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#### Abstract

In this study, we introduce a new approach to find a special kind of generalized evolute and involute curve in Minkowski space-time $E_{1}^{4}$. Necessary and sufficient condition for the curve possessing generalized evolute as well as involute curve were obtained. Furthermore, Cartan null curve is also discussed in detail.


## 1 Introduction

Many mathematicians did work about the general theory of the curves in Euclidean space. Now, we have much understanding on their local geometry as well as their global geometry. Identification of a regular curve is one of the important and interesting complication in the theory of curves in Euclidean space.

Using two different approaches this complication can be solved, the relation between the frenet vectors of the curve [1], and determining the shape and size of the curve by curvatures $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$. In differential geometry an evolute is the envelope of the normals of the specific curve. An evolute and its involute are defined in mutual pairs. The evolute of any curve is defined as the locus of the centers of curvatures of the curve. The original curve is then described as the involute of the evolute. Evolutes and involutes (also known as evolvents) were studied by C. Huygens [2]. Later, in [3] the author explore that if evolute occur then the evolute of parallel arc also occur. In [4] the author fixed that evolute Frenet apparatus can be establish by involute apparatus in four dimensional Euclidean space so by this approach another orthonormal of the same space is acquired. In [5] author resolved that the iteration of involutes create a pair of sequences of curves with respect to Minkowski metric and its dual. In (1845), Saint Venant [6] suggested a question either the principle normal of a curve is the principle normal of another on the surface produced by the principle normal of the specific curve. Bertrand answered this question in [7]. He proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such a second curve is required. Using this method we define a kind of generalized evolute-involute curve in Minkowski space time. We acquire the necessary and sufficient conditions for the curves with spacelike $(1,3)$ normal plane to be ( 1,3 )-Evolute curves and we also prove its converse by using the condition of Evolute curve that is spanned by principle normal and the second binormal. In the end we give some examples for these curves. Evolute curves and their identification were studied by some researchers in Minkowski space [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16] as well as in Euclidean space. We see that mainly evolute-involute curves have been studied but not so much research has been carried out to find the mate curves of Cartan null curves. In this paper, a kind of generalized evolute and involute curve is considered for Cartan null curve in Minkowski space-time. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a curve possessing generalized evolute as well as involute mate curves is obtained.

## 2 Preliminaries

Consider the Minkowski space-time $\left(E_{1}^{4}, H\right)$ where $E_{1}^{4}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \mid z_{i} \in R\right\}$ and $H=-d z_{1}^{2}+d z_{2}^{2}+d z_{3}^{2}+d z_{4}^{2}$. For any $U=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and $V=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \in T_{z} E$, we
denote
$U \cdot V=H(U, V)=-x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}+x_{4} y_{4}$.
Let $I$ be an open interval in $R$ and $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ parameterized by arc length parameter $s$ and $\left\{T, N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right\}$ be a moving Frenet frame along $\Gamma$, consisting of tangent vector $T$, principal normal vector $N_{1}$, the first binormal vector $N_{2}$ and the second binormal vector $N_{3}$ respectively, so that $T \wedge N_{1} \wedge N_{2} \wedge N_{3}$ coincides with the standard orientation of $E_{1}^{4}$. From [17] Frenet seret formula.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
N_{1}^{\prime} \\
N_{2}^{\prime} \\
N_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \epsilon_{2} \kappa_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{1} & 0 & \epsilon_{3} \kappa_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\epsilon_{2} \kappa_{2} & 0 & -\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3} \kappa_{3} \\
0 & 0 & -\epsilon_{3} \kappa_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
N_{1} \\
N_{2} \\
N_{3}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(T, T)=\epsilon_{1}, H\left(N_{1}, N_{1}\right)=\epsilon_{2}, H\left(N_{2}, N_{2}\right)=\epsilon_{3}, \\
& H\left(N_{3}, N_{3}\right)=\epsilon_{4}, \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{4}=-1 \\
& \epsilon_{i} \in\{1,-1\}, i \in\{1,2,3,4\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In specific, the succeeding conditions exist:

$$
H\left(T, N_{1}\right)=H\left(T, N_{2}\right)=H\left(T, N_{3}\right)=H\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)=H\left(N_{1}, N_{3}\right)=H\left(N_{2}, N_{3}\right)=0 .
$$

