

# COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SET-VALUED MAPS ON MODULAR $b$ -GAUGE SPACES

Hanaa Kerim, Wasfi Shatanawi and Abdalla Tallafha

Communicated by T. Abdeljawad

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: Modular  $b$ -metric space, Pseudomodular  $b$ -metric, Modular  $b$ -gauge space, Common fixed point.

**Abstract** Ali, Dinu, and Petrescu (M. U. Ali, S. Dinu, and L. Petrescu, Existence of fixed points of set-valued maps on modular  $b$ -gauge spaces, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 82, Iss. 4, 2020, ISSN 1223-7027) introduced the notion of modular  $b$ -gauge spaces induced through the family of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics and showed the existence of fixed point on this space.

In this paper, we employ the notion of modular  $b$ -gauge spaces to prove the existence of common fixed points of set-valued maps. Precisely, we formulate and prove two different theorems. Also, we state some corollaries. Moreover, we give an example to illustrate the validity of our results.

## 1 Introduction

The Banach fixed point theorem [1] is the first fixed point in the context of fixed point theory. After that, many authors established many fixed point theorems see [2]-[15]. The notion of a  $b$ -metric space was presented by Bakhtin [16] in 1989 as a generalization of a metric space. After four years, Czerwik [17] stated a formula that defined the exact definition of a  $b$ -metric space and studied some generalizations of Banach contraction theorems [1] in the context of  $b$ -metric spaces. Recently, many authors obtained many results on the context  $b$ -metric spaces as examples see [18]-[28].

In 2010, Chistyakov [29] introduced a new space, called a modular metric space, in such a way that he added a positive parameter on the definition of metric space. Then after, the researchers covered many fixed point theorems on this new space, for examples see ([30]-[32]).

In 2017, Ali [33] expanded the notion of modular metric spaces to modular  $b$ -metric spaces. While, Frigon [34] studied the fixed point on gauge spaces. Later on, several researchers extended their study of fixed point theory on gauge spaces, for examples look that ([35]-[37]). Posteriorly, Ali et al [38] presented the notion of modular gauge spaces induced through the family of pseudo modular metrics. In 2020, Ali et al [39] defined the concept of modular  $b$ -gauge spaces induced through the family of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics and proved the existence of fixed points of set-valued maps on modular  $b$ -gauge spaces. In this article, we used the space presented by Ali et al [39] and we proved the existence of a common fixed point for multivalued maps on modular  $b$ -gauge space. Precisely, we formulate two theorems, many corollaries and we introduce an example to show the validity our of results.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present the most important definitions that will be used in our work.

**Definition 2.1.** [29] A modular metric on a non empty set  $X$  is a function  $\omega : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that will be written as  $\omega_\nu(x, y) = \omega(\nu, x, y)$ ; for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and for all  $\nu > 0$ , satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i)  $\omega_\nu(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y, \forall \nu > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_\nu(x, y) = \omega_\nu(y, x), \forall \nu > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ .

(iii)  $\omega_{\nu+\sigma}(x, y) \leq \omega_{\nu}(x, z) + \omega_{\sigma}(z, y)$ ; for all  $\nu, \sigma > 0$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Ali [33] enhanced the notion of the modular metric space to the notion of the modular  $b$ -metric space as follows:

**Definition 2.2.** [33] A modular  $b$ -metric on a non empty set  $X$  is a function  $\omega : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that will be written as  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = \omega(\nu, x, y)$ ; for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and for all  $\nu > 0$ , satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i)  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y, \forall \nu > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = \omega_{\nu}(y, x), \forall \nu > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ .
- (iii)  $\omega_{\nu+\sigma}(x, y) \leq \omega_{\frac{\nu}{s}}(x, z) + \omega_{\frac{\sigma}{s}}(z, y)$ ; for all  $\nu, \sigma > 0$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , here  $s \geq 1$  is a fixed real number.

the couple  $(X, \omega_{\nu})$  is said to be the modular  $b$ -metric space.

**Example 2.3.** [33] Consider  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = \frac{x^2+y^2-2xy}{\nu}$ . Then  $(X, \omega_{\nu})$  is a modular  $b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$  but not a modular metric space.

