

PSEUDO-POWERFUL IDEALS IN AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN

Abdelkbir Riffi

Communicated by N. Mahdou

MSC 2010 Classifications: 13A15, 13G05.

Keywords and phrases: Pseudo-powerful ideals, pseudo-strongly prime ideals, powerful ideals, strongly prime ideals, pseudo almost-valuation domains, pseudo-valuation domains, almost-valuation domains.

Abstract Let R be an integral domain with quotient field K . In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new class of ideals that is closely related to the class of pseudo-strongly prime ideals in the sense of Badawi [6]. We define an ideal I of R to be *pseudo-powerful* if whenever $xyI \subseteq I$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x^n \in R$ or $y^n I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Introduction

Let R be an integral domain with quotient field K . We start by recalling some background material. Hedstrom and Houston [12] defined a prime ideal P of R to be *strongly prime* if whenever $xy \in P$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. If each prime ideal P of R is strongly prime, then R is called a *pseudo-valuation domain (PVD)*. For an extensive study of strongly prime ideals, see Jahani-Nezhad's paper [13]; for a survey on PVDs, see Badawi's paper [5]. D. D. Anderson and D. F. Anderson [1] defined a radical ideal I of R to be *strongly radical* if whenever $x \in K$ satisfies $x^n \in I$ for some $n \geq 1$, we have $x \in I$. Following Sato and Sugatani [14], an integral domain R is called *rooty* if each radical ideal of R is strongly radical (equivalently, each prime ideal of R is strongly radical [4, Theorem 1.8]). As a generalization of the concept of strongly prime, Badawi and Houston [7] defined an ideal I of R to be *powerful* if whenever $xy \in I$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x \in R$ or $y \in R$. They showed that a prime ideal P is strongly prime if and only if it is powerful. As another generalization of the notion of strongly prime, Badawi [6] defined a prime ideal P of R to be *pseudo-strongly prime* if whenever $xyP \subseteq P$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x^n \in R$ or $y^n P \subseteq P$ for some $n \geq 1$. If each prime ideal P of R is pseudo-strongly prime, then R is called a *pseudo-almost valuation domain (PAVD)*. Note that, a strongly prime ideal is strongly radical and pseudo-strongly prime; hence, a PVD is a rooty PAVD.

In this paper, we define an ideal I of R to be *pseudo-powerful* if whenever $xyI \subseteq I$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x^n \in R$ or $y^n I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that R itself is pseudo-powerful if and only if R is an almost valuation domain (recall from [2] that an integral domain R is said to be an almost valuation domain (AVD) if for every nonzero $x \in K$, there exists an $n = n(x) \geq 1$ with either $x^n \in R$ or $x^{-n} \in R$).

This paper consists of one section in which we present some basic properties of pseudo-powerful ideals. Among other things, we show that a prime ideal P of R is pseudo-strongly prime if and only if P is pseudo-powerful if and only if $P : P$ is an AVD, and if x is a nonunit of $P : P$, then $x^n \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$ (see Proposition 1.5). We also show that a pseudo-powerful ideal is contained in any two incomparable prime ideals; so in any maximal ideal (see Proposition 1.2). We show that the radical \sqrt{I} of a proper pseudo-powerful ideal I of R is prime (see Proposition 1.10); as a consequence, we show that either $I \subseteq P$ or $P \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for any prime ideal P of R (see Proposition 1.14). We also show that a proper pseudo-powerful ideal I of R is powerful when R is rooty (see Proposition 1.7); from which it follows that a rooty PAVD is a PVD (see Corollary 1.9). We also consider the stability of pseudo-powerful ideals under passage to homomorphic images and overrings (see Propositions 1.15 and 1.17). Our results generalize the work of Badawi [7] on powerful ideals.

Throughout this paper, R will be an integral domain with quotient field K and $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$ for I an ideal of R . An overring of R is a subring of K containing

R . In particular, if I is an ideal of R , then $I : I = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq I\}$ is an overring of R . For any undefined terminology, see [11].

