

Power GCDQ and LCMQ Matrices Defined on GCD-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Yahia AWAD^{1*}, Ragheb MGHAMES² and Haissam CHEHADE³

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 11C20, 11A05, 15A15; Secondary 13F15, 15A23, 15A09.

Keywords and phrases: power *GCDQ* matrix, power *LCMQ* matrix, *q*-ordering, gcd-closed sets, prime residue system, Euclidean domains.

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for careful reading of the paper, valuable comments and fruitful suggestions.

Abstract In this paper, we present a full generalization for the power *GCDQ* and *LCMQ* matrices defined on *q*-ordered gcd-closed sets over Euclidean Domains. Structure theorems, determinants, reciprocals, inverses, and *p*-norms are also presented. In addition, Some examples are given in the Euclidean domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

1 Introduction

Let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a well ordered set of m distinct positive integers with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$. If r is any real number, then the power *GCD* matrix defined on T is $(T^r)_{m \times m} = (t_i, t_j)^r$, where (t_i, t_j) is the greatest common divisor of t_i and t_j , and the power *LCM* matrix on T is $[T^r]_{m \times m} = [t_i, t_j]^r$, where $[t_i, t_j]$ is the least common multiple of t_i and t_j . Set T is said to be factor-closed if $t \in T$ for any divisor t of $t_i \in T$, and it is gcd-closed if $(t_i, t_j) \in T$, for all t_i and t_j in T . In 1876, Smith [20] showed that if T is factor-closed then $\det(T) = \prod_{i=1}^m \phi(t_i)$ and $\det[T] = \prod_{i=1}^m \phi(t_i)\pi(t_i)$, where ϕ is Euler's totient function and π is a multiplicative function such that $\pi(p^k) = -p$. In 1988/92, Beslin and Ligh [3, 4, 5, 6, 19] factorized the *GCD* and *LCM* matrices if T is gcd-closed set, and computed their determinants. Later, in 1992, Borque and Ligh [7] conjectured that the *LCM* matrix on a gcd-closed set is invertible. In 1996, Chun [8] introduced the concept of power *GCD* and *LCM* matrices and gave general formulas for their structures, determinants and inverses over the domain of natural numbers. In 1998, Hong [15, 16] showed inductively that if T is gcd-closed and $m \leq 3$, then $\det(T)$ divides $\det[T]$. Otherwise, if $m \geq 4$ then there exist a gcd-closed set such that $\det(T)$ does not divide $\det[T]$. In 1990, Li [18] gave a generalization of Smith's determinant by obtaining the value of $\det(T)$ if T is defined on arbitrary ordered sets of distinct positive integers. In 2009, Hong et al. [17] generalized the power *GCD* matrices defined on factor-closed sets over unique factorization domains. In 1996, Haukkanen and Sillanpaa [14] studied the *GCD* and *LCM* matrices defined on lcm-closed and gcd-closed sets. In 1997, Haukkanen [13], in his famous paper "On Smith's Determinant" gave a counter example for the conjecture of Bourque-Ligh that the least common multiple matrix on any gcd-closed set is invertible. El-Kassar et al. [9, 10, 11, 12] extended many results concerning *GCD* and *LCM* matrices defined on factor-closed sets to arbitrary principal ideal domains. Recently, Awad et al. [2] gave a generalization for the power *GCD* and *LCM* matrices defined on gcd-closed sets over unique factorization domains, where all the obtained results in the previously published articles are considered as a special case of the presented generalization if the unique factorization domain R is taken to be the domain of natural integers \mathbb{Z} . Now, since there are no measures in unique factorization domains and the *p*-ordering process used in [2] is somehow complicated, so it is better to use new measures in order to make the ordering process more clear and sharper. Moreover, it is well-known that every Euclidean domain is

a unique factorization domain but the converse is not true. In [1], Awad et al. generalized the Reciprocal power $GCDQ$ matrices and power $LCMQ$ matrices defined on arbitrary and factor-closed q -ordered sets are presented over any Euclidean domain S with measure $q(x)$ for every $x \in S$, where $q(x)$ is the norm of x defined on S . In our paper, we generalize all the obtained results in [2] to any Euclidean domain S by modifying the Jordan totient function over Euclidean domains with measure function $q(x)$. First, we generalize the power $GCDQ$ and $LCMQ$ matrices defined on q -ordered gcd-closed sets over any Euclidean domain S . Then, we present complete characterizations for their decompositions, determinants, reciprocals, and inverses. In addition, some examples in the Euclidean domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are given.