**Definition 2.4.** [33] A regular modular  $b$ -metric on a non empty set  $X$  is a function  $\omega : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that will be written as  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = \omega(\nu, x, y)$ ; for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and for all  $\nu > 0$ , satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i)  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ , for some  $\nu > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_{\nu}(x, y) = \omega_{\nu}(y, x), \forall \nu > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ .
- (iii)  $\omega_{\nu+\sigma}(x, y) \leq \omega_{\frac{\nu}{s}}(x, z) + \omega_{\frac{\sigma}{s}}(z, y)$ ; for all  $\nu, \sigma > 0$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , here  $s \geq 1$  is a fixed real number.

A pseudomodular  $b$ -metric on  $X$  is obtained by replacing axiom (1) of a modular  $b$ -metric with the following condition:

(4): For each  $x \in X, \omega_{\nu}(x, x) = 0, \forall \nu > 0$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $\omega_{\nu}$  be a modular  $b$ -metric on a set  $X$ . Then for given  $x, y \in X$ , the function  $0 < \nu \rightarrow \omega_{\nu}(x, y)$  is non increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

In fact if  $0 < \frac{\nu}{s} < \sigma$ , then by above definition

$$\omega_{\sigma}(x, y) \leq \omega_{\frac{\sigma-\nu}{s}}(x, x) + \omega_{\frac{\nu}{s}}(x, y) = \omega_{\frac{\nu}{s}}(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Khamsi [40] defined the concept of  $\omega_{\nu}$ -convergent sequences,  $\omega_{\nu}$ -Cauchy sequences,  $\omega_{\nu}$ -closed sets and  $\omega_{\nu}$ -complete sets in modular  $b$ -metric spaces as follows:

**Definition 2.6.** [40] Given a modular  $b$ -metric  $\omega_{\nu}$  on  $X$ , let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X_{\omega}$  and  $x \in X_{\omega}$ . Then:

- (i) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $\omega_{\nu}$ -convergent to  $x$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\nu}(x_n, x) = 0$ , for some  $\nu > 0$ .
- (ii) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $\omega_{\nu}$ -Cauchy if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\nu}(x_n, x_m) = 0$ , for some  $\nu > 0$ .
- (iii) A subset  $A$  of  $X_{\omega}$  is said to be  $\omega_{\nu}$ -complete if each  $\omega_{\nu}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $A$  is  $\omega_{\nu}$ -convergent in  $A$ .
- (iv) A subset  $A$  of  $X_{\omega}$  is said to be  $\omega_{\nu}$ -closed if it contains the limit point of each  $\omega_{\nu}$ -convergent sequence contained in  $A$ .
- (v) A subset  $A$  of  $X_{\omega}$  is said to be  $\omega_{\nu}$ -bounded if we have

$$\delta_{\omega_{\nu}}(A) = \sup\{\omega_1(x, y) : x, y \in A\} < \infty$$

The  $\Delta_b$ -condition and Fatou property are given in a modular  $b$ -metric space as follows:

**Definition 2.7.** [33] A modular  $b$ -metric  $\omega_\nu$  on  $X$  satisfies:

- (i) The  $\Delta_b$ -condition, if the following axioms hold:
  - a. for each  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfying  $\omega_\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq t^n K$  for some  $\nu > 0$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$  and  $K > 0$  is some fixed real numbers, then we have  $\omega_\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq t^n K$  for each  $\sigma > 0$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
  - b. for each  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $x \in X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\nu(x_n, x) = 0$ , for some  $\nu > 0$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\sigma(x_n, x) = 0$ , for all  $\sigma > 0$ .
- (ii) The Fatou property if for each  $\{x_n\}$   $\omega_\nu$ -convergent to  $x$  and  $\{y_n\}$   $\omega_\nu$ -convergent to  $y$ , we have  $\omega_1(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_1(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [39] Let  $\omega_\nu$  be a pseudomodular  $b$ -metric on  $X$ . Then the  $\omega_\nu$ -ball having the radius  $\sigma > 0$  with  $x \in X$  as a center is the set

$$B[x, \omega_\nu, \sigma] = \{z \in X : \forall \nu > 0, \omega_\nu(x, y) < \sigma\}.$$