A curve $\Gamma(s)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ can be spacelike, timelike, or null if its velocity vectors $\Gamma^{\prime}(s)$ are commonly spacelike, timelike, or null [18]. A null curve $\Gamma$ is parametrized by pseudo-arc $s$ if $H\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}(s), \Gamma^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)=1[19]$. Further more nonnull curve $\Gamma$, we have this condition $H\left(\Gamma^{\prime}(s), \Gamma^{\prime}(s)\right)=$ $\pm 1$. From [19, 20] if $\Gamma$ is null Cartan curve, the Cartan Frenet frame is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{\prime}  \tag{2.2}\\
N_{1}^{\prime} \\
N_{2}^{\prime} \\
N_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \kappa_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\kappa_{2} & 0 & -\kappa_{1} & 0 \\
0 & -\kappa_{2} & 0 & \kappa_{3} \\
-\kappa_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
N 1 \\
N_{2} \\
N_{3}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\kappa_{1}(s)=0$ if $\Gamma(s)$ is a null straight line or $\kappa_{1}(s)=1$ in all other cases. In this case
$T \cdot T=N_{2} \cdot N_{2}=0, N_{1} \cdot N_{1}=N_{3} \cdot N_{3}=1$,
$T \cdot N_{1}=T \cdot N_{3}=N_{1} \cdot N_{2}=N_{1} \cdot N_{3}=N_{2} \cdot N_{3}=0, T \cdot N_{2}=1$.
We established some terminologies in this study. At any point of $\Gamma$, the plane spanned by $\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}$ is called the ( 0,2 )-tangent plane of $\Gamma$. The plane spanned by $\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}$ is called the (1,3)-normal plane of $\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E^{4}$ and $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be two regular curves in $E_{1}^{4}$ where $s$ is the arc-length parameter of $\Gamma$. Denote $s^{*}=f(s)$ to be the arc-length parameters of $\Gamma^{*}$. For any $s \in I$, if the $(0,2)$-tangent plane of $\Gamma$ at $\Gamma(s)$ of coincides with the $(1,3)$-normal plane at $\Gamma^{*}(s)$ of $\Gamma^{*}$, then $\Gamma^{*}$ is called the $(0,2)$-involute curve of $\Gamma$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ and $\Gamma$ is called the $(1,3)$-evolute curve of $\Gamma^{*}$ in $E_{1}^{4}$.

## 2.1 ( 1,3 )-involute curve of a given curve in $\boldsymbol{E}_{1}^{4}$

In this section, we proceed to study the existence and expression of the (1,3)-evolute curve of a given curve in $E_{1}^{4}$.

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the ( 1,3 )-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}
$$

## 3 Theorem

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the ( 1,3 )-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$ if and only if there there exists scalar functions $\Phi, \Psi$ of arc-length parameter $s$ and real constant numbers $\alpha \neq \pm 1, \beta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{\prime}(s) \neq 0, \Psi^{\prime}(s) \neq 0,  \tag{3.1}\\
\Phi^{\prime}(s)=\alpha \Psi^{\prime}(s),  \tag{3.2}\\
\beta \alpha k_{1}(s)=\alpha k_{2}(s)-k_{3}(s),  \tag{3.3}\\
{\left[-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \neq 0} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $s \in I$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the (1,3)-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\} .
$$

Moreover, we can write the curve $\Gamma^{*}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\boldsymbol{\Phi}(s) N_{1}(s)+\Psi(s) N_{3}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s^{*} \in I^{*}, s \in I$ where $\Phi(s)$ and $\Psi(s)$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions on $I$.
Taking derivative of (3.5), using the equation (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\left(1-\Phi \epsilon_{1} \kappa_{1}\right) T(s)+\Phi^{\prime}(s) N_{1}(s)+\Psi^{\prime}(s) N_{3}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\Phi(s) \kappa_{2}-\Psi(s) \kappa_{3}\right) N_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\} \perp\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}$ so $1-a \epsilon_{1} \kappa_{1}=0$ and $\epsilon_{3}\left(a \kappa_{2}-b \kappa_{3}\right)=0$ from these we have $\Phi=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{1}}, \Psi=$ $\frac{\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1} k_{3} k_{3}}$.
Equation(3.6) gets the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} T^{*}=\Phi^{\prime}(s) N_{1}+\Psi^{\prime}(s) N_{3} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (3.6) gets the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\frac{\Phi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}} N_{1}+\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}} N_{3} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.7) by itself, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\epsilon_{4}\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\Phi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}}, \zeta=\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.10) in (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\eta N_{1}+\zeta N_{3} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (3.11) using (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=\eta^{\prime} N_{1}-\epsilon_{1} \eta \kappa_{1} T+\zeta^{\prime} N_{3}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) N_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking inner product on both side of (3.12) by $N_{1}$ and $N_{3}$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}=0, \zeta^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=-\epsilon_{1} \eta \kappa_{1} T+\epsilon_{3}\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) N_{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.14) by itself, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\eta^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}+\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right)^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that curvatures $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$, and $\kappa_{3}^{*} \neq 0$, so we obtained result (3.1)

