define $H^{\prime}=\left\{h_{\mid Y}: h \in H\right\}$, which is a permutation group on $Y$. Note that $H^{\prime}$ moves all the elements of $Y$ and $H=H^{\prime} \times\left\{I_{X \backslash Y}\right\}$. By Theorem 2.2, it follows that, if $H^{\prime}$ is a $t$-representable permutation group on $Y$, then $H$ is $t$-representable on $X$. So if $(X, \tau)$ is a topological space which is not rigid and $H=H(X, \tau)$ then without loss of generality we can assume that $H$ moves all the elements of $X$.

Let $X$ be the infinite set $\left\{\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and $\sigma$ be the infinite cycle $\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ on $X$. Then the group generated by $\sigma$ is $t$-representable on $X$ by defining a topology $\tau=\{\emptyset, X\} \cup\left\{\left\{a_{j}: j \leq i\right\}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers [8]. It follows that, the permutation group generated by an infinite cycle is $t$-representable.

A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called an Alexandroff discrete space if arbitrary intersections of open sets are open in $X$ [1]. A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is Alexandroff discrete if and only if it has a minimal open neighbourhood at every point in $X$.

First we consider the $t$-representability of cyclic group generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint infinite cycles. Here we prove that the subgroup of $S(X)$ generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint infinite cycles is $t$-representable on $X$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $X$ be an infinite set and $\sigma$ be a permutation on $X$ which can be written as a product of two disjoint infinite cycles. Then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma,\langle\sigma\rangle$ is $t$ representable on $X$.
Proof. Let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ where

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\ldots, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \text { and } \sigma_{2}=\left(\ldots, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right)
$$

By Theorem 2.2, without loss of generality we can assume that $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, where $X_{1}=$ $\left\{a_{i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $X_{2}=\left\{b_{i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Now define a base $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup\left\{A_{i} \cup B_{i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

where $A_{i}=\left\{a_{j} \in X_{1}: j \leq i\right\}$ and $B_{i}=\left\{b_{j} \in X_{2}: j \leq i\right\}$. Let $\tau$ be the topology having base $\mathcal{B}$. Then

$$
\tau=\left\{\emptyset, X, X_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup\left\{A_{i} \cup B_{j}: i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } j \leq i\right\}
$$

Now we prove that $H(X, \tau)=\langle\sigma\rangle$. It is routine to verify that if $U \in \tau$, then $\sigma(U) \in \tau$ and $\sigma^{-1}(U) \in \tau$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\sigma\rangle \subseteq H(X, \tau) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the other inclusion let $h \in H(X, \tau)$. First we prove that $h\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}$. Suppose instead that $h\left(X_{1}\right) \neq X_{1}$. Then either $X_{1} \backslash h\left(X_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ or $h\left(X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Assume first that $h\left(X_{1}\right) \backslash$ $X_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and pick $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h\left(a_{i}\right)=b_{k}$. Then $A_{i}$ is the smallest open set with $a_{i}$ as a member and hence $h\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{k} \cup B_{k}$, the smallest open set with $b_{k}$ as a member. Now $h\left(A_{i+1}\right)=h\left(A_{i} \cup\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}\right)=A_{k} \cup B_{k} \cup h\left(\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right.$. Now $h\left(a_{i+1}\right) \neq h\left(a_{i}\right)=b_{k}$. So the smallest open set with $h\left(a_{i+1}\right)$ as a member is either $A_{j}$ for some $j$ or $A_{j} \cup B_{j}$ for some $j \neq k$. This is impossible.

Now assume that $X_{1} \backslash h\left(X_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Then $h^{-1}\left(X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{1} \neq \emptyset$. So we get a contradiction exactly as before. Therefore $h\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}$ and consequently $h\left(X_{2}\right)=X_{2}$.

We have that $h\left(a_{0}\right)=a_{j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We show by induction that for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, $h\left(a_{k}\right)=a_{j+k}$ and $h\left(a_{-k}\right)=a_{j-k}$. So let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and assume that $h\left(a_{k}\right)=a_{j+k}$ and $h\left(a_{-k}\right)=a_{j-k}$. Then $h\left(A_{k}\right)=A_{j+k}$ and $h\left(A_{-k}\right)=A_{j-k}$.