**Example 2.9.** [39] Consider  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the pseudomodular  $b$ -metric  $\omega_\nu(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy}{\nu}$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $s = 2$ . Then

$$B[x_0, \sigma, 1] = \{z \in X : \forall \sigma > 0, x_0^2 + z^2 - 2x_0z < \sigma\} = \{x_0\}.$$

**Definition 2.10.** [39] A collection  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$  of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics on  $X$  is called separating if for every pair  $(x, y)$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have atleast one  $\omega_\nu \in \tau$  with  $\omega_\nu(x, y) \neq 0, \forall \nu > 0$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [39] Take a collection  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$  of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics on  $X \neq \emptyset$ . The topology  $\zeta(\tau)$  with a collection of subbases

$$B(\tau) = \{B[z, \omega_\nu, \sigma] : z \in X, \omega_\nu \in \tau \text{ and } \sigma > 0\}$$

of the balls is a modular topology induced by the collection  $\tau$  of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics.

The pair  $(X, \zeta(\tau))$  is said to be a modular  $b$ -gauge space.

Note that  $X_\tau = \{x \in X : \forall \eta \in \Lambda, \omega_\nu(x_0, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \nu \rightarrow \infty\}$ , where  $x_0$  is fixed in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [39] Take a modular  $b$ -gauge space  $(X, \zeta(\tau))$  with respect to the collection

$$\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$$

of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics on  $X$  and let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X_\tau$  and  $x \in X_\tau$ .

Then:

- (i) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $\omega_\nu$ -convergent to  $x$  if for every  $\eta \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\nu(x_n, x) = 0$  for some  $\nu > 0$ . We denote it as  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
- (ii) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $\omega_\nu$ -Cauchy if for every  $\eta \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\nu(x_n, x_m) = 0$  for some  $\nu > 0$ .
- (iii)  $X_\tau$  is said to be  $\omega_\nu$ -complete if each  $\omega_\nu$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X_\tau$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -convergent in  $X_\tau$ .
- (iv) A subset  $F$  of  $X_\tau$  is said to be  $\omega_\nu$ -closed if it contains the limit point of each  $\omega_\nu$ -convergent sequence of its elements.
- (v) A subset  $F$  of  $X_\tau$  is said to be  $\omega_\nu$ -bounded if we have

$$\delta_\tau(F) := \sup\{\omega_1(x, y) : x, y \in F, \eta \in \Lambda\} < \infty.$$

Take a separating modular  $b$ -gauge space induced through the collection of pseudomodular  $b$ -metrics  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$  on  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -convergent in  $X_\tau$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -convergent to a unique limit point.

Assume not; that is  $(x_n)$  converges to different elements, say  $x_n \rightarrow a$  and  $x_n \rightarrow b$ . Then for every  $\eta \in \Lambda$ , there are  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\sigma_1}(x_n, a) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\sigma_2}(x_n, b) = 0$ . By the triangular axiom, we obtain

$$\omega_{s_\eta \sigma_1 + s_\eta \sigma_2}(a, b) \leq \omega_{\sigma_1}(a, x_n) + \omega_{\sigma_2}(x_n, b)$$

$\forall n \in N$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{s_\eta \sigma_1 + s_\eta \sigma_2}(a, b) = 0$ . Since  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$  is separating, hence we get  $a = b$ .

In the rest of this paper, we let  $\Lambda$  be an indexed set and  $(X, \varsigma(\tau))$  be a modular  $b$ -gauge space and  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \eta \in \Lambda\}$  satisfies the Fatou property and  $\Delta_b$ -condition. Also, we let  $A$  be an  $\omega_\nu$ -bounded set which is  $\omega_\nu$ -complete of  $X_\tau$  with respect to  $\varsigma(\tau)$ . Also,  $\beta$  denoted to a mapping from  $A \times A$  into  $[0, \infty)$ . We denote the collection of nonempty  $\omega_\nu$ -closed subsets of  $A$  under the above modular  $b$ -gauge space by  $CL(A)$ .