$$
\Phi^{\prime} \neq 0, \Psi^{\prime} \neq 0
$$

From (3.10), we get the result (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}=\alpha \Psi^{\prime} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.16), we get $\Phi=\alpha \Psi+\eta$ and $\Psi=\frac{\Phi-\eta}{\alpha}$.
Using (3.10) in (3.15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left[\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.16) in (3.9), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime 2}=\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again writing equation (3.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left[\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.18) in (3.19), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}+1}\left[\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{1}=\frac{\eta \kappa_{1}}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\Psi^{\prime} \alpha}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\kappa_{1}\right],  \tag{3.21}\\
\Delta_{2}=\frac{\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right] . \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Dividing (3.22) by (3.21), we get the result (3.3)

$$
\beta \alpha \kappa_{1}=\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3} .
$$

Putting values of $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ in equation (3.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{1} T+\Delta_{2} B_{1} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (3.23) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}+\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=-\epsilon_{2}\left(\Delta_{1} \kappa_{1}+\Delta_{2} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \Delta_{2} \kappa_{3} N_{3}+\Delta_{1}^{\prime} T+\Delta_{2}^{\prime} N_{2} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\} \perp\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}$, so we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=0, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the (3.7), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.25) in (3.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=P(s) N_{1}+Q(s) N_{3} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s)=\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2}\right)\right], \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(s)=-\frac{\alpha \Psi^{\prime}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*} \neq 0
$$

So we get the result (3.4)

$$
\left[-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \neq 0
$$

Conversely, we suppose that $\Gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be an evolute curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are not zero. And the relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) hold for some scalar functions $\Phi, \Psi$ and constant real numbers $\alpha \neq 0, \beta$. Then the curve $\Gamma^{*}$ can be expressed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\Phi(s) N_{1}(s)+\Psi(s) N_{3} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (3.29) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\Phi^{\prime}(s) N_{1}+\Psi^{\prime}(s) N_{3} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.30) and (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\Psi^{\prime}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=\frac{d s^{*}}{d s}=\left\|\frac{d T^{*}}{d s}\right\|=c_{1}\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}>0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $c_{1}\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right)>0$ where $c_{1}= \pm 1$ and $c_{2}= \pm 1$ such that $\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)>0$. Again writing the equation (3.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\Psi^{\prime}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.32) in (3.33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicate that $H\left(T^{*}, T^{*}\right)=c_{2}=\epsilon_{1}^{*}$.
Taking derivative of equation (3.34) using (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[-\epsilon_{1} \alpha \kappa_{1} T+\epsilon_{3}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) N_{2}\right] \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{*}=\left\|\frac{d T^{*}}{d s}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}>0 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2.37) and (2.38), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}^{*}} \frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}\left[-\epsilon_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right) T+\epsilon_{3}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) N_{2}\right] \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicate that $H\left(N_{1}^{*}, N_{1}^{*}\right)=1$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}=\frac{-\epsilon_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}, \quad \Delta_{4}=\frac{\epsilon_{3}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{3} T+\Delta_{4} N_{2} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of (3.39) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} \frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\Delta_{3}^{\prime} T+\Delta_{4}^{\prime} N_{2}+\epsilon_{2}\left(\Delta_{3} \kappa_{1}-\Delta_{4} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \Delta_{4} \kappa_{3} N_{3} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of (3.3), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}^{\prime}-\kappa_{3}^{\prime}\right) \alpha \kappa_{1}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) \alpha \kappa_{1}^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (3.38) with respect to s using (3.41), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}^{\prime}=0, \quad \Delta_{4}^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the values (3.38) and (3.42) in (3.40), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}\left(-\alpha \kappa_{1}\right) \kappa_{1}+\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) \kappa_{2}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}} N_{1}+\frac{-c_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}} N_{3} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.36) and (3.34), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{c_{1} \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.43) and (3.44), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right) \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}+\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}\left[N_{1}-\alpha N_{3}\right] \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.45), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}^{*}=\frac{\left|\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right) \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}+\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)\right|}{f\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}>0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider (3.45) and (3.46) together, we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{*}=\frac{\epsilon_{3}^{*}}{\kappa_{2}^{*}}\left[\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}\right]=\frac{c_{2} \epsilon_{3}^{*}}{\alpha^{2}+1}\left[N_{1}+\alpha N_{3}\right] \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}=\frac{\left|\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right) \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}+\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)\right|}{\left|\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right) \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}+\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)\right|}= \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_{3}^{*}= \pm 1$.
From (3.47), we acquire $H\left(N_{2}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right)=c_{1}=\epsilon_{3}^{*}=-\epsilon_{1}^{*}$, also unit vector $N_{3}^{*}$ can be expressed like this $N_{3}^{*}=-\Delta_{4} T+\Delta_{3} N_{2}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}^{*}=\frac{c_{1} \epsilon_{3}}{\sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) T-\alpha \kappa_{1} N_{2}\right] \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(N_{3}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=1$. In the end we find $\kappa_{3}^{*}$

$$
\kappa_{3}^{*}=H\left(\frac{d N_{2}^{*}}{d s^{*}}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=\frac{c_{1} c_{2} \kappa_{1} \epsilon_{3}^{*}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{c_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)} \sqrt{\left(\alpha \kappa_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}}} \neq 0
$$

