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#### Abstract

The Symmetric division deg index of a connected graph $G$, is defined as $S D D(G)=$ $\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}}{d_{v}}+\frac{d_{v}}{d_{u}}$ where $d_{v}$ is the degree of a vertex $v$ in $G$. In this paper, we concentrated on the graph operations like lexicographic product, symmetric difference and corona product of graphs related to the symmetric division deg index.


## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Molecular descriptors, being numerical functions of molecular structure, play a fundamental role mathematical chemistry. They are used in QSAR and QSPR studies to relate biological or chemical properties of molecules to specific molecular descriptors [3]. Topological indices, being numerical functions of the underlying molecular graph, represent an important type of molecular descriptors. Some applications related topological indices related to smart polymers are found in [11] and comparative study of topological indices ad molecular weigh of some carbohydrates are in [12]. Recently in [5], C. K. Gupta and et al., established the relations on graph operations on matrix group.

Inspired by the most successful indices of this form, such as second zagreb index,[4], Randic index [10], [15] and others, there was defined a whole family of Adriatic indices [17]. In recent times [16], D. Vukicevic revealed the set of 148 discrete Adriatic indices. They were analyzed on the testing sets provided by the International Academy of Mathematical Chemistry and it had been shown that they have good predictive properties in many cases. There was a vast research regarding various properties of this topological index.

In a new article [17], D. Vukicevic posed the open questions in his end of the paper. Stimulate from this, here we ardent one of the index specifically, SDD. This emphasizes the development of lower and upper bounds for graphs [6]. This acquires some results that are partial answer to the open queries.

Symmetric division deg index is one of the discrete Adriatic indices that is good predictor of total surface area for polychlorobiphenyls. Some results on symmetric division deg index is also found in [1].

In group theory, a nilpotent group is a group that is "almost abelian". This idea is motivated by the fact that nilpotent groups are solvable and for finite nilpotent groups, two elements having relatively prime orders must commute. The multiplicative group of upper unitriangular $n \times n$ matrices over any field $F$ is a nilpotent group of length $n-1$ [14]. Here, we discussed some relations related to cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one related to SDD.

We recall some definitions which are essential.
Definition: 1 The first Zagreb index [9] defined as,

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum d(u)^{2}=\sum_{u, v \in E(G)}[d(u)+d(v)]
$$

Definition: 2 The Symmetric division deg index of a connected graph $G$, is defined as

$$
S D D(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{\max \left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right)}{\min \left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right)}+\frac{\min \left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right)}{\max \left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right)}=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}}{d_{v}}+\frac{d_{v}}{d_{u}}=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{2}+d_{v}^{2}}{d_{u} d_{v}}
$$

where $d_{v}$ is the degree of a vertex $v$ in $G$.
The Composition (also called lexicographic product [7]) $G=G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]$ of graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with disjoint vertex sets $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and edge sets $E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $E\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is adjacent with $\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ whenever $u_{i}$ is adjacent with $u_{k}$, or $u_{i}=u_{k}$ and $v_{j}$ is adjacent with $v_{l}$.

In [2], the Cartesian product $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ of graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ has the vertex set $V\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ $=V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)$ is an edge of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ if $u_{i}=u_{k}$ and $v_{j} v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$, or $u_{i} u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $v_{j}=v_{l}$.

For given graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ we define their Corona product $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ as the graph obtained by taking $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|$ copies of $G_{2}$ and joining each vertex of the i-th copy with vertex $v_{i} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Obviously, $\left|V\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left(1+\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\right)$ and $\left|E\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right)\right|=\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|+\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left(\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\right)$ [4].

A sum $G_{1}+G_{2}$ of two graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with disjoint vertex sets $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the graph on the vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and the edge set
$E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right) \cup\left\{u v \mid u \in V\left(G_{1}\right), v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. Hence, the sum of two graph is obtained by connecting each vertex of one graph to each vertex of the other graph, while keeping all edges of both graph.[18].

The Symmetric difference [8] $G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$ of two graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is the graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and
$E\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mid u_{1} v_{1} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)\right.$ or $u_{2} v_{2} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$ but not both $\}$.
Obviously,
$\left|E\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right)\right|=\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|^{2}-4\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$
$d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}(u, v)=\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}(u)+\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| d_{G_{2}}(v)-2 d_{G_{1}}(u) d_{G_{2}}(v)$.
Some graph operations on Harmonic index are found in [13]. Motivated from this, in this paper, we concentrate on graph operations like join, corona product, cartesian product, composition and symmetric difference of graph are established.