**Notation:**

Consider the following:

- $\Phi_1$  to be the family of all functions  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that:  
 $\phi$  is continuous, nondecreasing and  $\phi(t) \leq t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- $\Phi_2$  to be the family of all functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that:  
 $\psi$  is continuous, nondecreasing and  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

### 3 Mains results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T, S : A \rightarrow CL(A)$  be two maps. Suppose that for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $\beta(x, y) \geq 1$ :

If  $u \in Tx$ , there exists  $v \in Sy$ , or if  $u \in Sx$ , there exists  $v \in Ty$  such that:

$$\omega_1(u, v) \leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x, y)), \phi(\omega_1(x, u)), \phi(\omega_1(y, v)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x, v) + \omega_1(y, u)}{2} \right) \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $C \in [0, \frac{1}{s_\eta}]$ ,  $\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ , where  $(\phi, \psi) \in (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ ,  $\psi(l) \leq \phi(l)$ ,  $\forall l > 0$ . Assume we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) There are three elements  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ .
- (ii) For  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in Tx$  and  $z \in Sy$ , we have  $\beta(z, w) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(w, k) \geq 1$ , for each  $w \in Tz$ ,  $k \in Sw$ .
- (iii) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A$ , with  $x_n \rightarrow x \in A$  and  $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1 \forall n \in N$ , then  $\beta(x_n, x) \geq 1, \forall n \in N$ .

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.

*Proof.* By hypothesis (i), there are three elements  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ . By (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x_1, x_2) &\leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x_0, x_2) + \omega_1(x_1, x_1)}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_1(x_0, x_1) + \omega_1(x_1, x_2)}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi$  is a non decreasing function and  $\psi(l) \leq \phi(l)$ ,  $\forall l > 0$ , we get

$$\omega_1(x_1, x_2) \leq C \max \{ \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) \}. \quad (3.2)$$

If we take  $\max \{ \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) \} = \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))$ , we obtain

$$\omega_1(x_1, x_2) \leq C \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) < \omega_1(x_1, x_2),$$

a contradiction. Thus

$$\max\{\phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))\} = \phi(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)).$$

From (3.2), we have

$$\omega_1(x_1, x_2) \leq C\omega_1(x_0, x_1). \tag{3.3}$$

As  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ . Then by hypothesis (ii) for  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$ , we have  $\beta(x_2, x_3) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_3, x_4) \geq 1$  for each  $x_3 \in Tx_2$ ,  $x_4 \in Sx_3$ . From (3.1), for  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  and  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  we have

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)), \phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)), \phi(\omega_1(x_2, x_3)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x_1, x_3) + \omega_1(x_2, x_2)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

By the same method, we get

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq C \max\{\phi(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)), \phi(\omega_1(x_2, x_3))\}.$$

So

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq C\omega_1(x_1, x_2). \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq C^2\omega_1(x_0, x_1).$$

Continuing this process, we construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $A$  such that  $x_{2n+1} \in Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} \in Sx_{2n+1}$  with  $\beta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq 1$  and

$$\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C^n\omega_1(x_0, x_1) \tag{3.5}$$

for each  $n \in N$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda$ . By definition of  $\Delta_b$ -condition and (3.5), we get  $\omega_\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C^n\omega_1(x_0, x_1)$  for all  $\nu > 0$  and  $n \in N$ . For each  $i, j \in N$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j(x_i, x_{i+j}) &\leq \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \omega_{\frac{1}{s_\eta^k}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} C^k\omega_1(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} C^k\omega_1(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty, \forall \eta \in \Lambda$ .

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -cauchy sequence in  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -complete, then there exist  $u^*$  such that  $\forall \eta \in \Lambda$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\nu(x_n, u^*) = 0$  for some  $\nu > 0$ . By definition of  $\Delta_b$ -condition on  $X$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\sigma(x_n, u^*) = 0$ , for all  $\sigma > 0$ .

Hypothesis (iii) yields  $\beta(x_n, u^*) \geq 1, \forall n \in N$ . From (3.1), for  $\beta(x_n, u^*) \geq 1$  and  $x_{2n+1} \in Tx_{2n}$  there is  $v^* \in Su^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x_{2n+1}, v^*) &\leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*)), \phi(\omega_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})), \phi(\omega_1(u^*, v^*)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x_{2n}, v^*) + \omega_1(u^*, x_{2n+1})}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*)), \phi(\omega_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})), \phi(\omega_1(u^*, v^*)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*) + \omega_1(u^*, v^*) + \omega_1(u^*, x_{2n+1})}{2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ .

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then Fatou property implies that

$$\omega_1(u^*, v^*) \leq C \frac{\omega_1(u^*, v^*)}{2},$$

this occurs only if  $\omega_1(u^*, v^*) = 0$ . Since the collection  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \forall \eta \in \Lambda\}$  is separating, then  $u^* = v^*$ . So  $u^* \in Tu^*$ . Thus  $T$  and  $S$  have at least a common fixed point.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $T, S : A \rightarrow CL(A)$  be two maps. Suppose that for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $\beta(x, y) \geq 1$ :

If  $u \in Tx$ , there exists  $v \in Sy$ , or if  $u \in Sx$ , there exists  $v \in Ty$  such that:

$$\omega_1(u, v) \leq a(\omega_1(x, y))\omega_1(x, y) + b(\omega_1(x, y)) [\omega_1(x, u) + \omega_1(y, v)] + c(\omega_1(x, y)) [\omega_{2s_n}(x, v) + \omega_1(y, u)] \quad (3.6)$$

$\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ , where  $a, b, c : R \rightarrow [0, 1)$  are functions, with  $b(t) + c(t) < 1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} c(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{a(s) + b(s) + c(s)}{1 - b(s) - c(s)} < 1$ ,  $\forall t > 0$ . Assume we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) There are three elements  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ .
- (ii) For  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in Tx$  and  $z \in Sy$ , we have  $\beta(z, w) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(w, k) \geq 1$ , for each  $w \in Tz$ ,  $k \in Sw$ .
- (iii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A$ , with  $x_n \rightarrow x \in A$  and  $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1 \forall n \in N$ , then  $\beta(x_n, x) \geq 1, \forall n \in N$ .

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.

*Proof.* By hypothesis (i), there are three elements  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ . By (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x_1, x_2) &\leq a(\omega_1(x_0, x_1))\omega_1(x_0, x_1) + b(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) [\omega_1(x_0, x_1) + \omega_1(x_1, x_2)] \\ &\quad + c(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) [\omega_{2s_n}(x_0, x_2) + \omega_1(x_1, x_1)] \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ .

$$\leq [a(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) + b(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) + c(\omega_1(x_0, x_1))] \omega_1(x_0, x_1) + [b(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) + c(\omega_1(x_0, x_1))] \omega_1(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\omega_1(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{a(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) + b(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) + c(\omega_1(x_0, x_1))}{1 - b(\omega_1(x_0, x_1)) - c(\omega_1(x_0, x_1))} \omega_1(x_0, x_1).$$

Let

$$K = \frac{a(\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1})) + b(\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1})) + c(\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1}))}{1 - b(\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1})) - c(\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1}))}$$

for all  $n \in N$ .

Then

$$\omega_1(x_1, x_2) \leq K\omega_1(x_0, x_1), \quad (3.7)$$

here  $K < 1$ .

Since  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  with  $\beta(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ , then by hypothesis (ii) for  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_2 \in Sx_1$ , we have  $\beta(x_2, x_3) \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x_3, x_4) \geq 1$  for each  $x_3 \in Tx_2$ ,  $x_4 \in Sx_3$ . From (3.6), for  $x_2 \in Sx_1$  and  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x_2, x_3) &\leq [a(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) + b(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) + c(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))] \omega_1(x_1, x_2) \\ &\quad + [b(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) + c(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))] \omega_1(x_2, x_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{a(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) + b(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) + c(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))}{1 - b(\omega_1(x_1, x_2)) - c(\omega_1(x_1, x_2))} \omega_1(x_1, x_2)$$

So

$$\omega_1(x_2, x_3) \leq K^2\omega_1(x_0, x_1)$$

Continuing this process, we get  $\{x_n\} \in A$  such that  $x_{2n+1} \in Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} \in Sx_{2n+1}$  with  $\beta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq 1$ . and

$$\omega_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq K^n\omega_1(x_0, x_1) \quad (3.8)$$

for each  $n \in N$ , and  $\eta \in \Lambda$ .

By definition of  $\Delta_b$ -condition and (3.8), we get  $\omega_\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n \omega_1(x_0, x_1)$ , for all  $\nu > 0$  and  $n \in N$ . For each  $i, j \in N$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j(x_i, x_{i+j}) &\leq \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \omega_{\frac{1}{s_\eta^k}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} K^k \omega_1(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} K^k \omega_1(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty, \forall \eta \in \Lambda$ .