So we find that $\Gamma^{*}$ is $(1,3)$-Evolute curve of the curve $\Gamma$.
Since $\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}$.
Case $2 \Gamma$ is a Cartan null curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero and space like vectors $N_{1}$ and $N_{3}$ and $\Gamma^{*}$ is a spacelike or timelike curve with arc-length parameter $s *$ so that curvature functions $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ are not equal to zero. For this we have succeeding theorem.

## 4 Theorem

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a Cartan null curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}=1$, and $\kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Then the curve $\alpha$ is a (1,3)-Evolute curve and its Evolute mate curve is a spacelike or timelike curve with non zero curvatures if and only if there exists scalar functions $\Phi, \Psi$ of arc-length parameter $s$ and constant real numbers $\beta \alpha \neq \pm 1$, satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{\prime}(s)=\alpha \Psi^{\prime}(s),  \tag{4.1}\\
\beta \alpha=\alpha \kappa_{2}(s)-\kappa_{3}(s),  \tag{4.2}\\
\alpha^{3} \kappa_{2}(s)-2 \alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}(s)-\kappa_{3}(s) \neq 0, \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $s \in I$.
Proof We can prove this theorem in same way as we proved theorem 6.
Case 3 Let $\Gamma$ is spacelike or timelike curve with nonzero curvatures $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ and space like vectors $T$ and $N_{2}$ and $\Gamma^{*}$ is also spacelike or timelike curve with $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ not equal to zero and vectors $T^{*}$ and $N_{2}^{*}$ are spacelike. For this we have succeeding theorem.

## 5 Theorem

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the $(0,2)$-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$ if and only if there exist constant numbers $\Phi, \Psi, \alpha \neq \pm 1, \beta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(s) \neq 0, \Psi(s) \neq 0  \tag{5.1}\\
\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi(s) \kappa_{1}(s)-\Psi(s) \kappa_{2}(s)\right)=\alpha \epsilon_{4} \Psi(s) \kappa_{3}(s),  \tag{5.2}\\
\beta \alpha \kappa_{1}(s)=\alpha \kappa_{2}(s)-\kappa_{3}(s)  \tag{5.3}\\
-\kappa_{2}(s) \kappa_{3}(s)\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)+\alpha\left[\kappa_{1}^{2}(s)+\kappa_{2}^{2}(s)+\kappa_{3}^{2}(s)\right] \neq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $s \in I$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a regular curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the $(0,2)$-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}
$$

Moreover, we can write the curve $\Gamma^{*}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\Phi(s) T(s)+\Psi(s) N_{2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s^{*} \in I^{*}, s \in I$ where $\Phi(s)$ and $\Psi(s)$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions on $I$.
Taking derivative of equation (5.5) using equation (2.1), we acquire;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=T(s)+\Phi^{\prime}(s) T(s)+\Psi^{\prime}(s) N_{2}+\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3} N_{3} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking inner product on both-sides of (5.6) with $T$ and $N_{2}$ respectively, we get $1+\Phi^{\prime}=0$ and $\Psi^{\prime}=0$, which implies that $\Psi$ is constant and $\Phi=\Phi_{0}-s$, where $\Phi_{0}$ is the integration constant. So (5.6) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N+\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3} B_{2} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (5.7) by itself, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)^{2}+\epsilon_{4} \Psi^{2} \kappa^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}} a n d \zeta=\frac{\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (5.7) gets the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\eta N_{1}+\zeta N_{3} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (5.10) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=\eta^{\prime} N_{1}-\epsilon_{1} \eta \kappa_{1} T+\zeta^{\prime} N_{3}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) N_{2} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (5.11) by $N_{1}$ and $N_{3}$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}=0, \zeta^{\prime}=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.12) in (5.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=-\epsilon_{1} \eta \kappa_{1} T+\epsilon_{3}\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) N_{2} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (5.13) by itself, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\epsilon_{1} \eta^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right)^{2} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.9) in (5.14), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left[\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3} \neq 0$, so from (5.9), we get the result (5.1)

