Vol. 6(2)(2017), 569-572

# Central $\alpha$ -rigid rings

#### Mohammad Vahdani Mehrabadi and Shervin Sahebi

#### Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 20M99, 13F10; Secondary 13A15, 13M05.

Keywords and phrases:  $\alpha$ -rigid rings; central  $\alpha$ -rigid rings; reduced rings.

This paper is supported by Islamic Azad University Central Tehran Branch (IAUCTB). The authors want to thank the authority of IAUCTB for their support to complete this research.

Abstract. For a ring endomirphism  $\alpha$ , we introduce the class of central  $\alpha$ -rigid rings, which are a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings, and investigate their properties. For a ring R, we show that R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid. Moreover, we give an example to show that if R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, then  $T_n(R)$  is not necessary central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid, but  $S_n(R)$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this article, R denotes an associative ring with identity and  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. For notation R[x],  $R[x, x^{-1}]$ ,  $T_n(R)$ , C(R) and  $e_{ij}$  denote, the polynomial ring over R, the Laurent polynomial ring over R, its upper triangular matrix ring, the center of a ring Rand the matrix with (i, j)-entry 1 and elsewhere 0, respectively. A ring is reduced if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements. According to Krempa [5], an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring R is called to be rigid if  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  implies a = 0 for  $a \in R$ . We call a ring R  $\alpha$ -rigid if there exists a rigid endomorphism  $\alpha$  of R. Note that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is a monomorphism, and  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are reduced rings by Hong et al. [2]. Properties of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings have been studied in Krempa [5, 2, 1]. So far  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are generalized in several forms [7, 6, 4, 3].

Motivated by the above results, we investigate a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings. A ring R is called a central  $\alpha$ -rigid ring if for any  $a, b \in R, a\alpha(a) = 0$  implies  $a \in C(R)$ . Clearly, all commutative rings and  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are central  $\alpha$ -rigid.

# 2 Central $\alpha$ -rigid rings

In this section, the central  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are introduced as a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. The ring R is called central  $\alpha$ -rigid if for any  $a \in R$ ,  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  implies  $a \in C(R)$ .

It is clear that  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are central  $\alpha$ -rigid, but the converse is not always true by the following examples.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ , where  $R_i$  is a commutative ring for i = 1, 2. Let  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  be an automorphism defined by  $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$ , then  $(1, 0)\alpha(1, 0) = 0$ , but  $(1, 0) \neq 0$ . Therefore, R is not  $\alpha$ -rigid. But R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, since R is commutative.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  be the ring of integers modulo 4. Consider a ring  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$ and  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  be an endomorphism defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ . The ring R is not  $\alpha$ -rigid. In fact  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0$  but  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ . But it can be easily checked that R is commutative and so, it is central  $\alpha$ -rigid ring.

The subrings of central  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Let  $R_k$  be a ring, where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha_k$  an endomorphism of  $R_k$  and let  $R = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} R_k$ . Then the map  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  defined by  $\alpha((a_k)) =$ 

 $(\alpha_k(a_k))$  is an endomorphism of R and therefore  $R_k$  is central  $\alpha_k$ -rigid for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $R = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} R_k$  is central  $\alpha$ -rigid. As a result, for any idempotent  $e^2 = e$  we have eR and (1-e)R are central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, since  $R = eR \oplus (1-e)R$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. Let S be a ring and  $\varphi : R \longrightarrow S$  an isomorphism. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if S is central  $\varphi \alpha \varphi^{-1}$ -rigid.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha' = \varphi \alpha \varphi^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $\alpha'$  is an endomorphism of S. Suppose that  $a' = \varphi(a)$ , for  $a \in R$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism,  $a'\alpha'(a') = 0$  in S if and only if  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  in R and so  $a \in C(R)$  if and only if  $a' \in C(S)$ . Thus R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if S is central  $\varphi \alpha \varphi^{-1}$ -rigid.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. The endomorphism  $\alpha$  of R is extended to the endomorphism  $\bar{\alpha} : T_n(R) \longrightarrow T_n(R)$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}((a_{ij})) = (\alpha(a_{ij}))$ . The following example shows that if R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, then  $T_2(R)$  is not necessary central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  be an automorphism defined by  $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$ . Then the ring  $T_2(R)$  is not central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid. In fact