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -Cauchy sequence in  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is  $\omega_\nu$ -complete, there exist  $u^*$  such that  $\forall \eta \in \Lambda$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\nu(x_n, u^*) = 0$  for some  $\nu > 0$ . Also,  $\Delta_b$ -condition on  $X$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\sigma(x_n, u^*) = 0$  for all  $\sigma > 0$ .

Hypothesis (iii) yields  $\beta(x_n, u^*) \geq 1 \forall n \in N$ . From (3.6), for  $\beta(x_n, u^*) \geq 1$  and  $x_{2n+1} \in Tx_{2n}$  there is  $v^* \in Su^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x_{2n+1}, v^*) &\leq a(\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*))\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*) + b(\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*)) [\omega_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \omega_1(u^*, v^*)] \\ &\quad + c(\omega_1(x_{2n}, u^*)) [\omega_{2s_\eta}(x_{2n}, v^*) + \omega_1(u^*, x_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ .

$n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then Fatou property implies that

$$\omega_1(u^*, v^*) \leq (b(s) + c(s)) \omega_1(u^*, v^*).$$

The last inequality is true only if  $\omega_1(u^*, v^*) = 0$ . Since the collection  $\tau = \{\omega_\nu, \text{ with } s_\eta \geq 1 : \forall \eta \in \Lambda\}$  is separating, then  $u^* = v^*$ . So  $u^* \in Tu^*$ . So, we conclude that  $T$  and  $S$  have at least one common fixed point. □

If we take  $T, S : A \rightarrow A, \beta(x, y) = 1$  in the above theorems we get the following corollaries:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $T, S : A \rightarrow A$  be two maps. Suppose there exists  $C \in [0, \frac{1}{s_\eta})$  such that have*

$$\omega_1(Tx, Sy) \leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x, y)), \phi(\omega_1(x, Tx)), \phi(\omega_1(y, Sy)), \psi \left( \frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x, Sy) + \omega_1(y, Tx)}{2} \right) \right\}$$

*holds for all  $x, y \in A, \forall \eta \in \Lambda$ , where  $(\phi, \psi) \in (\Phi_1, \Phi_2), \psi(l) \leq \phi(l), \forall l > 0$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.*

By taking  $a(t) = q, b(t) = c(t) = \frac{q}{2}, q \in R^*,$  and  $q \leq \frac{1}{4}$  in Theorem (3.2), then we have:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $T, S : A \rightarrow A$  be two maps. Suppose for all  $x, y \in A$ , we have*

$$\omega_1(Tx, Sy) \leq q \left[ \omega_1(x, y) + \frac{\omega_1(x, Tx) + \omega_1(y, Sy) + \omega_{2s_\eta}(x, Sy) + \omega_1(y, Tx)}{2} \right]$$

$\forall \eta \in \Lambda$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.

**Example 3.5.** Consider  $A$  the collection of real sequence with  $\omega_\nu(x, y) = \frac{1}{[\nu]} |x_n - y_n|^2$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $\nu > 0$ , such that  $x = \{x_n\}, y = \{y_n\}$ . Take  $T, S : A \rightarrow CL(A)$  the mappings defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}) &= \begin{cases} \{\frac{x_n}{3}\} & \text{for } \{x_n\}_{n \in N} \subseteq [0, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ S(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}) &= \begin{cases} \{\frac{x_n}{2}\} & \text{for } \{x_n\}_{n \in N} \subseteq [0, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\beta : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that:

$$\beta(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, \{y_n\}_{n \in N}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \{x_n\}_{n \in N}, \{y_n\}_{n \in N} \subseteq [0, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have (3.1) satisfied for  $\phi(t) = \psi(t) = t$ , for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $\beta(x, y) = 1$ , where  $C = \frac{1}{9}$ ,  $s_\eta = 2$ . Also for  $x_0 = \{n\}_{n \in N} \in A$ , we get  $x_1 = \{\frac{n}{3}\}_{n \in N} \in Tx_0$ , we have  $\{x_2 = \frac{n}{6}\}_{n \in N} \in Sx_1$ , with  $\beta(\{n\}, \{\frac{n}{3}\}) = 1$ ,  $\beta(\{\frac{n}{6}\}, \{\frac{n}{3}\}) = 1$  such that:

$$\omega_1(u, v) = \omega_1(\frac{n}{3}, \frac{n}{6}) = |\frac{n}{3} - \frac{n}{6}|^2 = \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{2}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{3}|^2 = \frac{1}{9}\phi(\omega_1(x, y)).$$

$$\omega_1(u, v) = \omega_1(\frac{n}{3}, \frac{n}{6}) = \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{2}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{3}|^2 = \frac{1}{9}\phi(\omega_1(x, u)).$$

$$\omega_1(u, v) = \omega_1(\frac{n}{3}, \frac{n}{6}) = \phi(\omega_1(y, v)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(u, v) &= \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{2}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9}|n - \frac{n}{3}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}|n - \frac{n}{3}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4}|n - \frac{n}{3}|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4}|n - \frac{n}{6}|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{2s}(x, v) = \psi\left(\frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x, v) + \omega_1(y, u)}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\omega_1(u, v) \leq C \max \left\{ \phi(\omega_1(x, y)), \phi(\omega_1(x, u)), \phi(\omega_1(y, v)), \psi\left(\frac{\omega_{2s_\eta}(x, v) + \omega_1(y, u)}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

## References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundam. Math.* 3, 133-181 (1922)
- [2] T. Abdeljawad, Meir-Keeler  $\alpha$ -contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:19, 10 pages.
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, K. Ullah, J. Ahmad and N. Mlaiki, Iterative Approximation of Endpoints for Multivalued Mappings in Banach Spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces* 2020, Article ID 2179059 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2179059>.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, N. Mlaiki, H. Aydi and N. Souayah, Double controlled metric type spaces and some fixed point results, *Mathematics* 6(12), Article ID 320 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6120320>
- [5] T. Abdeljawad, K. Abodayeh and N. Mlaiki, On fixed point generalizations to partial  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 19(5), 883-891 (2015)
- [6] A. Khan, T. Abdeljawad, W. Shatanawi, H. Khan, Fixed Point Theorems for Quadruple Self-Mappings Satisfying Integral Type Inequalities, *Filomat* 34:3 (2020), 905-917 <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2003905K>
- [7] W. Shatanawi and A. Pitea, Fixed and coupled fixed point theorems of omega-distance for nonlinear contraction, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (11) 2013, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-275.
- [8] W. Shatanawi, Z. Mustafa, N. Tahat, Some coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contraction in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2011, October 2011, Article number 68
- [9] W. Shatanawi, A. Bataihah and A. Tallafha, Four-Step Iteration Scheme to Approximate Fixed Point for Weak Contractions, *Computers, Materials & Continua CMC*, vol.64, no.3, pp.1491-1504, 2020
- [10] W. Shatanawi, On  $w$ -compatible mappings and common coupled coincidence point in cone metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25, Issue 6, June 2012, Pages 925-931
- [11] W. Shatanawi, Some fixed point results for a generalized  $\psi$ -weak contraction mappings in orbitally metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 45, Issue 4, April 2012, Pages 520-526
- [12] W. Shatanawi, E. Karapnar, H. Aydi, Coupled coincidence points in partially ordered cone metric spaces with a  $c$ -distance, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume 2012, 2012, Article number 312078
- [13] W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Common fixed point theorems for dominating and weak annihilator mappings in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2013, November 2013, Article number 271
- [14] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Z. Mustafa, N. Tahat, Theorems for Boyd-Wong-type contractions in ordered metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2012, 2012, Article number 359054



