

On FI-Projective Modules and Dimensions

Nada H. Alshehri and Khalid Ouarghi

Communicated by Najib Mahdou

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 13D07, 13D05; Secondary 13C10, 13C60.

Keywords and phrases: FI-projective module, FI-projective dimension, FP-projective module, FP-projective dimension, semisimple ring, coherent ring, hereditary ring.

Abstract In this paper, we introduce the FI-projective module and the FI-projective dimensions of modules and rings. An R -module M is called FI-projective if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective module F . We show that a module is FI-projective if and only if it is a cokernel of an FP-projective preenvelope $f : A \rightarrow B$ with B is projective. Also we see that rings over which all modules are FI-projective are exactly the semisimple rings. Furthermore, we study the FI-projective dimension of modules over short exact sequences and we characterize the hereditary ring using the FI-projective dimension of rings. Finally, we see the relation between these dimensions and other homological dimensions.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with identity element and all modules are unital. For an R -module M , we denote by $pd_R(M)$, $id_R(M)$ and $fd_R(M)$, the usual projective, injective and flat dimension of M respectively, and $gdim(R)$ $wdim(R)$ are the classical global and weak (global) dimension of R .

We first recall some known notions and facts needed in the sequel. Let R be a ring, an R -module F is called FP-projective [6], if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, B) = 0$ for any FP-injective R -module B . The FP-projective dimension of F , denoted by $FP - pd_R(F)$, is defined to be the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(F, B) = 0$ for any FP-injective R -module B (if no such that n exists, set $FP - pd_R(F) = \infty$) and the FP-projective dimension of the ring R , denoted $FP - dim(R)$, is defined by $FP - dim(R) = \sup\{FP - pd_R(M) / M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$.

The class of FI-injective (resp. FI-flat) modules was introduced in (2007) by L. Mao and N. Ding in [7] as a generalization of injective (resp. flat) modules. To complete this concept, in this paper, we introduce the class of FI-projective modules and dimension as a generalization of projective modules and dimension. Also we we'll try to give similar results as in [1, 3, 4, 7].

In section 2, we give a characterization of the class of FI-projective modules, and we prove that this class is closed under direct sum. Also, we discuss the relationship between FI-projective modules and projective modules. Furthermore, in Theorem 2.8 we prove that every R -module is FI-projective if and only if R is a semisimple ring. In section 3 we introduce the FI-projective dimension of modules and we give its characterization and we study the FI-projective dimension over short exact sequences Theorem 3.4. In section 4 we study the FI-projective dimension of rings, in Proposition 4.5 we prove that R is hereditary if and only if FI-projective dimension of ring less than or equal to 1 and every FI-projective ideal is projective. Furthermore, we show that over an hereditary ring R every FI-projective R -module is projective.

2 FI-projective modules.

In this section we will introduce the class FI-projective modules and we study their properties and we give their characterization.

Definition 2.1. A module M is called FI-projective if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective module F .

The following theorem gives a characterization of FI-projective modules.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a module the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) M is FI-projective.
- 2) $Ext_R^n(M, F) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all FP-projective modules F .
- 3) For every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where P is FP-projective, $K \rightarrow P$ is an FP-projective preenvelope of K .
- 4) M is a cokernel of an FP-projective preenvelope $f : A \rightarrow B$ of a module A with B is projective.
- 5) M is projective with respect to every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ where N is an FP-projective module.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Let M be an FI-projective module, if we apply the functor $Hom_R(\cdot, F)$ to the sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where F is FP-projective, we obtain $Ext_R^i(M, F) \cong Ext_R^{i+1}(M, F)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then by induction $Ext_R^i(M, F) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Obvious.

1) \Rightarrow 3) Suppose that M is FI-projective and let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules where P is FP-projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(\cdot, F')$ with F' is FP-projective, we get:

$$Hom_R(P, F') \rightarrow Hom_R(K, F') \rightarrow Ext_R^1(M, F').$$

Since M is FI-projective we have $Ext_R^1(M, F') = 0$, then $Hom_R(P, F') \rightarrow Hom_R(K, F')$ is surjective for any FP-projective module F' . Therefore $K \rightarrow P$ is an FP-projective preenvelope of K .