In what follows, let S be an Euclidean domain of measure q with a complete prime residue system $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$, and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a set of non-zero non-associate elements in S with measure q . If $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$ is a well-ordered listing of all primes in P of S that divide all the elements of T , the q -ordering $<_q$ on S is defined as follows: $t_i <_q t_j$ if $q(t_i) < q(t_j)$, which is a well-defined linear ordering on S . Hence, if the set $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ such that $t_1 <_q t_2 <_q \dots <_q t_m$, then we say that T is q -ordered. Through this paper, we denote by $E(t)$ to be complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of any $t \in S$, and by $J_{k,s}(t)$ on $S - \{0\}$ to be the Jordan totient multiplicative function defined as follows: If x is a non-zero element in S with the unique factorization, up to associates, $x = up_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_m^{\alpha_m}$, where

$$\alpha_i \text{ are positive integers and } u \text{ is a unit in } S, \text{ then } J_{k,s}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m q[p_i]^{k(\alpha_i-1)} [q(p_i)^k - 1] \text{ and}$$

$$q(x)^k = \sum_{d \in E(x)} J_{k,s}(d).$$

2 Preliminaries

A zero-divisor x is a non-zero element of a ring S such that there is a non-zero element y in S with $xy = 0$. An integral domain is a commutative ring with unity and with no zero-divisors. Two elements x and y of an integral domain S are said to be associates if $x = uy$, where u is a unit in S .

Definition 2.1. An integral domain S is said to be an Euclidean Domain (ED), if there is an arithmetic function $d : S - \{0\} \rightarrow N \cup \{0\}$, which satisfies that for every pair of non-zero elements a and b of S

- (i) $d(a) \leq d(ab)$
- (ii) $b = aq + r$, where either $r = 0$ or $d(r) < d(a)$.

For example, if $S = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is the ring of Gaussian integers and $q : S - \{0\} \rightarrow N \cup \{0\}$ defined by $q(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2$, then S is an ED with measure q .

Definition 2.2. Let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a set of non-zero non-associate elements in an ED S with measure q , and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$ be an ordered listing of all primes in P of S that divide all the elements of T . In addition, assume that $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$ has the order inherited from the well ordering of P . The q -ordering on S is defined via the following scheme: $t_i <_q t_j$ if $q(t_i) < q(t_j)$.

We note that the relation $<_q$ is a well-defined linear ordering defined on S . Hence, if the set $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ such that $t_1 <_q t_2 <_q \dots <_q t_m$, then we say that T is q -ordered.

Definition 2.3. Let S be an ED of measure q , and let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ be a complete prime residue system of S . Define the Jordan totient multiplicative function $J_{k,s}(t)$ on $S - \{0\}$ as follows: If x is a non-zero element in S with the unique factorization, up to associates, $x = up_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_m^{\alpha_m}$, where p_i are distinct and non associate elements in P , α_i are positive integers, and u is a unit in S , then

$$J_{k,s}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m q[p_i]^{k(\alpha_i-1)} [q(p_i)^k - 1]$$

with $J_{k,s}(u) = 1$.

Theorem 2.4. *If S is an ED with measure q and prime residue system P , and $E(x)$ is a complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of $x \in S$, then*

$$q(x)^k = \sum_{d \in E(x)} J_{k,s}(d).$$

Proof. Since $J_{k,s}(x)$ is multiplicative, then $f(x) = \sum_{d \in E(x)} J_{k,s}(d)$ is also multiplicative, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(p_i^{\alpha_i}) &= \sum_{d \in E(p_i^{\alpha_i})} J_{k,s}(d) = 1 + q(p_i)^{k(1-1)} [q(p_i)^k - 1] + \dots + q(p_i)^{k(\alpha_i-1)} [q(p_i)^k - 1] \\ &= 1 + q(p_i)^k - 1 + \dots + q(p_i)^{k\alpha_i} - q(p_i)^{k(\alpha_i-1)} = q(p_i)^{k\alpha_i} = q(p_i^{\alpha_i})^k \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

Definition 3.1. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is a q -ordered set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix defined on T is the $m \times m$ matrix $(T^r)_q$ whose ij^{th} entries are $(t_{ij})_r = q((t_i, t_j))^r$, where r is any real number.

