

The Characterization properties and Basic Hypergeometric functions of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue

Virender Singh, Mumtaz Ahmad Khan, Abdul Hakim Khan

Communicated by P. K. Banerji

MSC 2010 Classifications: 11B83; 33D70; 33D80; 81S40.

Keywords and phrases: $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials, negative $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorial, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric functions.

Abstract In this paper, we acquaint some new results on $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue by giving definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials. And, some new relations on negative shifted factorial. Also, we prove some new relations for $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric functions.

1 Introduction

The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analysis or post quantum calculus was discover at the last decade. Many mathematicians and physicists have widely developed the theory of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -numbers, along the traditional lines of classical and quantum calculus. Burban and Klimyk et al. [1] presented the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Derivative, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Anti-Derivative and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ hypergeometric functions related to quantum groups. Sadjang et al. [8, 9, 10] inspired by this produced the fundamental theorem of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -calculus, the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Gamma and the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Beta functions. Duran et al. [2, 3, 4] establishment a new class of Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials founded on $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -calculus and checked their many properties. The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Anti-Derivative $[n]_{(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})}$ are specified as (see [8, 6])

$$\begin{aligned} [n]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} &= \mathcal{P}^{n-1} + \mathcal{P}^{n-2}\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P}^{n-3}\mathcal{Q}^2 + \cdots + \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{n-2} + \mathcal{Q}^{n-1} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{P}^n - \mathcal{Q}^n}{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}}, & \mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{Q} \neq 1 \\ n\mathcal{P}^{n-1}, & \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} \neq 1 \\ [n]_{\mathcal{Q}}, & \mathcal{P} = 1 \\ n, & \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

or

$$[n]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{\mathcal{P}^n - \mathcal{Q}^n}{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad 0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \leq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

$$[0]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [-1]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{-1}{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}}.$$

The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -basic number is a generalization of the \mathcal{Q} -number, that is

$$\lim_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow 1} [n]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} = [n]_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

The definition for $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -factorial is explain in [7, 8]

$$[n]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}! = \prod_{m=1}^n [m]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}!, \quad n \geq 1, \quad [0]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}! = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogues of the binomial coefficients are given as

$$\binom{n}{m}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{[n]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}!}{[m]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}![n-m]_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}!}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n. \quad (1.4)$$

Noted that, as $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow 1$, the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -binomial coefficients is similar to the \mathcal{Q} -binomial coefficients. The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -powers are introduce as

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_0 = 1$$

and

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k = \prod_{j=1}^k (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{j-1}), \quad 0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \leq 1. \quad (1.5)$$

The symbols $((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k$ are called $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials.

The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -derivative operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(\mathcal{P}z) - f(\mathcal{Q}z)}{(\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q})z}, & \text{when } z \neq 0 \\ f'(0), & \text{when } z = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Further we define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^0 f := f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^n f := \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{n-1} f), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

it is not very difficult to see that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Q}} f(z),$$

if the function is differentiable at z . Further $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}$ is a linear operator and satisfies the following property

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(f(z)g(z)) = f(\mathcal{P}z)\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}g(z) + g(\mathcal{Q}z)\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}f(z) \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}(f(z)g(z)) = g(\mathcal{P}z)\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}f(z) + f(\mathcal{Q}z)\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}g(z), \quad (1.8)$$

which is often referred to as the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -product rule. This can be generalized to a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue of Leibniz's rule

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^n(f(z)g(z)) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{n-m} f)(\mathcal{P}^{n-m} \mathcal{Q}^m z) (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^m g)(z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (1.9)$$

The following definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -integral due to [8] is

$$\int_0^{\mathcal{A}} f(t)d_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} t = (\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q})\mathcal{A} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}^m}{\mathcal{Q}^{m+1}} f\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^m}{\mathcal{Q}^{m+1}} t\right) \quad (1.10)$$

with

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} f(t)d_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} t = \int_0^{\mathcal{B}} f(t)d_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} t - \int_0^{\mathcal{A}} f(t)d_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}} t$$

For detailed studies on $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -calculus, one can look at [8, 9, 10] and references therein.