3) \Rightarrow 4) Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules with P is projective. By hypothesis $K \rightarrow P$ is an FP-projective preenvelope.

4) \Rightarrow 1) Suppose that M is the cokernel of an FP-projective preenvelope $f : A \rightarrow B$ of a module A with B is projective. Let G be an FP-projective module, applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(\cdot, G)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, we get the exact sequence:

$$Hom_R(B, G) \rightarrow Hom_R(A, G) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(M, G) \rightarrow \dots$$

By hypothesis $Hom_R(B, G) \rightarrow Hom_R(A, G) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $Ext_R^1(M, G) = 0$ and hence M is FI-projective.

1) \Rightarrow 5) Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules, where N is FP-projective. By hypothesis $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$, Thus $Hom_R(M, L) \rightarrow Hom_R(M, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore M is projective with respect to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.

5) \Rightarrow 1) Let G be an FP-projective module, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E is injective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(M, \cdot)$ we get:

$$Hom_R(M, E) \rightarrow Hom_R(M, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(M, G) \rightarrow 0.$$

By hypothesis, $Hom_R(M, E) \rightarrow Hom_R(M, L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Thus $Ext_R^1(M, G) = 0$ for any FP-projective module G , so M is FI-projective. \square

In the following proposition we show that FI-projective is stable under direct sum and we study the FI-projectivity over short exact sequence.

Proposition 2.3. (i) *Let I be an index set and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of modules. Then $\oplus M_i$ is FI-projective if and only if M_i is FI-projective for any $i \in I$.*

(ii) *Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow B \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules where L is FI-projective. Then N is FI-projective if and only if B is also FI-projective.*

Proof. (i) Follows from [8, Theorem 7.13] since $Ext_R^1(\oplus_{i \in I} M_i, F) \cong \oplus_{i \in I} Ext_R^1(M_i, F)$.

(ii) Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow B \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence and let F be FP-projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$, we get:

$$0 = Ext_R^1(L, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(N, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(L, F) = 0,$$

where the first term and the last term are zero, since L is FI-projective. Therefore $Ext_R^1(B, F) \cong Ext_R^1(N, F)$, so B is FI-projective if and only if N is also FI-projective. □

It is easy to see that every projective module is FI-projective, result we see when we have equivalence.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a coherent ring, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) M is projective.
- 2) M is FI-projective and $FP - pd_R(M) \leq 1$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Obvious.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Suppose that M is an FI-projective module and $FP - pd_R(M) \leq 1$, then there exists an exact sequence of modules $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where P is projective. Since R is a coherent ring and by [6, Proposition 3.1] we have K is FP-projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., K)$ we obtain:

$$Hom_R(P, K) \rightarrow Hom_R(K, K) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(M, K) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since M is FI-projective we have $Ext_R^1(M, K) = 0$, and hence the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ splits, then $P \cong K \oplus M$, so M is projective as direct summand of a projective module. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let M is FI-projective module, then $Hom_R(P, M)$ and $P \otimes_R M$ are FI-projective for any finitely generated projective module P .*

Proof. Let N be an FP-projective module, for any finitely generated projective module P , the result follows from the following isomorphism: $P \otimes_R Ext_R^1(M, N) \cong Ext_R^1(Hom_R(P, M), N)$ and $Ext_R^1(P \otimes_R M, N) \cong Hom_R(P, Ext_R^1(M, N))$. □

In the following proposition we show when the k^{th} syzygy is FI-projective.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer and let M be a module such that $Ext_R^i(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective module F and $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Then the k^{th} syzygy of M is FI-projective for any $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. Follows since $Ext_R^1(L_k, F) \cong Ext_R^{k+1}(M, F) = 0$ where F is an FP-projective module and L_k is the k^{th} syzygy of M $0 \leq k \leq n$ [8, Corollary 6.19]. □

In [6, Corollary 4.3] they show that a ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if it is coherent and every FP-projective module is FP-injective. The following result gives a characterization of von Neumann regular rings using FI-projective modules.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a coherent ring, then R is von Neumann regular if and only if every finitely presented R -module is FI-projective.*

Proof. \Rightarrow) Let M be a finitely presented module and let N be an FP-projective module. from [6, Corollary 4.3] N is FP-injective, then $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$, therefore M is FI-projective.