$$
\Phi \neq 0, \Psi \neq 0
$$

From (5.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) \zeta=\eta \epsilon_{4}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we get the result (5.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)=\alpha \epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.17) in (5.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime 2}=\epsilon_{1}^{*} \epsilon_{4}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.18) in (5.15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{\epsilon_{1}^{*} \epsilon_{4}}{\alpha^{2}+1}\left[\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1}^{2}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{2}=\frac{\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)\right] .  \tag{5.20}\\
\Delta_{1}=\frac{\eta \kappa_{1}}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}} \alpha \kappa_{1} . \tag{5.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Dividing (5.20) by (5.21) we get result (5.3)

$$
\beta \alpha \kappa_{1}=\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3} .
$$

Putting values of $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ in equation (5.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{1} T+\Delta_{2} N_{2} . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of the equation (5.22) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}+\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\Delta_{1} \kappa_{1}-\Delta_{2} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \Delta_{2} \kappa_{3} N_{3}+\Delta_{2}^{\prime} N_{2}+\Delta_{1}^{\prime} T \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (5.23) by $T$ and $N_{2}$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=0, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}=0 \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.7) and (5.24) in (5.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=P(s) N_{1}+Q(s) N_{3} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(s)=\frac{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right]  \tag{5.26}\\
& Q(s)=\frac{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{4} \alpha \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right] . \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*} \neq 0
$$

So we get the result (5.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{3}^{2}\right)-\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right] \neq 0 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let $\Gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be an evolute curve with arc-length parameter $s$ with $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}$ are not equal to zero and the relations (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) exist for constant numbers $\Phi, \Psi$, $\alpha \neq 0, \beta$. then we can define curve $\Gamma^{*}$ like this

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\Phi(s) T(s)+\Psi(s) N_{2} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.29) with respect to $s$ using frenet formula (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{3} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.2), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\left(\epsilon_{4} \alpha \Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{3} \\
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{5.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=\frac{d s^{*}}{d s}=\left\|\frac{d T^{*}}{d s}\right\|=c_{1}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}>0 \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $c_{1}\left(\Psi k_{3}\right)>0$ where $c_{1}= \pm 1$ and $c_{2}= \pm 1$ such that $\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)>0$. Again writing equation (5.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\epsilon_{4} \Psi \kappa_{3}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.32) in (5.33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} c_{1}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(T^{*}, T^{*}\right)=C_{2}=\epsilon_{1}^{*}$.
Taking derivative of equation (5.34) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} c_{1}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) T-\alpha \kappa_{1} N_{2}\right] \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{*}=\left\|\frac{d T^{*}}{d s}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}>0 \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.35) and (5.36), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}^{*}} \frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} c_{1}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) T-\alpha \kappa_{1} N_{2}\right] \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to $H\left(N_{1}^{*}, N_{1}^{*}\right)=1$.
If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} c_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}, \quad \Delta_{4}=-\frac{\epsilon_{4} \alpha c_{1} \kappa_{1}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}, \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.38) in (5.37), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{3} T+\Delta_{4} N_{2} . \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (5.39) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} \frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\Delta_{3}^{\prime} T+\Delta_{4}^{\prime} N_{2}+\epsilon_{2}\left(\Delta_{3} \kappa_{1}-\Delta_{4} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \Delta_{4} \kappa_{3} N_{3} . \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.3), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}^{\prime}-\kappa_{3}^{\prime}\right) \alpha \kappa_{1}-\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) \alpha \kappa_{1}^{\prime}=0 . \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative (5.38) with respect to $s$ using equation (5.41), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}^{\prime}=0, \quad \Delta_{4}^{\prime}=0 \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the values (5.42) and (5.38) in (5.40), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}\left(2 \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}} N_{1}+\frac{c_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}} N_{3} . \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.34) and (5.36), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{\epsilon_{4} c_{1} \sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.43) and (5.44), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{c_{1}\left(2 \alpha \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{-2 \alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}+2 \alpha \kappa_{3}}}\left[N_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} N_{3}\right], \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.45), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}^{*}=\frac{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right|}{f \sqrt{|\alpha|}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}>0 \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider(5.45) and (5.46) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{*}=\frac{\epsilon_{3}^{*}}{\kappa_{2}^{*}}\left[\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}\right]=\frac{c_{2} c_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{*} \sqrt{|\alpha|}}{2}\left[N_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} N_{3}\right], \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3}=\frac{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right|}{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right|}= \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_{3}^{*}= \pm$.
From (5.47), we acquire $H\left(N_{2}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right)=c_{1}=\epsilon_{3}^{*}=-\epsilon_{1}^{*}$, also unit vector $N_{3}^{*}$ can be expressed like this $N_{3}^{*}=-\Delta_{4} T+\Delta_{3} N_{2}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}^{*}=\frac{c_{2}\left(2 \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}} T+\frac{c_{2} \alpha \kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}} N_{2}, \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(N_{3}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=1$. In the end we find $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ as,

$$
\kappa_{3}^{*}=H\left(\frac{d N_{2}^{*}}{d s^{*}}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=\frac{c_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{*}\left(2 \sqrt{|\alpha|} \kappa_{3}\right.}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{2 \alpha \kappa_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}}
$$