2 The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Shifted Factorial

The symbols $((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k$ are called $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials for negative subscripts we define

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{-k} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^k (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-j} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-j})}, \quad \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{P}^3, \dots, \mathcal{P}^k, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^2, \mathcal{Q}^3, \dots, \mathcal{Q}^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Remark 2.1. If we take $\mathcal{A} = 1$ and $\mathcal{P} = 1$ we obtain $((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{i-1}) = (\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{Q})_k$ that is \mathcal{Q} -analogue.

Proposition 2.2. *The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials for negative subscripts satisfies the relation*

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{-n} = \left[((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \right]^{-1} = \frac{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}); (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}))_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. From the definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials for negative subscripts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{-n} &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-j} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-j}) \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-1})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-2} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-2}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}) \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left[((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \right]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{P}} - \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{Q}})(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{P}^2} - \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{Q}^2}) \cdots (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{P}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{Q}^n})} \\
&= \frac{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}^2 - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}^2) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}^n - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}^n)} \\
&= \frac{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}); (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}))_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.2). \square

Proposition 2.3. If we replace \mathcal{P} by \mathcal{P}^{-1} and \mathcal{Q} by \mathcal{Q}^{-1} the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials satisfies the relation

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}} \right))_n = \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}))_n}{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. By using the definition (1.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}} \right))_n &= \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-i+1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-i+1}) \\
&= \frac{(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}^2 - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}^2) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{P}^{n-1})}{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}))_n}{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence the proof of proposition (2.3). \square

Proposition 2.4. The $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials satisfies the relation

$$((r\mathcal{A}, r\mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (r\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{i-1} - r\mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{i-1}) = r^n ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. The proof of above proposition is simple. \square

Proposition 2.5. The relationship between \mathcal{Q} and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue, are given by the

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n = \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{\mathcal{A}^n \mathcal{P}^{\binom{n}{2}}} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By using the definition of \mathcal{Q} -analogue [5, page 6]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^{i-1} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{i-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{i-1})}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{i-1}} \\
&= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{\mathcal{A}^n \mathcal{P}^{\binom{n}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Definition 2.6. Here, we can also define

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\infty} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{j-1}), \quad 0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \leq 1. \quad (2.7)$$

This implies that

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\infty}}{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\infty}}, \quad 0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \leq 1, \quad (2.8)$$

and for any complex number λ

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\lambda} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\infty}}{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{\lambda}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{\infty}}, \quad 0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \leq 1. \quad (2.9)$$

We list a number of transformation formulas for the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials, where k and n are non-negative integers:

Proposition 2.7.

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n+k} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. The proof is easy. \square

Proposition 2.8.

$$((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k \left[((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^k); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \right]^{-1} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k \left[((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \right]^{-1} \quad (2.11)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k}{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^k); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n\mathcal{Q}^{j-1})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^k\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^k\mathcal{Q}^{j-1})} \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^n - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^n)(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n+1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n+k-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n+k-1})}{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^k - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^k)(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{k+1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{k+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{k+n-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{k+n-1})} \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k}{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.11) is true for any non-negative integers n and k . \square

Proposition 2.9.

$$((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^k); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \left[((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k \right]^{-1}. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. The proof follows easily by definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials. \square

Proposition 2.10.

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-n}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n &= \prod_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{j-1}) \\ &= (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1}) \\ &= (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}} (\mathcal{A}^{-1} - \mathcal{B}^{-1})(\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-n} - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-n}) \\ &= (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{n}{2}} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-n}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 2.11.

$$((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-n - \binom{n}{2}} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \quad \mathcal{A} \neq 0, \mathcal{B} \neq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n &= \prod_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}\mathcal{P}^{j-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}\mathcal{Q}^{j-1}) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n+1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}) \\ &= (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-n - \binom{n}{2}} (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^n - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^n) \\ &= (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^n (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-n - \binom{n}{2}} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \quad \mathcal{A} \neq 0, \mathcal{B} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.12.

$$\frac{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} \right)^n, \quad \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \neq 0 \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. The proof follows easily by taking ratio of two $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials. □

Proposition 2.13.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k} &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-n}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k} \left(\frac{-\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \right)^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}, \quad (2.16) \\ &\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k} &= (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^2 - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^2) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n-k-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n-k-1}) \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n-k} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n-k})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n-k+1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n-k+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{n-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{n-1})} \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-n}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k} \left(\frac{-\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \right)^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.14.

