# Recognition of some alternating groups by the order and the set of vanishing elements orders

#### Ali Mahmoudifar

Communicated by S. Sidki

MSC 2010 Classifications: 20D05, 20D60, 20D08.

Keywords and phrases: Finite simple group, vanishing element, element order, prime graph.

Abstract For a finite group G, an element g is called a vanishing element of G whenever there is an irreducible character  $\chi$  in  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\chi(g)=0$ . We denote by  $\mathrm{Vo}(G)$  the set of orders of vanishing elements of G. In [M.F. Ghasemabadi et al., A new characterization of some finite simple groups, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 2015], the authors put the following conjecture: Let G be a finite group and M be a finite nonabelian simple group. If  $\mathrm{Vo}(G)=\mathrm{Vo}(M)$  and |G|=|M|, then  $G\cong M$ .

In this paper, we prove that if G is a finite group such that  $|G| = |A_n|$  and  $Vo(G) = Vo(A_n)$ , where  $A_n$  is an alternating group and  $1 \le n \le 9$ , then G is isomorphic to  $1 \le n \le 9$ . In particular, the above conjecture holds for these simple groups.

#### 1 Introduction

For a finite group G, the set of irreducible characters of G is denoted by Irr(G). Also an element  $g \in G$  is called a vanishing element whenever there exists an irreducible character  $\chi$  in Irr(G) such that  $\chi(g) = 0$ . The set of vanishing elements of G and their orders are denoted by Van(G) and Vo(G), respectively. Also we denote by  $\pi(G)$  and  $\pi_e(G)$ , the set of prime divisors of the order of G and the set of element orders of G, respectively.

For  $p \in \pi(G)$ , an irreducible character  $\chi$  of G is said to be of p-defect zero if p does not divide  $|G|/\chi(1)$ . We know that if  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  is of p-defect zero, then for every element  $g \in G$  such that p divides the order of g, we have  $\chi(g) = 0$ . (see Theorem 8.17 in [5]). All further unexplained notation is standard and can be found, for instance, in [1].

In [4], the author put the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let G be a finite group and let M be a finite nonabelian simple group. If Vo(G) = Vo(M) and |G| = |M|, then  $G \cong M$ .

In [4], the above conjecture is proved for some finite simple groups. Also in [4, 7], it is proved that the alternating groups  $A_5$ ,  $A_6 \ (\cong L_2(9))$  and  $A_7$  are characterizable by the set of orders of vanishing elements. There are many results about the order of vanishing elements (for example see the references of [4]). In this paper we prove that the simple group  $A_n$  is characterizable by its order and vanishing prime graph for  $7 \le n \le 8$ . In particular, we get that Conjecture 1.1 holds for these simple groups.

#### 2 Main Results

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime number which belong to the vertex set of vanishing graph of G. If  $|G|_p = p$ , then G has an irreducible character of p-defect zero.

*Proof.* Since p is a prime number which belongs to the vertex set of vanishing graph of G, there exists an irreducible character  $\chi$  and an element  $g \in G$  such that |g| = p and  $\chi(g) = 0$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be a complex primitive root of unity. Since  $\chi(g)$  is a sum of  $\chi(1)$  p-th root of unity, we have  $\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \epsilon^{k_i}$  with  $0 \le k_i < p$ . Now,  $\epsilon$  is a root of the polynomial  $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} x^{k_i}$ . Whence h(x) is divisible by the pth cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_p(x)$ . In particular,  $p = \Phi_p(1)$  divides  $h(1) = \chi(1)$ . On the other hand  $|G|_p = p$ . Hence  $p \nmid |G|/\chi(1)$ , which implies that  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of p-defect zero, as desired.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a finite group and let p and q be two distinct prime numbers in the vertex set of the vanishing prime graph of G,  $V(\Gamma(G))$ . Also let the following conditions hold:

- a)  $|G|_p = p$ ,  $|G|_q = q$
- b) there is no edge between p and q in  $\Gamma(G)$ ,
- c)  $p \nmid (q-1)$  and  $q \nmid (p-1)$ .

