A CLASSIFICATION AND APPLICATIONS OF PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENT ELEMENTS OF COMPLEX CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$

M'hamed Aourhebal

Communicated by Najib Mahdou

MSC 2010 Classifications: Primary 16G99, secondary 15A66.

Keywords and phrases:Clifford algebras, semi-simple algebras, primitive idempotent element, representation, minimal left ideal, Rota-Baxter operator.

I would like to give my sincere thanks to Professor Malika Ait Ben Haddou for her helpful discussions and encouragements, and Professor Mohammed Rouyane for his support and guidance.

Abstract Using its semi simplicity and its matrix representation, we will give a classification of primitive idempotent elements of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ which we use to make some examples of Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. A study of Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ is given.

1 Introduction

The notion of Clifford algebra was invented by William Kingdon Clifford (1845-1879). The first occurrence of the result was issued in a talk in 1876, which was published posthumously in 1882. In mathematics, a Clifford algebra is an algebra generated by a vector space with a quadratic form, and it is a unital associative algebra. As *F*-algebras, they generalize the real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions and several other hypercomplex number systems [6]. The theory of Clifford algebras is intimately connected with the theory of quadratic forms and orthogonal transformations. It is well-known that Clifford algebras are defined by symmetric bilinear forms [1, 12, 5]. Given a symmetric bilinear form *B* on a vector space *E*, one defines the Clifford algebra Cl(E, B) to be the associative algebra generated by the elements of *E*, with relations vu + uv = 2B(u, v), $u, v \in E$. If B = 0 this is just the exterior algebra $\wedge(E)$, see for example [4, 5, 14, 10], and in the general case the Clifford algebra can be regarded as a deformation of the exterior algebra. The structures of the finite dimensional Clifford algebras associated with non-degenerate quadratic forms have been well understood for a long period of time. These Clifford algebras are either full matrix algebras or the direct sums of two full matrix algebras [10, 14, 9, 16].

Clifford algebras have played an important role in a variety of fields including geometry, describing electron spin, and the fundamental representations of the orthogonal groups etc. We refer the readers to the introduction section of [18] for a discussion of the role played by Clifford algebras in quantum mechanics. Clifford algebras and spinors have been used to describe electromagnetic fields (Dirac- equation [8]), super symmetry, and celestial mechanics.

Given an algebra A and a scalar λ in a field F, a linear operator $R : A \longrightarrow A$ is called a Rota-Baxter operator (RB operator, shortly) on A of weight λ if the following identity

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y) + \lambda xy)$$

holds for all $x, y \in A$. The algebra A is called the Rota-Baxter algebra (RB algebra). The Rota-Baxter algebras were introduced by Baxter [3], and then they were popularized by Rota and his school [2]. The linear operators with the previous property were independently introduced in the context of Lie algebras by Belavin and Drinfeld [2]. These operators were connected with the so-called R-matrices which are solutions to the classical Yang-Baxter equation. Recently, some applications of the Rota-Baxter algebras were found in such areas as the quantum field theory, the Yang-Baxter equations, the cross products, the operads, the Hopf algebras, the combinatorics, and the number theory.

The present paper is organized as follows: After introduction, section 2 aims to revisit some basic results of semi-simple algebras. In section 3, we will give a classification of primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ using its semi-simplicity. Also, examples are given. Further, in section 4, we study Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. Some examples, using primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, are given.

2 Primitive idempotent elements of simple algebras

In this section, we review some algebraic preliminaries which will be needed below. We recall the most fundamental properties of semi-simple algebras and their representations, and, we give a characterization of such algebras when the basic field is algebraically closed.

Let F be a field and A an (associative) algebra (with identity) over F.

A minimal left (right) ideal of A is a left (right) ideal $J \neq \{0\}$ such that $\{0\}$ and J are the only left ideals contained in J.

An element t of A is said to be an idempotent if $t^2 = t$. Two idempotents f and h such that hf = fh = 0 are called orthogonal. A non-zero idempotent element of A is said to be primitive if it is not a sum of two non-zero orthogonal idempotents.

The algebra A is said to be simple if the only bi-ideals of A are $\{0\}$ and itself. It is said to be semi-simple if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple algebras. Clearly every simple algebra is semi-simple.

A is said to be semi-prime algebra if $\{0\}$ is the only bi-ideal J of A with $J^2 = \{0\}$. It is well-known that semi-simple algebras are semi-prime [7].

The results of this section have obvious duals obtained by interchanging the roles of the right and left ideals.