So we find that $\Gamma^{*}$ is ( 0,2 )-Evolute curve of the curve $\Gamma$ since
$\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}$.
Case $4 \Gamma$ is a cartan null curve with $\kappa_{1}=1, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}$ are not equal to zero and $\Gamma *$ is a spacelike or time like curve with $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ are not equal to zero and $T^{*}$ and $N_{2}^{*}$ are spacelike vectors. Then we have this theorem

## 6 Theorem

Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a Cartan null curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}=1, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Then the curve $\Gamma$ is a $(0,2)$-Evolute curve and its Evolute mate curve is a spacelike or timelike curve with curvatures not equal to zero if and only if there exists constant real numbers $\Phi, \Psi, \alpha \neq \pm 1, \beta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Phi(s) \kappa_{1}(s)-\Psi(s) \kappa_{2}(s)\right)=\alpha \Psi(s) \kappa_{3}(s),  \tag{6.1}\\
-\beta \alpha=\alpha \kappa_{2}(s)-\kappa_{3}(s)  \tag{6.2}\\
\kappa_{3}(s)\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+2 \alpha k_{2}(s) \neq 0 \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $s \in I$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a Cartan null curve with arc-length parameter $s$ so that $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are not zero. Let $\Gamma^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be the $(0,2)$-evolute curve of $\Gamma$. Denote $\left\{T^{*}, N_{1}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet frame along $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\kappa_{1}^{*}, \kappa_{2}^{*}$ and $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\Gamma^{*}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}
$$

Moreover, we can write the curve $\Gamma^{*}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\boldsymbol{\Phi}(s) T(s)+\Psi(s) N_{2} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s^{*} \in I^{*}, s \in I$ where $\Phi(s)$ and $\Psi(s)$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions on $I$.
Taking derivative (6.4) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=T(s)+\Phi^{\prime}(s) T(s)+\Psi^{\prime}(s) N_{2}+\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\Psi \kappa_{3} N_{3} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking inner product on both-sides of (6.5) with $T$ and $N_{2}$ respectively, we get $1+\Phi^{\prime}=0$ and $\Psi^{\prime}=0$, which implies that $\Psi$ is constant and $\Phi=\Phi_{0}-s$, where $\Phi_{0}$ is the integration constant. So (6.5) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\Psi \kappa_{3} N_{3} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (6.6) by itself, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)^{2}+\Psi^{2} \kappa^{2} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}} a n d \zeta=\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (6.6) gets the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\eta N_{1}+\zeta N_{3} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (6.9) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=\eta^{\prime} N_{1}+\zeta^{\prime} N_{3}+\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) T-\eta \kappa_{1} N_{2} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (6.10) by $N_{1}$ and $N_{3}$ respectively, we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}=0, \zeta^{\prime}=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.11) in (6.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} N_{1}^{*}=\left(\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}\right) T-\eta \kappa_{1} N_{2} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (6.12) by itself, we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=-2 \alpha\left(\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left[\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right] . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.8), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) \zeta=\eta\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we acquire the result (6.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi \kappa_{1}-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right)=\alpha \Psi \kappa_{3} . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.15) in (6.7), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime 2}=\epsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.16) in (6.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{-2 \alpha \epsilon_{1}^{*} \epsilon_{2}^{*}}{\alpha^{2}+1}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)\right] \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{1}=\frac{\eta \kappa_{2}-\zeta \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)\right] .  \tag{6.18}\\
\Delta_{2}=-\frac{\eta}{f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*}}=-\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2} \kappa_{1}^{*}} \alpha . \tag{6.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Dividing (6.18) by (6.19), we acquire the result (6.2)

$$
-\beta \alpha=\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}
$$

Using (6.18) and (6.19) in (6.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{1} T+\Delta_{2} N_{2} . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of (6.20) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon_{1}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}+\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} \kappa_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=\left(\Delta_{1} \kappa_{1}-\Delta_{2} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\Delta_{2} \kappa_{3} N_{3}+\Delta_{2}^{\prime} N_{2}+\Delta_{1}^{\prime} T \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (6.21) by $T$ and $N_{2}$ respectively, we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=0, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}=0 \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the (6.18), (6.19) and (6.22) in (6.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*}=P(s) N_{1}+Q(s) N_{3}, \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(s)=\frac{\Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+2 \alpha k_{2}\right]  \tag{6.24}\\
& Q(s)=\frac{\alpha \Psi \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime 2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{1}^{*}}\left[\kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+2 \alpha k_{2}\right] \tag{6.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon_{3}^{*} f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N_{2}^{*} \neq 0
$$