Let $V$ be the smallest open set with $h\left(a_{-k-1}\right)$ as a member. Then $V=h\left(A_{-k-1}\right)=$ $h\left(A_{-k} \backslash\left\{a_{-k}\right\}\right)=h\left(A_{-k}\right) \backslash\left\{h\left(a_{-k}\right)\right\}=A_{j-k} \backslash\left\{a_{j-k}\right\}=A_{j-k-1}$. So $h\left(a_{-k-1}\right)=a_{j-k-1}$.

Now pick $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h\left(a_{k+1}\right)=a_{l}$. Then $A_{l}=h\left(A_{k+1}\right)=h\left(A_{k} \cup\left\{a_{k+1}\right\}\right)=$ $A_{j+k} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right\}$. Thus $A_{j+k} \subseteq A_{l}$ and $A_{l} \backslash A_{j+k} \subseteq\left\{h\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right\}$. Now $h\left(a_{k+1}\right) \neq h\left(a_{k}\right)=a_{j+k}$. This implies that $l \neq j+k$ and hence $l=j+k+1$. Thus we get $h\left(a_{k+1}\right)=a_{j+k+1}$.

Now let $b_{k} \in X_{2}$ and let $b_{m}=h\left(b_{k}\right)$. Then $A_{m} \cup B_{m}=h\left(A_{k} \cup B_{k}\right)=h\left(A_{k}\right) \cup h\left(B_{k}\right)=$ $A_{j+k} \cup h\left(B_{k}\right)$. So $j+k=m$ and $h\left(b_{k}\right)=b_{j+k}$. Therefore $h=\sigma^{j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, \tau) \subseteq\langle\sigma\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 2.3 and 2.4, we get $H(X, \tau)=\langle\sigma\rangle$. This completes the proof.
If $\sigma$ is a permutation on $X$ which is a product of more than two disjoint infinite cycles, we can define a topology $\tau$ on $X$ such that $H(X, \tau)$ is the group generated by $\sigma$.

Theorem 2.5. If $\sigma$ is a permutation on $X$ which is a product of more than two disjoint infinite cycles, then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma,\langle\sigma\rangle$ is $t$-representable on $X$.

Proof. Let $\sigma=\Pi_{\beta \in I} C_{i}$ where $I$ is a set, $|I|>2$ and for $i \in I$

$$
C_{i}=\left(\ldots, a_{i,-2}, a_{i,-1}, a_{i, 0}, a_{i, 1}, a_{i, 2}, \ldots\right)
$$

which is an infinite cycle. Let $X_{i}$ be the set of all terms of the cycle $C_{i}$. In view of Theorem 2.2 we can assume without loss of generality that $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Well order $I$ by the relation $<$. Let $i_{0}$ be the first element of $I$ and $i_{1}$ denote the first element of the set $I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$.

Define a base $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{i, j}: i \in I, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

where for $j \in \mathbb{Z}, B_{i_{0}, j}=\left\{a_{i_{0}, j}\right\}, B_{i_{1}, j}=\left\{a_{i_{0}, j}, a_{i_{1}, j}\right\}$, and for $i>i_{1}, B_{i, j}=\left\{a_{k, j}: k \leq\right.$ $i\} \cup\left\{a_{i_{0}, j-1}\right\}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for a topology $\tau$ on $X$. Since for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}, \sigma\left(B_{i, j}\right)=B_{i, j+1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\sigma\rangle \subseteq H(X, \tau) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the other inclusion, let $h \in H(X, \tau)$. Note that for each $i \in I$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}, B_{i, j}$ is the smallest open set with $a_{i, j}$ as a member. Given $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is some $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h\left(B_{i_{0}, q}\right)=B_{i_{0}, f(q)}$. We shall show that for each $i \in I$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}, h\left(a_{i, q}\right)=a_{i, f(q)}$ and $f(q-1)=f(q)-1$. This will suffice for then letting $n=f(0)$, one has $h=\sigma^{n}$.

