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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all functions $f$ defined and analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{E}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. In the present paper, we obtain sufficient conditions for $f$ to be starlike, Bazilevic or bounded turning of some order $\beta, 0<\beta<1$ in $\mathbb{E}$. Our result extends an earlier such result which is available only for the range $[1 / 2,1)$ of the parameter $\beta$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of functions which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{E}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. For some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of positive integers), let $\mathcal{H}(a, n)$ represents family of all functions $f$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which are of the form

$$
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all those functions $f$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which are normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Further, we also let $S$ denote the subclass of all univalent functions in $\mathcal{A}$. For a real number $\beta, 0 \leq \beta<1$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re f^{\prime}(z)>\beta, z \in E\right\}, \\
S^{*}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\beta, z \in E\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\beta, z \in E\right\}
$$

Functions in the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta), S^{*}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ are called, respectively, functions of bounded turning of order $\beta$, starlike functions of order $\beta$ and convex functions of order $\beta$. It is well known that functions in $\mathcal{R}(\beta), S^{*}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ are univalent and $\mathcal{K}(\beta) \subseteq S^{*}(\beta), 0 \leq \beta<1$. Further note that $S^{*}(0):=S^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}(0):=\mathcal{K}$ are the usual classes of starlike (with respect to the origin) functions and convex functions in $S$, respectively. For more details on these classes of functions we refer the reader to [3].

Following Babalola [1], we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is Bazilevic function of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta<1$ and type $\lambda+1, \lambda \geq-1$, if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda}\right\}>\beta, z \in E . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that functions satisfying (1.1) are univalent for $\lambda \geq-1$ in $E$ [6]. Note that functions of bounded turning of order $\beta$ are Bazilevic functions of order $\beta$ and type 1 , whereas starlike functions of order $\beta$ are Bazilevic functions of order $\beta$ and type 0 . Babalola [1] proved the following sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be Bazilevic function of order $\beta, \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1$ and type $\lambda+1$.

Theorem 1.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies,

$$
\Re\left\{\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\lambda \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\lambda+\frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta}, z \in E
$$

then

$$
\Re\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda}\right\}>\beta, \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1
$$

The main objective of the present article is to extend Theorem 1.1 by including the range $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in the set of values taken by the parameter $\beta$.

Before we state and prove our main result, we inform the reader that, in 2017, a general class of functions which contains starlike functions of order $\beta$, functions of bounded turning of order $\beta$ and Bazilevic functions of order $\beta$ is defined and characterized by Jimoh and Babalola [4] and a recent paper of Babalola and Jimoh [2] constitutes another interesting reading related to this general class.

## 2 Main Result

To prove our main result, we shall need the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1 (Miller and Mocanu [5]). Let $\Omega$ be a set in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and suppose that $\phi$ is a mapping from $C^{2} \times \mathbb{E}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $\phi(i x, y ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{E}$ and for all real $x, y$ such that $y \leq-\frac{n\left(1+x^{2}\right)}{2}$. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ and $\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$, then $\Re\{p(z)\}>0$ in $\mathbb{E}$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{E}$ and

$$
\alpha(\beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right\}>\alpha(\beta), z \in \mathbb{E} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\Re\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}>\beta
$$

where $0<\beta<1$.
Proof. Define a function $p$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}=\beta+(1-\beta) p(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, clearly, $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{E}$ and $p \in \mathcal{H}[1,1]$.
A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) & =\frac{(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta+(1-\beta) p(z)} \\
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) & =1+\frac{(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta+(1-\beta) p(z)} \\
& =\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi(u, v ; z)=1+\frac{(1-\beta) v}{\beta+(1-\beta) u}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{D}=\left[\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\frac{-\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\right] \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{E}$.
Let $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}, v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$, where $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ are reals with $v_{1} \leq-\frac{1+u_{2}^{2}}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Re \psi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1} ; z\right)=1+\frac{(1-\beta) \beta v_{1}}{\beta^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2} u_{2}^{2}} \\
\leq 1-\frac{(1-\beta) \beta\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right)}{2\left[\beta^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2} u_{2}^{2}\right]} \\
=\phi\left(u_{2}\right)(s a y) \\
\leq \max \phi\left(u_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
\Omega=\{w: \Re w>\alpha(\beta)\}
$$

From (2.1), $\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$. Further, since the function $\phi\left(u_{2}\right)$ is symmetrical with respect to $u_{2}$, so it is sufficient to consider its behaviour for $u_{2} \geq 0$. We can readily see that

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=\frac{\beta(1-\beta)(1-2 \beta) u_{2}}{\left[\beta^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2} u_{2}^{2}\right]^{2}}
$$

As $0<\beta<1$, so $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)>0$ for $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right) \leq 0$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1$. Thus $\phi\left(u_{2}\right)$ is an increasing function of $u_{2}$ for $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and decreasing function of $u_{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1$. Behaviour of $\phi\left(u_{2}\right)$ for $\beta=0.25$ and $\beta=0.75$ is depicted in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively (using MATHEMATICA version 12.0).
Therefore,

$$
\max \phi\left(u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\lim _{u_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{2}\right)=\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}  \tag{2.3}\\ \phi(0)=\frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

Thus $\Re \psi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1} ; z\right) \leq \alpha(\beta)$, where $\alpha(\beta)$ is given by (2.3). Hence, $\psi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1} ; z\right) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, $\Re p(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Finally, by (2.2),

$$
\Re\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}>\beta, 0<\beta<1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$



Graph of $\phi\left(u_{2}\right)$ at $\beta=0.25$
Figure 1


Remark 2.3. Theorem 1.1 corresponds to $\mu=-\lambda$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1$ in Theorem 2.2.
Selecting $\mu=0$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be in $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$ for $0<\beta<1$. (Compare with Corollary 2.5, [1]).

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\alpha(\beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha(\beta)
$$

then

$$
\Re\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\beta, 0<\beta<1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Taking $\mu=1$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be in $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)$ for $0<\beta<1$. (Compare with Corollary 2.2, [1]).

Corollary 2.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\alpha(\beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
\Re\left\{2+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha(\beta)
$$

then

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\beta, 0<\beta<1, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Putting $\mu=-1$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\alpha(\beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha(\beta),
$$

then

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{f^{\prime}(z) f(z)}{z}\right\}>\beta, 0<\beta<1 .
$$

Therefore, $f$ is Bazilevic function of order $\beta$ and type 2 in $\mathbb{E}$.
Choosing $\mu=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following. (Compare with Corollary 2.3, [1]).
Corollary 2.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\alpha(\beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2-3 \beta}{2(1-\beta)} & \text { for } 0<\beta<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3 \beta-1}{2 \beta} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

If

$$
\Re\left[2\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right]>2 \alpha(\beta), z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

then

$$
\Re \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)}>\beta
$$

Therefore, $f$ is Bazilevic of order $\beta$ and type $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\mathbb{E}$.
Example 2.8. If we take $\beta=\frac{1}{4}$ in Corollary 2.7, we have the following result. If

$$
\Re\left[2\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]>\frac{2}{3}, z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

then

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z^{1 / 2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1 / 2}(z)}\right)>\frac{1}{4} .
$$

Remark 2.9. We are unable to include the value 0 in the set of values taken by $\beta$ in Theorem 2.2 as in that case $\alpha(0)=1$ and this violates the normalization condition in inequality (2.1).
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