So we get the result (6.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{3}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)+2 \alpha k_{2} \neq 0 \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, we suppose that $\Gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a Cartan null curve with arc-length parameter $s$ and $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}$ not equal to zero and the relations (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) hold for constant real numbers $\Phi, \Psi, \alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta$. Then we can define curve $\Gamma^{*}$ like this

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)=\Gamma(s)+\Phi(s) T(s)+\Psi(s) N_{2} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (6.27) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\left(\Phi-\Psi \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{3} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.1), we gacquire

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\left(\alpha \Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{1}+\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) N_{3} \\
\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}=\Psi \kappa_{3}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{6.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

From this

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=\frac{d s^{*}}{d s}=\left\|\frac{d \Gamma^{*}}{d s}\right\|=c_{1}\left(\Psi \kappa_{3}\right) \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}>0 \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $c_{1}\left(\Psi k_{3}\right)>0$ where $c_{1}= \pm 1$ and $c_{2}= \pm 1$ such that $c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}-1\right)>0$. Again writing the equation (6.29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} f^{\prime}=\Psi \kappa_{3}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.30) in (6.31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(T^{*}, T^{*}\right)=c_{2}=\epsilon_{1}^{*}$.
Taking derivative of the equation (6.32) $s$ using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) T-\alpha N_{2}\right] \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{*}=\left\|\frac{d T^{*}}{d s}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{c_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}}>0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.33) and (6.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}^{*}} \frac{d T^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}}\left[\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right) T-\alpha N_{2}\right] \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(N_{1}^{*}, N_{1}^{*}\right)=1$.
If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}=\frac{c_{1}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}}, \quad \Delta_{4}=-\frac{c_{1} \alpha}{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.36) in (6.35), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{*}=\Delta_{3} T+\Delta_{4} N_{2} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of (6.37) using equation (2.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} \frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\Delta_{3}^{\prime} T+\Delta_{4}^{\prime} N_{2}+\left(\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{4} \kappa_{2}\right) N_{1}+\Delta_{4} \kappa_{3} N_{3} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (6.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}^{\prime}-\kappa_{3}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of equation (6.36) with respect to s using (6.39), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}^{\prime}=0, \quad \Delta_{4}^{\prime}=0 \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.40) and (6.36) in (6.38), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}=\frac{c_{1}\left(2 \alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} N+\frac{c_{1} \alpha \kappa_{3}}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} N_{3} . \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.32) and (6.34), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{c_{1} \sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)}\left[\alpha N_{1}+N_{3}\right] \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.41) and (6.42), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}=\frac{c_{1}\left(2 \alpha \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{-2 \alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}+2 \alpha \kappa_{3}}}\left[N_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} N_{3}\right] \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}^{*}=\frac{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{3}\right|}{f \sqrt{|\alpha|}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{-2 \alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}+2 \alpha \kappa_{3}}}>0 \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (6.43) and (6.44) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{*}=\frac{\epsilon_{3}^{*}}{\kappa_{2}^{*}}\left[\frac{d N_{1}^{*}}{d s^{*}}+\epsilon_{1}^{*} \kappa_{1}^{*} T^{*}\right]=\frac{c_{2} c_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{*} \sqrt{|\alpha|}}{2}\left[N_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} N_{3}\right] \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3}=\frac{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{3}\right|}{\left|2 \alpha \kappa_{2}+\alpha^{2} \kappa_{3}-\kappa_{3}\right|}= \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_{3}^{*}= \pm$. From (2.52) $H\left(N_{2}^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right)=c_{1}=\epsilon_{3}^{*}=-\epsilon_{1}^{*}$, also unit vector $N_{3}^{*}$ can be expressed like this $N_{3}^{*}=-\Delta_{4} T+\Delta_{3} N_{2}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}^{*}=\frac{c_{2}\left(\alpha \kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} T+\frac{c_{2} \alpha}{\sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} N_{2} \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $H\left(N_{3}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=1$. In the end we find $\kappa_{3}^{*}$ as,

$$
\kappa_{3}^{*}=H\left(\frac{d N_{2}^{*}}{d s^{*}}, N_{3}^{*}\right)=\frac{c_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{*}\left(2 \sqrt{|\alpha|} \kappa_{3}\right.}{f^{\prime} \sqrt{-2\left(\alpha^{2} \kappa_{2}-\alpha \kappa_{3}\right)}} \neq 0
$$

So we find that $\Gamma^{*}$ is spacelike or timelike curve and a $(0,2)$-Evolute curve of the curve $\Gamma$ considering $\operatorname{span}\left\{T, N_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}^{*}, N_{3}^{*}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, N_{2}^{*}\right\}$.

