

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN 2-INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Hassan Ranjbar and Asadollah Niknam

Communicated by Harikrishnan Panackal

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 46L08; Secondary 15A39, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: 2-inner product space, Hilbert space, fixed point.

Abstract This paper aims to establish some results on the structure of fixed point sets for mappings in the 2-inner product spaces. To this end, we employ some well-known techniques of 2-inner product spaces.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of 2-metric spaces, linear 2-normed spaces, and 2-inner product spaces, introduced by Gähler [4]. After that, several authors like White [11], Lewandowska [8, 9], Freese [3], and Diminnie [2], worked on possible applications of Metric Geometry, Functional Analysis, and Topology in these settings. Some other related results are also discussed in [1, 5, 7, 10].

Let \mathcal{X} be a linear space of dimension greater than 1 over the field $K = \mathbb{R}$ of real numbers, or the field $K = \mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Suppose that $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is a K -valued function defined on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(I1) $\langle x, x | z \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x, x | z \rangle = 0$ if and only if x and z are linearly dependent;

(I2) $\langle x, x | z \rangle = \langle z, z | x \rangle$;

(I3) $\langle y, x | z \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y | z \rangle}$;

(I4) $\langle \alpha x, y | z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y | z \rangle$ for any scalar $\alpha \in K$;

(I5) $\langle x + x', y | z \rangle = \langle x, y | z \rangle + \langle x', y | z \rangle$.

$\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is called a 2-inner product on \mathcal{X} and $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is called a 2-inner product space (or 2-pre-Hilbert space). Some basic properties of 2-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ can be immediately obtained as follows:

(P1) $\langle 0, y | z \rangle = \langle x, 0 | z \rangle = \langle x, y | 0 \rangle = 0$;

(P2) $\langle x, \alpha y | z \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle x, y | z \rangle$;

(P3) $\langle x, y | \alpha z \rangle = |\alpha|^2 \langle x, y | z \rangle$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha \in K$.

By the above properties, we can prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\langle x, y | z \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x | z \rangle \langle y, y | z \rangle.$$

The most standard example for a linear 2-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is defined on \mathcal{X} by

$$\langle x, y | z \rangle := \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. In [2], it is shown that, in any given 2-inner product space $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$, we can define a function

$$\|x, z\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x | z \rangle} \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x, z \in \mathcal{X}$. It is easy to see that this function satisfies the following conditions:

(N1) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent;

(N2) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$;

(N3) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$ for any real number α ;

(N4) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$.

Any function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ defined on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and satisfying the above conditions is called a 2-norm on \mathcal{X} and $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called linear 2-normed space. Some of the fundamental properties of 2-norms are that they are non-negative and $\|x, y + \alpha x\| = \|x, y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Whenever a 2-inner product space $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is given, we consider it as a linear 2-normed sapce $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ with the 2-norm defined by (1.1).

An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be bounded, if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|Ax, y\| \leq M \|x, y\| ,$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

The norm of the b -operator is defined by

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup \{ \|Ax, b\| : \|x, b\| = 1 \} . \tag{1.2}$$

where b is fix element in \mathcal{X} . It is easy to check that (1.2) is equivalent with

$$\sup \{ |(Ax, y|b)| : \|x, b\| = \|y, b\| = 1 \} .$$

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be a 2-inner product space, and $z \in \mathcal{X}$. A sequence $\{x_n, z\}$ in \mathcal{X} is a z -Cauchy sequence if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N > 0, \text{ s.t } \forall m, n \geq N \ 0 < \|x_m - x_n, z\| < \varepsilon .$$

Meanwhile, \mathcal{X} is called z -Hilbert if every z -Cauchy sequence is converges in the semi normed $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, z\|)$.

2 Main Results

Let C be a non empty closed convex subset of a 2-inner product space. A mapping $A : C \rightarrow C$ is called non spreading if

$$2\|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \leq \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \|Ay - x, z\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

We say $A : C \rightarrow C$ is an asymptotic non-spreading mapping if there exists two functions $\alpha : C \rightarrow [0, 2)$ and $\beta : C \rightarrow [0, k]$, $k < 2$, such that

(a) $2\|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \leq \alpha(x) \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - x, z\|^2$, for all $x, y, z \in C$.

(b) $0 < \alpha(x) + \beta(x) \leq 2$, for all $x \in C$.

It is necessary to remark that

(a) If $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = 1$, for all $x \in C$, then A is a non-spreading mapping.

(b) If $\alpha(x) = \frac{4}{3}$ and $\beta(x) = \frac{2}{3}$ for all $x \in C$, then A is a AJ -2 mapping.