$$((\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k = \frac{(-1)^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k &= (\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n})(\mathcal{P}^{-n+1}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{P}^{-n+k-1}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n+k-1}) \\ &= (-1)^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-nk} (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\sum(k-1)} (\mathcal{P}^{n-k+1}, \mathcal{Q}^{n-k+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{P}^n, \mathcal{Q}^n) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.15.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-k}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-k}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-nk}, \\ &\quad \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k &= (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n})(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n+1}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n+k-1} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n+k-1}) \\ &= (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-nk} (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\sum(k-1)} (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{n-k+1}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{n-k+1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^n - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^n) \\ &= \frac{(-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-nk} (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\sum(k-1)} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k}} \\ &= \frac{(-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\sum(k-1)} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n (\mathcal{A}^{-1}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}) \cdots (\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-k} - \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-k})}{(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{nk} ((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-k}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-k}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{1-k}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{1-k}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{-nk}, \quad \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.16. When k is replace by $n - k$ in above proposition then, we get

$$((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{-n}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{n-k} = (-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{n-k} (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})^{\sum k - \sum n} \frac{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_k}, \quad \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Proposition 2.17.

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{2n} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{Q}^2))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{Q}^2))_n. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. The proof follows easily by definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials. □

Remark 2.18. Similarly, we can prove that

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{3n} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^2); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^2); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n \\ &= ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^2); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.19. So, the generalization of above two equations are can be prove by the principal of mathematical induction

$$((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_{kn} = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{k-1}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{k-1}); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n. \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{k-1}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{k-1}); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n \\ &= ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n \cdots ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}^{k-1}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}^{k-1}); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.20.

$$((\mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{B}^2); (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{Q}^2))_n = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}, -\mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. The proof follows easily by definition of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -shifted factorials and using the formula $(\mathcal{A}^2 - \mathcal{B}^2) = (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})$, we get the result. □

Remark 2.21. Also, we can prove that

$$((\mathcal{A}^3, \mathcal{B}^3); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega^2); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega^2); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \\ &= ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega^2); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \end{aligned}$$

and $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$

Remark 2.22. So, the generalization of above two equations are can be prove by the principal of mathematical induction

$$((\mathcal{A}^k, \mathcal{B}^k); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n = ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k \mathcal{B}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k^{k-1} \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k \mathcal{B}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k^{k-1} \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \\ &= ((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}, \omega_k \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \dots ((\mathcal{A}, \omega_k^{k-1} \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n, \end{aligned}$$

and $\omega_k = e^{2\pi i/k}$

Proposition 2.23. By using above proposition we have

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{P}^{2n} - \mathcal{B}^2 \mathcal{Q}^{2n})}{(\mathcal{A}^2 - \mathcal{B}^2)} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{B}^2 \mathcal{Q}^2); (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{Q}^2))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{B}^2); (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{Q}^2))_n} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, -\mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{A}, -\mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. The proof follows easily by definition. \square

Remark 2.24. By the similarity of above result, we can prove by using proposition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A}^3 \mathcal{P}^{3n} - \mathcal{B}^3 \mathcal{Q}^{3n})}{(\mathcal{A}^3 - \mathcal{B}^3)} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}^3 \mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{B}^3 \mathcal{Q}^3); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^3, \mathcal{B}^3); (\mathcal{P}^3, \mathcal{Q}^3))_n} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}\omega), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}\omega^2); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega), (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\omega^2); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}, \quad (2.27)$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$

Remark 2.25. So, the generalization of above two equations are can be written as

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A}^k \mathcal{P}^{kn} - \mathcal{B}^k \mathcal{Q}^{kn})}{(\mathcal{A}^k - \mathcal{B}^k)} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}^k \mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{B}^k \mathcal{Q}^k); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n}{((\mathcal{A}^k, \mathcal{B}^k); (\mathcal{P}^k, \mathcal{Q}^k))_n} = \frac{((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}), (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}\omega_k), \dots, (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}\omega_k^{k-1}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k \mathcal{B}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}, \omega_k^{k-1} \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\omega_k = e^{2\pi i/k}$