1 Definitions and properties

In this section, we introduce the notion of pseudo-powerful ideals and provide their properties. If R is an integral domain and K its quotient field, then an ideal I of R is called *pseudo-powerful* if whenever $xyI \subseteq I$ with $x, y \in K$, we have either $x^n \in R$ or $y^n I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that R itself is pseudo-powerful if and only if R is an almost valuation domain (recall from [2] that an integral domain R is said to be an almost valuation domain (AVD) if for every nonzero $x \in K$, there exists an $n = n(x) \geq 1$ with either $x^n \in R$ or $x^{-n} \in R$). Another way to look at the definition of pseudo-powerful ideals is the following.

For a subset S of R , we define

$$E(S) := \{x \in K \mid x^n \notin S \text{ for each } n \geq 1\}.$$

Lemma 1.1. *Let R be an integral domain and I be an ideal of R . Then, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) I is a pseudo-powerful ideal of R .
- (ii) For every $x \in E(R)$, $x^{-n}I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$.
- (iii) For all elements a, b of R , there is an $n \geq 1$ such that either $a^n \mid b^n$ in R or $b^n \mid a^n c$ in R for every element c of I .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in E(R)$. As $x \cdot x^{-1}I = I$ and I is pseudo-powerful in R , $x^{-n}I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) To show that I is pseudo-powerful in R , let $xyI \subseteq I$ with $x, y \in K$. If $x^n \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$, we are done. Assume that $x \in E(R)$. By hypothesis, $x^{-n}I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $y^n I = x^{-n} \cdot (xy)^n I \subseteq x^{-n}I \subseteq R$, as desired.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) This is straightforward. □

Proposition 1.2. *Let R be an integral domain and I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R . If P and Q are two incomparable prime ideals of R , then $I \subseteq P \cap Q$. In particular, I is contained in every maximal ideal of R .*

Proof. Choose $a \in P \setminus Q$ and $b \in Q \setminus P$. Then $a/b \in E(R)$. But I is pseudo-powerful in R , so $(b/a)^n I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 1.1. Therefore $b^n I \subseteq a^n R \subseteq P$. As P is prime in R and $b^n \notin P$, $I \subseteq P$. By symmetry, $I \subseteq Q$, as desired. The ‘‘in particular’’ statement follows from the fact that two distinct maximal ideals are not comparable. □

It was shown [7, Theorem 1.5 (3)] that if I is a powerful ideal of R , then the prime ideals of R contained in \sqrt{I} are linearly ordered. This fact remains valid for pseudo-powerful ideals as the following result shows.

Corollary 1.3. *Let R be an integral domain and I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R . Then the set of prime ideals of R that are contained in \sqrt{I} is linearly ordered by inclusion.*

Proof. Let P, Q be two prime ideals of R properly contained in \sqrt{I} . We show that P and Q are comparable. Deny. Then, by Proposition 1.2, $I \subseteq P \cap Q$. Therefore $\sqrt{I} \subseteq P \cap Q$, a contradiction. □

It is known that if $J \subseteq I$ are ideals of R such that I is powerful, then J is also powerful [7, Proposition 1.4]. The following result generalizes this fact.

Proposition 1.4. *Let R be an integral domain. If I is a pseudo-powerful ideal of R , then any ideal $J \subseteq I$ of R is also pseudo-powerful.*

Proof. This is straightforward by Lemma 1.1 (2). □

Let R be an integral domain and K be the quotient field of R . Recall from [6] that, a prime ideal of R is called *pseudo-strongly prime* if whenever $xyP \subseteq P$ with $x, y \in K$, we have $x^n \in R$ or $y^n P \in P$ for some $n \geq 1$. If each prime ideal P of R is pseudo-strongly prime, then R is called a *pseudo-almost valuation domain* (PAVD). The following is a generalization of [7, Proposition 1.3].