Lemma 2.1. Let I be a left ideal of a semi-simple algebra A with $I^2 = \{0\}$. Then, $I = \{0\}$.

Proof. Set K = IA. K is a bi-ideal of A with $I \subset K$ and $K^2 = \{0\}$. Since A is a semi-simple algebra, then A is semi-prime. So, $K = \{0\}$ and so, $I = \{0\}$. \Box

Proposition 2.2. Let I be a minimal left ideal of a semi-simple algebra A. Then, there exists a non-zero primitive idempotent element t of A such that, I = At. Where $At = \{at/a \in A\}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, $I^2 \neq \{0\}$, since $I \neq \{0\}$. Then, there exists $x \in I$ such that $Ix \neq \{0\}$ and so, $Ax \neq \{0\}$. Since Ix is a non-zero left ideal of A contained in I, the minimality of I gives I = Ix and there exists $t \in I \setminus \{0\}$ with x = tx, also $tx = t^2x$. So, $t^2 - t \in I \cap ker(x)$, where $ker(x) = \{a \in A/ax = 0\}$. Since $I \cap ker(x)$ is a left ideal contained in I and I is not contained in ker(x), the minimality of I gives $I \cap ker(x) = \{0\}$ and so, $t^2 = t$. At is a non-zero left ideal contained in I. Then I = At. on the other hand, if t = f + h, with f and h are two orthogonal idempotents of A, then $At = Af \oplus Ah$. By minimality of I = At, we have f or h is vanish. Hence, we get the result. \Box

Proposition 2.3. Let t be a non-zero idempotent of a semi-simple algebra A. Then, the left ideal At is minimal if and only if t is primitive.

Proof. Suppose that t is primitive. Let I be a minimal left ideal of A contained in At. By Proposition 2.2, there exists a primitive idempotent $t' \in A$ such that I = At'. Firstly, t't = t', since $t' \in At$, and $tt' \neq 0$, since $\{0\} \neq At' \subset Att'$. On the other hand, t = tt' + (t - tt'); sum of two orthogonal idempotents. Thus, necessarily t = tt', since t is primitive. So, $t \in At'$ and so, At = At'. It follows that At is minimal.

Conversely, it is easy. \Box

Corollary 2.4. *Let t be a primitive idempotent element of A. Then, every non-zero idempotent of At is primitive.*

Proof. Let t' be a non-zero idempotent element of At. It is easy to see that At = At'. Thus, At' is a minimal left ideal of A. By Proposition 2.3, t' is primitive. \Box

Proposition 2.5. Let t be a non-zero idempotent element of a semi-simple algebra A. Then, t is primitive if and only if tAt is a division algebra; that is every non-zero element of tAt has a two-sided inverse.

Proof. \Longrightarrow Evidently tAt is an algebra with unit element t. Let $txt \neq 0$. Since $txt \in Atxt$, then, $\{0\} \neq Atxt \subset At$. The minimality of At gives Atxt = At and there exists $y \in A$ with ytxt = t. Thus, $(tyt)(txt) = t^2 = t$. We have proved that each non-zero element of tAt has a left inverse, and therefore tAt is a division algebra.

 \Leftarrow Let J be a left ideal of A with $\{0\} \neq J \subset At$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $J^2 \neq \{0\}$, and so there exist elements $at, bt \in J$ such that $atbt \neq 0$. It follows that tbt is a non-zero element of the division algebra tAt. Hence, there exists $c \in tAt$ such that ctbt = t. Thus, $At = Actbt \subset Abt \subset J$, and so, At is a minimal left ideal. The Proposition 2.5 achieves the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.6. Let A be an associative unit complex algebra of finite-dimensional. Then, A is a division algebra if and only if $A = \mathbb{C}.1$.

Proof. Suppose that A is a division algebra. Let $a \in A$. The morphism $\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[X] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ P & \longmapsto & P(a) \end{cases}$

is non injective (dimensional-reasons). It's kernel is so, a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X]$, then there is on non-zero unitary polynomial of minimal degree P in ker (φ) . P is necessary an irreducible polynomial of $\mathbb{C}[X]$, then $P = X - \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and so, $a = \alpha.1$. Conversely, if $A = \mathbb{C}.1$ then, evidently, A is a division algebra. \Box

Remark 2.7. The previous result is true if we substitute the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} with another algebraically closed field.