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} (2,2) & (2,0) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) \end{smallmatrix}\right) \alpha \left( \left(\begin{smallmatrix} (2,2) & (2,0) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) \end{smallmatrix}\right) \right) = 0$$

but  $\binom{(2,2)}{(0,0)}\binom{(2,2)}{(1,0)} \notin C(T_2(R))$ . But R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, since it is commutative.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if

$$S_n(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix} | a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R \right\} \text{ is central } \bar{\alpha}\text{-rigid for any } n \ge 1.$$

**Proof.** Suppose R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix} \in S_n(R)$  be such that

 $A\bar{\alpha}(A) = 0$ . Therefore  $a_i\alpha(a_i) = 0$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence  $a_i \in C(R)$ , since R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, and so  $A \in C(S_n(R))$ , as desired.

Conversely, let  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  for any  $a \in R$ . Therefore  $ae_{11}\alpha(ae_{11}) = 0$ . Hence  $ae_{11} \in C(S_n(R))$ , since  $S_n(R)$  is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, and so  $a \in C(R)$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

Recall that if  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of a ring R, then the map  $R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$  defined by  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha(a_i) x^i$  is an endomorphism of the polynomial ring R[x] and clearly this map extends  $\alpha$ . We shall also denote the extended map  $R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$  by  $\alpha$  and the image of  $f \in R[x]$  by  $\alpha(f)$ . The ring R[x] is called linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid if for any  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x \in R[x]$ ,  $f(x)\alpha(f(x)) = 0$  implies that  $f(x) \in C(R[x])$ . Now we have the following.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring R. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if R[x] is linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Proof.** Assume that R[x] is linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid as a subring of R[x]. Conversely, assume that R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid and  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x \in R[x]$  such that  $f(x)\alpha(f(x)) = 0$ . Then  $a_0\alpha(a_0) = 0$  and  $a_1\alpha(a_1) = 0$  and so  $a_0, a_1 \in C(R)$ , since R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Therefore,  $f(x) \in C(R[x])$  and hence R[x] is linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid.  $\Box$ 

The following example, shows that there exists a non-identity endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring R such that R/I is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid and as a ring I is central  $\alpha$ -rigid for any nonzero proper ideal I of R, but R is not central  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Example 2.8.** Let *F* be a field and consider a ring  $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  and an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of *R* defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ . Notice  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin C(R)$ . Thus *R* is not central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Consider the ideal  $I = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  of *R*. Hence R/I is central  $\alpha$ -rigid because of  $R/I \cong F$ .

For an ideal I of R, if  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$  then  $\bar{\alpha} : R/I \longrightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(a+I) = \alpha(a) + I$  is an endomorphism of a factor ring R/I. The homomorphic image of a central  $\alpha$ -rigid ring need not be central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Consider the following example.

**Example 2.9.** Let D be a division ring, R = D[x, y, z] and  $I = \langle z^2 \rangle$  where  $zx \neq xz$ . Let  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  be an endomorphism defined by  $\alpha(a_1 + a_2x + a_3y + a_4z) = a_1 + a_2y + a_3x + a_4z$ , for any  $a_i \in D$ . Since R is domain, R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid. On the other hand,  $(z+I)\overline{\alpha}(z+I) = I$  but z + I does not commute with x + I. Hence R/I is not central  $\overline{\alpha}$ -rigid.

Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring R. Suppose that there exists the classical right quotient ring Q(R) of R. Then for any  $ab^{-1} \in Q(R)$  where  $a, b \in R$  with b regular, the induced map  $\bar{\alpha} : Q(R) \longrightarrow Q(R)$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(ab^{-1}) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)^{-1}$  is also an automorphism. Let S denote a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R consisting of central regular elements and let  $RS^{-1}$ be the localization of R at S.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring R. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid, if and only if  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid.