Proposition 1.5. *Let R be an integral domain and P be a prime ideal of R . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) P is pseudo-strongly prime in R .
- (ii) P is pseudo-powerful in R .
- (iii) $P : P$ is an AVD, and if x is a nonunit of $P : P$, then $x^n \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) This is straightforward.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x \in E(R)$. Then, as P is pseudo-powerful in R , $x^{-n}P \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 1.1. But $x^{2n} \in E(R)$, so that $x^{-2nm}P \subseteq R$ for some $m \geq 1$, again by Lemma 1.1. Therefore $(x^{-n}P)^{2m} = x^{-2nm}P^{2m} \subseteq P$. Hence $x^{-n}P \subseteq P$; so P is pseudo-strongly prime in R by [6, Lemma 2.1], as desired.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) To show that $V := P : P$ is an AVD, let $x \in E(V)$. Then $x \in E(R)$. Therefore, by [6, Lemma 2.1], $x^{-n}P \subseteq P$ for some $n \geq 1$. Hence $x^{-n} \in V$ and V is an AVD, as desired. Now, let x be a nonunit of $x \in V$. We show that $x^n \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$. Deny. Then $x \in E(R)$. The same argument as above leads to $x^{-n} \in V$ for some $n \geq 1$, a contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x \in E(R)$. We show that $x^{-n}P \subseteq P$ for some $n \geq 1$; in which case P is pseudo-strongly prime in R , by [6, Lemma 2.1]. If $x \in E(V)$, then, as V is an AVD, $x^{-n} \in V$ for some $n \geq 1$. Therefore $x^{-n}P \subseteq P$, as desired. We may assume that $x^n \in V$ for some $n \geq 1$. Hence $x^n \in E(R)$. Thus x^n is a unit of V so $x^{-n} \in V$, that is, $x^{-n}P \subseteq P$, as desired. \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let R be an integral domain. Then, R is a PAVD if and only if some maximal ideal of R is pseudo-powerful.*

Proof. This follows directly from [6, Theorem 2.5] and Proposition 1.5. \square

Recall from [1] that a radical ideal I of R is called *strongly radical* if whenever $x \in K$ satisfies $x^n \in I$ for some $n \geq 1$, we have $x \in I$. Following [14], an integral domain R is called *rooty* if each radical ideal of R is strongly radical (equivalently, each prime ideal of R is strongly radical [4, Theorem 1.8]). Obviously, a powerful ideal of R is pseudo-powerful. We next show that the converse holds for proper ideals when R is a rooty domain.

Proposition 1.7. *Let R be a rooty domain and I a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R . Then I is a powerful ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $x \in K \setminus R$. We claim that $x^{-n}I^n \not\subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. As R is rooty, two cases are then possible:

Case 1: “ $x \in E(R)$ ”.

Since I is pseudo-powerful in R , Lemma 1.1 yields $x^{-n}I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $x^{-n}I^n \subseteq R$. Moreover $x^{-n}I^n \neq R$; otherwise $x^n \in R$, a contradiction.

Case 2: “ $x^n \in R$ is a unit for some $n \geq 1$ ”.

Then $x^{-n} \in R$ so $x^{-n}I \subseteq I \subseteq R$. Therefore $x^{-n}I^n \subseteq R$. Moreover $x^{-n}I^n \neq R$; otherwise $x^n \in I^n \subseteq I$, contradicting the fact that $I \neq R$.

Hence $x^{-n}I^n \not\subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$, as claimed. Thus $x^{-1}I \subseteq R$, again by the fact that R is a rooty domain. It follows from [7, Lemma 1.1] that I is powerful in R . The proof is complete. \square

Remark 1.8. The assumption that “ I is proper in R ” is essential for Proposition 1.7. For example, take R any nonvaluation AVD which is a PVD (for example, $R = \mathbb{Z}_p + XF[X]$ where p is a positive prime integer and $F = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Z}_p the integers mod p [3, Example 4.21 (b)]). As R is an AVD (resp., PVD), R is pseudo-powerful in R (resp., a rooty domain). However, as R is a nonvaluation domain, R is not powerful in R .