Corollary 2.8. Let t be a non-zero idempotent element of a semi-simple complex algebra A. Then, t is primitive if and only if $tAt = \mathbb{C}.t$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 and the previous lemma. \Box

Throughout this section, A denotes a semi-simple algebra of finite-dimension. The set that we denote \mathcal{P} , of primitive idempotent elements of A can be provided with the equivalence relation \mathcal{R} given by $t\mathcal{R}t'$ if and only if At = At'. So, there exists $t_1, ..., t_r \in \mathcal{P}$ such

 \mathcal{R} . **Proposition 2.9.** There exists a pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_1, ..., e_r \in \mathcal{P}$ such that:

that $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} At_i$, which are called representatives of the equivalence classes, with respect to

- (*i*) $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i$.
- (*ii*) $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} Ae_i$.

Proof. Choose $t_1, ..., t_r \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r At_i$. Then, there exists a unique non-zero element $(e_1, ..., e_r) \in At_1 \times ... \times At_r$ such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i$. Moreover, for all $j \in \{1, ..., r\}$, by uniqueness of the decomposition of e_j , we have

$$e_j = e_j 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_j e_i = e_j^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \underbrace{e_j e_i}_{=0} = e_j^2.$$

Corollary 2.4 achieves the proof. \Box

Remark 2.10.

(i) The number r is uniquely determined. Moreover, we have, r = 1 if and only if A = F, if and only if 1 is the only primitive idempotent element of A.

- (ii) $A = \bigoplus_{i,j}^r e_i A e_j$.
- (iii) Let *a* and *b* be two elements of the algebra *A*. We shall decompose them in accordance with the decomposition of 1: $a = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}$ and $b = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}$, where $a_{ij} = e_i a e_j$, $b_{ij} = e_i b e_j$. Then, $a + b = \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$ and $ab = \sum_{i,k} \sum_{k,j} a_{ik} b_{kj}$. This allows the element *a* to be written in the matrix-form (a_{ij}) . We have just established that the addition and multiplication of these elements translates in this interpretation into the addition and multiplication of the matrices defined in the usual way.

Assume that F is algebraically closed, and let t be a primitive idempotent element of a simple finite dimensional algebra A over F. Then, the natural map $\rho : A \longrightarrow End_F(At)$ defining the action of A on At is injective. Indeed, since the kernel is a bi-ideal, A is simple.

By Burnside's lemma [15], ρ is surjective, and therefore, an isomorphism which is called a spinor representation of A, the corresponding minimal left ideal is called a spinor space of A. It follows that A is isomorphic to M(n, F) for some integer $n \ge 1$. Thus, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.11. Assume that A is simple. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in A$ are the pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i$. Then,

$$r = \dim(Ae_1) = \dim(Ae_2) = \cdots = \dim(Ae_r) = \sqrt{\dim(A)}.$$

Proof. Since $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} Ae_i$ then, $\dim(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \dim(Ae_i)$. On the other hand, by Burnside's lemma [15], $A \cong End(Ae_i)$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ then, $\dim(A) = (\dim(Ae_i))^2$. So, we get the result. \Box

Remark 2.12. For a simple algebra A over F (algebraically closed) it is easy to see that:

- 1. dim A is, necessarily, a square integer.
- 2. There are $\sqrt{\dim A}$ -classes (types) of primitive idempotent elements in A.
- 3. Every ideal of A is of dimension $\geq \sqrt{\dim A}$.
- 4. Every idempotent $t \in A$ with dim $At = \sqrt{\dim A}$ is primitive.
- 5. If A is semi-simple, then $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} M(n_i, F)$, for some non negative integers $s, n_1, ..., n_s$.

Example 2.13. Let *n* be a non-zero integer. For all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, E_i denotes the matrix of $M(n, \mathbb{C})$ with the ii^{th} entry equal to 1 and all the rest are zero. By a simple calculus, we have for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $E_i M(n, \mathbb{C})E_i = \mathbb{C}.E_i$. Thus, by Corollary 2.8, $E_1, ..., E_n$ are a primitive idempotent elements of $M(n, \mathbb{C})$. They are the only (with respect to \mathcal{R}) primitive idempotent elements of $M(n, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i = I_n$, where I_n is the matrix identity of $M(n, \mathbb{C})$.