Example 3.2. $T = \{1, 1+i, 2\}$ is q -ordered set in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with the measure function $q(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$. The 2^{nd} power GCDQ matrix is

$$(T^2)_q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.1 Factorizations of Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

In this section, we study the factorizations of r^{th} power GCDQ matrices defined on gcd-closed sets over EDs.

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix is decomposed as $(T^r)_q = EG_r E^T$.*

Proof. Let $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the minimal gcd-closed set containing T in S , and $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non-associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix G_r as:

$$G_r = \text{diag} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_1), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_1)}} J_{r,s}(d), \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_2), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_2)}} J_{r,s}(d), \dots, \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_n), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_n)}} J_{r,s}(d) \right)$$

and $E_{m \times n} = (e_{ij})$ such that $e_{ij} = 1$ if $y_j \in E(t_i)$ and 0 otherwise. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (EG_r E^T)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n e_{ik} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) e_{jk} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{y_k \in E(t_i) \\ y_k \in E(t_j)}} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) \\
 &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j)_p)} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) \\
 &= [q(t_i, t_j)_p]^r.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.4. Let $T = \{1, 1 + i, 2, 5\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 EG_2 E^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 624 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 16 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 625 \end{bmatrix} = (T^2)_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix is decomposed into a product of $m \times n$ matrix G_r and $m \times n$ incidence matrix B_r for some positive integer $n \geq m$.

Proof. Let $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the minimal gcd-closed set containing T in S , and $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non-associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix G_r as in the above theorem, and let B_r be defined as $b_{ij} = 1$ if $g_{ji} \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (G_r B_r)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (g_{ik} b_{kj}) = \sum_{\substack{y_k \in E(t_i) \\ y_k \in E(t_j)}} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) \\
 &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j)_p)} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) = [q(t_i, t_j)_p]^r.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.6. Let T be defined as above, then

$$G_2 B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 12 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 624 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 16 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 625 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.7. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix is decomposed as a product of $m \times n$ matrix G_r and its corresponding transpose G_r^T .

Proof. Let \bar{F} be an extension of the field of fractions F of S in which $J_{r,s}(t)$ has a root for every $t \in T$, set $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ to be the smallest gcd-closed set containing T in S , and $E(x)$ to be a complete set of distinct non-associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $m \times n$ matrix G_r such that $g_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{d \in E(y_j), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_j)} J_{r,s}(d)}$ if $y_j \in E(t_i)$ and 0 otherwise. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (G_r G_r^T)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (g_{ik} g_{jk}) = \sum_{\substack{y_k \in E(t_i) \\ y_k \in E(t_j)}} \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d)} \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d)} \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j)_p)} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{r,s}(d) \right) = [q(t_i, t_j)_p]^r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.8. Let T be defined as above, then

$$G_2 G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{12} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{624} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{624} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 16 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 625 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.2 Determinants of Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 3.9. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the determinant of the r^{th} power GCDQ defined on T in S is:

$$\det(T^r)_q = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q t_u < t_i < q(t_i)} J_{r,s}(d) \right).$$

Proof. Since T is gcd-closed set in S , then $T \approx D$ and $(T^r)_q = E G_r E^T$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(T^r)_q &= \det(E G_r E^T) = \det(E) \det(G_r) \det(E^T) = 1 \times \det(G_r) \times 1 \\ &= \det(G_r) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < t_i < q(t_i)} J_{r,s}(d) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.10. Let T be defined as above, then

$$\begin{aligned} \det[(T^2)]_q &= \sum_{\substack{d \in E(1) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(1)}} J_{r,s}(d) \sum_{\substack{d \in E(1+i) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(1+i)}} J_{r,s}(d) \sum_{\substack{d \in E(2) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(2)}} J_{r,s}(d) \sum_{\substack{d \in E(5) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(5)}} J_{r,s}(d) \\ &= 1 \times 3 \times 12 \times 624 = 22464. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.11. Note that we may prove the above theorem by using the factorization $(T^r)_q = G_r B_r$ or $(T^r)_q = G_r G_r^T$.