So let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $r=f(q)$. Then $h\left(a_{i_{0}, q}\right) \in B_{i_{0}, r}$ and so $h\left(a_{i_{0}, q}\right)=a_{i_{0}, r}$. Now $h\left(B_{i_{1}, q}\right)=$ $B_{i_{1}, m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $\left\{a_{i_{0}, r}, h\left(a_{i_{1}, q}\right)\right\}=h\left(B_{i_{1}, q}\right)=\left\{a_{i_{0}, m}, a_{i_{1}, m}\right\}$. It follows that $m=r$ and $h\left(a_{i_{1}, q}\right)=a_{i_{1}, r}$

Now let $i>i_{1}$ and assume that for all $k<i, h\left(a_{k, q}\right)=a_{k, r}$. Let $s=f(q-1)$. The smallest open set with $a_{i, q}$ as a member is $B_{i, q}$ and $h\left(B_{i, q}\right)=\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{i, q}\right), a_{i_{0}, s}\right\}$. If $k<i$, then $h\left(a_{i, q}\right) \neq h\left(a_{k, q}\right)=a_{k, r}$. So $h\left(B_{i, q}\right)$ has at least three members and hence we can pick $l>i$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h\left(B_{i, q}\right)=B_{l, m}$. Then $\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{i, q}\right), a_{i_{0}, s}\right\}=$ $\left\{a_{k, m}: k<l\right\} \cup\left\{a_{l, m}, a_{i_{0}, m-1}\right\}$. Now $a_{i_{1}, r} \in\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\}$ and $a_{i_{1}, r} \notin\left\{a_{l, m}, a_{i_{0}, m-1}\right\}$. So
$r=m$. Consequently $\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{i, q}\right), a_{i_{0}, s}\right\}=\left\{a_{k, r}: k<l\right\} \cup\left\{a_{l, r}, a_{i_{0}, r-1}\right\}$. Since $h\left(B_{i, q}\right) \neq B_{i_{0}, r-1}, h\left(a_{i, q}\right) \neq a_{i_{0}, r-1}$. So $a_{i_{0}, r-1}=a_{i_{0}, s}$ and thus $s=r-1$. (Note that we have established that $f(q-1)=f(q)-1$

Now we have that $\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{i, q}\right), a_{i_{0}, r-1}\right\}=\left\{a_{k, r}: k \leq l\right\} \cup\left\{a_{i_{0}, r-1}\right\}$. So $h\left(a_{i, q}\right)=a_{p, r}$ for some $p$ with $i \leq p \leq l$. Suppose that $i<l$ and pick $j \neq p$ with $i \leq j \leq l$. Then $a_{j, r} \notin\left\{a_{k, r}: k<i\right\} \cup\left\{h\left(a_{i, q}\right), a_{i_{0}, r-1}\right\}$, a contradiction. So $i=l$ and $h\left(a_{i, q}\right)=a_{i, r}$ as required. Hence $h \in\langle\sigma\rangle$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, \tau) \subseteq\langle\sigma\rangle \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 2.5 and 2.6, we get $\langle\sigma\rangle=H(X, \tau)$. Hence $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a $t$-representable permutation group on $X$.

We conclude this section by the following theorem.
Theorem 2.6. Let $X$ be an infinite set and $\sigma$ be a permutation on $X$ which can be written as an arbitrary product of disjoint infinite cycles. Then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma,\langle\sigma\rangle$ is $t$-representable on $X$.

Proof. Proof follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 and the paragraph after Remark 2.3.

## $3 t$-representability of the groups generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint cycles having finite length

We now turn our attention to the $t$-representability of cyclic groups generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint finite cycles. The main result in this section is, if $\sigma$ is a permutation on a set $X$ which is a product of two disjoint cycles having finite length, then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma,\langle\sigma\rangle$ is not $t$-representable on $X$ provided the length of at least one of them is greater than two.

A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be homogeneous if for any $x, y \in X$, there exists a homeomorphism $h$ from $(X, \tau)$ onto itself such that $h(x)=y$ [11]. A finite topological space $X$ is homogeneous if and only if there exist positive integers $m$ and $n$ such that $X$ is homeomorphic to $D(m) \times I(n)$ where $D(k)$ and $I(k)$ denote the set $\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}$ with the discrete topology and indiscrete topology respectively [5]. So if $(X, \tau)$ is a finite homogeneous space, then there exists a partition of $X$ using sets with equal number of elements, which forms a base for the topology on $X$ and when $|X| \geq 2$, there exists at least one transposition which is a homeomorphism of $(X, T)$.