Then there exists a nonabelian simple group S such that  $S \leq G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ , where  $K = O_{\{p,q\}'}(G)$ . Moreover, we have  $|S|_p = p$ ,  $|S|_q = q$  and p is not adjacent to q in both graphs  $\operatorname{GK}(S)$  and  $\Gamma(S)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K = O_{\{p,q\}'}(G)$  be the maximal normal subgroup of G whose order is not divisible by p or q. We put  $\bar{G} := G/K$ . Also let  $\bar{M}$  be an arbitrary minimal normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . By the definition of K, we deduce that  $\pi(\bar{M}) \cap \{p,q\} \neq \emptyset$ . We claim that  $\pi(\bar{M})$  contains both prime numbers p and q.

Suppose  $|\pi(\bar{M})\cap\{p,q\}|=1$ . So without loss of generality we may assume that the intersection of  $\pi(\bar{M})$  and the set  $\{p,q\}$  only contains p. Let  $\bar{P}$  be a Sylow p-subgroup of  $\bar{M}$ . By Frattini argument,  $\bar{G}=\bar{M}N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P})$ . Since  $\pi(\bar{M})\cap\{p,q\}=\{p\}$ , we get that  $q\mid |N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P})|$ . So  $\bar{G}$  contains a subgroup  $\bar{P}\rtimes\bar{Q}$ , where  $\bar{Q}$  is a Sylow q-subgroup of  $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P})$ . On the other hand by the assumption, there is no edge between p and q in  $\Gamma(G)$  (and so in  $\Gamma(\bar{G})$ ). Also by the assumption,  $|G|_p=p, |G|_q=q$ . So by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 8.17 in [5], in the prime graph of G, GK(G), p and q are nonadjacent. This implies that the subgroup  $\bar{P}\rtimes\bar{Q}$  is a Frobenius groups of order pq. Thus by the properties of Frobenius group, we conclude that  $q\mid (p-1)$ , which contradicts to our assumptions (Condition (c)).

Therefore, by the above discussion, we get that  $\pi(\bar{M})$  contains both prime numbers p and q. On the other hand, since  $\bar{M}$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ , there are some isomorphic nonabelian simple groups  $S_1,\ldots,S_k$  such that  $\bar{M}=S_1\times\cdots\times S_k$ . We know that  $\{p,q\}\subseteq\pi(\bar{M}),$   $|G|_p=p$  and  $|G|_q=q$ . Then, obviously, k=1 and so  $\bar{M}$  is isomorphic to a nonabelian simple group S.

Now we remark that  $\bar{M}$  was assumed to be an arbitrary minimal normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . So by  $|\bar{G}|_p = |\bar{M}|_p = p$ , we get that  $\bar{M}$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . Also since  $\bar{M}$  is a nonabelian simple group, we conclude that  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) = 1$ . This yields that

$$\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} := \frac{G}{O_{\{p,q\}'}(G)} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M}),$$

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A_n$  be an alternating group such that  $8 \le n \le 9$ . Also let G be a finite group with the same order and vanishing graph as alternating group  $A_n$ , i.e.  $|G| = |A_n|$  and  $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(A_n)$ . Then G is isomorphic to  $A_n$ .

*Proof.* First let L be the alternating group  $A_n$  where  $8 \le n \le 9$ . So using [1], we get that for prime numbers p=5 and q=7, we have  $|L|_p=p$  and  $|L|_q=q$  and there is no edge between p and q in the vanishing prime graph of L. Let G be a finite group such that

$$|G| = |L| = 2^6 \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 5 \cdot 7,$$

where  $\beta \in \{2,4\}$  and  $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(L)$ .

Using Lemma 2.2, we get that there exists a nonabelian simple group S such that

$$S \leq \bar{G} := \frac{G}{O_{\{5,7\}'}(G)} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S).$$

Let  $K := O_{\{5,7\}'}(G)$ . Since  $\pi(G) = \pi(L) = \{2,3,5,7\}$ , we get that  $\pi(K) \subseteq \{2,3\}$ . Also since  $\pi(S) \subseteq \pi(G)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $\pi(S) \subseteq \{2,3,5,7\}$  and  $|S|_5 = 5$  and  $|S|_7 = 7$ .

Now we investigate each possibility for the simple group S. We note that in [8], such simple group are listed. So the nonabelian simple group S is isomorphic to  $A_7$ ,  $A_8$ ,  $A_9$ ,  $A_{10}$ ,  $S_6(2)$ ,  $O_8^+(2)$ ,  $L_3(2^2)$ ,  $U_4(3)$ ,  $U_3(5)$ ,  $S_4(7)$ ,  $L_2(7^2)$  or  $J_2$ .