3 Primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$

Let us recall some basic results of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. Given p,q and n a nonnegative integers such that n = p + q. We denote Cl(p,q) the Clifford algebra of the quadratic space $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and $\mathbb{C}l(p,q) = \mathbb{C} \otimes Cl(p,q)$ the complexifed algebra of Cl(p,q). If $e_i, 1 \le i \le n$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, then $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ is generated by e_i with relations

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = 2g_{ij} e, \ 1 \le i, j \le n,$$
(3.1)

where e is the unitary element of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, $g_{ii} = 1$ if $1 \le i \le p$, $g_{ii} = -1$ if $p + 1 \le i \le n$ and $g_{ij} = 0$ if $i \ne j$. It has basis

$$e, e_i, e_{i_1}e_{i_2}, \dots, e_1 \dots e_n, \ 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots \le n,$$

with $i, i_1, ...$ are indexes from 1 to n. Thus $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ is 2^n -dimensional complex vector space [10]. Throughout this paper $e_1, ..., e_n$ denote an orthonormal basis of $R^{p,q}$ and e_I will denote the

product element $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ for any $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $1 \le i_1 < i_2 \dots < i_k \le n$, and $e_{\emptyset} := e$. So, any Clifford algebra element $X \in \mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ can be written in the following form

$$X = xe + \sum_{I \neq \emptyset} \lambda_I e_I, \tag{3.2}$$

where x, λ_I are complex constants.

Complex Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ of dimension 2^n and different signatures (p,q), p+q=n are isomorphic. Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ are isomorphic to the matrix algebras of complex matrices. In the case of even n, these matrices are of order $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. In the case of odd n, these matrices are block diagonal of order $2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ with 2 blocks of order $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ [16, 10]. Precisely, we have the following well-known matrix-representations of complex Clifford algebras (of minimal dimension)

$$Cl(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}l(p,q) \cong \begin{cases} Mat(2^{\frac{n}{2}}, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ Mat(2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus Mat(2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, these Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ are a simple algebras (if n is even) or a semi-simple algebras (if n is odd). Such a property allows us to give the following results.

Theorem 3.1. Let t be a non-zero idempotent element of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. Then, the following properties are equivalent.

- (i) t is primitive.
- (*ii*) $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$ is a minimal left ideal.
- (*iii*) $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t = \mathbb{C}t$.
- (iv) $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$ is division algebra.
- (v) t is the only non-zero idempotent element of $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$.
- (vi) (For even n) dim($\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$) = $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Proof. From the results of the above section, it suffice to prove that $(v) \Longrightarrow (i)$. Assume that t is the only non-zero idempotent element of $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$. Set f and h two orthogonal idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ such that t = f + h. It follows that, f and h are two idempotent elements of $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$ with $f \neq h$. So, f or h is zero, and so, t is primitive. \Box

Remark 3.2. As the previous section, let \mathcal{P} denotes the set of primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, and \mathcal{R} the equivalent relation given by: $\forall t, t' \in \mathcal{P}, t\mathcal{R} t'$ if and only if $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t = \mathbb{C}l(p,q)t'$. So, there is $2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ equivalence class representatives with respect to \mathcal{R} .

- **Example 3.3.** 1. In $\mathbb{C}l(1,1)$, every non-zero idempotent element $t \neq 1$ is primitive. For example: $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e-e_1)$ and $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}(e+e_1)$ are two orthogonal primitive idempotent elements with $t_1 + t_2 = e$. They are the only (with respect to \mathcal{R}) primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(1,1)$.
 - 2. In Cl(1,3), $t_1 = \frac{1}{4}(e-e_1)(e-ie_2e_3)$, $t_2 = \frac{1}{4}(e-e_1)(e+ie_2e_3)$, $t_3 = \frac{1}{4}(e+e_1)(e-ie_2e_3)$ and $t_4 = \frac{1}{4}(e+e_1)(e+ie_2e_3)$ are four pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotent elements of Cl(1,3) with $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 = e$. They are the only (with respect to \mathcal{R}) primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(1,3)$.
 - 3. Assume that $n \ge 3$. Set $t_0 = \frac{1}{2}(e e_1)$ and $t_k = \frac{1}{2}(e i^{b_k}e_{2k}e_{2k+1})$ for $1 \le k \le m$, where $m = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, $b_k = 0$ for 2k = p and $b_k = 1$ for $2k \ne p$, with $e, e_1, ..., e_n$ are basis generators of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ satisfying (3.1).

 $t_0, t_1, ..., t_m$ are a pairwise commuting idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p, q)$. Thus, their product:

$$t = \prod_{k=0}^{m} t_k \tag{3.3}$$

is also an idempotent element of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. Furthermore, t is primitive.