In [10] we proved the following theorem in the case of a group generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint cycles having the equal lengths.

Theorem 3.1. [10] If $\sigma$ is a permutation on $X$ which is a product of two disjoint cycles having equal length $n$ where $n \geq 3$, then the group generated by $\sigma$ is not $t$-representable on $X$.

Now we consider the $t$-representability of the permutation groups generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint cycles having different lengths.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space which is not $T_{0}$. Then there exists at least one transposition which is a homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space which is not $T_{0}$. Then by definition there exist two distinct points $a, b$ in $X$ such that every open set in $X$ either contains both $a$ and $b$ or else contain neither of them. Let $p$ be the transposition $(a, b)$. Then $p^{-1}=p$ and $p(U)=U$ for all $U \in \tau$. This implies that $p$ is a homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let $X$ be any set such that $|X|=m_{1}+m_{2}$ and $\sigma$ be a permutation on $X$ which is a product of two disjoint cycles having lengths $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ respectively where $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1$, then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$ is not $t$-representable on $X$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{1}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m_{1}}\right)$ and $\sigma_{2}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m_{2}}\right)$ and $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$. Since $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Let $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ where $X_{i}$ is the set of all elements in the cycle $\sigma_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Assume that $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a $t$-representable permutation group on $X$ and $\tau$ is the corresponding topology.

Now we have two possible cases.
Case 1: $(X, \tau)$ is a $T_{0}$ space.
In this case the corresponding pre ordered set $(X, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set. Since $X$ is a finite non empty set, the partially ordered set $(X, \leq)$ has both maximal and minimal elements. Assume that an element $x_{0}$ in $X$ is both minimal and maximal. Then we claim that all the elements of $X$ are both minimal and maximal. Since $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, we have either $x_{0} \in X_{1}$ or $x_{0} \in X_{2}$. Suppose that $x_{0} \in X_{1}$. Since a homeomorphism maps minimal elements to minimal elements and maximal elements to maximal elements and $\left\{h\left(x_{0}\right): h \in\langle\sigma\rangle\right\}=X_{1}$, all the elements of $X_{1}$ are both minimal and maximal. Let $x \in X_{2}$. Suppose that $x$ is not a maximal element. Then there exists at least one element $x^{\prime}$ in $X$ such that $x<x^{\prime}$. Since all the elements of $X_{1}$ are both minimal and maximal, the only possibility is $x^{\prime} \in X_{2}$. Now $x^{\prime} \in X_{2}$ implies that there exist some $j, 1 \leq j<m_{2}$ such that $x^{\prime}=\sigma_{2}^{j}(x)$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
x<x^{\prime}=\sigma_{2}^{j}(x) & \Longrightarrow \sigma_{2}^{j}(x)<\sigma_{2}^{j \oplus j}(x) \\
& \Longrightarrow \sigma_{2}^{j \oplus j}(x)<\sigma_{2}^{j \oplus 2 j}(x) \\
& \vdots \\
& \Longrightarrow \sigma_{2}^{j \oplus\left(m_{2}-2\right) j}(x)<\sigma_{2}^{j \oplus\left(m_{2}-1\right) j}(x)=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get $x<x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}<x$. This implies that $x=x^{\prime}$, which is not possible. So $x$ is a maximal element. Similarly if we assume that $x$ is not a minimal element, we get a contradiction. So all the elements of $X_{2}$ are also both minimal and maximal. In this case the topology on $X$ is discrete and hence $H(X, \tau)=S(X)$, which is not possible. So a minimal element can not be a maximal element. Then either $X_{1}$ or $X_{2}$ is the set of all minimal elements.
Assume that $X_{1}$ is the set of all minimal elements. Then there exists at least one $a_{i} \in X_{1}$ and $b_{j} \in X_{2}$ such that $a_{i}$ precedes $b_{j}$. Now $a_{i}<b_{j}$ gives $p\left(a_{i}\right)<p\left(b_{j}\right)$ for all $p \in\langle\sigma\rangle$. Since $\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle$, any $p \in\langle\sigma\rangle$ is of the form $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ where $p_{1} \in\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle$ and $p_{2} \in\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}<b_{j} & \Longrightarrow\left(I_{X_{1}}, p_{2}\right)\left(a_{i}\right)<\left(I_{X_{1}}, p_{2}\right)\left(b_{j}\right) \text { for all } p_{2} \in\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \Longrightarrow a_{i}<p_{2}\left(b_{j}\right) \text { for all } p_{2} \in\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \Longrightarrow a_{i}<b_{k} \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots m_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $a_{i}$ precedes all the elements of $X_{2}$ and hence every element in $X_{1}$ precedes all the elements of $X_{2}$. Hence $\tau=\mathcal{P}\left(X_{1}\right) \cup\left\{X_{1} \cup B: B \subseteq X_{2}\right\}$. So we get $H(X, \tau)=S\left(X_{1}\right) \times$ $S\left(X_{2}\right)$. Since $m_{1}, m_{2}>1$ and $m_{1} \neq m_{2},\left|S\left(X_{1}\right) \times S\left(X_{2}\right)\right|=m_{1}!m_{2}!>m_{1} \cdot m_{2}=|\langle\sigma\rangle|$. This is not possible.