**Proof.** Suppose that R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid. Let  $(as^{-1})\bar{\alpha}(as^{-1}) = 0$ , for any  $(as^{-1}) \in RS^{-1}$ . Let  $as^{-1} = c^{-1}a'$  with c regular element in R. Then we have  $(c^{-1}a')\bar{\alpha}(c^{-1}a') = 0$ . Therefore,  $a'\alpha(a') = 0$ . Since R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid,  $a' \in C(R)$ . and so  $as^{-1}$  is central in R. Thus  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid. Conversely, assume that  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid ring. Then R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid as a subring of  $RS^{-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.11.** Let R be a ring and  $\alpha$  an automorphism of R. Then the following are equivalent: (1)R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid.

- (2)R[x] is linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid.
- (3) $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is linear central  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Proof.** Let  $S = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots\}$ . Then S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R[x] consisting of central regular elements. Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.10.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.12.** The class of central  $\alpha$ -rigid rings is closed under direct limits with injective maps.

**Proof.** Let  $D = \{R_i, \alpha_{ij}\}$  be direct system of central  $\alpha_{ij}$ -rigid rings  $R_i$ , for  $i \in I$  and ring homomorphisms  $\alpha_{ij} : R_i \longrightarrow R_j$  for each  $i \leq j$  satisfying  $\alpha_{ij}(1) = 1$ , where I is a directed partially ordered set. Set  $R = \underline{\lim} R_i$  be a direct limit of D and let  $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$  be an automorphism defined by  $\alpha(\underline{\lim} R_i) = \underline{\lim} \alpha_{ij}(R_i)$ . Also  $L_i : R_i \longrightarrow R$  and  $L_j \alpha_{ij} = L_i$  where every  $L_i$  is injective. We will show that R is an central  $\alpha$ -rigid ring. Take  $a, b \in R$ . Then  $a = L_i(a_i), b = L_j(b_j)$  for some  $i, j \in I$  and there is  $k \in I$  such that  $i \leq k, j \leq k$ . Define

$$a + b = L_k(\alpha_{ik}(a_i) + \alpha_{jk}(b_j))$$
 and  $ab = L_k(\alpha_{ik}(a_i)\alpha_{jk}(b_j))$ 

where  $\alpha_{ik}(a_i)$  and  $\alpha_{jk}(b_j)$  are in  $R_k$ . Then R forms a ring with  $0 = L_i(0)$  and  $1 = L_i(1)$ . Now let  $a \in R$  be nonzero element such that  $a\alpha(a) = 0$ . There is  $k \in I$  such that  $a \in R_k$ . Hence we get  $a\alpha_{ij}(a) = 0$  in  $R_k$ . Since  $R_k$  is central  $\alpha_{ij}$ -rigid, so  $a \in C(R_k)$ . Therefore  $ac_k = c_k a$ , for any  $c_k \in R_i$ . Put  $c = L_k(c_k)$ . Then ac = ca, for any  $c \in R$ . Thus R is central  $\alpha$ -rigid ring.  $\Box$ 

## References

- Y. Hirano, On the uniqueness of rings of coefficients in skew polynomial rings, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 54(1999), 489-495.
- [2] C.Y. Hong, N.K. Kim and T.K. Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 151(2000), 215-226.
- [3] A. R. Nasr-Isfahani, A. Moussavi, On weakly rigid rings, Glasgow Math. J. 51 (2009) 425-440.
- [4] D. JokanoviÄĞ, Properties of Armendariz rings and weak Armendariz rings, Publ. de lâĂŹinstitut math., 85(99) (2009), 131-137.
- [5] J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, Algebra Colloq., 3(1996), 289- 300.
- [6] L. Ouyang, Extensions of generalized  $\alpha$ -rigid rings, Int. Electronic J. of Algebra, 3 (2008), 103-116.
- [7] H. Kose, B. Ungor, S. Halicioglu, A generalization of reduced rings, Hacettepe J. Math. Statis., 41(5) (2012), 689-696.

#### Author information

Mohammad Vahdani Mehrabadi and Shervin Sahebi, Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, 13185/768, Iran. E-mail: sahebi@iauctb.ac.ir

Received: February 20, 2016. Accepted: September 22, 2016.