Indeed: By a direct calculation, one obtains: $t_0e_1t_0 = t_ke_{2k}t_k = t_ke_{2k+1}t_k = 0$ and further, $te_1t = te_{2k}t = te_{2k+1}t = 0$, for all k = 1, ..., m. Moreover, when n is even, we have $t_0e_nt_0 = 0$, hence, $te_nt = 0$. So, for all k = 1, ..., n, $te_kt = 0$. It follows that, $te_kt \in \mathbb{C}t$, for all k = 1, ..., n. By a similar calculation, we can verify that for any basis element e_I of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, $te_It \in \mathbb{C}t$. Consequently, $t\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t = \mathbb{C}t$ and so, t is primitive. (By Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 3.4. For even *n*, the primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ are conjugated. That is, for all t, t' two primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, there exists an invertible element x of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ such that $t' = xtx^{-1}$.

Proof. Using the isomorphism between the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ and the matrix algebra $M(2^{\frac{n}{2}},\mathbb{C})$ it is enough to establish the result in $M(2^{\frac{n}{2}},\mathbb{C})$. Given a primitive idempotent matrix T of $M(2^{\frac{n}{2}},\mathbb{C})$. By means of a similarly transformation, it can be transformed into its Jordan form. Consequently, the idempotency implies that the Jordan form of T must be (up to basis vector transformation) of the form $E_1 = \text{diag}(1, 0, ..., 0)$, $(E_1$ is a primitive idempotent element of $M(2^{\frac{n}{2}},\mathbb{C})$, (see Example 2.13). Thus, there exists $S \in GL(2^{\frac{n}{2}},\mathbb{C})$ such that $T = SE_1S^{-1}$. So, we get the result. \Box

Remark 3.5. For even *n*, the set \mathcal{P} of primitive idempotent elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, can be given as follows

$$\mathcal{P}=\{xtx^{-1}/x \text{ is an invertible element of } \mathbb{C}l(p,q)\},\$$

for any primitive idempotent element t. For example t is the one given by Formula (3.3).

Theorem 3.6. For even n, any primitive idempotent element of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ is necessarily of the form given by Formula (3.3), for some generators γ_i of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ (instead of the e_i) satisfying the relation

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = 2g_{ij}e, \ 1 \le i, j \le n.$$
(3.4)

Proof. Let t' be a primitive idempotent element of $\mathbb{C}l(p, q)$. By the previous theorem, there exists an invertible element x of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ such that $t' = x^{-1}tx$, where t denotes the primitive idempotent element of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ given by Formula (3.3) in the example above. The family $\gamma_i = x^{-1}e_ix$, $1 \le i \le n$ satisfies Relation (3.4). Hence, by Pauli's Theorem (see [17]), γ_i are generator elements of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$. Replacing the generators e_i by the γ_i , Formula (3.3) gives t'. \Box

Proposition 3.7. For even n, $e_{2I}t$, $I \in \{1, ..., \frac{n}{2}\}$ form a base for the minimal left ideal $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$. Here, t is the primitive idempotent element given by (3.3) and $2I = \{2k; k \in I\}$.

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, ..., \frac{n}{2} - 1\}$. We have

$$\begin{split} e_{2k+1}t_k &= \frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2k+1} + i^{b_k}e_{2k}e_{2k+1}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}^2}{2}\left(e_{2k} + \frac{1}{i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}^2}e_{2k+1}\right) \\ &= i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2k} + \frac{i^{b_k}}{i^{2b_k}e_{2k}^2}e_{2k+1}^2e_{2k}^2e_{2k+1}\right) \\ &= i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2k} - i^{b_k}e_{2k}^2e_{2k+1}\right), \text{ since, } i^{2b_k}e_{2k}^2e_{2k+1}^2 = -1 \\ &= \left(i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}^2\right)e_{2k}t_k. \end{split}$$

Hence, $e_{2k+1}t = (i^{b_k}e_{2k+1}^2)e_{2k}t$. On the other hand, $e_1t = -t = -e_{\emptyset}t$. It follows that $e_{2I}t$ form a generating family of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$. It is, therefore, a basis of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$, (dimensional-reasons). \Box

Remark 3.8. For odd *n*, there exists two orthogonal primitive idempotent elements t_1, t_2 of Cl(p,q) such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ where $\mathcal{P}_k = \{xt_kx^{-1}; x \text{ is an invertible element of } \mathbb{C}l(p,q)\}, k = 1, 2$, with $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \emptyset$. In particular t_1 and t_2 are not conjugated.