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we established the graph operations for SDD index.
Theorem 2.1. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two connected graph with order $n_{1}, n_{2}$, size $m_{1}, m_{2}$, maximum degree $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ and minimum degree $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D D\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right) & \leq \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\left(2 m_{2} n_{2} \Delta_{1}+M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{n_{2}^{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\left(2 m_{1} \Delta_{2}+n_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equality hold only if graph is regular.

Proof. Let $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n_{2}}\right\}$ be a set of vertex for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. By the Definition of the composition of two graph one can see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)\right| & =\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \\
d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}(u, v) & =\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}(u)+d_{G_{2}}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S D D\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right),\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \neq\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)} \frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right), j \neq l} \frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right), i \neq k} \frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{u_{i} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)} \frac{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}+\frac{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{v_{j} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \sum_{v_{l} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \frac{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}+\frac{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)} \\
& =n_{1} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)} \frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}+\frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)} \\
& +n_{2}{ }^{2} \sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}+\frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq n_{1} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)} \frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}+\frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}} \\
& +n_{2}^{2} \sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+\Delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}+\frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+\Delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}} \\
& =\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left(2 n_{2} \Delta_{1}+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{n_{2}^{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}} \sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}\left(2 \Delta_{2}+n_{2}\left(d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\left(2 m_{2} n_{2} \Delta_{1}+M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{n_{2}^{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\left(2 m_{1} \Delta_{2}+n_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=4 n^{2}(n+1) \text { for } n \geq 7
$$

Proof. Result is directly from the theorem 2.1. Since For $n \geq 7$, cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one with $n$ vertices has $2 n$ edges and degree of each vertices is 4 .
Also $M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)=16 n$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two connected graph with order $n_{1}, n_{2}$ and size $m_{1}, m_{2}$ respectively. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \leq \frac{2\left(\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}\right)}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\left(n_{1} m_{2}+n_{2} m_{1}\right)
$$

## Equality hold only if graph is regular.

Proof. Let $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n_{2}}\right\}$ be a set of vertex for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. By the Definition of the cartesian product of two graph one can see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \\
d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u, v) & =d_{G_{1}}(u)+d_{G_{2}}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D D\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right),\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \neq\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}\left[\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right), v_{j} v_{v} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right) \in E\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right), u_{i} u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{u_{i} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{v_{j} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\frac{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}\right] \\
& \leq n_{1} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}+\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\right] \\
& +n_{2} \sum_{u_{i, u u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}}\left[\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}+\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\right] \\
& =2 n_{1} m_{2}\left[\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\right]+2 n_{2} m_{1}\left[\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\right] \\
& =2\left[\frac{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}\right]\left(n_{1} m_{2}+n_{2} m_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality hold only if graph is regular.
Corollary 2.4. Let $G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)=8 n^{2} \text { for } n \geq 7
$$

Theorem 2.5. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $G_{i}$ be a graph of minimum degree $\delta_{i}$, maximum degree $\Delta_{i}$,order $n_{i}$ and size $m_{i}$. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right) \leq 2 m_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right)+2 m_{2} n_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{2}+1}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{2}+1}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Equality hold only if graph is regular.
Proof. The edges of $G_{1} o G_{2}$ are partitioned into three subsets $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left\{e \in E\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right), e \in E\left(G_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& E_{2}=\left\{e \in E\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right), e \in E\left(G_{2 i}\right), i=1,2 \ldots\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\right\} \\
& E_{3}=\left\{e \in E\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right), e=u v, u \in V\left(G_{2 i}\right), i=1,2 \ldots\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \text { and } v \in V\left(G_{1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $u$ is a vertex of $G_{1} o G_{2}$, then