Recall from [15] that an integral domain R with quotient field K is called *root closed* if, whenever $x \in K$ and $x^n \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $x \in R$. It was shown in [6, Theorem 2.13] that a root closed PAVD is a PVD. The following result generalizes this fact (cf. [10, Proposition 7 (c)]).

Corollary 1.9. *Let R be a rooty PAVD. Then R is a PVD.*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R . As R is a PAVD, P is pseudo-strongly prime in R ; in particular, P is pseudo-powerful in R . As R is a rooty domain, Proposition 1.7 yields P is powerful in R . It follows from [7, Proposition 1.3] that P is strongly prime in R . Hence R is a PVD, as desired. \square

We next investigate the radical of a pseudo-powerful ideal (cf. [7, Propositions 1.9 and 1.12]).

Proposition 1.10. *Let R be an integral domain, K the quotient field of R and I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R . Then whenever $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ with x, y elements of K , we have $x^n \in I$ or $y^n \in I$ for some positive integer $n = n(x, y)$. In particular \sqrt{I} is prime in R .*

We have need of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.11. *Let R be an integral domain, K the quotient field of R , I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R and x, y be elements of K . If $xy \in I$, then either $x^n \in I$ or $y^n \in I$ for some $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $xy \in I$. Then $\frac{x^4}{xy} \cdot \frac{y^4}{x^2y^2} = xy \in I$. But I is pseudo-powerful in R so that either $\frac{x^{4n}}{x^n y^n} \in R$ or $\frac{y^{4n}}{x^{2n-1}y^{2n-1}} = \frac{y^{4n}}{x^{2n}y^{2n}} \cdot xy \in R$ for some $n \geq 1$. Therefore either $x^{4n} \in I$ or $y^{4n} \in I$, as desired. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.10. The first assertion follows from Lemma 1.11. The “in particular” assertion is straightforward. This completes our proof. \square

In spite of Proposition 1.10, the radical of a pseudo-powerful ideal needs not be pseudo-powerful, as the following example shows.

Example 1.12. Let \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers and $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Set $S = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}X + X^2F[[X]]$, $M = \mathbb{Q}X + X^2F[[X]]$ and $I = X^2F[[X]]$. Then I is a pseudo-powerful ideal of S . However, by [6, Example 4.8], $\sqrt{I} = M$ is not a pseudo-strongly prime ideal of S .

It is well known that the radical of a proper powerful ideal is strongly prime if and only if it is strongly radical [7, Proposition 1.12]. We next show that this fact remains valid if the hypothesis “powerful ideal” is weakened to “pseudo-powerful ideal”.

Proposition 1.13. *Let R be an integral domain, K the quotient field of R and I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R . Then, \sqrt{I} is strongly prime in R if and only if \sqrt{I} is strongly radical in R . In particular, if R is rooty, then \sqrt{I} is strongly prime in R .*

Proof. If \sqrt{I} is strongly prime in R , then \sqrt{I} is strongly radical in R . Conversely, assume that \sqrt{I} is strongly radical in R . To show that \sqrt{I} is strongly prime in R , let $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ with x, y elements of K . Then Proposition 1.10 yields $x^n \in I$ or $y^n \in I$ for some positive integer $n = n(x, y)$. But \sqrt{I} is strongly radical in R , so that $x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $y \in \sqrt{I}$, as desired. The “in particular” assertion is straightforward. This completes our proof. \square

It is known [7, Theorem 1.5 (2)] that a powerful ideal of R is comparable to any prime ideal. For pseudo-powerful ideals, we have the following:

Proposition 1.14. *Let R be an integral domain, I be a proper pseudo-powerful ideal of R and P a prime ideal of R . Then, either $I \subseteq P$ or $P \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Deny. Then, by Proposition 1.10, P and \sqrt{I} are two incomparable prime ideals of R . Therefore Proposition 1.2 yields $I \subseteq P$, a contradiction. \square

Recall that [8] that an integral domain R is called a *divided domain* if each prime ideal P of R is *divided*, in the sense that P is comparable to each ideal of R . We have been unable to determine whether “a pseudo-powerful ideal of R is comparable to any prime ideal”. Note that, this question was conjectured for PAVDs by Dobbs [9] as follows: whether “an PAVD is a divided domain” (since each proper ideal of a PAVD is pseudo-powerful by Proposition 1.4).