Corollary 3.12. (Beslin-ligh result) If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is a gcd-closed set of positive integers,

then $\det(T) = \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{d|t_i, d \nmid t_u, t_u < t_i} \phi(d)$.

Proof. By Theorem 65, $\det(T^r)_q = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < t_i < q(t_i)} J_{r,s}(d) \right)$. Let $r = 1$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}$ with $q = |\cdot|$, then $J_{1,\mathbb{Z}} = \phi$, where ϕ is Euler's totient function. Therefore, $\det(T^1)_{|\cdot|} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < t_i < q(t_i)} J_{1,\mathbb{Z}}(d) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d|t_i, d \nmid t_u, t_u < t_i} \phi(d) \right)$. \square

3.3 p -Norms of the Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

In the following, we investigate the l_p norms of any r^{th} power GCDQ matrix defined on gcd-closed sets over EDs.

Definition 3.13. Let S be an mn -dimensional Euclidean space domain with measure q , and let $A \in S^{m \times n}$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}$, define the p -Norm to be the function that maps A to a real number $\|A\|_p$ such that

$$\|A\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (q(a_{ij}))^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Theorem 3.14. Let S be an ED with a prime residue system P and measure q , and let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, for any real number r and integer p , the l_p norm of the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix is equal to:

$$\|(T^r)_q\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j))} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{pr,s}(d) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let \bar{F} be any extension field of the field of fractions F of S in which $\sum_{\substack{d \in E(t_i) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ t_u < q t_i}} J_{pr,s}(d)$ has

p^{th} root. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^r)_q\|_p &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m [q(t_i, t_j)^r]^p \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m [q(t_i, t_j)^{pr}] \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j))} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{pr,s}(d) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Example 3.15. Since $T = \{1, 1 + i, 2 + i\}$ is gcd-closed over $S = \mathbb{Z}[i]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^2)_q\|_3 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{d \in E(t_i, t_j)} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} J_{6,s}(d) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= (J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1) + (J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1 + i)) \\ &\quad + J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(1) + (J_{6,s}(1) + J_{6,s}(2 + i)))^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= (1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 63 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 15624)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= \sqrt[3]{15696}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Reciprocals of Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Definition 4.1. If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a q -ordered set of non-zero non-associate elements in an ED S with measure q , then the Reciprocal r^{th} power GCDQ matrix defined on T over S is the matrix $1/(T^r)_q$ whose ij^{th} entry is $\frac{1}{[q(t_i, t_j)]^r}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered any set (not necessary gcd-closed) of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix $(1/(T^r)_q)$ is decomposed as $1/(T^r)_q = E(1/G_r)E^T$.

Proof. Let $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the minimal gcd-closed set containing T in S , and let $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $(1/G_r)$ as follows:

$$(1/G_r) = \text{diag} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_1), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_1)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)}, \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_2), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_2)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)}, \dots, \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_n), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_n)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \right)$$

and E is $m \times n$ such that $e_{ij} = 1$ if $y_j \in E(t_i)$ and 0 otherwise. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (E(1/G_r)E^T)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n e_{ik} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} e_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E(t_i), y_k \in E(t_j)} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j))} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \\ &= 1/[q(t_i, t_j)]^r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.3. Let T be defined as above, then

$$1/(T^2)_q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{16} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{625} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } E(1/G_2)_q E^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{16} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{624}{625} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.4. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered any set (not necessary gcd-closed) of non zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix $(1/(T^r)_q)$ is decomposed into a product of $m \times n$ matrix $(1/G_r)$ and $m \times n$ incidence matrix $(1/B_r)$ for some positive integer $n \geq m$.