In the rest of this section, we will need to consider $Cl^0(p,q)$ (resp $Cl^1(p,q)$), the subspace of Cl(p,q) spanned by products of even (resp. odd) number of the e_i . Notice that $Cl(p,q) = Cl^0(p,q) \oplus Cl^1(p,q)$ and hence, $\dim(Cl^0(p,q)) = \dim(Cl^1(p,q)) = 2^{n-1}$. The following results can be used to represent the Dirac-equation in the Minkowski space-time [8].

Proposition 3.9. Let t be the primitive idempotent element of $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$ given by Formula (3.3). If n is even and $q \ge 3$ then, the following two maps

$$Cl^{0}(p,q) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{0}} \mathbb{C}l(p,q)t \text{ and } Cl^{1}(p,q) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} \mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$$

defined by multiplication by t from the right are surjective \mathbb{R} -linear maps.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, the \mathbb{R} -linear space $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)t$ has basis $e_{2I}t, ie_{2I}t, I \subset \{1, ..., \frac{n}{2}\}$. It easy to see that, e_{2I} or $-e_{2I}e_1$ is an element of $Cl^0(p,q)$ which we denote x, we have $\varphi_0(x) = e_{2I}t$. On the other hand, we have $e_{n-2}e_{n-1} \in Cl^0(Cl(p,q))$ and so, $xe_{n-2}e_{n-1} \in Cl^0(p,q)$. Therefore, $e_{n-2}e_{n-1}t_{(\frac{n-2}{2})} = e_{n-2}e_{n-1}\frac{1}{2}(e - ie_{n-2}e_{n-1}) = it_{(\frac{n-2}{2})}$, (since $q \ge 3$), and so, $e_{n-2}e_{n-1}t = it$. Thus, $\varphi_0(xe_{n-2}e_{n-1}) = ie_{2I}t$. It follows that, φ_0 is a surjective map. Similarly, we show that φ_1 is a surjective map. \Box

Corollary 3.10. As \mathbb{R} -vector spaces, we have

 $Cl^0(1,3) \cong \mathbb{C}l(1,3)t \cong Cl^1(1,3) \cong \mathbb{C}^4.$

Proof. Since, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Cl^0(1,3)) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Cl^1(1,3)) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}l(1,3)t) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^4) = 8$. Then, Proposition 3.9 gives the result. \Box

4 Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$

Given an algebra A and a scalar λ in a field F, a linear operator $R : A \longrightarrow A$ is called a Rota-Baxter operator (RB operator, shortly) on A of weight λ if the following identity

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y) + \lambda xy)$$
(4.1)

holds for all $x, y \in A$. The algebra A is called the Rota-Baxter algebra (RB algebra). The set of RB on A is noted RB(A).

- **Example 4.1.** 1. Given an algebra A of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} , an integration operator $R(f)(x) := \int_0^x f$ is an RB operator on A of weight zero.
 - 2. consider the algebra of sequences in a *F*-algebra, with componentwise addition and multiplication. Define an operator $R : (a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_n, ...) \mapsto (0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, ..., \sum_{k < n} a_k, ...)$. *R* is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1.
 - 3. A linear map R_a on the polynomial algebra F[x] defined as $R(x^n) = \frac{(x^{n+1}-a^{n+1})}{n+1}$ is an RB-operator on F[x] of weight zero, for any $a \in F$.

Proposition 4.2. Let A be an associative unital algebra.

- 1. A linear operator $R \in RB(A)$ if and only if $I R \in RB(A)$, where I is the identity map. In particular, $0, I \in RB(A)$.
- 2. Let R be an RB operator on A of weight λ . Then $-R \lambda I$ is an RB operator of weight λ and the operator $\lambda^{-1}R$ is an RB operator of weight 1, provided that $\lambda \neq 0$.
- 3. Let R be an RB operator of weight λ on A, and let $\psi \in Aut(A)$. Then, $R_{\psi} = \psi^{-1}R\psi$ is an RB operator on A of weight λ .

Proposition 4.3. Assume that an algebra A is split as a vector space into the direct sum of two subalgebras A_1 and A_2 ; $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$. Then

(i) An operator R defined by the rule $R(x_1+x_2) = -\lambda x_2, x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2$, is an RB operator on A of weight λ .

