

A DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION AND UNIVALENCE OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Gurwinder Kaur, S. S. Billing and S. S. Dhaliwal

Communicated by R.K. Raina

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C80.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic function, Univalent function, Starlike function, Convex function, Close-to-Convex function, Differential subordination.

We are very thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

Abstract. In the present paper, we study a differential subordination and obtain certain results on close-to-convexity and univalence of normalized analytic functions.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions f , normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The members of the class \mathcal{A} have the Taylor series expansion of the following form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of normalized analytic functions which are univalent in \mathbb{E} . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike if it is univalent in \mathbb{E} and $f(\mathbb{E})$ is a starlike domain. It is well-known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let \mathcal{S}^* denote the class of starlike functions in \mathcal{A} . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be convex if it is univalent in \mathbb{E} and $f(\mathbb{E})$ is a convex domain. A necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be convex is that

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.2}$$

The class of convex functions is denoted by \mathcal{K} . It is well known that

$$f \in \mathcal{K} \Leftrightarrow z f' \in \mathcal{S}^*. \tag{1.3}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex if there is a real number α , $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and a convex function g (not necessarily normalized) such that

$$\Re \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.4}$$

In case g is normalized, the class of close-to-convex functions is denoted by \mathcal{C} . Moreover, in view of (1.3), we have: A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex if there is a real number α , $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and a starlike function ϕ such that

$$\Re \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.5}$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the class of analytic functions $q(z)$ in \mathbb{E} , normalized by the condition $q(0) = 1$. Let \mathcal{N} be the subclass of \mathcal{M} consisting of all univalent functions q for which $q(\mathbb{E})$ is a convex domain. Let \mathcal{P} denote the well-known class of analytic functions $p(z)$ with $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re(p(z)) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$.

An analytic function g said to be subordinate to an analytic function f in $|z| < 1$, written as $g \prec f$, if $g(z) = f(w(z))$, where $|w(z)| < 1$ in $|z| < 1$.

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{C}^2 \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and let p be an analytic function in \mathbb{E} with $(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \mathcal{C}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$ and let h be univalent in \mathbb{E} . Then the function p is said to satisfy first order differential subordination if

$$\Phi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \prec h(z), \Phi(p(0), 0; 0) = h(0). \tag{1.6}$$

A univalent function q is called a dominant of the differential subordination (1.6) if $p(0) = q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ and for all p satisfying (1.6). A dominant \tilde{q} that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants q of (1.6), is said to be the best dominant of the differential subordination(1.6). The best dominant is unique up to a rotation of \mathbb{E} .

Recently, Srivastava et al. [3] considered the class $R_\alpha(q)$, the class of normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{E} satisfying the differential subordination

$$f'(z) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{i\alpha})zf''(z) \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E}, \alpha \in (-\pi, \pi], \tag{1.7}$$

where the function $q(z)$ is analytic in the open disk \mathbb{E} such that $q(0) = 1$. They studied the above differential subordination for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and let $q \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f \in R_\alpha(q)$, then*

$$f'(z) \prec \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi)\xi^{\gamma-1}d\xi \prec q(z),$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$. The result is sharp.

In the present article, we generalize the subordination given in (1.7) and obtain sufficient conditions for close-to-convexity and hence univalence for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, by selecting the starlike function ϕ and the dominant q . We also study the coefficient estimate and Fekete-Szegő problem for the class under consideration.