- [15] W. Shatanawi, V.C. Rajic, S.C. Radenovic, A. Al-Rawashdeh, Mizoguchi-Takahashi-type theorems in tvs-cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2012, 2012, Article number 106
- [16] A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal. Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, **30**, (1989), 26–37.
- [17] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Math Inf Univ Ostraviensis*, 1 (1993) 5-11.
- [18] A. Mukheimer, N. Mlaiki, K. Abodayeh and W. Shatanawi, New theorems on extended  $b$ -metric spaces under new contractions, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* 24(6), 870–883 (2019).
- [19] K. Kukić, W. Shatanawi, M.G. Filipović, Khan and Ćirić contraction principles in almost  $b$ -metric space, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 82, Iss. 1, 2020
- [20] W. Shatanawi, Z.D. Mitrovic, N. Hussain and S. Radenovic, On Generalized Hardy Rogers Type  $\alpha$ -Admissible Mappings in Cone  $b$ -Metric Spaces over Banach Algebras, *Symmetry* 2020, 12, 81; doi:10.3390/sym12010081
- [21] W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh, A. Mukheimer, Some Fixed Point Theorems in Extended  $b$ -metric spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 80, Iss. 4, 2018.
- [22] K. P. R. Rao, W. Shatanawi, G.N.V. Kishore, K. Abodayeh and D.R. Prasad, Existence and Uniqueness of Suzuki Type Results in  $S_b$ -Metric Spaces with Applications to Integral Equations, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications* Vol. 23, No. 2 (2018), pp. 225-245
- [23] S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, J. Rezaei Roshan, and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Matematički Vesnik*, Volume 66, Issue 2, 2014, Pages 190-201
- [24] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, W. Shatanawi, Common Fixed Points of Almost Generalized  $(\psi, \phi)_s$ -Contractive Mappings in Ordered  $b$ -Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:159, 123
- [25] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani, S. Shatanawi, H. Aydi, H. Alsamir, Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces and an application, *Mathematics*, Volume 7, Issue 2, 1 February 2019, Article number 132.
- [26] T. Kamran, M. Postolache, M. U. Ali and Q. Kiran, Feng and Liu type  $F$ -contraction in  $b$ -metric spaces with application to integral equations, *J. Math. Anal.*, 7 (2016), 18-27.
- [27] K. P. R. Sastry, M. V. R. Kameswari and D. M. K. Kiran, Common fixed point theorems for generalized TAC contraction condition in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics* Vol. 9(1)(2020), 354-370.
- [28] H. Qawaqneh, M. S. M. Noorani and W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theorems for  $(\alpha, k, \theta)$ -contractive multi-valued mapping in  $b$ -metric space and applications, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 14(1), 263–283 (2019).
- [29] V. Chistyakov, Modular metric spaces, I basic concepts, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 72, 1–14 (2010).
- [30] P. Chaipunya, C. Mongkolkeha, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Fixed-point theorems for multivalued mappings in modular metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2012 (2012), Article ID 503504, 14 pages doi:10.1155/2012/503504.
- [31] H. Rahimpour, A. Ebadian, M. Eshaghi Gordji and A. Zohri, fixed point theory for generalized quasi-contraction maps in modular metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*. 10(2014)54-60.
- [32] H. Rahimpour, A. Ebadian, M. Eshaghi Gordji and A. Zohri, Some fixed point theorems on modular metric spaces, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 37, 161–170 (2014).
- [33] M. U. Ali, Fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying contractive type condition on modular  $b$ -metric space, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.*, 10 (2017), 360-366.
- [34] M. Frigon, Fixed point results for generalized contractions in gauge spaces and applications, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (2000), 2957-2965.
- [35] C. Chifu and G. Petrusel, Fixed point results for generalized contractions on ordered gauge spaces with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2011 (2011), Article ID 979586.
- [36] M. U. Ali, T. Kamran and M. Postolache, Fixed point theorems for Multivalued  $G$ -contractions in Housdorff  $b$ -gauge space, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8 (2015), 847-855.
- [37] T. Lazar and G. Petrusel, Fixed points for non-self operators in gauge spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 6 (2013), 29-34.
- [38] M. U. Ali, T. Kamran and W. Kassab, Solution of a fractional order integral equation via new fixed point theorem in pseudomodular metric space, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, 80 (2018), 71-80.
- [39] M. U. Ali, S. Dinu and L. Petrescu, Existence of fixed points of set-valued maps on modular  $b$ -gauge spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 82, Iss. 4, 2020, ISSN 1223-7027.
- [40] M. A. Khamsi and W. M. Kozłowski, Fixed Point Theory in Modular Function Spaces, Birkhauser (2015).

**Author information**

Hanaa Kerim, Wasfi Shatanawi and Abdalla Tallafha,

Hanaa Kerim, Abdalla Tallafha, Department of Mathematics, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

Wasfi Shatanawi, Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: hna9170455@ju.edu.jo, kerim.hanaa@gmail.com

Received: November 15, 2020

Accepted: January 16, 2021