3 $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Hypergeometric Functions

First of all, we have needed to announce a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -series containing several parameters. For that purpose, we recall that the Gauss [1813] hypergeometric series is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{C}; z) = {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{C}; z) = {}_2\mathcal{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\mathcal{A})_n (\mathcal{B})_n}{(\mathcal{C})_n n!} z^n, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathcal{C} \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ so that no zero factors appear in the Eq. (3.1). Gauss' series or Eq. (3.1) converges absolutely for $|z| < 1$ and for $|z| = 1$ when $\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) > 0$. Heine in [1847, 1878] introduced the series

$$\Phi(\lambda, \mu, \delta, \mathcal{Q}, z) = {}_2\Phi_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\mu; \mathcal{Q}^\delta; \mathcal{Q}, z)$$

with

$${}_2\Phi_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{Q}, z) = {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} \end{matrix} ; \mathcal{Q}, z \right] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{Q})_n (\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{Q})_n}{(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{Q})_n (\mathcal{Q}; \mathcal{Q})_n} z^n, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta \neq -m$ and $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{Q}^{-m}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Heine's series or Eq. (3.2) converges absolutely for $|z| < 1$ when $|\mathcal{Q}| < 1$, and it is a \mathcal{Q} -analogue of Gauss' series or Eq. (3.1) because, by taking a formal term-wise limit,

$$\lim_{\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 1^-} {}_2\Phi_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\mu; \mathcal{Q}^\delta; \mathcal{Q}, z) = {}_2F_1(\lambda, \mu; \delta; z).$$

Here, we introduce a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -series as

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{P}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\lambda), (\mathcal{P}^\mu, \mathcal{Q}^\mu) \\ (\mathcal{P}^\delta, \mathcal{Q}^\delta) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), z \right),$$

with

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), z \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} z^n, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\delta \neq -m$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \neq (\mathcal{P}^{-m}, \mathcal{Q}^{-m})$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots$, the Eq. (3.3) converges absolutely for $|z| < 1$ when $\left| \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right| < 1$ and it is a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue of Gauss' series or Eq. (3.1) because, by taking a formal termwise limit,

$$\lim_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow 1^-} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{P}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\lambda), (\mathcal{P}^\mu, \mathcal{Q}^\mu) \\ (\mathcal{P}^\delta, \mathcal{Q}^\delta) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), z \right) = {}_2\Phi_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\mu; \mathcal{Q}^\delta; \mathcal{Q}, z) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 1^-} {}_2\Phi_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{Q}^\mu; \mathcal{Q}^\delta; \mathcal{Q}, z) = {}_2F_1(\lambda, \mu; \delta; z).$$

The generalized hypergeometric series with i numerator parameters $(\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}})$ and j denominator parameters $(\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} \left[(-1)^n \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^{\binom{n}{2}} \right]^{1+j-i} z^n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $((\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n = ((\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \cdots ((\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n$. Also, for any j , $((\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n \neq 0$, if one of r is such that $(\mathcal{A}_{r\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{r\mathcal{Q}}) = (\mathcal{P}^{-n}, \mathcal{Q}^{-n})$ where n is a non-negative integer, this $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric function is a polynomials in z , otherwise the radius of convergence l of the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric series is given by

$$l = \begin{cases} \infty, & i < j + 1 \\ 1, & i = j + 1 \\ 0, & i > j + 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. The special case when $i = j + 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{j+1}\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(j+1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(j+1)\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{A}_{(j+1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(j+1)\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}), \dots, (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.2. If $\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{A}_{2\mathcal{P}} = \cdots = \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}} = \cdots = \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}} = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{B}_j$ then

$$\lim_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow 1} {}_i\Phi_j \left(\begin{array}{c} (1, \mathcal{A}_1); \dots; (1, \mathcal{A}_i) \\ (1, \mathcal{B}_1); \dots; (1, \mathcal{B}_j) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right) = {}_i\Phi_j \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_1; \dots; \mathcal{A}_i \\ \mathcal{B}_1; \dots; \mathcal{B}_j \end{array} \middle| \mathcal{Q}; z \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.3. We assume that each $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric function is in fact a polynomials when

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow \infty} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); \frac{z}{(\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}})} \right) \\ & = {}_{i-1}\Phi_j \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Many limit relations between $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials are based on the observations that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}); (\lambda, \mu) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}); (\lambda, \mu) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right) \\ & = {}_{i-1}\Phi_{j-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}); \lambda(\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); \frac{z}{\lambda} \right) \\ & = {}_{i-1}\Phi_j \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}})z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}); \lambda(\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); \lambda z \right) \\ & = {}_i\Phi_{j-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); \frac{z}{(\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} {}_i\Phi_j & \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}); \lambda(\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}); \lambda(\mathcal{B}_{s\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{s\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); z \right) \\ & = {}_{i-1}\Phi_{j-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{1\mathcal{Q}}); \dots; (\mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{(j-1)\mathcal{Q}}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); \frac{(\mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{A}_{i\mathcal{Q}})z}{(\mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{B}_{j\mathcal{Q}})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Here, we also introduce some transformation formulas for ${}_2\Phi_1$ series

Theorem 3.4.