Let \mathcal{X} be a real 2-inner product space and let C be a non empty subset of \mathcal{X} . A mapping $A : C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called symmetric generalized hybrid if there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha\|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 + \beta \left(\|x - Ay, z\|^2 + \|Ax - y, z\|^2 \right) + \gamma\|x - y, z\|^2 + \delta \left(\|x - Ax, z\|^2 + \|y - Ay, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Such mapping A is also called $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ - symmetric generalized hybrid.

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be a non-empty closed convex subset of a 2-inner product space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. Let α, β be the same as in the above. Then $A : C \rightarrow C$ is an asymptotic non spreading mapping if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha(x) - \beta(x)}{2 - \beta(x)} \|Ax - x, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|}{2 - \beta(x)} \frac{2 \langle Ax - x, \alpha(x)(x - y) + \beta(x)(Ay - x) | z \rangle}{2 - \beta(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have that for $x, y, z \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 & \leq \alpha(x) \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - x, z\|^2 \\ & = \alpha(x) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2\alpha(x) \langle Ax - x, x - y | z \rangle \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\beta(x) \langle Ay - Ax, Ax - x | z \rangle + \beta(x) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 \\ & = (\alpha(x) + \beta(x)) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + 2\alpha(x) \langle Ax - x, x - y | z \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta(x) \langle Ay - x + x - Ax, Ax - x | z \rangle \\ & = (\alpha(x) - \beta(x)) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + \langle Ax - x, 2\alpha(x)(x - y) \\ & \quad + 2\beta(x) Ay - x | z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that desired result. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ be a real 2-inner product space, let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of \mathcal{X} and let A be an $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping from C into itself such that the conditions*

- (i) $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma \geq 0$
- (ii) $\alpha + \beta + \delta > 0$
- (iii) $\delta \geq 0$

holds. Then A has a fixed point if and only if there exists $y \in C$ such that $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$ is bounded. In particular, a fixed point of A is unique in the case of $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$ on the condition.

Proof. Assume that A has a fixed point y . Then $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\} = \{y\}$ and hence $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$ is bounded. Conversely, suppose that there exists $y \in C$ such that $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$ is bounded. Since A is an $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping of C into itself, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \|Ax - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 + \beta \left(\|x - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 + \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \gamma \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 + \delta \left(\|x - Ax, z\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|A^n y - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x \in C$. Since $\{A^n y\}$ is bounded, we can apply Banach limit μ to both sides of the inequality. Since $\mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 = \mu_n \|Ax - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2$ and $\mu_n \|x - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 = \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha + \beta) \mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 + (\beta + \gamma) \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \delta \left(\|x - Ax, z\|^2 + \mu_n \|A^n y - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 &= \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2\mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n, z) \\ &\quad + \mu_n \|x - A^n x, z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n |z) \\ + (\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma) \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 + \delta \mu_n \|A^n x - A^{n+1} x, z\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (i) and (iii) we have

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n, z) \leq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since there exists $p \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\mu_n (w, A^n y, z) = (w, p, z)$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{X}$. We have from (2.1) that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n (Ax - x, x - p, z) \leq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Since C is closed and convex, we have that

$$p \in \overline{co} \{A^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C.$$

Putting $x = p$ we obtain from (2.2) that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ap - p, z\|^2 \leq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We have from (ii) that $\|Ap - p, b\|^2 \leq 0$. This implies that p is a fixed point in A .

New suppose that $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$. Let p_1 and p_2 be fixed points of A . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|Ap_1 - Ap_2, z\|^2 + \beta \left(\|p_1 - Ap_2, z\|^2 + \|Ap_1 - p_2, z\|^2 \right) \\ + \gamma \|p_1 - p_2, z\|^2 + \delta \left(\|p_1 - Ap_1, z\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|p_2 - Ap_2, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma) \|p_1 - p_2, z\|^2 \leq 0$. We have from $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$ that $p_1 = p_2$. Therefore a fixed point of A is unique. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ be a real 2-inner product space, let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of \mathcal{X} and let A be an $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping from C into itself such that the conditions*

(i) $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma \geq 0$

(ii) $\alpha + \beta + \delta > 0$

(iii) $\delta \geq 0$

holds. Then A has a fixed. In particular, a fixed point of A is unique in the case of $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$ on the condition.

Following theorem is the generalization of the Banach contraction principle in the 2-inner product space, involving four rational square terms in the inequality.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a self mapping satisfying the following condition*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 &\leq a_1 \frac{\|y - Ay, z\|^2 (1 + \|x - Ax, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_2 \frac{\|x - Ax, z\|^2 (1 + \|y - Ay, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_3 \frac{\|x - Ay, z\|^2 (1 + \|y - Ax, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_4 \frac{\|y - Ax, z\|^2 (1 + \|x - Ay, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_5 \|x - y, z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $x \neq y$, where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 are non negative reals with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 4a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Therefore, A has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{X} .