- (ii) If A_1 and A_2 are ideals and R is an RB operator on A of weight λ , then P_iR is an is RB-operator of weight λ on A_i , i = 1, 2. Here P_i denotes the projection from A onto A_i .
- (iii) If A_1 and A_2 are ideals, then for any RB operators R_i on A_i (i=1,2) with a same weight, the linear map $R : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (R_1(x_1), R_2(x_2))$ defines a RB operator on A. NB: It is not true that every RB on A can be obtained from the above way (see [19]).

Proposition 4.4. Let A be an algebra and $R : A \longrightarrow A$ be a linear isomorphism, then R is a Rota-Baxter operator on A if and only if R^{-1} is a derivation on A.

Proof. For any $x, y \in A$, R is a Rota-Baxter operator on A if and only if R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y)), which is equivalent to $R^{-1}(uv) = uR^1(v) + R^1(u)v$, where u = R(x), v = R(y). Therefore, the conclusion follows. \Box

Throughout this section, A denotes the Complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}l(p,q)$, (see Section 3). Let $t_1, ..., t_r$ are orthogonal idempotent elements of A such that $e = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r t_i$. Set $A_{ij} = t_i A t_j$, $A_0 = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} A_{ii}$, $A_- = \bigoplus_{1 \le i < j \le r} A_{ij}$ and $A_+ = \bigoplus_{1 \le j < i \le r} A_{ij}$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $A_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{C}.t_i$. In the rest of this section we will give some examples and some properties of RB-operators on A.

- **Proposition 4.5.** (i) For any idempotent element t of A, the map $R_t : A \longrightarrow A : x \longmapsto xt$ is a *RB* operator on A of weight -1.
- (ii) If $t_1, ..., t_r$ are orthogonal idempotent elements of A, then for all k = 1, ..., r, $R_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{t_i}$ is an RB operator on A of weight -1.
- (iii) Let $t \in A$ such that $t^2 = -\lambda t$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The linear map $R_t : x \mapsto xt$ is an RB operator on A of weight λ .
- (iv) Assume that n is odd. For any RB-operator R on A of weight zero, the operator given by $R_{\Gamma}(x) = R(x\Gamma)$ is an RB-operator on A of weight zero. Here $\Gamma = e_1...e_n$, where $e_1,...,e_n$ are any generators of A satisfying Relation (3.1).

Proof.

- (i) Let t be an idempotent element of A. And let $x, y \in A$. We have $R_t(xR_t(y) + R_t(x)y xy) = ((xR_t(y) + R_t(x)y xy))t = (xyt + xtyt xyt) = xtyt = R_t(x)R_t(y)$. So, R_t is a RB operator on A of weight -1.
- (ii) Let $t_1, ..., t_r$ are orthogonal idempotent elements of A. Since $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$ is idempotent and $\sum_{i=1}^k R_{t_i} = R_{\sum_{i=1}^k t_i}$, then, (i) gives the result.
- (iii) By the same argument as (i).
- (iv) It follows from Identity (4.1). \Box

Proposition 4.6. If R_0 is an RB-operator of weight λ on A_0 , then an operator R defined as

$$R(a_{-} + a_{0} + a_{+}) = R_{0}(a_{0}) - \lambda a_{\pm}, \ a_{\pm} \in A_{\pm}, a_{0} \in A_{0},$$

is an RB-operator on A of weight λ .

Proof. It follows from Formula 4.1. \Box

Theorem 4.7. A linear operator $R(t_i) = \sum_{k=1}^r a_{ik}t_k$, $a_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}$, is an RB-operator of weight 1 on A_0 if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$a_{ik}a_{jk} = a_{ji}a_{ik} + a_{ij}a_{jk}$$
 for $i \neq j$, $a_{ik}(a_{ik} - 2a_{ii} - 1) = 0$ for $i = j$. (4.2)

Proof. For any $1 \le i, j \le r$,

$$R(t_i)R(t_j) = R(t_iR(t_j) + R(t_i)t_j + t_it_j)$$

if and only if,
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{ik} t_k \sum_{l=1}^{r} a_{il} t_l = R\left(t_i \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{jk} t_k + \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{ik} t_k t_j + \delta_{ij} t_i\right)$$

if and only if,
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{r} a_{ik} a_{jl} t_k t_l \right) = R(a_{ji} t_i + a_{ij} t_j + \delta_{ij} t_i)$$

if and only if,
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{ik} a_{jk} t_k = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(a_{ji} a_{ik} + a_{ij} a_{jk} + \delta_{ij} a_{ik} \right) t_k$$