Proof. Let $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the smallest gcd-closed set containing T in S , and $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $m \times n$ matrix $(1/G_r)$ such that $g_{ij} = \sum_{d \in E(y_j), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_j)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)}$ if $y_j \in E(t_i)$, and 0 otherwise. Let $(1/B_r)$ be defined

as $b_{ij} = 1$ if $g_{ji} \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise.

$$\begin{aligned} ((1/G_r)(1/B_r))_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ik}b_{kj}) = \sum_{y_k \in E(t_i), y_k \in E(t_j)} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j)_p)} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} = \frac{1}{[q(t_i, t_j)]^r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.5. Let T be defined as above, then

$$(1/G_2)(1/B_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{3}{16} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{624}{625} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{16} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{625} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.6. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered any set (not necessary gcd-closed) of non zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix $(1/(T^r)_q)$ is decomposed into a product of $m \times n$ matrix $(1/G_r)$ and its corresponding transpose $(1/G_r)^T$.

Proof. Let \bar{F} be an extension of the field of fractions F of S in which g_{ij} , defined above, has a root for every $t \in T$ and $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the smallest gcd-closed set containing T in S and $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of x in S . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ((1/G_r)(1/G_r)^T)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (g_{ik}g_{jk}) \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E(t_i), y_k \in E(t_j)} \left(\sqrt{\sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)}} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j)_p)} \sum_{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_k)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} = \frac{1}{[q(t_i, t_j)]^r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.7. Let T be defined as above, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1/G_2)(1/G_2)^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{4}} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{4}} & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{16}} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-\frac{624}{625}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{4}} & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-\frac{3}{16}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-\frac{624}{625}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{16} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{625} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

4.1 Determinants of Reciprocal Power GCDQ Matrices on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 4.8. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the determinant

of the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix $1/(T^r)_q$ defined on T in S is equal to the product of

$$\det(1/(T^r)_q) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < q(t_i)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \right).$$

Proof. Let T be a q -ordered set in S . Since T is gcd-closed set, then $T \approx D$. Hence, by Theorem 4.2 we have $(1/T^r)_q = E(1/G_r)E^T$, where E is a lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries $e_{ij} = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(1/T^r)_q &= \det(E(1/G_r)E^T) = \det(E) \det(1/G_r) \det(E^T) = \det(1/G_r) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < q(t_i)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(d)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.9. Let T be defined as above, then

$$\det[(T^{-2})]_q = -\frac{351}{2500},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(1/T^2)_q &= \prod_{i=1}^4 \left(\sum_{d \in E(t_i), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < q(t_i)} \frac{1}{J_{2,s}(d)} \right) \\ &= 1 \times \left(-\frac{3}{4}\right) \left(-\frac{3}{16}\right) \left(-\frac{624}{625}\right) = -\frac{351}{2500}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we may prove the above theorem by using the factorizations $1/(T^r)_q = (1/G_r)(1/G_r)^T$ and $1/(T^r)_q = (1/G_r)(1/B_r)$.

4.2 p -Norms of the Reciprocal Power GCDQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 4.10. Let S be an ED with a prime residue system P and measure q , and let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, for any real number r and integer p , the l_p norm of the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix is equal to:

$$\|(1/T^r)_q\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j))} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} \frac{1}{J_{pr,s}(d)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.14. □

5 Inverses of Power GCDQ Matrices on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 5.1. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the inverse of the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix is $(T^r)_q^{-1} = t_{ij}$ such that

$$t_{ij} = \sum_{t_i \in E(t_k), t_j \in E(t_k)} \left(\frac{\mu_P\left(\frac{t_k}{t_i}\right) \mu_P\left(\frac{t_k}{t_j}\right)}{\sum_{d \in E(t_k), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < q(t_k)} J_{r,s}(d)} \right).$$