We remark that the order of the simple group S divides the order of G. So by considering the order of the above simple groups, we get that S is not isomorphic to  $A_{10}$ ,  $S_6(2)$  (for 2-part of |G| and |S|),  $O_8^+(2)$ ,  $U_4(3)$  (for 3-part of |G| and |S|),  $U_3(5)$ ,  $S_4(7)$ ,  $L_2(7^2)$  and  $J_2$ . Hence  $S \cong A_7$ ,  $A_8$ ,  $A_9$  or  $L_3(2^2)$ . In the following we consider the cases  $L = A_8$  and  $L = A_9$  separately.

Case 1. Let  $L=A_9$ . Let  $S\cong A_7$  or  $A_8$ , i.e.  $A_7\leq G/K\leq S_7$  or  $A_8\leq G/K\leq S_8$ . So either  $|G|=2^3\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot \epsilon\cdot |K|$  or  $|G|=2^6\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot \epsilon\cdot |K|$ , where  $\epsilon=1$  or 2. On the other hand by the assumption  $|G|=|L|=2^6\cdot 3^4\cdot 5\cdot 7$ . This implies that  $|K|_3=3^2$ . We note that  $7\in \pi(S)$  and 3 and 7 are nonadjacent in  $\Gamma(G)$ . On the other hand by Lemma 2.1, every element  $g\in G$  such that 7 divides the order of g, is a vanishing element of G and so |g| belongs to Vo(G). This shows that G does not contain any element order  $3\cdot 7$ . Let G be a Sylow 7-subgroup of G and G and G are a Sylow 3-subgroup of G. Thus by Frattini argument we get that G and G are a Sylow 3-subgroup of G. Also by the previous discussion we get that G and a Sylow 3-subgroup of G. Also by the previous discussion we get that G and so G and so similarly, we get a contradiction. Also if G and is not isomorphic to any simple group, except G and so similarly, we get a contradiction. Hence G is not isomorphic to any simple group, except G and G are a subgroup of G and so similarly, we get a contradiction. Hence G is not isomorphic to any simple group, except G and G and

Case 2. Let  $L=A_8$ . Obviously, S is not isomorphic to  $A_9$  (3-part of |S|). Let  $S\cong A_7$ , i.e.  $A_7\leq G/K\leq S_7$ . This implies that K is a 2-group, since  $|G|=|A_8|$ . We remark that by the assumption in  $\Gamma(G)$ , S and S are adjacent. This mean that S has an element of order S is a 2-group, we get a contradiction. Let  $S\cong L_3(2^2)$ . In this case, we have |G|=|L|=|S|. Hence we get that  $G\cong L_3(2^2)$  and so  $\Gamma(A_8)=\Gamma(L_3(2^2))$ , which is a contradiction by [1]. Therefore  $S\cong A_8$  and so similar to the above case, we conclude that S is isomorphic to S, which completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let G be a finite group such that  $|G| = |A_n|$  and  $Vo(G) = Vo(A_n)$ , where  $5 \le n \le 9$ . Then  $G \cong A_n$ , i.e. Conjecture 1.1, holds for these simple groups.

## References

- [1] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Park and R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press (Oxford), (1985).
- [2] S. Dolfi, E. Pacifici, L. Sanus and P. Spiga, On the vanishing prime graph of solvable groups, *J. Group Theory* 13, 189–206 (2010).
- [3] S. Dolfi, E. Pacifici, L. Sanus and P. Spiga, On the orders of zeros of irreducible characters, *J. Algebra* **321**, 345–352 (2009).
- [4] M.F. Ghasemabadi et al., A new characterization of some finite simple groups, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, (2015)
- [5] I. M. Isaacs, Characters of Finite Groups, Academic Prees, New York, (1976).
- [6] A. V. Vasiliev, M. A. Grechkoseeva and V. D. Mazurov, Characterization of the finite simple groups by spectrum and order, Algebra and Logic 48, 385–409 (2009).
- [7] J. Zhang, Z. Li and C. Shao, Finite groups whose irreducible characters vanish only on elements of prime power order, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **9**, 114–123 (2011).
- [8] R. Kogani-Moghadam and A. R. Moghaddamfar, Groups with the same order and degree pattern, *Sci. China Math.* **55**, 701–720 (2012).

### **Author information**

Ali Mahmoudifar, Department of Mathematics, Tehran North Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: alimahmoudifar@gmail.com

Received: Februery 11, 2016.

Accepted: April 28, 2016