From which (4.2) follows. \Box

Example 4.8. 1. For $A = \mathbb{C}l(1,1)$, we have $A_0 = \mathbb{C}.t_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}.t_2$, (see Example 3.3 (1)). By conditions (4.2), an operator R_0 defined as $R_0(t_i) = \sum a_{ik}t_k$ is a Rota-Baxter operator on A_0 of weight 1 if ,and only if, one of the following cases is true:

a.
$$a_{11} = a_{22} = -1$$
 and $(a_{12} = -1, a_{21} = 0 \text{ or } a_{21} = -1, a_{12} = 0)$. That is

$$\begin{cases}
R_0(t_1) = -t_1 - t_2 & \text{and} & R_0(t_2) = -t_2, \text{ or} \\
R_0(t_1) = -t_1 - t_2 & \text{and} & R_0(t_2) = -t_1
\end{cases}$$
b. $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ and $(a_{12} = 1, a_{21} = 0, \text{ or } a_{21} = 1, a_{12} = 0)$. That is

$$\begin{cases}
R_0(t_1) = t_2 & \text{and} & R_0(t_2) = 0, \text{ or} \\
R_0(t_1) = t_2 & \text{and} & R_0(t_2) = 0, \text{ or} \\
R_0(t_1) = 0 & \text{and} & R_0(t_2) = t_1
\end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have $A_{-} = \mathbb{C}.t_3$ and $A_{+} = \mathbb{C}.t_4$ where $t_3 = e_1e_2$ and $t_4 = (e_1e_2-e_2)$. Proposition 4.5 gives RB-operators on $\mathbb{C}l(1,1)$ of weight 1. Here, e_1, e_2 are generators of A, with relation (3.1).

2. Let us consider $A = \mathbb{C}l(1,3)$. The following operator is an RB-operator of weight 1 on $A_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{C}.t_i$.

$$R_0(t_1) = 0, R_0(t_2) = -t_2, R_0(t_3) = -t_2 - t_3, R_0(t_4) = -t_2 - t_3 - t_4,$$

where t_1, t_2, t_3 and t_4 are defined by example 3.3 (2).

References

- [1] J.F Adams. Lectures on exceptional Lie groups. (1996)
- Belavin, A.A., Drinfel'd, V.G.: Solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras. Funct. Anal. Appl. 16, 159-180 (1982)
- [3] Baxter, G.:An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity. Pac. J. Math. 10, 731-742 (1960)
- [4] H. Blaine Lawson, JR. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn Spin Geometry. Princeton University Press Princeton, New Jersey 1989.
- [5] C. Chevalley The algebraic theory of spinors and Clifford algebras. Springer (1995).
- [6] W.K. Clifford, Applications of Grassman's extensive algebra Amer. J. Math. 1 (1878)
- [7] Dumitru D. Draghia, Semi-simplicity of semi-prime Banach algebras. Extracta Mathematicae Vol 10, Num, 2, 189-193 (1995).
- [8] David, Hestenes, Space-Time Structure of Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions Foundations of Physics, Vol. 12, No. 2, (1982)
- [9] Dmitry. S Clifford algebras and their applications to Lie groups and spinors. (2018)
- [10] Eckhard Meinrenken Clifford algebras and Lie theory Springer (2013)
- [11] H. Flanders, On spaces of linear transformations with bounded rank. J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 10-16.
- [12] J.Gilbert, M.Murray Clifford algebras and Dirac operators in harmonic analysis. (1991)
- [13] D.J.H.Garling. Clifford Algebras: An introduction London Mathematical society student texts 78. (2001)
- [14] Jing-Song Huang, Pavle Pandzic. Dirac Operators in Representation Theory. (2006)
- [15] Laudal, O. A: Non commutative deformations of modules. Preprint series No 2, Jan 1995, Inst of Math. University of Oslo.
- [16] Pertti Lounesto. Clifford algebras and spinors Cambridge University Press (2001)
- [17] D. S. Shirokov. Extension of Pauli's Theorem to Clifford algebras. (2011)

- [18] G. P. Wene, The Clifford algebra of an infinite-dimensional space. J. Math. Phys. 30 (2) (1989), 249-251.
- [19] Xiuxian LI, D.H C.B Rota-Baxter Operators on pre-Lie algebras. J Nonlinear Mathematical physics 14 (2007), 269-289

Author information

M'hamed Aourhebal, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Meknès, Moulay Ismail University, B.P. 11201, Zitoune, Meknès 50000, Maroc. E-mail: aourhebal@gmail.com

Received: September 7, 2020. Accepted: November 3, 2020.