$$
d_{G_{1} o G_{2}}(u)= \begin{cases}d_{G_{1}}(u)+\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| & \text { if } u \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \\ d_{G_{2}}(u)+1 & \text { if } u \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2\}$ and let $G_{1} o G_{2}=(V, E)$
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D D\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right) & =\sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1} o G_{2}}(u)}{d_{G_{1} o G_{2}}(v)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} o G_{2}}(v)}{d_{G_{1} o G_{2}}(u)}\right) \\
& =Q_{1}+Q_{2}+Q_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\sum_{u v \in E_{1}}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{1}}(v)+n_{2}}+\frac{d_{G_{1}}(v)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{u v \in E_{1}}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}+\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right)=2 m_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) \\
Q_{2} & =n_{1} \sum_{u v \in E_{2}}\left(\frac{d_{G_{2}}(u)+1}{d_{G_{1}}(v)+1}+\frac{d_{G_{1}}(v)+1}{d_{G_{1}}(u)+1}\right) \\
& \leq n_{1} m_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{2}+1}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{2}+1}\right)=2 n_{1} m_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{2}+1}\right) \\
Q_{3} & =\sum_{u v \in E_{3}, u \in V_{1} a n d v \in V_{2}}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{2}}(v)+1}+\frac{d_{G_{2}}(v)+1}{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}\right) \\
& \leq n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{2}+1}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $Q_{1}$ to $Q_{3}$ in $S D D\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right) \leq 2 m_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right)+2 m_{2} n_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{2}+1}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{2}+1}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+1}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} o G_{2}\right)=4 n^{2}+4 n+n^{2}\left(\frac{n^{2}+8 n+41}{5 n+20}\right) \text { for } n \geq 7
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two connected graph with order $n_{1}, n_{2}$ and size $m_{1}, m_{2}$ respectively. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right) \leq 2 m_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right)+2 m_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+n_{1}}{\delta_{2}+n_{1}}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{2}+n_{1}}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+n_{1}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n_{2}}\right\}$ be a set of vertex for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. By the Definition of the join of two graph one can see that, if $u$ is a vertex of $G_{1}+G_{2}$, then

$$
d_{G_{1}+G_{2}}(u)= \begin{cases}d_{G_{1}}(u)+\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| & \text { if } u \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \\ d_{G_{2}}(u)+\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \text { if } u \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D D\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)= & \sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1}+G_{2}}(u)}{d_{G_{1}+G_{2}}(v)}+\frac{d_{G_{1}+G_{2}}(v)}{d_{G_{1}+G_{2}}(u)}\right) \\
= & \sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{1}}(v)+n_{2}}+\frac{d_{G_{1}}(v)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}\right)+\sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{d_{G_{2}}(u)+n_{1}}{d_{G_{2}}(v)+n_{1}}+\frac{d_{G_{2}}(v)+n_{1}}{d_{G_{2}}(u)+n_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{u \in V\left(G_{1}\right), v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}{d_{G_{2}}(v)+n_{1}}+\frac{d_{G_{2}}(v)+n_{1}}{d_{G_{1}}(u)+n_{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 m_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right)+2 m_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}+n_{1}}{\delta_{2}+n_{1}}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}+n_{2}}{\delta_{2}+n_{1}}+\frac{\Delta_{2}+n_{1}}{\delta_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.8. Let $G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=2 n^{2}+8 n \text { for } n \geq 7
$$

Theorem 2.9. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $G_{i}$ be a graph of maximum degree $\Delta_{i}$, minimum degree $\delta_{i}$ order $n_{i}$ and size $m_{i}$. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right) \leq 2\left(n_{2}^{2} m_{1}+n_{1}^{2} m_{2}-4 m_{1} m_{2}\right) \frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $V\left(G_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n_{2}}\right\}$ be a set of vertex for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively.

Consider,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S D D\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right)= \sum_{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right) \in E\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right)} \frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
&= \sum_{v_{j} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \sum_{v_{l} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
&+\sum_{u_{i} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{u_{k} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)} \frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
&-\sum_{u_{i}, u_{k} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{v_{j}, v_{l} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)} \frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \ldots \ldots(1) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

As per the definition of the Symmetric difference of a graph

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}+\frac{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{k}, v_{l}\right)}{d_{G_{1} \oplus G_{2}}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)} & =\frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+n_{1} d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)-2 d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+n_{1} d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)-2 d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)} \\
& +\frac{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right)+n_{1} d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)-2 d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{k}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{l}\right)}{n_{2} d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right)+n_{1} d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)-2 d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}+\frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \\
& =2 \frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \ldots . .(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation (1) and (2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D D\left(G_{1} \oplus G_{2}\right) \leq & n_{2}^{2} m_{1} \frac{2\left(n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right)}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \\
& +n_{1}^{2} m_{2} \frac{2\left(n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right)}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \\
& -4 m_{1} m_{2} \frac{2\left(n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right)}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \\
= & 2\left(n_{2}^{2} m_{1}+n_{1}^{2} m_{2}-4 m_{1} m_{2}\right) \frac{n_{2} \Delta_{1}+n_{1} \Delta_{2}-2 \delta_{1} \delta_{2}}{n_{2} \delta_{1}+n_{1} \delta_{2}-2 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.10. Let $G_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a cayley graph of nilpotent matrix group of length one. Then

$$
S D D\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=8 n^{2}(n-4) \text { for } n \geq 7
$$
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