Proof. Let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set of non zero non associate elements in S , then the r^{th} power GCDQ matrix $(T^r)_q = EG_r E^T$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ((T^r)_q^{-1})_{ij} &= (EG_r E^T)^{-1}_{ij} = ((E^T)^{-1}(G_r)^{-1}(E)^{-1})_{ij} = ((E^{-1})^T(G_r)^{-1}(E)^{-1})_{ij} \\ &= (U^T(G_r)^{-1}U)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m u_{ki} \frac{1}{g_{kk}} u_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{t_i \in E(t_k), t_j \in E(t_k)} \mu_p \left(\frac{t_k}{t_i} \right) \frac{1}{\sum_{d \in E(t_k), d \notin E(t_u), q(t_u) < q(t_k)} J_{r,s}(d)} \mu_p \left(\frac{t_k}{t_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 5.2. let $T = \{1, 1 + i, 2\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then,

$$(T^2)_q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \end{bmatrix}.$$

6 Power LCMQ Matrices on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

6.1 Structures of Power LCMQ Matrices on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 6.1. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the r^{th} power LCMQ matrix $[T^r]_q$ can be decomposed, up to associates, as $[T^r]_q = D_r E (1/G) E^T D_r$.

Proof. Let $D = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be the smallest gcd-closed set containing T in S , and $E(x)$ be a complete set of distinct non associate divisors d of x in S . Define the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $(1/G_r)$ as follows:

$$(1/G_r) = \text{diag} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \in E(t_1) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(t_1)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(y_1)}, \sum_{\substack{d \in E(t_2) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(t_2)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(y_2)}, \dots, \sum_{\substack{d \in E(t_n) \\ d \notin E(t_u) \\ q(t_u) < q(t_n)}} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(y_n)} \right).$$

and $E_{m \times n} = (e_{ij})$ such that $e_{ij} = 1$ if $y_j \in E(t_i)$ and 0 otherwise. Let D_r be $m \times m$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are of the form $d_{ii} = q(t_i)^r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (D_r E (1/G_r) E^T D_r)_{ij} &= (D_r (1/T^r)_q D_r) = q(t_i)^r (1/T^r)_{ij} q(t_j)^r \\ &= \frac{q(t_i)^r q(t_j)^r}{[q(t_i, t_j)]^r} = q \left[\frac{t_i^r t_j^r}{(t_i, t_j)^r} \right] = [q[t_i, t_j]_p]^r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 6.2. let $T = \{1, 1 + i, 2\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 (1/T^2)_q D_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 625 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{16} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{625} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 625 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 16 & 625 \\ 4 & 4 & 16 & 2500 \\ 16 & 16 & 16 & 10000 \\ 625 & 2500 & 10000 & 625 \end{bmatrix} = [T^2]_q. \end{aligned}$$

6.2 Determinants of Power LCMQ Matrices on gcd-Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 6.3. Let S be an ED with prime residue system P and measure q . If $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is any q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, the determinant of the r^{th} power LCMQ matrix $[T^r]_q$ is equal to

$$\det [T^r]_q = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(y_i), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_i)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(t_i)} \right) q(t_i)^{2r}.$$

Proof. Let T be a q -ordered set in S . Since T is gcd-closed set, then $T \approx D$. But, $[T^r]_q = D_r E (1/G_r) E^T D_r$ and E is lower triangular matrix with diagonal entry $e_{ii} = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det [T^r]_q &= \det(D_r E (1/G_r) E^T D_r) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m q(t_i)^r \times \det(1/G_r) \times \prod_{i=1}^m q(t_i)^r \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{d \in E(y_i), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_i)} \frac{1}{J_{r,s}(t_i)} \right) q(t_i)^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 6.4. let $T = \{1, 1 + i, 2, 5\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det [T^2]_q &= \prod_{i=1}^4 \left(\sum_{d \in E(y_i), d \notin E(y_u), q(y_u) < q(y_i)} \frac{1}{J_{2,s}(t_i)} \right) q(t_i)^{2 \times 2} \\ &= (1 \times 1) \times \left(-\frac{3}{4} \times 16\right) \times \left(-\frac{3}{16} \times 256\right) \times \left(-\frac{624}{625} \times 390625\right) \\ &= -224640000. \end{aligned}$$

6.3 p -Norms of the Power LCMQ Matrices Defined on gcd-Closed Sets Over Euclidean Domains

Theorem 6.5. Let S be an ED with a prime residue system P and measure q , and let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ be a q -ordered gcd-closed set of non-zero non-associate elements in S . Then, for any real number r and integer p , the l_p norm of the r^{th} power Reciprocal GCDQ matrix is equal to:

$$\| [T^r]_q \|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{y_k \in E((t_i, t_j))} \sum_{\substack{d \in E(y_k), d \notin E(y_u) \\ q(y_u) < q(y_k)}} \left(\frac{q(t_i) q(t_j)}{q(t_i, t_j)} \right)^{pr} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.14. □