Conclusion: In present study, we established a new kind of generalized evolutes and involutes curve in 4-Dimensional Minkowski space. We obtain necessary and sufficient condition for the curve possessing generalized Evolute as well as an Involute curve. Many researchers have developed extensive significant research contribution in the field of general theory of the curves in Euclidean space as well as in Minkowski space. However the special characters of the curve are not considered which is a research gap in this technique. In this article, we described a new type of (1,3)-Evolute curve in 4-Dimensional Minkowski space. We introduced several theorems with necessary and sufficient conditions and obtained interesting results. The understanding of Evolute curves with this type of Evolute and Involute curve, researchers will do more research in 4-dimensional Minkowski space. Evolute curves are used in mathematics and different branches of engineering this work maybe help full for researchers for future studies. In the future, we plan to improve our proposed framework for involutes of order K of a null Cartan curve in Minkowski spaces.

## References

[1] R. S. Millman and G. D. Parker, Elements of Differential Geometry, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1977.
[2] C. Boyer, A History of Mathematics, New York: Wiley, 1968.
[3] Suleyman Senyurt, Seyda Kihcoglu. On the Differential Geometric Elements of the Involute D-Scroll in $E^{3}$, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras 25, 977-988 (2015).
[4] Ozyilmaz, Emin and Suha Yilmaz,Involute-evolute curve couples in the euclidean 4-Space, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math 2, 168-174 (2009).
[5] Marcos Craizer, Iteration of involutes of constant width curves in the Minkowski plane, Beitr Algebra Geom 55, 479-496 (2014).
[6] Saint Venant B. Memoire sur les lignes courbes non planes, Journal de 1Ecole Polytechnique (in French) 18, 1-76 (1845).
[7] Bertrand JM, Memoire sur la theorie des courbes a double courbure, Comptes Rendus (in French) 15, 332-350 (1850).
[8] Hanif, M, Hou, Z. H, Generalized involute and evolute curve-couple in Euclidean space, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math 15, (2018)
[9] Bukcu. B, Murat K Karacan, On the involute and evolute curves of spacelike curves with spacelike principal normal in Minkowski 3-space, Int. J. of mathematical combinatorics 1, 27-37 (2009).
[10] Bukcu. B, Murat K Karacan, On the Involute and Evolute Curves of the Spacelike Curve with a Spacelike Binormal in Minkowski 3-Space, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences 5, 221-232 (2007).
[11] Dae Won Yoon, On the evolute offsets of ruled surfaces in Minkowski 3-space, Turk J Math 40, 594-604 (2016).
[12] Engin As and Ayhan Sarioglugil, On the Bishop curvatures of Involute-Evolute curve couple in $E^{3} 9$, 140-145 (2014).
[13] Hanif, M, Hou, Z, Nisar, K, On Special Kinds of Involute and Evolute Curves in 4-Dimensional Minkowski Space, Symmetry 10, (2018).
[14] Haiou Yu, Donghe Pei, Xiupeng Cui, Evolutes of fronts on Euclidean 2-sphere, J. Nonlinear Sci 8, 678686 (2015).
[15] M. Turgut, S. Yilmaz, On the frenet frame and a characterization of spacelike involute-evolute curve couple in Minkowski space-time, Int. Math. forum 16, 2889-2905 (2010).
[16] T. Fukunaga, Masatomo Takahashi, Evolutes and Involutes of fronts in Euclidean plane, Journal of singularities 10, 92-107 (2014).
[17] Ilarslan K, Nesovic E. Spacelike and timelike normal curves in Minkowski space-time. Publications de Linstitut Math 85, 111-118 (2009).
[18] O'Neill B, Semi-Riemannian Geometry with applications to relativity. New York, NY, USA:Academic Press, 1983.
[19] Bonnor WB, Null curves in minkowski space-time, Tensor 20, 229-242 (1969).
[20] Bonnor WB, Curves with null normals in minkowski space-time, Dadhich NJ, editor, A Random Walk in relativity and Cosmology, New York, NY, USA: Wiley 33-47, (1950).

## Author information

Muhammad Hanif and Zhong Hua Hou, School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China.
E-mail: hanifmayo84@gmail.com, zhhou@dlut.edu.cn
Received: July 18, 2018.
Accepted: August 29, 2018