Case 2: The space $(X, \tau)$ is not $T_{0}$.
In this case either $m_{1}$ or $m_{2}=2$ by Lemma 3.2. Let $m_{1}=2$. Assume that $X_{1}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ and $\sigma_{1}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Since $\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle,\left(\sigma_{1}, I_{X_{2}}\right)$ is a homeomorphism on $X$. Since the transposition $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is a homeomorphism on the space $(X, \tau)$, the subspace $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{/ X_{1}}\right)$ has either the discrete topology or indiscrete topology.
Now if the subspace $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{/ X_{1}}\right)$ has the discrete topology, then there exist open sets of the form $U=U_{1} \cup\left\{a_{1}\right\}$ and $V=V_{1} \cup\left\{a_{2}\right\}$ where $U_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ are subsets of $X_{2}$. Since $(X, \tau)$ is not $T_{0}$, there exist two distinct points $x$ and $y$ such that every open set in $(X, \tau)$ contains both $x$ and $y$ or else contain neither of them. Since the topology on $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{/ X_{1}}\right)$ is discrete, we
have at least one of $x, y$ does not belongs to $X_{1}$. Hence we get a transposition $(x, y)$ other than $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$, which is a homeomorphism on $X$. This is not possible since $H(X, \tau)=\langle\sigma\rangle$.
If the subspace $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{/ X_{1}}\right)$ has the indiscrete topology, then every open set in $(X, \tau)$ contains either both $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ or else contain neither of them. Now consider the subspace $\left(X_{2}, \tau_{/ X_{2}}\right)$. Since $\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle \subseteq H\left(X_{2}, \tau_{/ X_{2}}\right),\left(X_{2}, \tau_{/ X_{2}}\right)$ is a homogeneous space and hence there exists a partition $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots B_{m}\right\},\left|B_{i}\right|=n$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $1 \leq n \leq m_{2}$, of $X_{2}$, which forms a base for $\left(X_{2}, \tau_{/ X_{2}}\right)$. Let $n>1$. Now choose two elements $x, y$ in $B_{1}$ and an open set $U$ containing $x$. Then $U \cap X_{2} \in \tau_{/ X_{2}}$ and $x \in U \cap X_{2}$. This implies that $B_{1} \subseteq U \cap X_{2}$ and hence $y \in U$. Thus any open set containing $x$ contains $y$ also and vice versa. Consequently $p=(x, y)$ is a homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$. Now suppose that $n=1$. In this case $\left(X_{2}, \tau_{/ X_{2}}\right)$ is the discrete topology. Let $x \in X_{2}$. Then either $\{x\}$ or $\{x\} \cup X_{1}$ is open in $X$. We have $\langle\sigma\rangle=H(X, \tau)$. Therefore if $\{x\} \in \tau$, then $\left\{\{x\}: x \in X_{2}\right\} \subseteq \tau$. Simillarly if $\{x\} \cup X_{1} \in \tau$, then $\left\{\{x\} \cup X_{1}: x \in X_{2}\right\} \subseteq \tau$. This follows that any transposition on $X_{2}$ is a homeomorphism of $(X, \tau)$, which is a contradiction. Thus in both cases we get $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is not a $t$-representable permutation group on $X$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ be a set such that $|X|=m_{1}+m_{2}$ and $\sigma$ be a permutation on $X$ which is a product of two disjoint cycles having different lengths $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ respectively where $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=$ $d>1$, then the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$ is not $t$-representable on $X$.