To prove the main results, we shall use the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.2. [1] *Let h be convex in \mathbb{E} with $h(0) = a$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re\gamma \geq 0$. If the function $p(z) = a + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} and $p(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'(z) \prec h(z)$, then $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z), z \in \mathbb{E}$, where $q(z) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^n} \int_0^z h(\xi)\xi^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1}d\xi, z \in \mathbb{E}$. The result is sharp.*

Lemma 1.3. [10] *Let $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n z^n$ be an analytic function in \mathbb{E} such that, $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$. It is known that $|c_1| \leq 1, |c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$.*

Lemma 1.4. [5] *For every $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n \in S^*, |a_n| \leq n, n = 2, 3, \dots$*

Lemma 1.5. [9] *Let $\phi \in S^*$ with $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^n$, then for μ real,*

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \text{for } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \text{for } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi), q \in \mathcal{N}$ and ϕ be a starlike function. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z^2 f''(z)}{\phi(z)} - \frac{z^2 f'(z)\phi'(z)}{\phi^2(z)} \right] \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \left(\frac{z}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)' \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi)\xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Proof. Write $p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}$ and $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$, then

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic in \mathbb{E} and $\Re\gamma \geq 0$ for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'(z) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z^2f''(z)}{\phi(z)} - \frac{z^2f'(z)\phi'(z)}{\phi^2(z)} \right] \prec q(z).$$

As $q \in \mathcal{N}$, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi)\xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.2. If we choose $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\Re(q(z)) > 0$, then in view of (2.1), $\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}\right) > 0$.

Since ϕ is starlike. Therefore f is close-to-convex and hence univalent.

Setting $\phi(z) = z$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Theorem 1.1 proved by Srivastava et al. in [3].

We derive the following results by selecting the starlike functions

$$\phi(z) = 1 + z, ze^z, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z^2}$$

respectively.

Corollary 2.3. For $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $q \in \mathcal{N}$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{z}{1+z}f'(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z^2}{1+z}f''(z) - \left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right)^2 f'(z) \right] \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{z}{1+z}f'(z) + \left(\frac{z}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{1+z}\right)' \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$\frac{z}{1+z}f'(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi)\xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Corollary 2.4. For $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $q \in \mathcal{N}$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$e^{-z} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\gamma}\right) f'(z) + \frac{e^{-z}}{\gamma} zf''(z) \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently

$$e^{-z} f'(z) + \left(\frac{z}{\gamma}\right) (e^{-z} f'(z))' \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$e^{-z} f'(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi)\xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Corollary 2.5. For $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $q \in \mathcal{N}$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) (1 - z)^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma}(1 - z^2) \right] f'(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma}z(1 - z)^2 f''(z) \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently,

$$(1 - z)^2 f'(z) + \frac{z}{\gamma} [(1 - z)^2 f'(z)]' \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$(1 - z)^2 f'(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi) \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Corollary 2.6. For $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $q \in \mathcal{N}$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) (1 - z^2) - \frac{1}{\gamma}(1 + z^2) \right] f'(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma}z(1 - z^2) f''(z) \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently

$$(1 - z^2) f'(z) + \frac{z}{\gamma} [(1 - z^2) f'(z)]' \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$(1 - z^2) f'(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z q(\xi) \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Theorem 2.7. For $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^*$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{1 + e^{i\alpha}}{2} z \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)' \right] > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that,

$$f(z) = \gamma \int_0^z \frac{\phi(\eta)}{\eta^{\gamma+1}} \left[\int_0^\eta \xi^{\gamma-1} p(\xi) d\xi \right] d\eta,$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Re \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \left(\frac{1 + e^{i\alpha}}{2} \right) z \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)' \right] > 0.$$

Write

$$\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{1 + e^{i\alpha}}{2} z \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)' = p(z), \text{ where } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

or

$$\left[z^{\left(\frac{2}{1+e^{i\alpha}}\right)} \frac{z f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right]' = \left(\frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}} \right) z^{\left(\frac{2}{1+e^{i\alpha}}\right)} \left(\frac{p(z)}{z} \right).$$

Writing $\frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}} = \gamma$, we obtain

$$\left[z^{\gamma+1} \frac{f'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right]' = \gamma z^{\gamma-1} p(z)$$

or

$$z^{\gamma+1} \frac{f'(z)}{\phi(z)} = \gamma \int_0^z \xi^{\gamma-1} p(\xi) d\xi$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(z) = \gamma \int_0^z \frac{\phi(\eta)}{\eta^{\gamma+1}} \left[\int_0^\eta \xi^{\gamma-1} p(\xi) d\xi \right] d\eta.$$

Remark 2.8. In view of Remark 2.2, Condition (2.2) implies that f is close-to-convex and hence univalent in \mathbb{E} . In the next result, we study Fekete-Szegő problem for the functions satisfying condition (2.2).