\Leftarrow) Conversely, let M be a finitely presented module, by hypothesis M is FI-projective. Then for any FP-projective module N we have $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$, since M is arbitrary it follows that N is FP-injective. So since R is coherent and from [6, Corollary 4.3], R is von Neumann regular as desired. □

The following theorem gives a characterization of semisimple rings using FI-projective modules.

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1) R is semisimple.
- 2) Every FP-projective is injective.
- 3) Every R -module is FI-projective.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) It is obvious by [8, Proposition 4.13].

2) \Rightarrow 1) Let P be a projective module, by hypothesis P is injective. Then from [2, Theorem 31.9] R is a Quasi-Frobenius ring which means that R is Notherian. From [6, Proposition 2.6] every module of R is FP-projective and by hypothesis every module is injective and R is semisimple.

1) \Rightarrow 3) Obvious.

3) \Rightarrow 2) Let F be an FP-projective module, by hypothesis for any R -module M we have $Ext_R^1(M, F) = 0$, and so F is injective. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be a Notherian ring, then every FI-projective module is projective.*

Proof. From [6, Proposition 2.6], R is Notherian if and only if every module is FP-projective. And this complete the proof. □

3 The FI-projective dimension of modules.

In this section we introduce the FI-projective dimension of modules which is a generalization of the projective dimension of modules.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a ring. The FI-projective dimension of a module M is denoted $FI - pd_R(M)$, and defined to be the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $Ext_R^{n+1}(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective R -module F .

The following result gives a characterization of the FI-projective dimension of modules.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a module and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $FI - pd_R(M) \leq n$,
- (ii) $Ext_R^{n+1}(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective module F ,
- (iii) $Ext_R^{n+j}(M, F) = 0$ for any FP-projective module F and for any integer $j \geq 1$,
- (iv) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where each P_i is FI-projective.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules where P is FI-projective. If M is FI-projective, then N is FI-projective, if not we have:*

$$FI - pd_R(M) = FI - pd_R(N) + 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that M is not FI-projective and let F be an FP-projective R -module. Using the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$ to the short exact sequence we obtain:

$$(*) \quad Ext_R^{n+1}(P, F) = 0 \rightarrow Ext_R^{n+1}(N, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^{n+2}(M, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^{n+2}(P, F) = 0$$

The first and the last terms are zero since P is FI-projective and F is FP-projective so: $Ext_R^{n+2}(M, F) \cong Ext_R^{n+1}(N, F)$, and from Theorem 3.2 $FI - pd_R(M) = FI - pd_R(N) + 1$.

Now, if M is FI-projective and for $n = 0$ in (*) we obtain: $Ext_R^2(M, F) = Ext_R^1(N, F) = 0$ So N is FI-projective. □

The following theorem is a generalization of Proposition 3.3 above.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of modules. If two of $FI - pd_R(A)$, $FI - pd_R(B)$ and $FI - pd_R(C)$ are finite, so is the third. Moreover:*

- (i) $FI - pd_R(A) \leq \max\{FI - pd_R(B), FI - pd_R(C) - 1\}$.
- (ii) $FI - pd_R(C) \leq \max\{FI - pd_R(B), FI - pd_R(A) + 1\}$.
- (iii) $FI - pd_R(B) \leq \max\{FI - pd_R(A), FI - pd_R(C)\}$.

Proof. We prove only assertion (i) and the other assertions can be proved similarly. Let $k = \max\{FI - pd_R(B), FI - pd_R(C) - 1\}$ and let N be an FP-projective module, using the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., N)$ to the short exact sequence we obtain: $0 = Ext_R^{k+1}(B, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^{k+1}(A, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^{k+2}(C, N) = 0$, the first and the last term vanish by hypothesis, so $Ext_R^{k+1}(A, N) = 0$ and $FI - pd_R(A) \leq k = \max\{FI - pd_R(B), FI - pd_R(C) - 1\}$. \square

The next corollary study the FI-projective dimension of the direct sum of modules.