Declarations

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions: All the authors have equal contributions in this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] Y. A. Awad, H. Chehade, and R. H. Mghames, *Reciprocal Power GCDQ Matrices and Power LCMQ Matrices Defined on Factor Closed Sets over Euclidean Domains*, *Filomat*, 34:2 (2020) 357-363.
- [2] Y. A. Awad, T. Kadri, and R. H. Mghames, *Power GCD and Power LCM Matrices Defined on GCD-closed Sets over Unique Factorization Domains*, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics* (1) 25 (2019) 150–166, doi: 10.7546/nntdm.2019.25.1.150-166.
- [3] S. Beslin, *Reciprocal GCD matrices and LCM matrices*, *Fibonacci Quart.*(3) 20 (1991) 271–274.
- [4] S. Beslin, A. N. El-Kassar, *GCD matrices and Smith's determinant Over U.F.D.*, *Bull. Number Theory Related Topics* 13 (1989) 17–22.
- [5] S. Beslin, S. Ligh, *Greatest common divisor matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*118 (1989) 69–76.
- [6] S. Beslin and S. Ligh, *Another generalization of Smith's determinant of GCD matrices*, *Fibonacci Quart.* 30 (1992) 157–160.
- [7] K. Borque and S. Ligh, *On GCD and LCM matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 174 (1992) 65–74.
- [8] S. Z. Chun, *GCD and LCM Power Matrices*, *AMS* (1996) 290–297.
- [9] A. N. El-Kassar, *Doctorate Dissertation*, University of Southwestern Louisiana (1991).
- [10] A.N. El-Kassar, S.S. Habre and Y.A. Awad, *GCD And LCM Matrices on Factor Closed Sets Defined in Principal Ideal Domains*, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Research Trends in Science and Technology (RTST 2005)*, Lebanese American University, Beirut and Byblos, Lebanon, (2005) 535–546.
- [11] A. N. El-Kassar, Y. A. Awad, S. S. Habre, *GCD and LCM matrices on factor-closed sets defined in principle ideal domains*, *Journal of mathematics and statistics* 5 (2009) 342–347.
- [12] A.N. El-Kassar, S.S. Habre and Y.A. Awad, *GCD Matrices Defined on gcd-closed Sets in a PID*, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* 23 (2010) 571–581.
- [13] P. Haukkanen, *On Smith's determinant*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 258 (1997) 251–269.
- [14] P.Haukkanen and J. Sillanpaa, *On some analogues of the Bourque-Ligh conjecture on LCM matrices*, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics.* 3 (1997) 52–57.
- [15] S. Hong, *On LCM matrices on GCD-closed sets* (English summary), *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 22 (1998) 381–384.
- [16] S. Hong, *Bounds for determinant of matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 281 (1998) 311–322.
- [17] S. Hong, X. Zhou and J. Zhao, *Power GCD Matrices for a UFD*, *Algebra Colloquium* 16 (2009) 71–78.
- [18] Z. Li, *The determinant of a GCD matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 134 (1990) 137–143.
- [19] S. Ligh, *Generalized Smith's determinant*, *Linear and multilinear Algebra* 22 (1988) 305–306.
- [20] H. J. S. Smith, *On the value of a certain arithmetical determinant*, *Proc. London Math. Soc* 7 (1875/76) 208–212.

Author information

Yahia AWAD^{1*}, (Corresponding author)

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Lebanese International University, Bekaa, Lebanon.

E-mail: yehya.awad@liu.edu.lb; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9878-2482>.

Ragheb MGHAMES²,

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Lebanese International University, Bekaa, Lebanon.

E-mail: ragheb.mghames@liu.edu.lb

Haissam CHEHADE³,

Department of Mathematics and Physics, International University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon.

E-mail: haissam.chehade@b-iu.edu.lb

Received: January 11, 2022.

Accepted: April 7, 2022.