3 Deductions

Selecting $q(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) as a dominant in Theorem 2.1, we have $1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} = \frac{1 - Bz}{1 + Bz}$. Therefore $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$ for $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Thus we immediately arrive at the following result:

Let ϕ be a starlike function and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{z}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z \frac{1 + A\xi}{1 + B\xi} \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus f is close-to-convex and hence univalent in \mathbb{E} .

Selecting $q(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) as a dominant in Theorem 2.1, we have $1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$. Therefore $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$. We notice that $q(z)$ is a convex function and we obtain the following result:

Let ϕ be a starlike function and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{z}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)' \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)\xi}{1 - \xi} \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Therefore f is close-to-convex and hence univalent in \mathbb{E} .

Writing $q(z) = e^z$ as a dominant in Theorem 2.1, we obtain $1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} = 1 + z$ and

$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$. Therefore $q(z)$ is a convex function and we obtain the following result:

For a starlike function ϕ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{z}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)' \prec e^z, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z e^\xi \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi \prec e^z, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus f is close-to-convex and hence univalent in \mathbb{E} .

Setting $q(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}}$ as a dominant in Theorem 2.1, a little calculation yields that

$1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} = 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{3z-1}{2\sqrt{z}(1-z)^2} \log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}(1-z)} \log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}$ and $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$. Thus $q(z)$ is a convex function and we obtain the following result.

Suppose that ϕ be a starlike function and $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{z}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)' \prec 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{\xi}}{1 - \sqrt{\xi}} \xi^{\gamma-1} \right) d\xi \prec 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}}, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$.

Selecting $\phi(z) = z$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ in above result, we obtain the following subordination result for the functions in class \mathcal{LP}_α , the class of all normalized analytic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{E} for which

$$f'(z) + \frac{1 + e^{i\alpha}}{2} z f''(z) \prec Q(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}}, Q(0) = 1, z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

This class was studied by L. Trojnar Spelina in [4].

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$f'(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z f''(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}},$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{\xi}}{1 - \sqrt{\xi}} \xi^{\gamma-1} \right) d\xi \prec 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and ϕ be a starlike function. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z^2 f''(z)}{\phi(z)} - \frac{z^2 f'(z) \phi'(z)}{\phi^2(z)} \right] \prec p(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \left(\frac{z}{\gamma} \right) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)' \prec p(z), z \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \mu) + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}| + |\mu (\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1})^2|, & \text{for } \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}| + |\mu (\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1})^2|, & \text{for } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}, \\ (\mu - 1) + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}| + |\mu (\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1})^2|, & \text{for } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3}, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{2}{1 + e^{i\alpha}}$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k z^k$ and $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty b_k z^k$.

Writing

$$p_1(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \left(\frac{z}{\gamma} \right) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right)'$$

$$= 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) (2a_2 - b_2)z + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\gamma} \right) (b_2^2 - b_3 + 3a_3 - 2a_2 b_2)z^2$$

$$+ \left(1 + \frac{3}{\gamma} \right) (4a_4 - b_4 + 2b_2 b_3 - 3a_3 b_2 + 2a_2 b_2^2 - 2a_2 b_3)z^3 + \dots$$

As $p_1 \prec p$, there exists $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k z^k$ such that $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, such that

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + 2c_1 z + \dots$$

Then $(2a_2 - b_2)(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}) = 2c_1 \Rightarrow a_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} c_1 + \frac{b_2}{2}$

and $(1 + \frac{2}{\gamma})(b_2^2 - b_3 + 3a_3 - 2a_2b_2) = 2c_2 \Rightarrow a_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+2}c_2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}b_2c_1 + b_3 \right]$

Now,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 c_1^2 + \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+2)} c_2 + \left[\frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} - \mu \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right] b_2 c_1 + \left[\frac{b_3}{3} - \mu \frac{b_2^2}{4} \right] \right|$$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} |b_3 - \kappa b_2^2| + \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} - \mu \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| |b_2| |c_1| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right| |c_1|^2 + \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+2)} \right| |c_2|$$

where $\kappa = \frac{3\mu}{4}$.