Proof. For some $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of iterates of A as follows

$$x_1 = Ax_0, z, x_2 = Ax_1, z, x_3 = Ax_2, z, \dots, x_{n+1} = Ax_n, z$$

for $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

Now, we show that $\{x_n, z\}$ is a z -Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. For this, consider

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 = \|Ax_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2.$$

Then by using the hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 &\leq a_1 \frac{\|x_{n-1} - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2 (1 + \|x_n - Ax_n, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_2 \frac{\|x_n - Ax_n, z\|^2 (1 + \|x_{n-1} - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_3 \frac{\|x_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2 (1 + \|x_{n-1} - Ax_n, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_4 \frac{\|x_{n-1} - Ax_n, z\|^2 (1 + \|x_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - a_2 - 2a_4) \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \\ &+ (1 - a_1 - a_2) \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2 \\ &\leq \left((a_1 + 2a_4 + a_5) + a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2 \right) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Resulting in

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \leq p(n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2$$

where

$$p(n) = \frac{(a_1 + 2a_4 + a_5) + a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2}{(1 - a_2 - 2a_4) + (1 - a_1 - a_2) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2}$$

for $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Clearly $p(n) < 1$, for all n as $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 4a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Repeating the same argument, we find some $S < 1$, such that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \leq \lambda^n \|x_1 - x_0, z\|^2$$

where $\lambda = S^2$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $\{x_n, z\}$ is a z -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} . So by the completeness of \mathcal{X} there exists a point $\mu \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also $\{x_{n+1}, z\} = \{Ax_n, z\}$ is sub sequence of $\{x_n, z\}$ converges to the same limit μ . Since A is continuous, we obtain

$$A(\mu) = A\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \mu.$$

Hence μ is a fixed point of \mathcal{X} . Now, we show the uniqueness of μ . If A has another fixed point γ and $\mu \neq \gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|p - p', z\|^2 &= \|Ap - Ap', z\|^2 \\ &\leq a_1 \frac{\|p' - Ap', z\|^2 (1 + \|p - Ap, z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', b\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_2 \frac{\|p - Ap, z\|^2 (1 + \|p' - Ap', z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_3 \frac{\|p - Ap', z\|^2 (1 + \|p' - Ap, z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_4 \frac{\|p' - Ap, z\|^2 (1 + \|p - Ap', z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_5 \|p - p', z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which in turn, implies that

$$\|p - p', z\|^2 \leq (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \|p - p', z\|^2.$$

This gives a contradiction, for $a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Thus p is a unique fixed point of A in \mathcal{X} . \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Y. J. Cho, P. C. S. Lin, S. S. Kim, and A. Misiak, *Theory of 2-inner product spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2001.
- [2] C. Diminnie, *2-inner product spaces*. *Demonstratio Math.*, **6** (1973): 525-536.
- [3] R. W. Freese, S. S. Dragomir, Y. J. Cho, and S. S. Kim, *Some companions of Gruss inequality in 2-inner product space and applications for determinantal integral inequalities*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **20**(3) (2005): 487-503.
- [4] S. Gähler, *Linear 2-normierte Räume*, *Math. Nachr.*, **28** (1965): 1-45.
- [5] P. Harikrishnan, H. R. Moradi, and M. E. Omidvar, *Numerical radius inequalities in 2-inner product spaces*, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **44**(3) (2020): 415-421.
- [6] P. K. Harikrishnan, P. Riyas, and K. T. Ravindran, *Riesz Theorems In 2-Inner Product Spaces*, *Novi Sad J. Math.*, **41**(2) (2011): 57-61.
- [7] P. K. Harikrishnan, B. L. Guillen, R. P. Agarwal, and H. R. Moradi, *Strong and weak convergences in 2-probabilistic normed spaces*, *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, **5** (2021): 454-466.

-
- [8] Z. Lewandowska, *Banach-Steinhaus Theorems for bounded linear operators with values in a generalized 2-normed space*, Glasnik Mat., **38** (2003): 329-340.
- [9] Z. Lewandowska, *Bounded 2-linear operators on 2-normed sets*, Glasnik Mat., **39**(59) (2004): 303-314.
- [10] M. E. Omidvar, H. R. Moradi, S. S. Dragomir, and Y. J. Cho, *Some reverses of the Cauchy-Schwarz and triangle inequalities in 2-inner product spaces*, Kragujevac J. Math., **41**(1) (2017): 81-92.
- [11] A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., **42** (1969): 43-60.

Author information

Hassan Ranjbar and Asadollah Niknam, Department of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail: dassamankin@yahoo.co.uk

Received: November 27th, 2021

Accepted: January 14th, 2022