Proof. Let $m_{1}<m_{2}$. We have $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=d>1$ and hence $m_{1}=l d$ and $m_{2}=k d$, where $l$ and $k$ are positive integers. Assume that

$$
\sigma=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m_{1}}\right)\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m_{1}}, b_{m_{1}+1}, \ldots, b_{m_{2}}\right)
$$

and $\langle\sigma\rangle$ be the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. Let $X=Y \cup Z$ where $Y$ is the set of all terms in the cycle $\sigma_{1}$ and $Z$ is the set of all terms in the cycle $\sigma_{2}$. Assume that $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a $t$-representable permutation group on $X$. Note that there exist no transposition as homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$. So by Lemma 3.2, the corresponding topology $\tau$ on $X$ is $T_{0}$ hence the corresponding preordered set is a partially ordered set. Then by a similar argument as in Theorem 3.3, we get either $Y$ or $Z$ is the set of all minimal elements.

Assume that $Y$ is the set of all minimal elements and $Z$ is the set of all maximal elements. Then there exist at least one $a_{i} \in Y$ and $b_{j} \in Z$ such that $a_{i}$ preceeds $b_{j}$. Now $a_{i}<b_{j}$ gives $f\left(a_{i}\right)<f\left(b_{j}\right)$ for all $f \in\langle\sigma\rangle$. Note that $|\langle\sigma\rangle|=n$ where $n$ is the least common multiple of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_{1}$ preceeds $b_{1}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}<b_{1} & \Rightarrow \sigma^{h}\left(a_{1}\right)<b_{1} \text { for all } h=0, m_{2}, \ldots(l-1) m_{2} \\
& \Rightarrow a_{1 \oplus_{m_{1}} p m_{2}}<b_{1} \text { for all } p=0,1, \ldots(l-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\oplus_{m_{1}}$ denotes addition modulo $m_{1}$. Simillarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}<b_{1} & \Rightarrow a_{1}<\sigma^{h}\left(b_{1}\right) \text { for all } h=0, m_{1}, \ldots(k-1) m_{1} \\
& \Rightarrow a_{1}<b_{1 \oplus m_{2}} p m_{1} \text { for all } p=0,1,, \ldots(k-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that there exist partitions $\left\{Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{d}\right\}$ and $\left\{Z_{1}, Z, \ldots, Z_{d}\right\}$ of $Y$ and $Z$ respectively where $Y_{i}=\left\{a_{i \oplus_{m_{1}} p m_{2}}: p=0,1, \ldots(l-1)\right\}$ and $Z_{i}=\left\{b_{i \oplus_{m_{2}} p m_{1}}: p=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots(k-1)\}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots d$. and $y<z$ for all $y \in Y_{i}$ and $z \in Z_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots d$.

Suppose $a_{1}$ precede $q$ elements $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{q}$ in $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{q}$ respectively where $1 \leq q \leq$ $d$. Then all elements of $Y_{1}$ preceed every element of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{q}$. This follows that

$$
\mathcal{B}=\{\{x\}: x \in Y\} \cup\left\{Y_{i} \cup Y_{i \oplus_{d} 1} \cup \ldots, Y_{q \oplus_{d}(q-1)} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right\}
$$

is a base for $\tau$. It follows that $\left(a_{i}, a_{i \oplus_{m_{1}} m_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i \oplus m_{1}}(k-1) m_{2}\right)$ is a homeomorphism on $(X, \tau)$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\langle\sigma\rangle=H(X, \tau)$. So $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is not a $t$-representable permutation group on $X$.

Theorem 3.5. [9] Every permutation group of order two is t-representable.
Combining previous results, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 3.6. Let $X$ be any set such that $|X|=m_{1}+m_{2}, \sigma$ be a permutation on $X$ which is a product of two disjoint cycles having lengths $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ respectively and $H$ be the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. Then the group $H$ is $t$-representable on $X$ if and only if order $H$ is less than three.

Proof. This follows directly from the Theorems 2.2, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5.

The Theorem 3.6 gives the characterization of $t$-representable group generated by a permutation which is a product of two disjoint finite cycles.
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