By applying Lemma 1.4 for upper bound of $|b_2|$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} |b_3 - \kappa b_2^2| + 2 \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} - \mu \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| |c_1| + \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+2} \right| |c_2| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right| |c_1|^2$$

and now by Lemma 1.3, for upper bound of $|c_2|$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} |b_3 - \kappa b_2^2| + 2 \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} - \mu \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| |c_1| + \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+2} \right| |(1 - |c_1|^2)| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right| |c_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} |b_3 - \kappa b_2^2| + 2 \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} - \mu \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| |c_1| + \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+2} \right| - \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+2} \right| |c_1|^2 + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right| |c_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Again by applying Lemma 1.3, for upper bound of $|c_1|$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} |b_3 - \kappa b_2^2| + 2 \left| \left(\frac{2}{3} - \mu \right) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| - \left| \mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we consider the following cases to apply Lemma 1.5 for the upper bound of $|b_3 - \kappa b_2^2|$,

Case 1 $\mu \leq \frac{2}{3}$

In this case, we apply Lemma 1.5 on the first term on R.H.S. of (3.2), we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}(3 - 4\kappa) + \frac{2}{3} \left| (2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|$$

or

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq (1 - \mu) + \frac{2}{3} \left| (2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|.$$

Case 2 when $\frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}$

By applying Lemma 1.5, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu)| \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|.$$

Case 3 when $\mu \geq \frac{4}{3}$ In this case, (3.2) with Lemma 1.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{3}(4\kappa - 3) + \frac{2}{3} \left| (2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right| \\ &= (\mu - 1) + \frac{2}{3} \left| (2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| -\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Compiling all the above cases, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \mu) + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}| + \left| \mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|, & \text{for } \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}; \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu)| \left| \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right| + \left| \mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|, & \text{for } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}; \\ (\mu - 1) + \frac{2}{3} |(2 - 3\mu) \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}| + \left| \mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right)^2 \right|, & \text{for } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

□

References

- [1] D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52**, 191–195 (1975).
- [2] H. Silverman, A class of bounded starlike functions, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **2**, 249–252 (1994).
- [3] H. M. Srivastava, D. Raducanu and P. Zaprawa, A certain subclass of analytic function defined by means of differential subordination, *Filomat* , 30:14, 3743-3757 (2016).
- [4] L. Trojnar-Spelina, Characterizations of subclasses of univalent functions, *Demonstratio Math.* **38**, 35-41 (2005).
- [5] P.L.Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin, Heidelberg Tokyo, 1983.
- [6] P. N. Chichra, New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.* , **62**, 37-43 (1977).
- [7] R. Singh and S. Singh, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.* , **106** , 145-152 (1989).
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **225** , Marcel Dekker, new York and Basel, 2000.
- [9] W. Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** 89-95 (1987).
- [10] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, Mc. Graw-Hill, Company, Inc., New York, 1952.

Author information

Gurwinder Kaur, Department of Mathematics, GSSDGS Khalsa College, 147001, Patiala, Punjab, India.
E-mail: kaurgurwinder09@gmail.com

S. S. Billing, Department of Mathematics, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, 140407, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India.
E-mail: ssbilling@gmail.com

S. S. Dhaliwal, Department of Mathematics, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Deemed University, 148106, Longowal, Punjab, India.
E-mail: sukhjit_d@yahoo.com

Received: October 04, 2019

Accepted: November 23, 2019