# A Comprehensive Subclass of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with Subordination 

A. A. Amourah and Mohamed Illafe<br>Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh

MSC 2010 Classifications: 30C45.
Keywords and phrases: Faber polynomials, bi-univalent functions, subordination, upper bound.
The authors would like to thank the referee for his useful comments and suggestions to improve the original paper.


#### Abstract

In the present paper, we define a new general subclass of bi-univalent functions involving a differential operator in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ and determine estimates for the general Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of the functions in this class. For this purpose, we use the Faber polynomial expansions. Several connections to some of the earlier known results are also pointed out.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f$ defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Thus each $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has a Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ (for details, see [10]; see also some of the recent investigations [3, 6, 7, 8, 23]). And let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of functions $\Phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n} z^{n}$ that are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(z))>0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. By the Caratheodory's lemma (see [10]) we have $|\Phi(z)| \leq 2$.

Let the functions $f, g$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. If there exists a Schwarz function $\varpi$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ under the conditions

$$
\varpi(0)=0,|\varpi(z)| \leq 1
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(\varpi(z)), z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

then, the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$.
By the Koebe one-quarter theorem (for details, (see [10]), we know that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. According to this, every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse map $f^{-1}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

In fact, the inverse function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (1.1). Examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$ are

$$
\frac{z}{1-z},-\log (1-z), \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right), \cdots .
$$

It is worth noting that the familiar Koebe function is not a member of $\Sigma$, since it maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ univalently onto the entire complex plane except the part of the negative real axis from $-1 / 4$ to $-\infty$. Thus, clearly, the image of the domain does not contain the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. For a brief history and some intriguing examples of functions and characterization of the class $\Sigma$, see Srivastava et al. [20], Yousef et al. [24, 25, 26], and Frasin and Aouf [12].

In 1967, Lewin [18] investigated the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ and showed that $\left|a_{2}\right|<$ 1.51. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [9] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. On the other hand, Netanyahu [19] showed that $\max _{f \in \Sigma}\left|a_{2}\right|=\frac{4}{3}$. The best known estimate for functions in $\Sigma$ has been obtained in 1984 by Tan [21], that is, $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.485$. The coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1,2\})$ for each $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is presumably still an open problem.

The Faber polynomials introduced by Faber [11] play an important role in various areas of mathematical sciences, especially in geometric function theory. The recent publications [13] and [14] applying the Faber polynomial expansions to meromorphic bi-univalent functions motivated us to apply this technique to classes of analytic bi-univalent functions. In the literature, there are only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions given by (1.1) using Faber polynomial expansions (see for example, [15, 16, 17]). Hamidi and Jahangiri [15] considered the class of analytic bi-close-to-convex functions. Jahangiri and Hamidi [17] considered the class defined by Frasin and Aouf [12], and Jahangiri et al. [16] considered the class of analytic bi-univalent functions with positive real-part derivatives.

## 2 The class $\mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \lambda, \Phi, \xi)$

Yousef et al. [25] have introduced and studied the following subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions:

Definition 2.1. For $\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\alpha, \lambda, \delta)$ if the following conditions hold for all $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>\alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta w g^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)>\alpha \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$ is defined by (1.2) and $\xi=\frac{2 \lambda+\mu}{2 \lambda+1}$.
Using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1), the coefficients of its inverse map $g=f^{-1}$ may be expressed as in [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right) w^{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{n-1}^{-n} & =\frac{(-n)!}{(-2 n+1)!(n-1)!} a_{2}^{n-1}+\frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+1))!(n-3)!} a_{2}^{n-3} a_{3}+\frac{(-n)!}{(-2 n+3)!(n-4)!} a_{2}^{n-4} a_{4}  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+2))!(n-5)!} a_{2}^{n-5}\left[a_{5}+(-n+2) a_{3}^{2}\right]+\frac{(-n)!}{(-2 n+5)!(n-6)!} a_{2}^{n-6} \\
& {\left[a_{6}+(-2 n+5) a_{3} a_{4}\right]+\sum_{j \geq 7} a_{2}^{n-j} V_{j} }
\end{align*}
$$

such that $V_{j}$ with $7 \leq j \leq n$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables $a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$ [2].
In particular, the first three terms of $K_{n-1}^{-n}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}^{-2}=-2 a_{2}, K_{2}^{-3}=3\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right), K_{3}^{-4}=-4\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$, an expansion of $K_{n}^{p}$ is as in [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{p}=p a_{n}+\frac{p(p-1)}{2} D_{n}^{2}+\frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_{n}^{3}+\cdots+\frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} D_{n}^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{n}^{p}=D_{n}^{p}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right)$, and by [22], $D_{n}^{m}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{i_{1}!\ldots i_{n}!} a_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots a_{n}^{i_{n}}$ while $a_{1}=1$, and the sum is taken over all non-negative integers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ satisfying $i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{n}=m$, $i_{1}+2 i_{2}+\cdots+n i_{n}=n$, it is clear that $D_{n}^{m}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=a_{1}^{n}$.