Corollary 3.5. *Let I be an index set and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of modules. Then $FI - pd_R(\oplus M_i) = \max\{FI - pd_R(M_i) / M_i \text{ R-module}\}$.*

Proof. Follows from [8, Theorem 7.13] since $Ext_R^n(\oplus_{i \in I} M_i, F) \cong \oplus_{i \in I} Ext_R^n(M_i, F)$. Then we can deduce the result using Theorem 3.2. \square

4 The global FI-projective dimension of rings.

In this section we introduce the global FI-projective dimension of rings and we give its characterization. Also we characterize semisimple and hereditary rings using this dimension.

Definition 4.1. The global FI-projective dimension of R is the supremum of FI-projective dimensions of all R -modules, denoted:

$$FI - pdim(R) = \sup\{FI - pd_R(M) / M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}.$$

The following result gives a characterization of the FI-projective dimension of rings.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R be a ring and let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the following conditions are equivalents:*

- (i) $FI - pdim(R) \leq n$.
- (ii) $Ext_R^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$ for any R -module M and any FP-projective R -module N .
- (iii) $Ext_R^{n+j}(M, F) = 0$ for any integer $j \geq 1$, and R -module M and for any FP-projective R -module N .
- (iv) $id_R(N) \leq n$ for any FP-projective R -module N .
- (v) $FI - pd(M) \leq n$ for any R -module M .

Proof. The proof is obvious it follows from the definition and Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 4.3. *A ring R is semisimple if and only if $FI - pdim(R) = 0$*

Proof. follows from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 2.8. \square

The following theorem gives a characterization of the ring of $FI - pdim(R) \leq 1$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1) $FI - pdim(R) \leq 1$.
- 2) Every submodule of an FI-projective R -module is FI-projective.
- 3) Every submodule of a projective R -module is FI-projective.
- 4) Every FP-projective R -module has an injective dimension at most 1.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Let N be a submodule of an FI-projective R -module M , applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$, where F is an FP-projective module, to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$, we get: $Ext_R^1(M, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(N, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M/N, F)$. The first term vanish since M is FI-projective and the last term vanish by hypothesis. Hence $Ext_R^1(N, F) = 0$ and N is FI-projective.

2) \Rightarrow 3) Obvious since every projective R -module is FI-projective.

3) \Rightarrow 4) Let M be an R -module and let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, be a short exact sequence of modules where P is projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$ where F is FP-projective, we get: $Ext_R^1(K, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(P, F) = 0$. By hypothesis $Ext_R^1(K, F) = 0$ which implies that $Ext_R^2(M, F) = 0$ hence $id_R(F) \leq 1$.

4) \Rightarrow 2) Let N be a submodule of an FI-projective R -module M . Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$, where F is an FP-projective R -module to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$, we get:

$$Ext_R^1(M, F) \longrightarrow Ext_R^1(N, F) \longrightarrow Ext_R^2(M/N, F).$$

We have $Ext_R^1(M, F) = 0$ since M is FI-projective and $Ext_R^2(M/N, F) = 0$ since $id_R(F) \leq 1$ by hypothesis. Therefore $Ext_R^1(N, F) = 0$, and hence N is FI-projective.

3) \Rightarrow 1) For any R -module M , consider an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with P is projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(., F)$, where F is an FP-projective R -module we get $Ext_R^1(K, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M, F) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(P, F) = 0$. The first term is zero since K is FI-projective as a submodule of the projective module P and the last term is zero since P is projective. Thus $Ext_R^2(M, F) = 0$ and hence $FI - pd_R(M) \leq 1$, so $FI - pdim(R) \leq 1$. \square

In the following proposition we see a characterization of hereditary rings using FI-projective dimensions of rings.