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), z \right) = \frac{((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{DE}, \mathcal{CF}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z) \\ (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{EC}} \right) \quad (3.13)$$

$$= \frac{((\mathcal{ED}, \mathcal{FC}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AD}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{FP}, \mathcal{BD}z), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AD}z) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \frac{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{ED}} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \frac{((\mathcal{FP}, \mathcal{BD}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty}{((\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{BE}, \mathcal{AF}), (\mathcal{DE}, \mathcal{CF}) \\ (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \frac{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}z}{\mathcal{FP}} \right) \quad (3.15)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), z \right) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_n} z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mathcal{A}^n \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n \mathcal{C}^n \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n}{\mathcal{E}^n \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n \mathcal{P}^n \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n} z^n \quad (\because \text{Proposition 2.4}) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^n; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^n; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n} \left(\frac{\mathcal{AC}z}{\mathcal{EP}} \right)^n \quad (\because [5, \text{page}6]) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n} \left(\frac{\mathcal{AC}z}{\mathcal{EP}} \right)^n \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{FC}}{\mathcal{ED}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^n \right)^m \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{FC}}{\mathcal{ED}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}} \right)^m \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_n} \left(\frac{\mathcal{AC}z}{\mathcal{EP}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^m \right)^n \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{FC}}{\mathcal{ED}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{BC}z}{\mathcal{EP}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^m; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{AC}z}{\mathcal{EP}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)^m; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty} \left(\frac{\mathcal{BC}z}{\mathcal{EP}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_\infty \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{FC}}{\mathcal{ED}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{AC}z}{\mathcal{EP}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{BC}z}{\mathcal{EP}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{P}} \right)_m} \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{((\mathcal{DE}, \mathcal{CF}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_m ((\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_m}{((\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_m ((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_m} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{CE}} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty}{((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z); (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}))_\infty} {}_2\Phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{DE}, \mathcal{CF}), (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{AC}z) \\ (\mathcal{EP}, \mathcal{BC}z) \end{array} \middle| (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{CE}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

A short way to prove rest of the two equality is just to iterate first equality. The latter formula is a $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogue of Euler's transformation formula:

$${}_2\mathcal{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = (1-z)^{\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \mathcal{C}-\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}-\mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} \end{matrix} ; z \right] \quad (3.16)$$

References

- [1] I. M. Burban, A. U. Klimyk, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Differentiation, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -integration, and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -hypergeometric functions related to quantum groups. *Integral transform ans Special functions*. **2**, 15–36 (1994).
- [2] U. Duran, *Post quantum calculus*, Master Thesis, Gaziantep University, (2016).
- [3] U. Duran, M. Acikgoz and S. Araci, On $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Bernoulli, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Euler, and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Genocchi polynomials. *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **13** 7833–7846 (2016).

- [4] U. Duran, M. Acikgoz and S. Araci, On higher order $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Frobenius-Euler polynomials. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** 198–208 (2017).
- [5] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University press, (2004).
- [6] R. Jagannathan, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Special Functions, *arXiv:math/9803142v1*, (1998).
- [7] R. Jagannathan, R. Sridhar, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Rogers-Szegő polynomials and the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Oscillator, K. Alladi et al. (eds.), *The Legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the Mathematical Science*. (2010).
- [8] P. N. Sadang, On the fundamental theorem of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -calculus and some $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Taylor formulas, *arXiv:1309.3934v1 [math.QA]* (2013).
- [9] P. N. Sadang, On the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Gamma and the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Beta functions, *arXiv:1506.07394v1 [math.NT]* (2015).
- [10] P. N. Sadang, On two $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -analogues of the Laplace transform, *arXiv:1703.01906v1 [math.CA]* (2017).

Author information

Virender Singh, Mumtaz Ahmad Khan, Abdul Hakim Khan, Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh–202002, India.
E-mail: virenderamu2015@gmail.com, virender.rs@amu.ac.in

Received: March 15, 2018.

Accepted: August 24, 2018.