Now, we are ready to establish a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions based on subordination.

Definition 2.2. For $\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$, and $\delta \geq 0$, A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \lambda, \Phi, \xi)$, if the following subordinations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \Phi(z) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta w g^{\prime \prime}(w) \prec \Phi(w) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$ is defined by (1.2) and $\xi=\frac{2 \lambda+\mu}{2 \lambda+1}$.

## 3 Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Phi, \boldsymbol{\xi})$

Theorem 3.1. For $\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$, and $\delta \geq 0$, let the function $f \in \mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \lambda, \Phi, \xi)$ be given by (1.1). Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2}{\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta}, \sqrt{\frac{8}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(\mu+1+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{4}{(\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta)^{2}}, \frac{8}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(\mu+1+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}\right\}+\frac{2}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \lambda, \Phi, \xi)$.The inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) imply the existence of two positive real part functions

$$
\varpi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} z^{n}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(w)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\varpi(z))>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(w))>0$ in $\mathcal{C}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=\Phi(\varpi(z))  \tag{3.1}\\
& (1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta w g^{\prime \prime}(w)=\Phi(\varphi(w)) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta) a_{2}=\Phi_{1} t_{1}  \tag{3.3}\\
(\mu+2 \lambda)\left[\frac{\mu-1}{2} a_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right) a_{3}\right]=\Phi_{1} t_{2}+\Phi_{2} t_{1}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta) a_{2}=\Phi_{1} s_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu+2 \lambda)\left[\left(\frac{\mu+3}{2}+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right) a_{2}^{2}-\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right) a_{3}\right]=\Phi_{1} s_{2}+\Phi_{2} s_{1}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3) and (3.5), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|\Phi_{1} t_{1}\right|}{\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta}=\frac{\left|\Phi_{1} s_{1}\right|}{\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta} \leq \frac{2}{\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$
(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(\mu+1+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right) a_{2}^{2}=\Phi_{1}\left(t_{2}+s_{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(t_{1}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{8}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(\mu+1+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient $\left|a_{3}\right|$, we subtract (3.6) from (3.4). We thus get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(t_{2}-s_{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(t_{1}^{2}-s_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}\right| & \leq\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\Phi_{1}\left(t_{2}-s_{2}\right)\right|}{2(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.10), it follows that

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4}{(\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta)^{2}}+\frac{2}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{8}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(\mu+1+\frac{12 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}+\frac{2}{(\mu+2 \lambda)\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right)}
$$

Which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \mathfrak{B}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \lambda, \Phi, \xi)$. If $a_{m}=0$ with $2 \leq m \leq n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta}(n \geq 4) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) and its inverse map $g=f^{-1}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) z^{n-1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}+\xi \delta w g^{\prime \prime}(w)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}\left(A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots, A_{n}\right) w^{n-1} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=(\mu+\lambda+2 \xi \delta) a_{2}, F_{2}=(\mu+2 \lambda)\left[\frac{\mu-1}{2} a_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{6 \delta}{2 \lambda+1}\right) a_{3}\right] \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in general (see [5])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{n-1}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)= {[\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta] \times[(\mu-1)!] } \\
& \times \sum_{i_{1}+2 i_{2}+\cdots+(n-1) i_{n-1}=n-1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\mu+n \lambda}{\mu+n \lambda+n(n+1) \xi \delta}\right)^{1-i_{n-1}}
\end{aligned} \quad .
$$

Next, by using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions $\varpi, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\varpi(z))=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{k} F_{n}^{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) z^{n} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\varphi(z))=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{k} F_{n}^{k}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) w^{n} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients yields

$$
[\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta] a_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Phi_{k} F_{n-1}^{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)(n \geq 2)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta] A_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Phi_{k} F_{n-1}^{k}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right)(n \geq 2) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $a_{m}=0,2 \leq m \leq n-1$, we have $A_{n}=-a_{n}$ and so

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta] a_{n}=\Phi_{1} t_{n-1}} \\
-[\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta] a_{n}=\Phi_{1} s_{n-1} \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now taking the absolute values of either of the above two equations and using the facts that $\left|\Phi_{1}\right| \leq 2,\left|t_{n-1}\right| \leq 1$, and $\left|s_{n-1}\right| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{n}\right| & \leq \frac{\left|\Phi_{1} t_{n-1}\right|}{\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta}=\frac{\left|\Phi_{1} s_{n-1}\right|}{\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq \frac{2}{\mu+(n-1) \lambda+n(n-1) \xi \delta} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.3. As a final remark, for $\delta=0$ in
(i) Theorem 3.1 we obtain Theorem 1 in [4].
(ii) Theorem 3.2 we obtain Theorem 2 in [4].
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