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1) R is hereditary.
- 2) $FI - pdim(R) \leq 1$ and every FI-projective ideal of R is projective.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Obvious.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Let I be an ideal of R and consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$. Since $FI - pdim(R) \leq 1$ so $FI - pd_R(R/I) \leq 1$ and I is FI-projective by Theorem 4.4 so I is projective by hypothesis and R is hereditary. \square

Corollary 4.6. *If R is hereditary, then every FI-projective R -module is projective.*

Proof. Let M be an FI-projective R -module, for any R -module N we consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$, where P is projective. Since K is a submodule of P and R is hereditary, so K is projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(M, .)$ we get the exact sequence: $Ext_R^1(M, P) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(M, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M, K)$, then since M is FI-projective we have $Ext_R^2(M, K) = 0$ and since P is FP-projective, $Ext_R^1(M, P) = 0$. Therefore $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$ and hence M is projective. \square

Recall that finitistic projective dimension of a ring R is defined as:

$$FPD(R) = \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module with } pd_R(M) \leq \infty\}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *For any ring R we have:*

$$FPD(R) \leq FI - pdim(R) \leq gldim(R)$$

with equality if $wdim(R) < \infty$.

Proof. Since every projective R -module is FP-projective it is obvious that $FI - pdim(R) \leq gldim(R)$. On the other hand, suppose that $FI - pdim(R) = n < \infty$ and let F be an R -module

with $pd_R(F) = m < \infty$. We claim that $m \leq n$, otherwise, suppose that $m > n$ and for any R -module N we consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with P projective. Applying the long exact sequence of the functor $Hom_R(F, \cdot)$ we get:

$$Ext_R^m(F, P) \longrightarrow Ext_R^m(F, N) \longrightarrow Ext_R^{m+1}(F, K).$$

The first term is zero since $m > n$, and the last term is zero since $pd_R(F) = m$. Thus $Ext_R^m(F, N) = 0$, which implies that $pd_R(F) < m$, contradiction, so $m \leq n$.

Now if $wdim(R) < \infty$, from [5, Corollary 4] we have $FPD(R) = gldim(R)$. \square

References

- [1] N. H. Alshehri and K. Ouarghi, SFI-Injective and SFI-Flat Modules. JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, 42, 205–228, (2019).
- [2] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1974).
- [3] L. Duan and B. Ouyang, Relative FI-Injective and FI-Flat Modules. Indian Journal Pure Applied Mathematics, 42, 417–441, (2011).
- [4] Z. Gao, On n-FI-Injective and n-FI-Flat Modules. Communication in Algebra., 40, 2757–2770, (2012).
- [5] C. U. Jensen, On the vanishing of \lim_{\leftarrow}^i . Journal of Algebra, 15, 151–166, (1970).
- [6] L. X. Mao and N. Q. Ding, FP-projective Dimensions. Communication in Algebra, 33, 1153–1170, (2005).
- [7] L. Mao and N. Ding, FI-Injective and FI-Flat Modules. Journal of Algebra, 309, 367–385, (2007).
- [8] J. J. Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra. Academic Press, New York, (1979).
- [9] K. E. Aubert, On the ideal theory of commutative semi-groups, *Math. Scand.* **1**, 39–54 (1953).
- [10] G. D. Bullington, On the expected number of generators of a submodule of a free module over a finite special principal ideal ring, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **51**, 5–50 (2002).
- [11] L. Fuchs, A remark on the Jacobson radical, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **14**, 167–168 (1952).
- [12] R. Gilmer, *Commutative Semigroup Rings*, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago (1984).
- [13] K. W. Gruenberg, *Cohomological Topics in Group Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **143**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970).
- [14] M. Hall, Jr., *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York (1959).
- [15] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra*, volume I, Van Nostrand, Princeton (1951).
- [16] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings* (Revised Edn), Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago (1974).
- [17] R. L. Kruse and D. T. Price, *Nilpotent Rings*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1969).
- [18] E. S. Ljapin, *Semigroups*, Translations Math. Monographs **3**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1974).
- [19] W. R. Scott, *Group Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1964).
- [20] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, volume I, Van Nostrand, Princeton (1958).

Author information

Nada H. Alshehri and Khalid Ouarghi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Bisha, PO Box 551, 61922 Bisha, Saudi Arabia, and Department of Mathematics, ENS, Moulay Ismail University, PO Box 3104, Toulal Meknes, Morocco.

E-mail: nada22ha@gmail.com and ouarghi.khalid@hotmail.fr

Received: September 27, 2021.

Accepted: November 23, 2021.