We next consider the stability of pseudo-powerful ideals under passage to homomorphic images (cf. [7, Proposition 1.2]).

Proposition 1.15. *Let R be an integral domain and $P \subseteq I$ be ideals of R with P prime. If I is pseudo-powerful in R , then I/P is pseudo-powerful in R/P .*

Proof. This is straightforward by Lemma 1.1 (3). □

Remark 1.16. The converse of Proposition 1.15 fails in general. For example, let F be a field, $H = F(X)$ be the quotient field of $F[X]$, $R = F + YH[[Y]]$ and $M = YH[[Y]]$. Now, R is not an AVD (since for every $n \geq 1$ neither X^n nor X^{-n} belongs to R). However, R/M is a field.

Let I be a pseudo-powerful ideal of an integral domain R . We next examine the extension of I in an overring of R (cf. [7, Propositions 1.13, 1.17 and 1.18]).

Proposition 1.17. *Let R be an integral domain, T be an overring of R and I be a pseudo-powerful ideal of R . Then, IT is a pseudo-powerful ideal of T . In particular, if $IT = T$, then T is an AVD.*

Proof. Let $x \in E(T)$. Then $x \in E(R)$. As I is pseudo-powerful in R , Lemma 1.1 (2) yields $x^{-n}I \subseteq R$ for some $n \geq 1$. Therefore $x^{-n}IT \subseteq T$ so that IT is pseudo-powerful in T , again by Lemma 1.1 (2), as desired. The “in particular” assertion is straightforward. This completes our proof. □

References

- [1] D. D. Anderson and D. F. Anderson, *Multiplicatively closed subsets of fields*, Houston J. Math. **13** (1987), 1–11.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, *Almost Bézout domains*, J. Algebra **142** (1991), 285–309.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On n -semiprimary ideals and n -pseudo valuation domains*, Comm. Algebra **49** (2021), 500–520.
- [4] D. F. Anderson and J. Park, *Rooty and root-closed domains*, Advances in Commutative Ring Theory, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 205, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 1999, pp. 87–99.
- [5] A. Badawi, *Pseudo-valuation domains: A survey*, Proceedings of the Third Palestinian International Conference on Mathematics, Word Scientific Pub., New York, 2002, pp. 38–59.
- [6] A. Badawi, *On pseudo-almost valuation domains*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), 1167–1181.
- [7] A. Badawi and E. Houston, *Powerful ideals, strongly primary ideals, almost pseudo-valuation domains, and conducive domains*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 1591–1606.
- [8] D. E. Dobbs, *Divided rings and going-down*, Pacific J. Math. **67** (1976), 353–363.
- [9] D. E. Dobbs, *Pseudo-almost valuation domains are quasilocal going-down domains, but not conversely*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **57** (2008), 119–124.
- [10] D. E. Dobbs, G. Picavet, and M. Picavet-L’Hermitte, *On a new class of integral domains with the portable property*, Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions, Springer, 2014, pp. 119–132.
- [11] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Queen’s Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 90, Queen’s University, Ontario, 1992.
- [12] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Houston, *Pseudo-valuation domains*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 137–147.
- [13] R. Jahani-Nezhad, *The dual of a strongly prime ideal*, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. **5** (2010), 19–26.
- [14] J. Sato and T. Sugatani, *On the radical ideal of seminormal rings*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), 441–451.
- [15] P. Sheldon, *How changing $D[[X]]$ changes its quotient field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **159** (1971), 223–244.

Author information

Abdelkbir Riffi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco.
E-mail: riffiabdelkbir@gmail.com

Received: February 24, 2021

Accepted: September 28, 2021